

INDEX
Wednesday, October 17, 2018

Speakers:

Robert Nichols 1
Gary Gaines 2
Paul Marks 2
Tammy Iskarous..... 3

Correspondence:

Letter from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency..... 4
Letter from the Illinois Department of Transportation 6
Public Notice of Hazardous Waste Permit Renewal..... 6

Monthly Reports:

County Clerk..... 7
Circuit Clerk..... 8
Recorder of Deeds..... 11
Sheriff 12
Treasurer 13

APPOINTMENTS:

Chris Herzog to St. Louis Regional Airport Authority 19

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

Resolution Opposing Illinois Vehicle Mileage Tax..... 19

FINANCE AND GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS COMMITTEE:

Summary Report Of Claims And Transfers..... 28
Immediate Emergency Appropriation-2019 Juvenile Redeploy Illinois Grant 28
Immediate Emergency Appropriation-2019 CAC Illinois DCFS Grant..... 29
Immediate Emergency Appropriation-Regional Office of Education 30

GRANTS COMMITTEE:

A Resolution Authorizing Administration of Hud Grants 31

HEALTH DEPARTMENT COMMITTEE:

Activities Report 32

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE:

Resolution to Purchase Two (2) Nexus Switches for the Madison..... 33
Resolution to Award a Laptop and Computer Purchasing Agreement for Madison County
Information Technology 34
Resolution to Purchase Maintenance Renewal on Microsoft Software Licensing for the
Madison County Information Technology Department..... 35

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE:

Zoning Resolutions 37

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE:

Resolution Authorizing an Agreement with American Environmental for Updating
Madison County’s Multi-Jurisdictional All Hazards Mitigation Plan 50

REAL ESTATE TAX CYCLE COMMITTEE

Property Trustee Resolution 51

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE:

Report of Bids/Award Contract Chamberlain Bridge on Pin Oak Road Pin Oak Road District
Madison County, Illinois 52
Report of Bids/Award Contract 2018 Various Roadway Patching Madison County, Illinois..... 53
Agreement/Funding Resolution New Poag Road Over Abandoned NW Railroad County of
Madison, Madison County, Illinois..... 54
Roadway Bridge Crossing License Agreement Resolution New Poag Road Over Abandoned NW
Railroad County Of Madison, Madison County, Illinois 56

I am here to ask people if they would like to have the kind of junkyard we have in our neighborhood. We are trying to keep our neighborhood clean. I have lived there since 1960 and it has gone downhill. I would like for the laws to be obeyed and not allow our neighborhood to go down any farther. Thank you.

* * * * *

Gary Gaines' Address to the Board

I sent an email to all county board members that describes diagrams and sent photographs regarding the problem on our street that has been from 2008 to approximately the present. Also with some property diagrams straight off the county website. I moved into my home in 1978, Bob Nichols moved in 1977, his parents built a home on that block before interstate 270 was constructed. It is a cul de sac neighborhood with houses down one side of the street and woods on the other side of the street except for the two junkyards that now exist on Chain of Rocks Road. For those that are familiar, this is South Thorngate, it is where Sun and Fun parking lot used to be. I was in here 10 years ago speaking before the board over the same topic and same variance. Some of my neighbors made the same argument that we were here before Mr. Dunn asked for this variance. He asked if it could be recited rather than 20 feet he wanted 4 feet. We never want to deny him the use of the property, he is a small business man, and we want him to have use of his property, we just ask that he do it properly. We want him to acknowledge the use of the residents that have lived here long before he bought the property. The original variance on this property took everything as far as you could see, up and down the street, W. Chain of Rocks, as far as you could see on the east side of the street is B or C and the zoning committee gave him a M-1 on a property that is less than 5 acres. It is just over 2 acres and they put this right on the end of our cul de sac and now it is a junkyard and the property next to it is a junkyard. And we have to drive past this every day and you can imagine what that does to our property values if we want to sell our homes. I will close with this that is taken from the county website, the draft for the growth plan that was written March, 2018 and edited in April. A key principle for the future character for unincorporated neighborhoods is the preservation of improvement of property values through code enforcement, proactive maintenance of property and investments of public infrastructure. That is all the residents of S. Thorngate. Thank you.

* * * * *

Paul Marks' Address to the Board

I represent the 2 gentleman that just spoke before you, Bob Nichols and Gary Gaines. Please send Larry Dunn's request for a zoning variance back to committee. It is the first resolution listed in the planning and development committee on the agenda, Z18-0057. It came out of the planning committee on October 4th and Mr. Gaines was not allowed to speak to the committee. That incident is contrary to the rules of the board, allowing public participation in committee meetings, located in section 3 paragraph I in this board's rules. As such, Mr. Gaines has been deprived of his civil rights to be heard, pursuant to the rule of this board. He has been deprived of his right of due process and to equal protection and he has been deprived of the right to the quiet enjoyment of his own land. At the planning committee meeting on October 4th, a deputy escorted Mr. Gaines out of the room after Mr. Dunn, the petitioner in this case for the variance wrongfully used an injunctive order from the circuit court in the nature of a protective order, showed a deputy then took him out of the room. There is a number of problems with that. First, there was no violation of the order, Mr. Gaines was down here at the committee meeting and Mr. Dunn was up there at least 50 feet away. Next, Mr. Gaines had a right to be present at a public meeting and Mr. Dunn, the petitioner did not have to be here for that meeting. Now the specifics of this incident could be decided by the courts, but what is up this evening is for this board to address the opposition that he had to the planning committee. In

other words, send it back to committee. Now this dispute arises from a decade ago. All this property on Thorngate and Mr. Dunn's property is all in unincorporated Madison County. Most of the residents on Thorngate have lived there for decades and little has changed once the M-1 zoning was created. There have been ordinance violations by Mr. Dunn time and time again. On his lot, things move and rarely leave. He describes his business as a trucking business, my clients describe it as a junkyard. There is problems not only with this ordinance, but also with the variance.

* * * * *

Tammy Iskarous' Address to the Board

Hi my name is Tammy Iskarous and I am the Exec Director of RFM. Thank you for having me and, I want to assure you that there are many of us here that appreciate the passion with which you serve. I am here on behalf of another fiercely passionate group in Madison County, RFM works with youth and families in crisis, most times due to violence, addiction, homelessness and poverty to get them to a place of sustainability. I believe this incredible group of public servants, have identified, analyzed and agreed that there is, like any other county, an issue with jails being full. We serve those people, their kids, their parents, their employers, their families and their judges. We serve them. We serve them with great recidivism success rates, meaning, we have data that we keep people from going to jail, keep families together when possible and we help our community members find and keep jobs. I don't have to tell you all, the amount of money that saves the county. You've already done that homework. However, the need has increased to the point where it has brought me here to you. Tonight. To share this thought for your consideration. "An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of prison." WE stand in front of you with reports of fewer arrests and detains due to violence, addiction, housing issues, or someone that simply made a very bad choice. We are asking the county to consider investing in prevention, hand-in-hand with law enforcement. Pope Francis told us, "Money must serve, not rule." (That is why over 85% of all monies we receive go directly to those we serve with very little going towards overhead.) We are investing considerably in serving Madison County with incredible return, we humbly ask the county to reciprocate by investing in us so that we may continue to better serve the families in our County. I would ask that the Board Members investigate ways they can invest in prevention/effective consequences/decreasing recidivism without adding overhead to the county, Madison County has to be proactive in investing in programs that, not only save the county money, but, also adds value to the county. Even if private donations stay at same pace, the need is increasing rapidly. The federal government provides us no assistance and we know it is this Board's desire to cut county overhead. A financial partnership, of \$100,000 that could be matched, will allow RFM to continue to try to balance the scale. It's not time to give up on people, quite the opposite. There is hope! However, we have to invest in it a little. Not just consequences. I am willing to work with anyone of you to stretch those dollars for your greatest return. Thank you for your time and thank you for your commitment to families of our county. Let's all invest in the future. God bless.

