

The Sampson County Board of Commissioners convened for their regular meeting at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, January 5, 2015 in the County Auditorium, 435 Rowan Road in Clinton, North Carolina. Members present: Chairman Billy C. Lockamy, Vice Chairperson Sue Lee, and Commissioners Albert D. Kirby Jr., Harry Parker, and Clark H. Wooten.

The Chairman convened the meeting and called upon Commissioner Parker for the invocation. Commissioner Wooten then led the Pledge Allegiance.

### **Approval of Agenda**

Upon a motion made by Chairman Lockamy and seconded by Commissioner Parker, the Board voted unanimously to approve the agenda as presented with the addition of Tab 4 (f) consideration of a utility easement at the 355 interchange project site; Tab 4 (g) consideration of an access agreement at the 355 interchange project site; and Tab 5 (a) replacing of the minutes of the November 12, 2014 meeting with revised minutes upon the request of Commissioner Kirby.

### **Roads**

Monthly Report - NCDOT Keith Eason, NCDOT Assistant District Engineer, was present to answer questions and concerns of the Board and citizens in attendance. He reported that the construction of Keith Road was still delayed at the present moment due to utility issues.

### **Item 1: Planning and Zoning Actions**

Floodplain Manager Report Mr. Lyle Moore, Senior Planner, reported on the recent Community Assistance Visit by the NC Department of Public Safety to review local floodplain ordinances and enforcement practices. There were ten properties reviewed which were areas of concern, and there were no FEMA violations found. Mr. Moore reported that Sampson County is a participant of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), which requires the adoption of their regulations for construction. By participating in the NFIP, Sampson County has flood insurance supported federally. Mr. Moore also reported on upcoming floodplain management and enforcement activities. Mr. Moore stated there were some remaining properties needing to be reviewed.

Planning Board Appointment Planning Director Mary Rose recommended the appointment of Ann Naylor to the Planning Board. Upon a motion made by Vice Chairperson Lee and seconded by Commissioner Parker, the Board voted unanimously to appoint Ann Naylor to the Planning Board.

**Item 2: Presentation of Annual Audit**

Presentation of Annual Audit Bryon Scott, CPA of Thompson, Price, Scott, Adams & Co., provided a report on the annual audit, focusing on the General Fund and water funds (Copies of report filed in Inc. Minute Book \_\_\_\_, page \_\_\_\_.) His presentation was augmented by the full audit as provided by Finance Officer David Clack. Mr. Scott noted that the full audit had been provided to the Local Government Commission, but they had not yet approved it, so the copy was marked draft. Mr. Scott reviewed revenues, operating expenditures, fund balance analysis, tax collections and tax base. He urged the county to consider the possibility of business personal property tax audits to increase collections and generate revenue. Mr. Scott then reviewed the required auditor communications, noting that his firm had rendered an unqualified opinion on the audit, the highest opinion that they render in such an engagement. He noted that his company performs the audit to obtain a reasonable assurance, not absolute assurance; therefore they did not audit every transaction, only samples based upon the risk assessments of the county. Mr. Scott stated that an evaluation on the policies and procedures was done and findings communicated to management. Mr. Scott reported that there were no significant policy conflicts, and all transactions were recorded properly. The only deficiency noted was the same as in previous years with regard to segregation of duties, which is difficult to accomplish in departments which did not have enough personnel; the auditor and Finance Officer were discussing compensating controls which could be implemented. Commissioner Wooten requested clarification on some of the charts provided and staff referred to the full audit for additional available information. Commissioner Kirby asked Mr. Scott to elaborate on the County's internal control and the policies implemented to prevent embezzlement. Commissioner Kirby stated that while he had no suspicion of embezzlement, he would like to get a better understanding of the audit process and the internal controls the County has in place. Mr. Scott stated that the purpose of the audit was not to offer advice on internal controls, but rather to provide a financial report. Commissioner Kirby asked if the Board could have one month to review the audit, and it was noted that the audit still had to be approved by the Local Government Commission prior to final approval by the Board. Commissioner Kirby stated he would be interested in more review of internal control, and Mr. Scott stated he had to be mindful of retaining his status as an independent auditor. County Manager Ed Causey stated that based upon prior experiences with USDA, he did not believe there was another county which did as detailed a budgeting process for the commissioners to comment on, which increased the likelihood that department heads, program managers, management staff or commissioner would catch any concern. Commissioner Lee asked if the firm audited

other counties, and Mr. Scott stated that they audited eight other counties and 30-40 municipalities. It was anticipated that the final audit report would be added to the Consent Agenda for the February 2, 2015 Board of Commissioners meeting.

