

Mr. Holliday: We have legal representation here.

Mr. Chapman: This is not a debatable motion according to Robert Rules of Order. The vote needed is that the chair decides.

Chairman Prenzler: The motion on the floor is not a debatable motion, this is a motion to take the issue off the table.

Mr. Dodd: Chairman, Mr. Minner is not talking about the motion of the table, Mr. Minner is talking about this being a legal or illegal meeting.

Mr. Minner: John do we have a legal meeting.

Mr. McGuire: It is the recommendation of the States Attorney that the reorganizational meeting be redone because of the legal issues surrounding.

Mr. Minner: That is not tonight though. There was not a legal meeting called for tonight.

Mr. Madison: Is that a matter of opinion or a matter of fact?

Mr. McGuire: That is the recommendation of the States Attorney's Office and the opinions bureau of the Illinois Attorney General. Because of the issues of Monday's night meeting that a special meeting be properly sought by 10 members of the board.

Mr. Asadorian: So it would require 10, do we have 10 hold overs?

Mr. McGuire: I think there are only 9 hold overs. It is the hold overs that would sign it. But if there are actually only 9 board members, a third would only be 3 members. We certainly recommend as many to sign it. If somebody that is not a hold over member wants to sign it, symbolically I don't see a problem with that.

Mr. Asadorian: I believe there would be a request from the board that we dispense of any business tonight and we call for a special meeting next Monday and basically do a redo and start from scratch on Monday night.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. Asadorian and Mr. McGuire. I considered this and the ruling of the chair is that the county board is duly constituted and that the meetings conducted Monday and tonight are valid. So let me move on to the items on the agenda.

Mr. Asadorian: Can I ask where you got your legal opinion from?

Mr. Chairman: The meeting has been duly called and the meeting held Monday night was duly constituted. We are moving forward. The delay of two critical appointments were tabled on December 5th are hurting the ongoing operations of the county. The County Administrator has resigned and the office is vacant. I will entertain a motion to take the appointment of county administrator from the table, to divide the question and to specify the position of administrator only to resume discussion.

Mr. Walters: We have a motion and a second, you can now call roll.

Mr. Petrillo: So you are not going to take any questions on the motion?

Mr. Chairman: There will be an opportunity for you to discuss later.

Mr. Petrillo: After we already take action?

Mr. Chairman: After it is taken off the table. The motion to take off the table is not debatable, that is ruling I make.

Mr. Madison: A motion to remove from the table is not debatable on the floor.

Ms. Gorman: Can you clarify what I am voting for?

Mr. Prenzler: This is on the agenda, that was duly sent out and this is item 1 on the agenda, to resume consideration of two appointments. We are first taking the appointment of the county administrator from the table, where it was and that is the motion.

Mr. Parkinson: And they still have not been properly vetted, correct?

Mr. Prenzler: That is out of order, Mr. Parkinson.

Ms. Novacich: We talked about at the last meeting, having the background checks and everything for the December 21st meeting and that was voted on.

Mr. Prenzler: There will be an opportunity to discuss this after we have taken the issue off the table. We first need to take it off the table. Have we done a roll call?

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to take off the table resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Chapman, Ciampoli, Goggin, Harriss, Hawkins, Jones, Kuhn, Madison, Maxwell, McRae, Michael, Moore, Slusser, and Walters.

NAYS: Asadorian, Dalton, Dodd, Glasper, Gorman, Holliday, Malone, Minner, Novacich, Parkinson, Petrillo, Pollard and Trucano.

AYES: 14. NAYS: 13. Whereupon the Chairman declared the resolution off the table and open for discussion at this time.

* * * * *

The following resolution was submitted and read:

A RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE APPOINTMENT OF DOUGLAS HULME AS COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

WHEREAS, in accordance with the adopted Personnel Policies for County Board Appointed Officials and Department Heads, the following is recommended;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County, Illinois that the Board employs Douglas Hulme as County Administrator.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Douglas Hulme shall receive a salary of One hundred and twenty thousand dollars (\$120,000.00) per annum to be paid in twenty –six (26) equal installments on the regularly scheduled county paydays and that said Department Head shall receive the benefits indicated in the adopted Personnel Policies for County Board Appointed Officials and Department Head.