* * * * *

The following letter was received and placed on file:

**STATE OF ILLINOIS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

1021 North Grand Avenue East, Springfield, IL 62794-9276

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO MANAGE WASTE (LPC-PA16)

Date: September 28, 2018

To Elected Officials and Concerned Citizens:

The purpose of this notice is to inform you that a permit application has been submitted to the IEPA, Bureau of Land, for a solid waste project described below. You are not obligated to respond to this notice, however if you have any comments, please submit them in writing to the address below, or call the Permit Section at 217/524-3300, within twenty-one (21) days.

The permit application, which is identified below, is for a project described at the bottom of this page.

SITE IDENTIFICATION

Site Name: Roxana Landfill

Site # (IEPA): 1190900002

Address: 4601 Cahokia Creek Road

City: Edwardsville

County: Madison

TYPE PERMIT SUBMISSIONS:

New Landfill	<input type="checkbox"/>	Landfill	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General Municipal Refuse	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Landfill Expansion	<input type="checkbox"/>	Land Treatment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazardous	<input type="checkbox"/>
First Significant Modification	<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer Station	<input type="checkbox"/>	Special (Non Hazardous) Chemical Only	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Significant Modifications to Operate	<input type="checkbox"/>	Treatment Facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	(exec. putrescible)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other Significant Modification	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			Inert Only (exec. chem & putrescible)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Renewal of Landfill Development	<input type="checkbox"/>	Incinerator	<input type="checkbox"/>	Used Oil	<input type="checkbox"/>
Operating	<input type="checkbox"/>	Composting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Solvents	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recycling/Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Landscape/Yard Waste	<input type="checkbox"/>
Supplemental Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (Specify _____)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name Change	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Generic	<input type="checkbox"/>				

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:

Application for significant modification to permit to address the second quarter 2018 exceedances pursuant to permit condition VIII.18.

**STATE OF ILLINOIS
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
1021 North Grand Avenue East, Springfield, IL 62794-9276**

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO MANAGE WASTE (LPC-PA16)

Date: October 3, 2018

To Elected Officials and Concerned Citizens:

The purpose of this notice is to inform you that a permit application has been submitted to the IEPA, Bureau of Land, for a solid waste project described below. You are not obligated to respond to this notice, however if you have any comments, please submit them in writing to the address below, or call the Permit Section at 217/524-3300, within twenty-one (21) days.

The permit application, which is identified below, is for a project described at the bottom of this page.

SITE IDENTIFICATION

Site Name: NS Environmental Trust Section III/IV Landfill

Site # (IEPA):

Address: Edwardsville Road

City: Granite City

County: Madison

TYPE PERMIT SUBMISSIONS:

New Landfill	<input type="checkbox"/>	Landfill	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	General Municipal Refuse	<input type="checkbox"/>
Landfill Expansion	<input type="checkbox"/>	Land Treatment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Hazardous	<input type="checkbox"/>
First Significant Modification	<input type="checkbox"/>	Transfer Station	<input type="checkbox"/>	Special (Non Hazardous) Chemical Only (exec. putrescible)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Significant Modifications to Operate	<input type="checkbox"/>	Treatment Facility	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inert Only (exec. chem & putrescible)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other Significant Modification	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incinerator	<input type="checkbox"/>	Used Oil	<input type="checkbox"/>
Renewal of Landfill Development	<input type="checkbox"/>	Composting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Solvents	<input type="checkbox"/>
Operating	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recycling/Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Landscape/Yard Waste	<input type="checkbox"/>
Supplemental Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (Specify _____)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Name Change	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Generic	<input type="checkbox"/>				

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:

Assessment monitoring report for dissolved chloride in well G132; assessment monitoring report for dissolved iron in well G102; assessment monitoring report for dissolved magnesium in well G134; proposed adjustment to background interwell AGQS for dissolved iron and proposed adjustment to intrawell AGQS

* * * * *

The following letter was received and placed on file:

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
2300 South Dirksen Parkway
Springfield, IL 62764

September 24, 2018

SUBJECT: Notification to Maintain

Ms. Debra Ming Mendoza
County of Madison
157 North Main St
Suite 109
Edwardsville, IL 62025

Dear Ms. Ming Mendoza:

The following contract has been satisfactorily completed and accepted by the Department of Transportation:

Route: FAU 9393 FAS 1937
Contract: 97559
County: Madison
Illinois Project: RS-0119/070/000
Section: 10-00084-03-RS

The agreement entered into between the State of Illinois and the County of Madison details maintenance responsibilities for the completed improvement. Please refer to the agreement for this information.

Sincerely,

s/ Tim Kell, P.E.
Engineer of Construction

* * * * *

The following letter was received and placed on file:

PUBLIC NOTICE OF HAZARDOUS WASTE PERMIT RENEWAL

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) hereby gives notice of intent to approve a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) post-closure renewal permit for the Vertellus Specialties Environmental Response Trust Granite City facility. The facility's mailing address is 1450 Edwardsville Road, in Granite City, Illinois. Vertellus Specialties Environmental Response Trust (VSERT) is currently operating under a RCRA permit. This draft permit, once finalized, will require VSERT to continue providing post-closure care for a former hazardous waste surface impoundment closed as a landfill. In

addition, this draft renewal permit contains requirements for completing corrective action of the solid waste management units of concern at this facility.

The interested public is invited to review copies of the permit application, draft post-closure renewal permit and related fact sheet, at:

Six Mile Regional Library District
2001 Delmar Avenue
Granite City, IL 62040

Written comments on the draft post-closure renewal permit may be submitted during the 45- day comment period. Send comments to the Illinois EPA contact listed at the end of this notice postmarked by midnight, October 27, 2018. In response to public requests or at the discretion of the Illinois EPA, a public hearing can be held to clarify technical issues concerning the draft post-closure renewal permit. A public hearing request must be made in writing, express opposition to the draft post-closure renewal permit and State the nature of the issue(s) to be raised at the hearing. Written hearing requests should be sent to the Illinois EPA contact listed below by the end of the comment period. Public notice will be issued 45 days before any hearing.