### **Item 3: Reports and Recognitions**

Recognition of Retiree Retiree Becky Honrine was presented with a plaque in recognition of her years of service with the County.

Budget Update Finance Officer David Clack provided a report on the mid-year fiscal status for the County budget. (Copies filed in Inc. Minute Book \_\_\_\_\_, Page \_\_\_\_\_.)

Debt Refinancing Opportunity Finance Officer David Clack reviewed underwriter's recommended refunding of certain County debt and the possibility of savings by refinancing certain long-term debt. The underwriter noted that the County could see savings by refunding a portion of the County's 2006 Certificates of Participation (approximately \$31,790,000); the USDA debt on the Courthouse Extension; the USDA debt on the Public Works offices and warehouse in the amount of \$770,000; and all of the outstanding General Obligation debt with the exception of the debt issued for the well project. Mr. Clack reported that the total amount of the debt which could be refunded was \$45,175,000, which is approximately 34% of the county's outstanding debt. He stated that the estimated saving to the General Fund would be approximately \$2,570,000 over the remaining term of the loan, and an estimated saving of \$1,656,000 to the water districts over the remaining term of the loan. He stressed that these were estimates, and the County would sell tax-free municipal bonds on the market. A prospectus would be prepared, and because the original debt was insured, the County would have to have the issuance insured again to guarantee a bond rating as good as the insurance company's. Now, he noted, Sampson County's bond rating would not be as good as what we could purchase insurance for, and he noted the anticipated savings were net of the costs for such insurance. Additional information would be brought back to the Board once the process was underway.

### **Item 4: Action Items**

Guidance for Medicaid Transportation Contracts in 2015 County Manager Ed Causey noted that the current contracts for non-emergency Medicaid Transportation Services expire in June 2015, and because there were two new members on the Board, he had asked County Attorney Joel Starling to present the Board information on issues with the previous process and options for bids, and was seeking the Board's guidance on preferred alternatives which may provide options for revenues.

County Attorney Joel Starling stated that he would agree that last time the process, back in the summer of 2013, did get started a little late; the advertisement for bids and award of bid was not finalized until mid-July, which is after even what the effective date of the current contract was. The thinking, he noted, in putting this on the January agenda, is that we would give ourselves ample time to be thinking about how the Board wanted to address the upcoming Medicaid Transportation contract. Mr. Starling stated that the past custom was that the County bid this contract out. Legally the County was not required to bid this contract out; it was something that the County had voluntarily chosen to do on numerous occasions in the past. It is a service contract, he noted, and when his office and Mr. Kirby's inquired of the Attorney General's Office about this issue, one of the things they were emphatic about was that the County was never required to bid it out in the first place. Mr. Starling noted that last time there was an existing provider, Enroute, that had been the provider for some period of time. A competing provider, Van-Go, submitted a bid that was lower than Enroute's. So ultimately based on that lower bid, the Board decided to award the contract to Van-Go. The Board then awarded a secondary contract to Enroute, saying that in the event that Van-Go's assets were tied up and were not able to go and make a pickup, only then would Enroute be brought in to cover any shortfalls that there might be in service. Enroute ultimately, after the contracts had been awarded, submitted or sought to submit, an amended bid lower than the initial bid that it submitted and lower than Van-Go's lower bid. Mr. Starling noted that there was then some concern expressed by DSS officials about the possibility of the County facing a "chargeback." He explained that ultimately the federal government administers the Medicaid Transportation program through the state, with the state charged with supervising the counties, but there's also federal supervision ultimately over the state agencies that help administer the Medicaid transportation program. There was some concern that if the County disregarded Enroute's attempt to lower the costs, that this would violate some of the Medicaid policy language about "least expensive means" of transportation being utilized. He recalled that based on those concerns, the Board directed the attorney to seek some further assurances. Initially, he reported, they went to the State Department of Health and Human Services, who directed the County to the Attorney General's Office. A binding opinion, which is a binding interpretation, was requested. After some time, the Attorney General's Office provided an advisory letter, which doesn't carry as much weight as an advisory opinion. Mr. Starling stated that basically officials at DHHS and the Attorney General's Office said that in their opinion that "least expensive means" language just meant to them that if grandma needs to go to her appointment in Dunn that you can't use an ambulance if she could get there by riding with a family member who was reimbursed or by riding in a van. Mr. Starling added that then the Board directed staff again to seek additional guidance from the federal Department of Health and Human Services, since they are ultimately the ones responsible for administering the program. He stated that a response was never provided; however, in the meantime Enroute opted to terminate its contract making it a moot question.