BE IT FURTHER REOLVED that the definition and duties for the position of County Administrator are as outlined in the position description on file in the County Board Office.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the above named Department Head shall indicate his/her acceptance of this appointment with all of the above stated conditions, by signing this resolution prior to its becoming effective.

Adopted this 8th day of December, 2016.

s/ Kurt Prenzler
County Board Chairman

s/ Doug Hulme
Department Head Acceptance

Mr. Walters moved, seconded by Mr. Slusser, to adopt the resolution appointing Doug Hulme as County Administrator.

On the question:

Mr. Minner: At the present time, there is no job available. The only person that can remove Mr. Parente from his job is the county board. We have not voted on this. He was appointed by the board and he has to be removed by the county board.

Mr. Chapman: That individual submitted his resignation through appropriate procedures.

Mr. Minner: That is a moot point. He was told to clear out his desk and that he was fired.

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Parente called Mr. Hulme and I into the office and suggested we do this. John Gilbert prepared an agreement for him and he signed it willingly and freely and I accepted it. We have a valid resignation. So the seat of county administrator is vacant and that is my ruling.

Mr. Asadorian: What are the qualifications set forth by the county board for this position?

Mr. Prenzler: The job description was distributed to the county board.

Mr. Asadorian: When? I did not receive one. It is a simple question. What are the qualifications of that position and does Mr. Hulme fit those qualifications?

Mr. Prenzler: I think Mr. Hulme has done an outstanding job as Deputy Treasurer and investing a county portfolio of 150 million dollars and also been in charge of a collection business in over excess of 400 million dollars. That is quite a large job and in my opinion Mr. Hulme is very qualified for this position.

Mr. Asadorian: But again, does he fill the requirements for the job? Does the job require a college degree, does it require experience in a related field?

Mr. Petrillo: On our minimum qualifications, we have stated there is a master's degree in public administration. Does Mr. Hulme have a degree in public administration?

Mr. Prenzler: As far as I know he does not. I would consider a degree in private enterprise is.

Mr. Petrillo: I know what you would consider, but you are not running the county, 29 of us are in unison with you hopefully we are going to work in coordination.

Mr. Chapman: The minimum qualifications read and I quote from the documents provided to me from human resource office. "A master's degree in public administration or a relevant field and 7 years related experience are required.

Mr. Petrillo: And that is where I was going secondly sir. Does he have 7 years' experience that has anything to do with government and government relationship? Because a MBA is somewhat different than a public administration. As a matter a fact, some of the research I have done, an MBA is considered more appropriate for a non for profit businesses and considered one of the least qualified to serve in a city or a county capacity.

Mr. Parkinson: I want to know if any of this business was discussed in your office with 7 or more board members.

Mr. Madison: There were not 7 board members in that office.

Mr. Prenzler: No I don't believe there were.

Mr. Parkinson: There were not 7 board members in your office and yourself before this meeting discussing this?

Mr. Prenzler: I don't believe so.

Mr. Parkinson: You don't believe so or you don't know?

Ms. Novacich: Was that the county board chairman office or the treasurer's office, Mr. Parkinson?

Mr. Parkinson: I believe it was across the hall on the first floor.

Mr. Madison: I counted there were six when I walked in the room, one walked out then there were five and Mr. Prenzler who was reading something else was not involved in the discussion whatsoever, then he got up and left.

Mr. Parkinson: So he was in the room?

Mr. Madison: But that does not constitute a quorum. We were within the open meetings act.

Mr. Parkinson: So there were six people in the room and Mr. Prenzler was present during the meeting?

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Slusser would you like to speak?

Mr. Parkinson: My question has not been answered.

Mr. Prenzler: In my opinion, no. But Mr. Slusser may have additional information on that.

Mr. Slusser: There were no more than five board members, and Mr. Prenzler, we made sure of that.

Mr. Dodd: My question is to Mr. McGuire, I am going to ask one more time. Where these meetings legal or illegal? And I want your straightforward opinion.

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Dodd, Mr. McGuire is not a member of this body, and he gave his opinion.