All comments received will become part of the Administrative Record (AR) and will be evaluated by the Illinois EPA in making the final post-closure renewal permit decision. The Illinois EPA will respond to comments on the draft post-closure renewal permit and indicate whether additional documents have been included in the AR. Commenters will be notified of the final post-closure renewal permit decision and the permit decision appeal process.

The AR, including the permit application, draft post-closure renewal permit, related information and all data submitted by the applicant, is now available for public inspection by appointment only Monday through Friday between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Please telephone the Illinois EPA contact below for an appointment to view the documents at Illinois EPA's offices in Springfield.

Evan Yates, Public Involvement Coordinator (#5) Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
1021 North Grand Avenue East, P. O. Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
Phone: 217/557-6474

For further RCRA information, go to: <https://www.epa.gov/rcra>

* * * * *

The following report was received and placed on file:

RECEIPTS FOR SEPTEMBER 2018
County Clerk

167	Marriage License @ 30.00	\$ 5,010.00
0	Civil Union License @ 30.00	\$ 0.00
210	Certified Copies MARRIAGE @ \$12.00	\$ 2,520.00
0	CIVIL UNION @ \$12.00	\$ 0.00
403	BIRTH @ \$12.00	\$ 4,836.00
53	DEATH @ \$15.00	\$ 795.00
0	JURETS @ \$14.00	\$ 0.00
1	MISC. REC	\$ 17.00
	Total Certified Copies	\$ 8,168.00
47	Notary Commissions by Mail @\$10.00	\$ 470.00
21	Notary Commissions in Office @\$10.00	\$ 210.00
19	Cert. of Ownership @\$31.00	\$ 589.00

1	Cert. of Ownership @\$1.50	\$	1.50
3	Registering Plats @\$12.00	\$	36.00
25	Genealogy Records @\$4.00	\$	100.00
95	Automation Fees @\$4.00	\$	380.00
906	Automation Fees @\$8.00	\$	7,248.00
0	Amusement License	\$	0.00
0	Mobile Home License @\$50.00	\$	0.00
0	Redemption Clerk Fees	\$	0.00
0	Tax Deeds @\$11.00	\$	0.00
0	Tax Sale Automation Fees @\$10.00	\$	0.00
Total			\$22,212.50

This amount is turned over to the County Treasurer in Daily Deposits

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
)
 COUNTY OF MADISON)

I, Debra D. Ming-Mendoza, County Clerk, Do solemnly swear that the foregoing is in all respect just and true according to my best knowledge and belief; that I have neither received directly or indirectly agreed to receive or be paid for my own, or another's benefit any other money, article or consideration then herewith stated or am I entitled to any fee or emolument for the period herein stated, or am I entitled to any fee or emolument for the period therein mentioned than herein specified.

s/ Debra D. Ming-Mendoza
 Debra D. Ming-Mendoza, County Clerk

Subscribed and sworn before me this 9th day of October, 2018

s/ Mary Davis
 Notary Public

The following report was received and placed on file:

**MARK VON NIDA
 CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
 EARNED FEES REPORT
 GENERAL ACCOUNT
 10/2/2018**

ASSETS

Cash in Bank	\$5,020,392.75	
Time Certificates	<u>1,884,000.00</u>	
		<u>\$6,904,392.75</u>

LIABILITIES

Excess Fees Due County Treasurer	765,757.14
Library Fees	20,868.00
Child Support Maintenance	2,936.66
2% Surcharge	183.29
2.5% TSP Fees	0.00
Record Search	102.00
Probation Operations	5,891.40
Probation Fees-Adult	16,473.07
Probation Fees-Juvenile	1,165.00
Probation Fees-Superv.	6,604.25
Casa	5,952.00
Court Security Fee	61,293.16
Document Storage Fees	73,149.86
Finance Court System Fee	20,961.48
Arrestee's Medical Fees	2,051.89
15% Arrestee's Med. Fees	362.10
Office Automation Fees	<u>24,431.72</u>
Total	1,008,183.02
Balance Due Liability Ledger	5,896,209.73

ADJUSTMENTS

Aug Adj	401,027.94
Aug Ref Sept	0.00
Sept Ref Oct	0.00
Aug BR Sept	-6,809.00
Sept BR Oct	9,706.00
Aug DUI% Sept	-16,984.81
Sept DUI% Oct	19,851.52
Aug PRB Sept	-371.05
Sept PRB Oct	319.70
Sept 17% Exp to CCOAF	285.60
Oct 17% Exp to CCOAF	-346.80
SPNR prior Refunds	0.00
Select Refund for payment	0.00
NSF	-519.00
over & short	-30.00
Error in bank deposit	-227.00
Honored Checks	150.00
Total	406,053.10

Total

6,904,392.75

**MARK VON NIDA
MADISON COUNTY CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT CLERK
EARNED FEES EPORT
GENERAL ACCOUNT**

Period Ending September 2018

Fee Title	EOM Date	Monthly Receipts	YTD Receipts
2%	9/30/2018	\$183.29	\$2,400.83
TSP FEE 2.5%	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
AIDS	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
ARR MED 15%	9/30/2018	\$362.10	\$3,610.91
BONDS	9/30/2018	\$25,110.00	\$220,767.74
CLERK FEE	9/30/2018	\$318,849.77	\$3,302,091.37
CHILD SUPPORT	9/30/2018	\$2,936.66	\$64,705.03
DRUG ABUSE	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
FIN COURT	9/30/2018	\$20,961.48	\$225,272.53
INTEREST	9/30/2018	\$3,975.52	\$35,925.18
JURY DEMAND	9/30/2018	\$11,768.75	\$151,018.75
REC SRCH	9/30/2018	\$102.00	\$1,208.50
	For Destination Gen Rev	\$446,175.59	
ARR MED 85%	9/30/2018	\$2,051.89	\$20,461.82
COURT SEC	9/30/2018	\$61,293.16	\$667,609.31
DOC STOR	9/30/2018	\$73,149.86	\$791,839.00
LIB FEES	9/30/2018	\$20,868.00	\$228,888.00
OFF AUTO	9/30/2018	\$24,431.72	\$264,775.77
PROB ADULT	9/30/2018	\$16,476.07	\$157,221.12
PROB JUVEN	9/30/2018	\$1,165.00	\$15,600.00
PROB SUPER	9/30/2018	\$6,604.25	\$67,768.50
VCVA	9/30/2018	\$0.00	\$0.00
CASA	9/30/2018	\$5,891.40	\$64,838.68
PROB OPER FEE	9/30/2018	\$5,952.00	\$19,525.83
	For Destination Spec Fund	\$217,880.35	
Period Ending September, 2018		\$602,129.92	