Mr. Starling reviewed some of the Board's options going forward, noting that these were not all of their options. First, the Board could continue to do things the way past Boards have done things, and bid the contract out - put out an advertisement for bids and just accept the lowest responsible bidder as the County has done in the past. The second option, he stated, would be, because this is a service contract and because legally it was not required to be bid it out, the Board could renew the contract with Van-Go or award it to some other entity. He noted that to his knowledge the County could not voluntarily adopt bidding procedures and then not follow them, but to his knowledge the County had never adopted formal bidding procedures for Medicaid Transportation. Lastly, Mr. Starling pointed out that there are counties in this state that use a transportation provider such as Sampson Area Transportation to administer their contracts. This could be accomplished, he stated, by awarding the contract to them or just allowing them to bid along with other contractors and consider their bid along with other private providers.

Commissioner Kirby provided additional comments on the previous bid award, noting first that it wasn't a pleasant moment for the County and the way it turned out. He stated he hoped they could leave a sad chapter behind. Commissioner Kirby stated that he did write a letter to the Attorney General to lay out the facts the way they were: For more than 16 years the Medicaid Transportation Services contract was held by Enroute, a Sampson County company owned and operated by Ricky Moore. At the time back in 2011, he had bid for the contract in 2011, and it was \$2.15 per mile plus a fuel surcharge at the local market rate. When it came up for re-bid in two years, Mr. Moore decided to, after he had it for 16 years, he decided to bid and up his rate to about \$2.65 per mile, because he wanted \$2.55 per mile plus a fuel surcharge. Commissioner Kirby stated that Van-Go bid \$1.65, about a dollar cheaper. He stated that the Director of Social Services, by way of letter to Mr. Causey and Mr. Clack, recommended that they accept the higher bid, citing that Mr. Moore needed more money to pay his staff. He recalled that the Board had a meeting shortly after the May 22 memo, and he and Commissioner Parker said that's not fair, we can't go along with that. We can't give it to the highest bidder by a whole dollar. We should give it to the lowest bidder unless there's a problem with them. He recalled that there was a deadlock with the commissioners because Commissioner McLamb was out, and two commissioners thought it should have gone to the lowest bidder and two thought otherwise. He noted that he and Commissioner Parker said the County should give Van-Go a try at least, looking at the bid statute, they should at least give them an opportunity. He stated that because the Board kept getting these 2-2 deadlocks, they said let's rebid it again. The Board rebid a second time, and the second time Van-Go won again. Commissioner Kirby stated that at that point, Mr. Moore, who had already said that there's no way he could do it for less than \$2.55, came down somewhere close to \$2, while Van-Go was still at \$1.95 or something like that, still the lowest. He added that he and Commissioner Parker were still of the opinion that they ought to give it to the lowest person, unless the Board could find a reason not to, as the bid statute speaks of lowest

responsible bidder. Nobody could find anything that would show that Van-Go was irresponsible in any way, legally. He recalled that the Board decided to do this thing that they called a primary bid and secondary bid where the commissioners agreed that they would go with the lowest bidder, and he would be the primary bid so long as he was able because there was concern, legitimate concern, from the Board as to whether Van-Go could actually do the job - whether or not they had the cars and did they have the ability to do it. The compromise was that Van-Go would handle the contract so long as they were able to, and if they couldn't then the secondary contract would be operable, and that would be Mr. Moore and Enroute. Commissioner Kirby stated that for whatever it's worth, Van-Go took the contract and has been, from all accounts, nothing but professional and with no complaints. They bought a complete fleet of vehicles, brand new. They had end up with a total fleet of nineteen 2013 model vehicles. Commissioner Kirby added that after that happened, Enroute then said they could do it for \$1.59, \$1.50-something, the third time they were to bid. He noted this was the first time the County was concerned about surcharges. He stated that never in the history of Sampson County, not just Sampson County, but North Carolina, has the federal government accessed a surcharge on any government. Since there's been Medicaid, there's never been a surcharge, he stated. In addition to that, he noted, the County received a letter from the Attorney General - and it was an advisory letter as opposed to an advisory opinion - but legally you've got the State Attorney General saying you don't have to give it to Mr. Moore. Legally, he added, he could not imagine there being any exposure to the county under those circumstances. If anybody wants to hit us with a surcharge, he stated, the County would say here's a letter from the Attorney General saying that what you're doing is alright. He added that the reason why they don't do advisory opinions is because they cost tax payers to do that, but you could lay your hand on that legal letter as their legal opinion that you're not violating the law. Commissioner Kirby stated that in his opinion the Board ought to just leave this thing alone and bid the thing out like they had for the last 16 years.