Mr. Dodd: I understand you want to go on about your business, I have no problem on what you want to do, my question is, is this illegal or not?

Mr. Prenzler: I was at the last meeting and I am confident, 100% that meeting was absolutely legal.

Mr. Dodd: I am not going to debate it with you, why are these papers on our desk?

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Dodd, were you at the last meeting? Did you object to the meeting?

Mr. Dodd: How could I object? I tried going into executive session and I couldn't get a second. I am not here to hurt you, I am trying to help you. If this meeting is illegal, then it is illegal.

Mr. Prenzler: This meeting is not illegal. That is my ruling.

Mr. Dodd: That is not your point to rule.

Mr. Prenzler: Yes it is., Mr. Dodd are you finished?

Mr. Dodd: No, there is a lot I would like to say, but no one is willing to listen.

Mr. Parkinson: Chairman, can you tell me who called the meeting tonight?

Mr. Prenzler: I called this meeting.

Mr. Parkinson: Are you allowed to do that, or does the board have to do that?

Mr. Prenzler: I am allowed to call a special meeting because we have a critical situation in this county. Because the appointments were tabled, we do not have a county administrator or an IT director. Both of these positions are essential for the running of Madison County. Moreover, this county has not had a full time management after November 8th when the election was. We now are going really over a month of not really having a full time county administrator. And this is not how a county should run.

Mr. Parkinson: I would completely agree that the county has not been running properly since the election. But what I am asking you is, where does it give you the authority to call a meeting? Isn't there 10 members of this board that have to call a meeting, which would make this meeting illegal?

Mr. Prenzler: As elected chairman, I am able to call this meeting.

Mr. Slusser: In the last meeting I thought it wasn't a bad idea to tap the brakes a little. As far as a county administrator I think it is a good idea we get a county administrator in place. The tax payers are expecting us to get to work and get the county business done. Mr. Hulme is your pick, and I don't see any problem with his resume. I think we need to move this forward and put it to a vote.

Mr. Holliday: I thought tonight was about committee meetings?

Mr. Prenzler: That is true, that is third on the agenda.

Ms. Glasper: One thing, Mr. Chairman, for my information, when was Mr. Parente resignation dated and accepted by you.

Mr. Prenzler: I accepted Mr. Parente's resignation. He signed a resignation agreement prepared by attorney John Gilbert and this was at the request of Mr. Parente. And I will add that it was at Mr. Parente's request, the policy in the county as I understand it, in a change of administration who is terminated, will receive 4 months of salary and 1 month of health insurance. The agreement worked out with Mr. Gilbert, was 4 months of pay and 4 months of health insurance and additional IMRF credits. That was to Mr. Parente's benefit, he signed it and gave it to me, I accepted it and per the recommendation of Mr. Gilbert, when I was sworn in on Monday, December 5th, I accepted it again as elected county board chairman. Does that answer your question?

Ms. Glasper: So effective December 5th?

Mr. Prenzler: I accepted it on December 2nd and I resigned it at the recommendation of the county's attorney, John Gilbert on the morning of December 5th. Mr. Parkinson, we have a rule in one discussion that you have one opportunity to speak.

Ms. Gorman: Between the times he made the agreement with you and you signing it on Monday, did he request for it to be rescinded?

Mr. Prenzler: It is my understanding that he came and wanted to put on the agenda that it was to be terminated, but how can you terminate a situation when you have already resigned. So this is what we considered.

Ms. Gorman: So he did ask to have it rescinded?

Mr. Prenzler: Not to my recollection. My understanding is that he wanted to put on the agenda for December 5th, to be terminated, but that made no sense when he already resigned and that resignation had already been accepted. As I understand it, a resignation that has been offered and accepted cannot be pulled back.

Ms. Gorman: Was there an issued challenging their benefits if they didn't sign the agreement?

Mr. Prenzler: I don't understand the question.

Ms. Gorman: Were any of the members that were terminated, were they told that their benefits would be challenged.