Authorized Signature: Elizabeth Affsprung

Sale of Product fees received:
 (Subscription services and copy fees)
\$4,701.00

s/ Amy M. Meyer
 Madison County Recorder

Fund Transaction Summary Report by Account Number

Acct No.	Fee Name	Count	Total Fee Amt.
-2	Escrow Payment Fund	14	35,380.00
-4	Charge Fund	14	258.00
100	Escrow Refund Fund		0.00
	Overage Fund	16	22.25
	Recorder Regular Fund	4,017	59,068.00
100001100051180	RHSP County Fund	2,633	1,316.50
11100000021110	County Recorders Meter	502	39,465.25
	State Recorders Meter	502	78,930.50
204911000051180	Recorders RHS Fund	2,633	1,316.50
210491000051120	Recorders Automation Fund	3,064	21,285.00
210491000051166	GIS Fund	3,028	24,226.00
701101000036105	RHSP State Fund	2,633	23,697.00
	Collected Total: 284,707.00		
	Charged Total: 258.00		
	Grand Total: 284,965.00		

The following report was received and placed on file:

Madison County Jail Daily Population Report

09/2018

Date	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
						1	2
Men						270	275
Women						37	40
Daily Total						307	315

Date	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Men	272	276	279	271	263	256	260
Women	35	39	40	37	38	33	36
Daily Total	307	315	319	308	301	289	296

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
Date	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Men	269	269	263	263	262	263	262
Women	36	47	41	38	38	38	36
Daily Total	305	316	304	301	300	301	298

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
Date	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Men	261	268	266	265	266	258	255
Women	38	44	36	36	35	37	39
Daily Total	299	312	302	301	301	295	294

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
Date	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Men	263	273	276	273	277	279	275
Women	37	45	43	45	44	42	45
Daily Total	300	318	319	318	321	321	320

The average daily population was 307

* * * * *

The following report was received and placed on file:

Chris Slusser, Madison County Treasurer

Fund Report

September 2018

Fund	Company	Account	Deposit	Maturity	Rate	Amount
CD	CNB	23000676	2/26/2016	2/26/2019	1.25	\$4,126,502.06
CD	Collinsville Bldg & Loan	7144C	5/20/2018	2/20/2020	2.50	\$750,000.00
CD	Collinsville Bldg & Loan	2200	8/21/2018	5/21/2020	2.96	\$500,000.00
CD	First Mid-Illinois Bank & Trust	86407	4/30/2018	4/30/2020	2.45	\$2,012,102.92
CD	First Federal Bk of Mascoutah	100032565	10/29/2015	10/29/2018	1.25	\$2,074,317.85
CD	First National Bank of Dieterich	3171400407C	8/7/2018	8/7/2020	2.75	\$1,032,474.13
CD	Best Hometown Bank	13000373	11/4/2015	11/4/2018	1.65	\$2,097,547.42
CD	Best Hometown Bank	13000393	12/1/2015	12/1/2018	1.65	\$263,545.06

CD	Best Hometown Bank	13000762	8/1/2018	8/1/2020	2.95	\$1,004,880.00
CD	Liberty Bank	58582	6/21/2016	6/21/2019	1.25	\$3,085,516.41
CD	Liberty Bank	7468	6/25/2018	6/25/2020	2.79	\$1,006,956.71
CD	National Bank of Hillsboro	63252 (was 62575B)	8/31/2016	3/1/2019	1.00	\$1,014,112.40
CD	Reliance Bank	4000036289	10/26/2015	10/26/2018	1.15	\$5,160,458.28
CD	Reliance Bank	4000056233	5/7/2018	5/7/2020	2.42	\$1,006,099.73
CD	State Bank of St. Jacob	12033C	8/5/2018	8/5/2020	2.57	\$500,000.00
CD	State Bank of St. Jacob	12045C	9/6/2018	9/6/2020	2.57	\$100,000.00
CD	Ally Bank	02007GDR1	7/5/2018	7/6/2021	3.14	\$239,714.40
CD	American Expr Natl Bk	02589AAN2	7/3/2018	7/6/2021	3.12	\$239,714.40
CD	BMW Bank North America	05580ANP5	7/13/2018	7/13/2022	3.21	\$239,292.00
CD	BUS Bk of St. Louis	12325EGY2	10/15/2015	10/15/2018	1.10	\$244,906.90
CD	Citibank NA	17312QM63	6/6/2018	6/7/2021	3.00	\$244,806.45
CD	Comenity Capital Bk	20033AZS8	7/16/2018	7/18/2022	3.21	\$239,709.60
CD	Discover Bk	254673QX7	7/5/2018	7/6/2021	3.12	\$239,714.40
CD	Enerbank	29278TDG2	9/27/2018	9/27/2021	3.03	\$244,407.10
CD	First Financial NW Inc	32022MAA6	10/16/2015	10/16/2018	1.05	\$244,897.10
CD	First Republic Bank	33616CAZ3	7/27/2018	7/27/2020	2.75	\$239,613.60
CD	Goldman Sachs Bk	38148PR58	7/6/2018	7/6/2021	3.07	\$239,714.40
CD	Key Bank NA	49306SVH6	10/14/2015	10/15/2018	1.35	\$247,975.20
CD	Live Oak Banking Co	538036DK7	3/6/2018	3/9/2020	2.40	\$243,993.05
CD	Luana Savings Bank	549103SB6	10/23/2015	10/23/2018	1.15	\$244,870.15
CD	Medallion Bk Utah	58404DCH2	7/12/2018	7/12/2022	3.20	\$239,728.80
CD	Merrick Bank	59013J3E7	9/17/2018	9/7/2021	3.04	\$182,615.70
CD	Morgan Stanley Bk	61747MF89	1/11/2018	1/13/2020	2.20	\$243,657.40
CD	Morgan Stanley PVT Bank	61760APX1	9/20/2018	9/21/2020	2.85	\$244,772.15
CD	Preferred Bank LA Calif	740367EL7	10/30/2015	10/30/2018	1.05	\$244,955.90
CD	Sallie Mae Bank	795450H65	1/10/2018	1/10/2020	2.20	\$248,647.50
CD	Stock Yard Bank	861026AC6	7/6/2018	7/6/2021	3.05	\$239,714.40
CD	Sutton Bank	869478EZ6	10/30/2015	10/30/2018	1.25	\$247,856.16
CD	Third Fed Sav & Ln	88413QCC0	9/27/2018	9/27/2021	3.04	\$244,412.00
CD	Townebank	89214PCA5	9/26/2018	9/27/2021	3.04	\$244,412.00
CD	USB Bank	90348JAP5	10/9/2015	10/1/2018	1.40	\$247,990.08
CD	Wells Fargo Bank	949763NQ5	2/28/2018	2/28/2020	2.40	\$244,046.95
Muni	Mad/Jersey Sch	556547GX9	7/27/2016	12/1/2018	2.05	\$499,684.19
Agency	FFCB	3133EFC70	2/22/2016	2/22/2019	1.12	\$14,937,300.00