Commissioner Wooten asked Commissioner Kirby if there was a motion in his statement, and Commissioner Kirby stated that he made that a motion to bid out the Medicaid Transportation Services. Commissioner Wooten seconded the motion. Chairman Lockamy stated that in his mind, the problem was awarding the services that nobody was set up to run; Van-Go didn't have any vehicles bought at the time. They weren't ready to take the contract and the County was behind the time that the bid should have been already awarded.

Commissioner Parker noted that the existing primary contractor was now performing satisfactorily, and that summed it up. He concurred that it should be put out for bid.

Commissioner Parker questioned if a special committee be appointed to oversee the bid opening - not saying that he didn't trust anyone. He stated that he wanted to be

present, and it was noted that the bid opening was open to the public. Commissioner Starling clarified that a bid package would be developed, and County Manager Causey noted that it would be reviewed by the attorney.

With regard to Sampson Area Transportation bidding on the contract, Commissioner Wooten voiced his displeasure with a governing entity bidding against private companies. Upon a motion by Commissioner Kirby and seconded by Commissioner Wooten, the Board voted unanimously to bid out the contract to private companies, that that the government entity Sampson Area Transportation would not be permitted to bid; however they would continue to provide the services they were providing under their current contract.

Designation of Voting Delegate for NCACC Legislative Goals Conference Upon a motion by Chairman Lockamy and seconded by Commissioner Parker, the Board voted unanimously to appoint Commissioner Kirby as the voting delegate at the NCACC Legislative Goals Conference, January 15-16, 2015.

Scheduling Pre-Budget Work Sessions The Board discussed the need to schedule work sessions to discuss those issues which will most impact the upcoming fiscal year's budget and provide critical guidance to staff for preparation of a recommended budget document. Upon a motion by Commissioner Kirby and a second by Commissioner Parker the Board voted to hold the budget work session on February 17<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, and 19<sup>th</sup>, beginning at 8:30 am daily.

Award of Contract for Preparation of Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan, Five Year Update EMS Director Ronald Bass provided the Board with four bid proposals from AECOM Technical Services Inc., Atkins North America Inc., Holland Consulting Planners, Inc., and The Wooten Company. Mr. Bass reviewed the proposal evaluation process, and recommended the bid be awarded to Holland Consulting Planner based upon the results of the evaluation. Upon a motion made by Commissioner Kirby and seconded by Commissioner Wooten, the Board voted unanimously to award the contract to Holland Consulting Planners in the amount not to exceed \$44,000.

Appointments - Workforce Development Commission Upon a motion by Vice Chairperson Lee and a second by Commissioner Kirby, the Board voted unanimously to appoint Terry Spell to the Workforce Development Commission.

Appointments - Sampson Regional Medical Center Board of Trustees Upon a motion by Vice Chairperson Lee and a second by Commissioner Kirby, the Board voted unanimously to reappoint Lynn Carr and Will Waters to the SRMC Board of Trustees. Upon a motion by Vice Chairperson Lee and a second by Chairman Lockamy, the Board voted 3-2 to appoint Perry Solice, Terry Spell, and Jefferson Strickland to the

Board of Trustees (Chairman Lockamy, Vice Chairperson Lee, and Commissioner Wooten voting yes; Commissioners Kirby and Parker voting nay).

Appointments - Transportation Advocacy Group Upon a motion by Vice Chairperson Lee and a second by Commissioner Wooten, the Board voted 3-2 to appoint Hugh N. Carr to replace Everett Carr on the Transportation Advocacy Group (Chairman Lockamy, Vice Chairperson Lee, and Commissioner Wooten voting yes; Commissioners Kirby and Parker voting nay).

Utility Easement - Exit 355 Interchange County Attorney Joel Starling explained to the Board that Duke Energy was requesting a 30-ft utility easement which would provide access to the economic development site. He noted that Southern Produce, who was currently under a property exchange agreement with the County, had signed a consent to Easement, as they would be acquiring the title to the property from the County. Upon a motion by Chairman Lockamy and Vice Chairperson Lee, the Board voted unanimously to approve the execution of the easement document. (Copy filed in Inc. Minute Book \_\_\_\_\_, Page \_\_\_\_\_.)