Mr. Prenzler: First off we have had no terminations, we have voluntary resignations and I don't understand about the benefits. Their benefits were actually increased and that was worked out with Mr. Gilbert and I was fine with that. Like I said before, in a termination situation, it is my understanding that the county provides 4 months of salary and 1 month of health insurance. Mr. Gilbert on his own and with these gentlemen who resigned, worked out a separation agreement a resignation agreement, provided for an enhanced health insurance benefit of 4 months of health insurance than just 1. I thought that was humane and I agreed to that.

Ms. Gorman: In policy they get 30 days' notice and then 3 months. That is policy correct?

Mr. Prenzler: The whole reason we did this, was because Mr. Parente initiated the idea and asked we would consider it. I said let's go and talk to Mr. Gilbert, Mr. Gilbert drafted the resignation agreement, Mr. Parente signed it and gave it to me and I accepted it. There was his resignation.

Mr. Hawkins: I went in to talk to Mr. Parente and I have known him since he was a kid, very fine gentleman. At this time he told me he had planned on resigning, he was going to get some things organized in his life and find other things for his life. He told me well ahead that he planned on resigning. I am sure he will be missed.

Mr. Prenzler: That is how I understood it, that Mr. Parente thought it was a more civilized way to end in terms of a resignation agreement rather by force of the ordinance. Anyone else like to speak? Mr. Asadorian you have already spoke on this issue. Anyone else?

Mr. Wesley: Mr. Chairman, I apologize on being late to this meeting, but I am here now and I am interested in doing the county business and I would like to ask if we have resolved the issue of the resignation?

Mr. Prenzler: I think we have had enough discussion about this. I am calling for a roll call on the motion to appoint Doug Hulme as county administrator at \$120,000.00 per year.

Mr. Asadorian: I will vote when somebody explains to me at what point during my 9 years on the board that you are only allowed to speak one time on an issue. That is when I will vote.

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Chapman, would you like to speak?

Mr. Chapman: No, you are rendering the position of the chair.

Mr. Prenzler: That is my understanding of the rules.

Ms. Ciampoli: I would just like to take the vote.

Mr. Prenzler: I have called a roll call, Mr. Asadorian are you refusing to vote?

Mr. Asadorian: Yes, I am refusing to vote.

Ms. Mendoza: Then you need to abstain.

Mr. Asadorian: If I abstain, then it goes to a majority, correct or if I don't vote then I don't vote. I want to pass my vote on this.

Ms. Mendoza: If you don't vote I cannot move forward on the machine. But I can call a voice vote.

Mr. Slusser: I am ok with Mr. Asadorian speaking.

Mr. Prenzler: Go ahead, Mr. Asadorian.

Mr. Asadorian: Thank you Mr. Slusser. You brought up a good point earlier, tonight's meeting that was voted on at the inappropriate meeting that we had, was to go over committee appointments, period. That is because everyone was surprised we were going to have a meeting tonight, if you remember that Mr. Prenzler. Why are we bringing other business with no notification, we went through this on Monday. When we get an agenda that agenda should be set. Especially if this is a special meeting, which this is what this is tonight. Nobody received an agenda for tonight's meeting. I received nothing at home.

Mr. Prenzler: That is news to me. Debbie Mendoza was this meeting legally noticed?

Ms. Mendoza: I mailed and emailed agendas and posted to the website.

Mr. Chapman: I did receive this in the mail on time, and we have already voted to proceed with this.

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Asadorian, this meeting has been properly noticed by the County Clerk's office and thank you for your comments, but we are in the middle of a roll call vote.

Mr. McRae: I think to help clarify Mr. Asadorian's question is he is asking why were these additional people added tonight, because we did come with the understanding that it was going to be the committee's. I think in the last couple of days or at some point, you have a sense of urgency that we need a county administrator and I would tend to agree with that and also an IT administrator.

Mr. Chapman: We have concluded this discussion and have moved into a vote.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Chapman, Ciampoli, Goggin, Harriss, Hawkins, Jones, Kuhn, Madison, Maxwell, McRae, Michael, Moore, Slusser, Walters and Wesley.

NAYS: Asadorian, Dalton, Dodd, Glasper, Gorman, Holliday, Malone, Minner, Novacich, Parkinson, Petrillo, Pollard and Trucano.