Agency	FEDE	3134G9Q75	7/26/2016	7/26/2019	1.25	\$9,894,500.00
Agency	FEDE	3134GALS1	10/20/2016	9/12/2019	1.27	\$4,935,000.00
Agency	FHLB	3130A9M32	9/30/2016	9/30/2019	1.14	\$4,925,600.00
Muni	Mad/Jer Co Sch	556547GY7	12/22/2015	12/1/2019	2.50	\$554,764.17
Muni	Rand/ Cnty IL Sch	752535DP6	4/25/2017	12/1/2021	3.00	\$351,493.00
Muni	Will/ Jack Cnty Sch	970013FV5	4/25/2017	12/1/2022	2.90	\$1,324,187.20
Muni	Saint Clair Cnty High	788601GH9	5/2/2017	2/1/2023	3.23	\$228,973.50
Muni	Cook Cnty IL Sch Dist	215021NP7	5/2/2017	12/1/2021	3.05	\$997,360.00
Muni	South Carolina St Jobs	83704AAN2	5/2/2017	8/15/2023	3.47	\$472,195.00
Muni	Georgia St Muni Elec	373541W49	5/2/2017	1/1/2022	3.30	\$1,708,311.65
Muni	Illinois St Fin Auth Rev	45204ESQ2	5/4/2017	3/1/2021	3.04	\$1,319,861.10
Muni	Madison Macoupin Cntys	557738KS9	5/10/2017	11/1/2020	2.30	\$327,477.15
Muni	Rand/ Cnty IL Sch	752535DQ4	5/12/2017	12/1/2022	3.05	\$251,345.75
Muni	Univ Ill Univ Rev's	914353XU6	5/16/2017	4/1/2020	2.60	\$226,856.25
Muni	Illinois St Fin Auth Rev	45204ESR0	5/23/2017	3/1/2022	3.00	\$295,491.24
Muni	Oakland Calif Pension	672319CD0	5/25/2017	12/15/2022	2.80	\$1,021,820.00
Muni	Madison & Jersey Cnty	556547GX9B	5/30/2017	12/1/2018	2.00	\$49,419.31
Muni	Adams Cnty IL	005824GN1	6/1/2017	2/1/2019	2.20	\$501,450.00
Muni	Madison Macoupin ETC	557741BB0	6/6/2017	11/1/2018	1.75	\$149,979.00
Muni	Illinois St Fin Auth Rev	45204ESR0B	6/7/2017	3/1/2022	3.00	\$250,719.84
Muni	Los Angeles Cnty Calif	54465AFN7	6/8/2017	8/1/2019	1.85	\$879,313.60
Muni	Illinois St Sales Tx Rev	452227JL6	6/13/2017	6/15/2022	3.11	\$295,953.00
Muni	Randolph Cnty Ill Cmnty	752535DL5	6/13/2017	12/1/2018	2.10	\$796,880.00
Muni	Henry & Knox Cntys Ill	426236BM6	7/3/2017	12/1/2018	2.00	\$200,526.00
Muni	Illinois Fin Auth Rev	45204ESR0C	7/6/2017	3/1/2022	3.10	\$349,216.92
Muni	Fisher IL Build America	337855AZ3	7/18/2017	12/1/2022	2.35	\$294,622.60
Muni	Georgia St Muni Elec	373541W49B	7/19/2017	1/1/2022	3.24	\$510,274.65
Muni	Madison Cnty Sch	556870JJ3	7/26/2017	12/1/2022	2.75	\$98,362.00
Muni	Vermilion Cnty Sch	923613DV2	7/27/2017	12/1/2023	4.11	\$110,420.10
Muni	Decatur Ill	243127RA7	7/28/2017	12/15/2022	3.43	\$154,773.00
Muni	De Kalb Cnty Sch	240685HH3	7/31/2017	1/1/2025	4.62	\$1,019,730.00
Muni	YoLo Cnty CA	98601EDB9	8/1/2017	12/1/2022	3.23	\$770,917.50
Muni	Illinois St Ser 1	452152BJ9	8/2/2017	2/1/2020	3.85	\$224,569.40
Muni	Illinois St Ser 2010-3	452152FM8	8/2/2017	4/1/2021	3.85	\$243,671.97
Muni	Illinois St TXBL Ser B	452152KG5	8/7/2017	1/1/2021	3.85	\$152,673.26
Muni	Connecticut St. Txbl Ser A	20772J3H3	8/8/2017	8/15/2023	3.00	\$107,321.45

Muni	Waukegan ILL	942860PW1	8/8/2017	12/30/2021	2.60	\$281,157.25
Muni	Illinois St. Txbl Ser B	452152KK6	8/9/2017	1/1/2024	5.00	\$141,765.40
Muni	Florida Hurricane	34074GDH4	8/8/2017	7/1/2020	2.25	\$79,052.54
Muni	Univ Okla	91476PFP8	8/8/2017	7/1/2020	3.27	\$50,232.00
Muni	Chicago IL Wastewater	167727VT0	8/10/2017	1/1/2022	3.40	\$142,637.60
Muni	Madison Bond	556627KD8	8/10/2017	2/1/2023	2.97	\$293,310.00
Muni	Cook Cnty IL Sch Dist	214723CY2	8/14/2017	12/1/2022	3.40	\$82,494.00
Muni	Illinois St Build America	452152BH3	8/14/2017	2/1/2019	3.10	\$151,143.00
Muni	Illinois St Txble Ser B	452152KH3	8/14/2017	1/1/2022	4.50	\$156,563.95
Muni	Illinois St Txble Ser 2010-3	452152FM8B	8/16/2017	4/1/2021	3.58	\$1,405,799.85
Muni	Dutchess Cnty	267045BC5	8/17/2017	7/1/2020	2.60	\$323,426.10
Muni	Illinois St Ser 1	452152BK6	8/18/2017	2/1/2021	4.10	\$30,850.20
Muni	Osceola Cnty Fla	687910CP2	8/24/2017	8/1/2021	2.60	\$344,930.40
Muni	Connecticut St Go BDS	20772JL67	8/29/2017	8/1/2021	2.35	\$316,485.00
Muni	Rockford IL	77316QWX3	8/31/2017	12/15/2024	3.30	\$174,231.75
Muni	Galveston Cnty Tx	364195BJ5	9/1/2017	2/1/2020	2.85	\$504,150.00
Muni	Eastern Michigan Univ	276731XX9	9/1/2017	2/15/2021	4.29	\$410,771.25
Muni	Decatur IL Ser B	243127RA7B	9/8/2017	12/15/2022	3.32	\$103,182.00
Muni	Philadephia PA	71781LAY5	9/8/2017	4/15/2020	2.50	\$132,284.60
Muni	Greenville AL	395834FW9	9/28/2017	9/1/2024	3.11	\$96,544.00
Muni	Madison Macoupin	557738NX5	10/11/2017	11/1/2024	3.35	\$76,497.00
Muni	New Brunswick	642815ZJ6	10/12/2017	10/15/2023	3.33	\$79,719.15
Muni	Madison & Jersey Cnty	556547GY7B	10/18/2017	12/1/2019	2.20	\$625,585.13
Muni	Miami Dade Cnty	59333ALB5	10/19/2017	4/1/2020	2.25	\$98,690.00
Muni	Oak Lawn IL	671409F47	10/30/2017	12/1/2024	3.13	\$1,008,462.70
Muni	Waterbury Conn	941247Q43	10/31/2017	9/1/2023	3.48	\$448,189.20
Muni	Illinois Mun Elect AGY	452024GS5	10/31/2017	2/1/2021	2.50	\$141,631.20
Muni	Rock Island IL	772487ZX5	11/6/2017	12/1/2024	3.88	\$355,505.50
Muni	Rock Island IL	772487ZW7	11/6/2017	12/1/2023	3.63	\$344,532.20
Muni	University ILL CTFS	914331LK7	11/9/2017	2/15/2021	2.60	\$493,235.00
Muni	Illinois St Build America	452152FM8C	11/10/2017	4/1/2021	3.55	\$224,927.98
Muni	Jackson Cnty ILL Sch	466826CA0	11/13/2017	11/1/2020	2.30	\$494,410.00
Muni	Cook Cnty IL Sch Dist	214399RD1	11/20/2017	12/1/2023	4.42	\$205,030.00
Muni	Illinois Mun Elect Agy	452024HG0	11/20/2017	2/1/2022	3.05	\$158,412.00
Muni	Illinois Fin Auth Mlti	45202LBT0	11/21/2017	12/1/2021	3.17	\$98,850.51
Muni	Illinois Fin Auth Mlti	45202LBT0B	11/22/2017	12/1/2021	3.17	\$200,696.49