Access Agreement County Attorney Joel Starling explained to the Board that there were two acres at the economic development site on which the water infrastructure improvements would be located. Mr. Starling presented the Board with a proposed access agreement which would allow the County and its contractors access to the site. Commissioner Kirby stated that he would need more time to review the agreement, and it was determined that it would be considered at the Board's recessed meeting on Tuesday, January 13<sup>th</sup>.

**Item 5:        Consent Agenda**

Commissioner Kirby commented with regard to the proposed revised minutes for November 12, 2014, during which the Board discussed the execution of the documents related to the architectural design and installation of our water tower and well. He noted that the site was in his district and some residents in that area, as well as some environmental people, had strongly opposed having this plant established in our county. He stated that his position had always been consistent that he would never put monetary profit above the health and safety of our citizens. He stated that after reviewing the minutes of November 12<sup>th</sup> as they were originally submitted, he noticed what appeared to be just a deficiency as far as comments that he made, more importantly he wanted to talk about the things that made the action that the Board had taken positive for the citizens in that area; therefore he asked the Clerk to include his questions and comments. Commissioner Kirby noted that his mother would read the minutes, so clarified that the part that was added which indicated "Commissioner Kirby offers apology for arriving late, noting he thought the meeting was at 5:30" was



just a self-deprecating comment and the truth of the matter was that he had been in a trial in Benson all day.

Upon a motion made by Commissioner Kirby and seconded by Commissioner Parker, the Board unanimously to approve the Consent Agenda items as follows:

- a. Approved the minutes of the November 12, 2014 and December 1, 2014 meetings
- b. Approved the amended FY14-15 4H Prevention Program contract and associated budget amendment (Copies filed in Inc. Minute Book \_\_\_\_, Page \_\_\_\_.)

<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>		<u>Cooperative Extension 4-H Programs Dept.</u>		
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
04549520	512600	Part-time salaries		604.00
04549520	518100	FICA		38.00
04549520	518120	Medicare FICA		8.00
04549520	518200	Retirement		864.00
04549520	518300	Group insurance		1,401.00
04549520	518350	Employee assistance program		17.00
04549520	518500	Unemployment insurance		65.00
04549520	518901	401K County		653.00
04549520	526200	Department Supplies		296.00
04549520	531100	Travel		200.00
04549520	532100	Telephone and postage		50.00
04549520	534100	Printing		700.00
04549520	539500	Employee training		285.00
<b><u>REVENUE</u></b>				
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
04034952	403601	4-H Prevention grant		5,181.00

- c. Approved the new Temporary Food Establishment/Limited Food Service Establishment Permit fee of \$75 pursuant to Board of Health's Limited Food Service Establishment Policy
- d. Adopted the Capital Projects Ordinance for the NC 403 Infrastructure (water line and tank construction) and approve the associated budget amendment: (Copies filed in Ordinance Book \_\_\_\_, Page \_\_\_\_.)

<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>		<b><u>NC 403 Infrastructure</u></b>	
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	
67981700	558100	Construction costs	1,302,000.00
67981700	509700	Contingency	130,200.00
67981700	519500	Engineering	91,140.00
67981700	519510	Engineering inspections	20,000.00
67981700	519900	Other professional costs	5,000.00
67981700	557000	Land and right of way acquisition	7,860.00
67981700	519200	Legal and administration	6,300.00
<b><u>REVENUE</u></b>			
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	
67038170	408922	Golden Leaf	450,000.00
67038170	403614	Grant-NC Dept of Commerce CDBG	292,425.00
67038170	403613	DOC Economic Dev Div	781,000.00
67038170	409600	County contribution	39,075.00

e. Approved Cumberland Community Action Program, Inc.'s Community Service Block Grant (CSBG) Application for grant year July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2016 (Copy filed in Inc. Minute Book \_\_\_\_, Page \_\_\_\_.)

f. Approved a late disabled veteran tax exclusion application for Larry Bryant

g. Approved tax refunds as submitted

#6642	Sanford Scott Price Jr.	\$130.75
#6641	Jack A. Gunnells	\$109.39
#6630	Clint Austin Boney	\$139.52
#6620	John Tyler Fussell	\$148.64
#6618	Sheryl Ann Jackson	\$154.25
#6600	Kevin James Edwards	\$116.61
#6602	Prestage Farms Inc.	\$146.93

h. Approved budget amendments as submitted:

<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>		<b><u>Cooperative Extension 4-H Programs Dept.</u></b>	
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	
04449500	526230	Department Supplies United Way	7,028.00
<b><u>REVENUE</u></b>			
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	
04034950	403602	Grant United Way	7,028.00

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		<u>Finance/ Juvenile Justice Part. Grant</u>	
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	
05558310	581000	Transfer to state agency	4,396.00

<u>REVENUE</u>			
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	
05435831	409900	Fund balance approp administration	1,137.00
05435831	409902	Fund balance approp restitution	3,259.00

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		<u>Sheriff's Department</u>	
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	
11243100	539500	Employees training	5,000.00
11243100	526200	Departmental Supplies	3,900.00

<u>REVENUE</u>			
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	
11034310	402603	Federal Asset Funds (NARC)	8,900.00

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		<u>Sheriff's Department</u>	
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	
11243100	521300	Uniforms	14,013.00

<u>REVENUE</u>			
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	
11034310	402602	US DOJ – BPV Grant	14,013.00

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		<u>Sheriff's Department</u>	
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	
11243100	526279	Departmental Supplies – Narcotics Squad	3,000.00

<u>REVENUE</u>			
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	
11034310	403631	State Substance Abuse Tax (NARC)	3,000.00

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		<u>Aging</u>	
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	
02558810	526200	Family Caregiver – Dept. Supplies	4,342.00

<u>REVENUE</u>			
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	
02035881	408401	Family Caregiver Program	4,342.00

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		<u>Aging</u>	
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	
02558670	524100	Home Repairs – Materials	25.00

<u>REVENUE</u>			
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	
02035867	408401	Home Repairs – Donations	25.00

<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>		<u>Aging</u>		
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
02558660	526200	PC II – Departmental Supplies	125.00	

**REVENUE**

<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
02035866	408401	PC II – Donations	125.00	

<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>		<u>Aging</u>		
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
02558670	526200	Home Repairs – Dept Supplies	500.00	

**REVENUE**

<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
02035867	408401	Home Repairs - Donations	500.00	

<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>		<u>Law Enforcement Special Separation Allow</u>		
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
75243100	512600	Part-time salaries	9,752.00	
75243100	518100	FICA	605.00	
75243100	518120	Medicare FICA	142.00	
75243100	529900	Miscellaneous		8484.00

**REVENUE**

<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
75034310	529900	Miscellaneous	2,014.00	

<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>		<u>Health/Immunizations</u>		
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
12551600	523900	Medical Supplies	55,000.00	

**REVENUE**

<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
12535160	404000	State Assistance	55,000.00	

<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>		<u>Health/OBCM</u>		
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
12551650	525100	Gas, Oil, Tire	500.00	
12551650	526200	Department Supplies	934.00	
12551650	526201	Department Supplies Equipment	1,000.00	
12551650	531100	Travel	1,000.00	
12551650	532100	Telephone/Postage	300.00	
12551650	533000	Utilities	300.00	
12551650	533000	Maint/Repair Equipment	500.00	
12551650	535300	Maint/Repair Vehicles	200.00	
12551650	537000	Advertising	200.00	
12551650	543000	Rental Equipment	500.00	

<b><u>REVENUE</u></b>				
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
12535165	404083	TXIX Medicaid	5,434.00	
<b><u>EXPENDITURE</u></b>				
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
12551680	525100	Gas, Oil, Tire	500.00	
12551680	526200	Department Supplies	730.00	
12551680	526201	Department Supplies Equipment	1,000.00	
12551680	531100	Travel	1,000.00	
12551680	532100	Telephone/Postage	300.00	
12551680	533000	Utilities	300.00	
12551680	533000	Maint/Repair Equipment	500.00	
12551680	535300	Maint/Repair Vehicles	800.00	
12551680	537000	Advertising	300.00	
12551680	543000	Rental Equipment	800.00	
<b><u>REVENUE</u></b>				
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
12535168	404083	TXIX Medicaid	6,230.00	

### **County Manager Reports**

County Manager Ed Causey brought to the attention of the Board the proposed Travel Policy and Credit Card Policy. He stated that the Board will discuss the proposals and potentially act upon them at the February 2, 2015 regularly scheduled meeting.

### **Public Comments**

There were no public comments offered.

### **Recess to Reconvene**

Upon a motion made by Chairman Lockamy and seconded by Commissioner Wooten, the Board voted unanimously to recess to reconvene at 1:00 p.m. on January 13, 2015.