AYES: 15. NAYS: 13. Whereupon the Chairman declared the foregoing resolution duly adopted.

* * * * *

Mr. Prenzler: The delay of two critical appointments were tabled on December 5th are hurting the ongoing operations of the county. The Director of Information Technology has resigned and the office is vacant.

Mr. Walters moved, seconded by Mr. Madison, to take the appointment of IT director off the table to resume discussion.

Mr. Chapman: We cannot discuss this and we are moving directly to a vote, correct?

Mr. Prenzler: That is correct Mr. Chapman.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Chapman, Ciampoli, Dodd, Goggin, Harriss, Hawkins, Jones, Kuhn, Madison, Maxwell, McRae, Michael, Moore, Slusser, Walters and Wesley.

NAYS: Asadorian, Dalton, Glasper, Gorman, Holliday, Malone, Minner, Novacich, Parkinson, Petrillo, Pollard and Trucano.

AYES: 16. NAYS: 12. Whereupon the Chairman declared the resolution off the table and open for discussion at this time.

* * * * *

The following resolution was submitted and read:

A RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE APPOINTMENT OF ROB DORMAN AS DIRECTOR OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

WHEREAS, in accordance with the adopted Personnel Policies for County Board Appointed Officials and Department Heads, the following is recommended;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County, Illinois that the Board employs Rob Dorman as Director of Information Technology.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Rob Dorman shall receive a salary of One hundred and five thousand dollars (\$105,000.00) per annum to be paid in twenty –six (26) equal installments on the regularly scheduled county paydays and that said Department Head shall receive the benefits indicated in the adopted Personnel Policies for County Board Appointed Officials and Department Head.

BE IT FURTHER REOLVED that the definition and duties for the position of Director of Information Technology are as outlined in the position description on file in the County Board Office.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the above named Department Head shall indicate his/her acceptance of this appointment with all of the above stated conditions, by signing this resolution prior to its becoming effective.

Adopted this 8th day of December, 2016.

s/ Kurt Prenzler
County Board Chairman

s/ Rob Dorman
Department Head Acceptance

Mr. Walters moved, seconded by, Ms. Ciampoli, to adopt the foregoing resolution.

On the question:

Mr. Asadorian: I request that we go in to closed session.

Mr. Prenzler: On what basis Mr. Asadorian?

Mr. Asadorian: To discuss this appointment. To go into closed session to discuss personnel purposes.

Mr. Asadorian moved, seconded by Ms. Gorman, to move into closed session to discuss the appointment.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian Dalton, Dodd, Glasper, Gorman, Holliday, Kuhn, Maxwell, Malone, Minner, Novacich, Parkinson, Petrillo, Pollard and Trucano.

NAYS: Chapman, Ciampoli, Goggin, Harriss, Hawkins, Jones, Madison, McRae, Michael, Moore, Slusser, Walters and Wesley.

AYES: 15. **NAYS:** 13. Whereupon the Chairman declared we move into closed session.

* * * * *

Mr. Walters moved, seconded by Mr. Wesley, to adopt the foregoing resolution.

On the question:

Mr. Parkinson: I would like to make an amendment to that motion that it be contingent upon a proper completion and passing of a background investigation for all political appointees.

Mr. Chapman: That is not possible in this case because we are only looking at on specific person and he is saying all appointees. You cannot do that in this meeting because this is a special meeting.

Mr. Parkinson: I will amend the motion again to make it specific for Mr. Dorman's appointment for IT director. He can be appointed to that position but not take office until such time that he has completely and successfully passed a background investigation.

Mr. Asadorian: I would seconded that.

Mr. Walters: I have somewhat of a problem amending it. I think we discussed what Sheriff Lakin is going to do. I have the utmost faith in Sheriff Lakin in what he is doing. I guess if you want to Sheriff Lakin, then I will withdraw it.

Mr. McRae: My understanding is that this particular position is subject to a background investigation before that person assumes the responsibilities of the job, is that not correct?

Mr. Prenzler: That is my understanding. We don't have to say it will take place, it will already take place. I would be open to Sheriff Lakin speaking on this subject. Sheriff, we have a motion to appoint an individual and we have a motion to amend it. Mr. Parkinson is asking that it be required that the individual pass the NCIC test.