Muni	Carol Stream ILL Pk	1437535QK7	11/21/2017	11/1/2018	1.95	\$135,093.15
Muni	Hornell NY City Sch	440614GC3	11/24/2017	6/15/2023	3.60	\$517,990.00
Muni	St Clair Cnty IL	788465DU3	12/5/2017	12/1/2021	2.61	\$89,988.00
Muni	Florida Hurricane	34074GDH4B	12/13/2017	7/1/2020	2.30	\$271,037.28
Muni	Granite City IL	387244DA1	12/14/2017	3/1/2020	2.85	\$249,357.50
Muni	Granite City, IL	387244DB9	12/14/2017	3/1/2022	3.20	\$497,040.00
Muni	Cook Cnty IL Sch Dist	214471MT8	12/14/2017	12/1/2024	4.57	\$256,507.50
Muni	Cook Cnty IL Sch Dist	214471NA8	12/14/2017	12/1/2020	2.35	\$255,097.50
Muni	Union Alexander ETC	904842CY5	12/15/2017	12/1/2020	2.65	\$806,136.00
Muni	New York St Agy Hmownr	649883UH6	12/22/2017	10/1/2022	3.00	\$100,029.00
Muni	Bridgeport Conn	108152BY4	1/4/2018	7/1/2020	2.45	\$246,850.00
Muni	Madison Cnty Sch	557021HX3	1/18/2018	12/1/2018	2.20	\$74,845.50
Muni	WA Cnty SD	937659BK5	1/29/2018	12/15/2020	2.25	\$253,027.50
Muni	Madison Cnty IL	557055FQ8	4/30/2018	12/1/2022	3.50	\$67,956.70
Muni	Georgia St Muni Elec	373541X30	5/11/2018	1/1/2019	2.38	\$500,345.00
Muni	Cook Cnty IL	213185ER8	5/29/2018	11/15/2022	3.30	\$473,620.60
Muni	Decatur IL Ser B	243127WF0	5/29/2018	12/15/2021	2.75	\$179,641.00
Agency	FHLMC	3134GBA93	6/20/2018	8/3/2021	2.83	\$488,490.00
Agency	FFCB	3133EJSS9	6/25/2018	6/25/2020	2.63	\$995,110.00
Agency	FFCB	3133EJST7	6/25/2018	6/25/2021	2.84	\$546,766.00
Muni	New Jersey St Econ Dev	64577BLA0	6/26/2018	6/15/2020	3.00	\$1,014,800.00
Muni	Connecticut St Build Amer	20772G5N4	6/27/2018	4/1/2023	4.23	\$1,022,160.00
Muni	Illinois St Sales Tx Rev	452227FN6	6/27/2018	6/15/2023	3.08	\$968,710.00
Muni	Gateway PA Sch	367748LX6	6/29/2018	7/15/2021	3.00	\$114,170.40
Muni	Illinois St Sales Tx	452227GC9	6/29/2018	6/15/2022	3.31	\$1,367,375.89
Muni	Madison Cnty Sch	557072EQ4	6/29/2018	1/1/2023	3.50	\$280,663.60
Muni	Madison Cnty Sch	557072EN1	6/29/2018	1/1/2021	3.15	\$259,971.40
Muni	Illinois St Sales Tx	452227GC9B	7/2/2018	6/15/2022	3.37	\$431,802.91
Muni	Illinois St Txbl Build Amer	452152FZ9	7/2/2018	7/1/2021	3.90	\$259,450.00
Muni	New Jersey St Econ Dev	64578JAN6	7/2/2018	7/1/2022	3.75	\$101,949.25
Muni	New Jersey St Eductnl	646066YS3	7/2/2018	7/1/2021	3.20	\$115,779.60
Muni	Florida St Brd of Admin	341271AB0	7/2/2018	7/1/2021	3.00	\$346,514.00
Agency	FHLMC	3134GSFS9	7/3/2018	9/6/2022	3.33	\$970,622.24
Muni	Middletown OH	597163AF1	7/3/2018	12/1/2020	3.10	\$123,070.00
Muni	Cook Cnty IL	213185ES6	7/5/2018	11/15/2023	3.83	\$330,924.80
Muni	Hartford CT	416415HH3	7/5/2018	7/1/2023	3.47	\$1,400,677.65