Mr. Parkinson: A thorough background investigation,

Mr. Prenzler: Is that the same a NCIC Mr. Parkinson, a thorough background investigation?

Mr. Parkinson: I think a NCIC would definitely disclose that. I don't know the legalities as to whether the Sheriff can do that or not.

Mr. Prenzler: The policy now calls for a NCIC evaluation which would take place after the appointment is made and before the person takes the job. Sheriff Lakin you probably know more about this than anyone, would you like to give us some clarification on this?

Sheriff Lakin: I think by law there is only so much we can do when it pertains to employment. For our own employees we can run a NCIC just as a county employee.

Mr. Slusser: What about this specific one, just for this specific position that would have access to all your information?

Sheriff Lakin: I would have to refer to legal counsel.

Mr. Prenzler: But we all know it is required that before this person would begin their job they have to pass the NCIC evaluation. So we have a motion to amend. Would you repeat that?

Mr. Parkinson: My words are this that he is not to take office up until such time that he has successfully completed and passed a thorough background investigation.

Mr. Prenzler: If I can mention that is already required in the policy, but I think we can go ahead and vote on the amendment. So Mr. Parkinson is wanting to add that requirement before the person takes office.

Ms. Kuhn: So we are amending Mike's motion?

Mr. Prenzler: Yes, Mr. Walters made a motion to appoint the individual and Mr. Parkinson made a motion to amend that it is contingent on passing the NCIC review.

Mr. Parkinson: And a background investigation.

Mr. Prenzler: I really don't know what a background investigation is. I think the NCIC is the term.

Mr. Chapman: The background investigation would most necessarily include NCIC but it might also include agents or detectives utilize by the chief law enforcement officer of the county to look into this person's background. It is more than just a simple check.

Mr. Prenzler: I think if I could say something for the county, maybe it is appropriate and already required NCIC background check. Mr. Parkinson, are you thinking there would be something beyond what is already required.

Major Conner: It is my understanding under this situation, if it is a law enforcement officer we handle things differently. But a situation like this, through Chris Sillery's office upon request ask for a NCIC review in addition to.

Ms. Schoeberle: It can be requested but there has to be a signed application by the applicant before any test can be given. She can do a background check through the State of Illinois Police. There may be additional requirements from the department depending on the position and that can include a credit check to be ran.

Mr. Prenzler: If I can clarify, there are various background requirements and sometimes they are more stringent in certain situations and positions.

Ms. Schoeberle: I do not know the specifics for the IT department but I know there are policies and procedures.

Mr. Parkinson: This is exactly what I am asking for and in the interest of public integrity which we have all campaigned on, we have to do this 100% in this case. There is no question about it, the public deserves that.

Mr. Prenzler: I am willing to go forward with a vote. I think it might be redundant based on our policies, but I am willing to go forward on the vote.

Major Conner: To clarify, there is a test called the CJ, that is a criminal justice and it comes from our department after they are hired and that department requests it. That will give them the background to view the record. This is post-employment.

Mr. Walters: So what you are saying is he has to take the position before we can do this. We cannot tell him that we appointed him and he can't take the job until you pass, he has to take the job then you can test him?

Major Conner: It isn't about the job, it is about being allowed to look at certain records and have to have that secondary clearance. The first clearance is NCIC background check and that comes from personnel. That will check for criminal records.

Mr. Prenzler: Is that an additional background check in IT?

Major Conner: That is an additional background check to allow the person to have more ability to view records.

Mr. Prenzler: So people in IT would have that ability to view records. And that is post-employment an additional background check.

Mr. Walters: If we do appoint him, does he take the position and then you do the background checks or do we appoint him and he has to wait until we do the background checks before he can take the position?

Major Conner: It sounds like you are talking about two different things. There is a background for employment that is being discussed here and that is through personnel not through the Sheriff's office. As part of IT department, if they want clearance then they would come to us and then we would run the further clearance.

Mr. Walters: My question is if we appoint him to the position is he not allowed to take the position until the background check.

Ms. Schoeberle: That is correct.