Muni	Illinois St Fin Auth Rev	45204EVM7	7/5/2018	8/1/2023	3.58	\$182,794.80
Muni	Illinois St Fin Auth Rev	45204EVU9	7/5/2018	8/1/2023	3.58	\$123,510.00
Muni	Sacramento CA Pensn	786056BB6	7/5/2018	8/1/2023	3.55	\$123,181.30
Muni	Massachusetts St Dev	57584XCQ2	7/6/2018	7/2/2023	3.73	\$188,215.90
Muni	New York NY	64966MED7	7/9/2018	8/1/2022	3.11	\$288,353.10
Muni	Illinois ST	452152QM6	7/10/2018	4/1/2020	3.50	\$104,598.90
Muni	Illinois St	452152QN4	7/11/2018	4/1/2021	3.75	\$236,683.62
Muni	FHLMC	3134G8H51	7/23/2018	1/25/2019	2.30	\$996,750.00
Muni	Florida St Hurricane	34074GDH4C	7/25/2018	7/1/2020	2.93	\$779,232.18
Agency	FFCB	3133EHWS8	7/25/2018	9/11/2020	2.75	\$469,104.00
Agency	FHLMC	3134GSQX6	7/26/2018	7/26/2023	3.50	\$994,440.00
Muni	Illinois St	452152QN4B	7/27/2018	4/1/2021	3.80	\$277,845.98
Muni	Wayne Cnty	944431BH7	7/30/2018	12/1/2023	4.11	\$160,514.90
Muni	Georgia St Muni Gas	373295JW5	7/31/2018	10/1/2020	3.00	\$123,190.00
Muni	Maryland St Econ Dev	57422KAC9	7/31/2018	6/1/2020	3.15	\$125,016.25
Muni	Maryland St Econ Dev	57422KAD7	7/31/2018	6/1/2021	3.40	\$521,305.20
Muni	New Jersey St Econ Dev	64577BTW4	7/31/2018	6/15/2021	3.40	\$508,940.00
Muni	New Jersey St Econ Dev	64578JAV8	7/31/2018	7/1/2021	3.50	\$499,075.00
Muni	Pittsburg ECT Sports	724795AY5	8/3/2018	12/15/2020	3.00	\$600,377.80
Muni	Univ IL B	914353F51	8/6/2018	4/1/2023	3.75	\$272,206.00
Muni	Racine Cnty	749845UK7	8/6/2018	12/1/2020	3.10	\$731,407.50
Muni	IL SLS Tax	452227JM4	8/9/2018	6/15/2023	3.55	\$493,085.00
Muni	SC PUB SVC	837151FQ7	8/10/2018	12/1/2023	3.75	\$986,660.00
Muni	IL ST B	452152KG5B	8/13/2018	1/1/2021	3.90	\$124,914.49
Muni	POLK ETC SD	731418KQ1	8/13/2018	6/1/2023	3.60	\$269,372.50
Muni	Illinois St	452152DQ1	8/20/2018	3/1/2023	4.25	\$663,365.45
Muni	New Jersey EDA	64578JAN6B	8/28/2018	7/1/2022	3.85	\$166,338.25
Muni	Oakland Calif Pension	672319BS8	9/4/2018	12/15/2021	3.35	\$144,982.20
Muni	Illinois St Sales Tax	452227JM4B	9/13/2018	6/15/2023	3.60	\$493,085.00
Muni	New Jersey EDA	64578JAV8B	9/17/2018	7/1/2021	3.50	\$499,075.00
Muni	St. Charles Cnty MO SPL	78775RAB5	9/25/2018	10/1/2025	4.88	\$1,072,315.80
Muni	Arkansas River PWR	041036DU5	9/27/2018	10/1/2023	4.00	\$982,975.50
Agency	FHLMC	3134GSXC4	9/28/2018	9/28/2020	2.80	\$748,575.00
Agency	FHLMC	3134GSXC4B	9/28/2018	9/28/2020	2.78	\$748,575.00
DD	Collector Banks	Various	Various	N/A	N/A	\$102,500.00
MM	Associated Bank	2217257498	1/23/2012	N/A	1.51	\$7,346,444.70

MM	Bank of Edwardsville	175132408	12/2/1997	N/A	0.05	\$10,079.63
MM	Carrollton Bank	40017273	8/12/2009	N/A	1.51	\$6,913,408.14
MM	Illinois Trust MM	450492	8/20/2018	N/A	2.09	\$2,004,805.22
MM	IPTIP	7139125061	5/31/2009	N/A	2.20	\$2,800,404.15
MM	IPTIP	1.513E+11	4/3/2013	N/A	2.20	\$805,671.64
MM	Reliance Bank	50091180	4/22/2015	N/A	1.51	\$7,843,859.68

Amount Total **\$153,349,854.26**

Weighted Average Maturity 1.59 yrs
 Weighted Average Rate 2.17%

* * * * *

The following resolution was submitted and read:

ST. LOUIS REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY
RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Chris Herzog has been recommended for consideration and appointment to the St. Louis Regional Airport Authority,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Chris Herzog, be appointed to a 5 year term ending 05/31/2023.

Dated at Edwardsville, Illinois this day of Wednesday, October 17, 2018.

s/ Kurt Prenzler
 Madison County Board Chairman

Mr. McRae moved, seconded by Mr. Futrell, to adopt the foregoing resolution. **MOTION CARRIED.**

* * * * *

The following resolution was submitted and read:

RESOLUTION OPPOSING ILLINOIS VEHICLE MILEAGE TAX

WHEREAS, a proposed new tax on miles traveled on public, non-tolled Illinois roads using GPS tracking technology, monthly odometer readings, or annual fees, has been proposed in the Illinois General Assembly; and

WHEREAS, road-use charges (RUCs), also known as mileage-based user fees (MBUFs) or vehicle miles traveled (VMT) fees, would impose a new financial burden, raise privacy concerns for Illinois residents, and make our state even less economically competitive; and

WHEREAS, there are multiple plans under consideration, including imposing a 1.5 cents per mile driven tax using GPS tracking, imposing a 1.5 cents per mile driven tax based on monthly vehicle odometer readings, and charging a flat rate of \$450 annually for drivers who refuse to install monitors to track mileage; and

WHEREAS, the Madison County Board feels each of these plans would impose undue hardship and disproportionately impact Madison County residents who must drive longer distances for work and school; and

WHEREAS, the Illinois House of Representatives is considering a bill known as House Resolution 766 (HR0766), which also opposes all state taxes based on the number of miles driven.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Madison County, Illinois, that the Board supports our hardworking citizens and opposes all efforts at the State or local level, to impose new taxes based on miles traveled, and therefore supports House Resolution 766.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ D.A. Moore
Don Moore

Michael Holliday, Sr.

s/ Philip Chapman
Phillip Chapman

s/ Lisa Ciampoli
Lisa Ciampoli

s/ Mick Madison
Mick Madison

s/ Erica Harriss
Erica Harriss

s/ Ray Wesley
Ray Wesley

s/ Clint Jones
Clint Jones

s/ Michael Walters
Michael Walters

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

Gussie Glasper

Jamie Goggin

Executive Committee

Mr. McRae moved, seconded by Mr. Wesley, to adopt the foregoing resolution.

On the question:

Mr. Minner: I attended the committee meeting on Wednesday night and I felt that this meeting was staged to publicize Governor Rauner's campaign. This is political plustering. This is a new low for the

Republican Party on this county board. I thought making the county a sanctuary county was the bottom of the barrel, I think this is below the barrel where the snakes crawl. I believe this county board should spend this time doing county business instead of political plustering. I wouldn't like this for either party. I will abstain from voting on this trumped up issue.

Mr. Parkinson: In 2014, citizens in Madison County voted overwhelmingly in favor of statewide referendum for a millionaire's tax. 60% of our voters in Madison County were in favor of this the same time they were voting for Bruce Rauner. You cannot just be against something all the time, we need to be for solutions. Democrats offered a solution but republicans could care less and decided to follow Bruce Rauner's playbook of ignoring ways of fixing the state's problems. I think we should add support for the millionaire's tax as a solution to the state's budget problems and I now make the motion to amend the resolution to include support for a millionaire's tax as voted on by 60% of the voters in Madison County.

Mr. Parkinson moved, seconded by Mr. Asadorian, to amend the foregoing resolution to add a millionaire's tax.

On the question:

Mr. Michael: Can I have a copy of the resolution?

Mr. Prenzler: Yes, can we have a copy for all board members so we can see it

Mr. Parkinson: Do you want me to read it again?

Mr. Michael: No, what is the detail.

Mr. Parkinson: The resolution itself is in the agenda.

Mr. Michael: What is the tax rate for every million dollars?