Mr. Walters: So basically what we are asking is redundant and we are already doing this. So why are we doing redundancy, isn't that the biggest problem with government?

Mr. Parkinson: As long as it is noted on the floor in front of everyone else.

Mr. Prenzler: I think everyone agrees with you. The motion to amend has been withdrawn.

Ms. Hawkins: I have been involved with the county since 1964 and I have seen many chairman come and go. It started out with the republican chairman in the 1960's and I have seen their appointments and how the county board responds to that. There was a democrat who served many years, another democrat who served many years after that. From 1964 to date their appointments were always checked out, the people they appointed were reputable people, if something would happen while in their position then of course they would resign. But we have had many good appointments and I would like to think this is what is going to happen this time. I respect everyone, the times are different.

Mr. Prenzler: Is there a question you have?

Ms. Hawkins: No I am just commenting.

Ms. Kuhn: I am voting for this appointment but I want to let you know, Terry Bell the Chief of Police in Highland did call and there was nothing negative about the person, he just wanted to stress that how

important this appointment was. I would like to see the politics on both side thrown out and we move forward.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Chapman, Ciampoli, Dodd, Glasper, Goggin, Harriss, Jones, Hawkins, Kuhn, Madison, Malone, Maxwell, McRae, Michael, Moore, Ms. Novacich, Parkinson, Petrillo, Pollard, Slusser, Trucano, Walters and Wesley.

NAYS: Dalton, Gorman, Holliday, Minner.

AYES: 24. NAYS: 4. Whereupon the Chairman declared the foregoing resolution duly adopted.

* * * * *

The following was submitted to the committee:

COUNTY BOARD COMMITTEES 2016-2018

Buildings & Facilities Management

Mick Madison , Chairman
Ray Wesley
Mike Walters
Jim Dodd
Bruce Malone
Robert Pollard
Tom McRae
Art Asadorian

Health Department

Michael Holliday, Sr., Chairman
Robert Pollard
Chris Slusser
Helen Hawkins
Jack Minner
Mike Parkinson
Lisa Ciampoli

Public Safety

Gussie Glasper, Chair
Mike Parkinson
Chris Slusser
Ray Wesley
Art Asadorian
Judy Kuhn
Lisa Ciampoli

County Institutions

Erica Harriss, Chairman
Bruce Malone
James Futrell
Jack Minner
Gussie Glasper
Jamie Goggin
Don Moore
Liz Dalton

Information Systems

Jamie Goggin, Chairman
Bruce Malone.
James Futrell
Chris Slusser
Ann Gorman
Jack Minner
Brad Maxwell
Lisa Ciampoli

Real Estate Tax Cycle

Philip Chapman Chair
Don Moore
David Michael
Jim Dodd
James Futrell
Ann Gorman
Mike Parkinson
Kristen Novacich
Erica Harriss

Finance & Government Operations

Lisa Ciampoli, Chairman
Don Moore
Philip Chapman
David Michael
Robert Pollard
Tom McRae
Gussie Glasper
Larry Trucano

Judiciary

Mike Walters, Chairman
Chris Slusser
Mike Parkinson
Gussie Glasper
Clint Jones
Jamie Goggin
Liz Dalton

Sewer Facilities

Helen Hawkins Chairman
James Futrell
Kristen Novacich
Art Asadorian
Nick Petrillo
Jamie Goggin
Clint Jones

Grants

Clint Jones, Chairman
Ann Gorman
Chris Slusser
Gussie Glasper
Helen Hawkins
Erica Harriss
Judy Kuhn

Personnel & Labor Relations

Ray Wesley, Chairman
Mick Madison
Jim Dodd
Brad Maxwell
James Futrell
Kristen Novacich
Larry Trucano

Transportation

Tom McRae, Chairman
Judy Kuhn
Philip Chapman
David Michael
Clint Jones
Mike Walters
Liz Dalton
Larry Trucano

Government Relations

Don Moore, Chairman
Mick Madison
Nick Petrillo
Judy Kuhn
Erica Harriss
Michael Holliday, Sr.
Jim Dodd

Planning & Development

Brad Maxwell, Chairman
Philip Chapman
Mick Madison
Ray Wesley
Kristen Novacich
Robert Pollard
Nick Petrillo
Larry Trucano

Executive Committee

Kurt Prenzler, Chairman
Don Moore
Mick Madison
Ray Wesley
Mike Walters
Jamie Goggin
Michael Holliday, Sr.
Brad Maxwell
Tom McRae
Helen Hawkins
Lisa Ciampoli
Erica Harriss
Clint Jones
Gussie Glasper

Parks and Recreation

, Chairman

ETSB Board

Chairman Pro-Tem

Mr. Asadorian: I did not receive one Mr. Chairman. Are they down in the mailboxes?

Mr. Prenzler: They were sent out by email.

Mr. Madison moved, seconded by Mr. McRae, to approve the committee assignments.

On the question:

Ms. Novacich: How were certain committees chosen? The reason I ask that is, I am part of the sewer committee, who is for the Special Service Area and I pay into that. I am a recipient of their services and historically the people on the committee have also been recipients of the services and also to have been able to represent the constituents that receive the services. Special Service Area is a self-funded entity and I am curious why we have representation from East Alton, Edwardsville, Maryville and I am sorry but Mr. Petrillo from Granite City who is not part of the Special Service Area, he is part of a different sewer district in Granite City. Before we had Ms. Roosevelt, I would think Ms. Harriss would serve on that committee to represent Glen Carbon and Mr. Parkinson as well.

Mr. Prenzler: That is an excellent comment and I will take that. There is some flexibility on changing committee assignments. I will look at that.

Mr. Petrillo: I respectfully request that I be taken off the sewer committee and would like to be placed back on county institutions committee if I may.

Mr. Prenzler: I will look into that. I don't know if trading is appropriate.

Ms. Dalton: I was sent an agenda for the grants committee but then I look and see I am not on that committee, which is it and I need to know.

Mr. Prenzler: I need to look at that.

Mr. Malone: Will we get an updated calendar if there are changes in times?

Mr. Prenzler: yes.

Mr. Madison moved, seconded by Mr. McRae, to approve the committee assignments. **MOTION CARRIED.**

* * * * *

Mr. Walters: I respect a lot of people on the board, but I have a problem. Between March 2013 to January 2016 we have had nine appointment that were not on the agenda, nobody asked what their qualifications were, nobody asked about vetting these people and nobody asked what their salaries were going to be. What has changed between then and now that all of a sudden people have grown a conscious or do they want to play politics?

Ms. Gorman: If I look at the appointments, there is no compensation tied to many of these or there is a small stipend of an hourly wage, they are not \$120,000.00 jobs.

Mr. Walters: And these members were not listed, we did not know what their salaries were and now we are going to start asking what their salaries are? That is fine.

Mr. Parkinson: I can answer that. One I was elected and two I think it is for the best interest of the public that we start doing that. A transparent government is what the public asked for this election.

Mr. Walters: I agree with that but the people here prior all of a sudden we have these major concerns. You are the new administration, I am sure everyone here knows this happens all the time. We have chair persons that take over, mayors, governors, we have presidents, when they take over they appoint people to the position that they trust and people they feel that can do the job and usually people will go along with it and vote for it. I worked with the prior chairman on all of the appointments. I went in and asked him some questions and concerns, I did not come out and blast him, because I respect the office of the chairmanship. I respect the man or woman who is in it. I always say treat your predicator with kindness. Mr. Prenzler has every right to appoint new people to this agenda and everything that has happened since you have been sworn in, in my mind is pure politics. I would rather we do the business of the people, get back to working together and do the job. We do not need to play games. That is not what we are elected for. I said it before. When I grow up I want to be like Mr. Trucano. He has done a fine job.

Mr. Malone: We need to adjourn

Mr. Parkinson: My area elected me to do a job and if you don't like that I am sorry. To question the people that are put into power by one person is not a problem here. That is the way it should be done. People that are going to be put in should be vetted and they should have a background investigation done. Sorry if you don't like that.

Mr. Chapman moved, seconded by Mr. Holliday, to adjourn the meeting. **MOTION CARRIED.**