Mr. Parkinson: I am making a motion to amend the resolution to include support for a millionaire's tax

Mr. Chapman: I do not feel this amendment is germane to the original motion. I would like some kind of judgement to come from the state's attorney about this. We are discussing this vehicle tax, we are not discussing a millionaire's tax. This has nothing to do with the spirit of the motion on the floor.

Mr. Parkinson: I would like to speak to that.

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Chapman is directing a question to the state's attorney on whether it is proper to amend this resolution.

Mr. Parkinson: I would like to speak to that before he gives an answer so he can hear my point of view on it.

Mr. Chapman: I think to parliamentary procedure, I am directing to the state's attorney and I receive an answer first, no disrespect meant to Mr. Parkinson.

Mr. Parkinson: It is still a tax, they are all taxes that is what we are talking about.

Mr. Gibbons: I will have to research the case law on the requirement for the level of germane between issues. There is certainly the argument that they are both a tax, but certainly the argument to the contrary

they are not identical or similar. I would have to research case law to determine whether or not there have been any court rulings from the appellate or Supreme Court on that specific issue to determine whether or not it would be appropriate. I cannot give you an immediate opinion without having done that research and I was not requested to do that research prior to this meeting.

Mr. Prenzler: I want to understand the amendment to the resolution. I think Mr. Michael asked to see something. Can you state it again? What would be the specific amendment?

Mr. Parkinson: The motion is to amend the resolution to include support for a millionaire's tax. It is a tax on the wealthy in the State of Illinois.

Mr. Prenzler: You are not continuing to say it is a tax on the wealthy.

Mr. Parkinson: I don't know what you want me to say, I have said it 3 times.

Mr. Prenzler: Madame Clerk can you repeat back the motion?

Ms. Mendoza: It was a motion to amend to include a millionaire's tax in to the resolution that is before the board.

Mr. Prenzler: The millionaire's tax?

Mr. Parkinson: It is a resolution to include support for a millionaire's tax.

Mr. Prenzler: What is a millionaire's tax? I am just not sure.

Mr. Parkinson: It has been talked about all over the media.

Mr. Chapman: Again, the motion on the floor is opposing the Illinois vehicle mileage tax, which I think has nothing to do with being in support of a millionaire's tax.

Mr. Wesley: I would like to make a motion to send the millionaire's tax question to committee please.

Mr. Parkinson: You can't do that.

Mr. Wesley: I have a motion on the floor.

Mr. Parkinson: It is not a good motion.

Mr. Wesley: That is your opinion, I have a motion on the floor.

Mr. Parkinson: It is not a valid motion.

Mr. Prenzler: I just don't know if the amendment is clear.

Mr. Parkinson: It is clear, it is exactly what I stated. Just because you don't understand it doesn't mean it is not clear. It is a motion that has been seconded and it supersedes everything else.

Mr. Wesley: Just because Mr. Parkinson says that my motion is not valid, doesn't mean that is correct either.

Mr. Prenzler: I am still not clear what the amendment is. Madame Clerk can you repeat it?

Ms. Mendoza: Mr. Parkinson is making an amendment to the resolution to include a millionaire's tax.

Mr. Prenzler: I am just not sure how that works.

Mr. Parkinson: As I have stated, it was a vote by the voters in 2014, 60% of voters in Madison County voted for this referendum.

Mr. Prenzler: I am confused is anyone else? We have a resolution opposing the Illinois vehicle mileage tax and you want to amend it to oppose the millionaire's tax?

Mr. Parkinson: No that is not what I said. I have said this 5-6 times now, I make a motion to amend the resolution to include support for a millionaire's tax.

Mr. Asadorian: The resolution was brought in here to oppose something that is not even being considered in the house or senate. It is a bogus resolution on an item that is not yet factual. If we can't amend a resolution that comes before us, then what are we doing here. This county has never gotten involved in issues like this until the past year. We just don't do this. We had an issue come before us a few years ago, everyone received a letter and we all decided it was not an issue to bring to the county board. When you say it unanimously came out of a committee, a committee that is controlled by one political party. We don't do this on the county board. We haven't in the past. We have started doing it this past year on discussing issues and taking a stand. If something has come out of committee in the state house or senate, yes, we do resolutions on that, but not on political rhetoric that is going on during a campaign. This board and meeting room is not the place for politics to be brought in this manner for us to vote on which side you take or where you are going.

Ms. Ciampoli: Things of this nature have been done in the past. It has been one party rule. That statement Art is not 100% true. And all the committees were opposite what they are now. It is just the same.

Mr. Wesley: I believe if you do the research there is a senate bill on this very issue that is pending in the senate. It was put out earlier this year and was pulled back. It is waiting there for a friendly administration to act on it.

Mr. Prenzler: I am not sure what a millionaire tax is, I am not sure your motion is clear what we would be voting on.

Mr. Parkinson: I am sorry that you do not understand it. It was on a referendum in 2014. I am sorry that you don't know what that is. It has been talked about widely just like this tax on vehicles that you are proclaiming. It is clear, it is there and it is a motion that has been seconded and you do not have the right to stop that.

Mr. Madison: Mr. Parkinson has spoken 3 times on this issue and there are others that would like to speak.

Ms. Novacich-Koberna: Should we even be voting on something that we cannot get an answer on this evening from the state's attorney? He has not had time to research either one of them. Should we table all of it and come back to?

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Wesley suggested that. I do think on this issue that would make a lot of sense. We send this idea to committee.

Mr. Parkinson: It is all of it or nothing. Take the vote.

Mr. Prenzler: We do have a motion from Mr. Wesley.

Mr. Parkinson: No you do not. You have the amendment from my suggestion. It is a valid motion.

Mr. McRae: I asked for this to be brought to the board. At first I heard rumblings about this and I read articles about the vehicle mileage tax and I watched the governor's debate and the Chicago media was addressing moderators and they were actively asking about this question. Senator John Colorton filed senate bill 3267 in 2016 for a mileage tax and it would involve having a tracker in your car or you pay a \$450.00 fine. The thought process is very real out there. Senator Andy Manar who is a democrat filed in April, 2018 a senate resolution opposing this type of tax. Charlie Meier and Avery Bourne also filed similar resolutions. It is a terrible idea and it is a nonpartisan idea. It is a bad idea for people who are already taxed in an overtaxed state.

Mr. Prenzler: I just think the proper way to bring a resolution to committee.

Mr. Parkinson: I am amending it not bringing a new resolution in and it has to be voted on.

Mr. McRae: Mr. Gibbons is this really germane to the resolution. A millionaire's tax is one thing.

Mr. Gibbons: You are asking me something I have never researched. I don't know the definition of germane to a resolution is.

Mr. Michael: Can you give us a legal read out to what the millionaire's tax is?

Mr. Parkinson: Mr. Gibbons, how can we vote on one resolution over another?

Mr. Michael: So if our state's attorney doesn't know what it is and we are supposed to vote on it?

Mr. Gibbons: The question I was asked to research was whether or not these two things are adequately germane to be included in a singular resolution. I have not had the opportunity to research it. I do not have all of the legal knowledge in my head. I would assume it has been addressed by a court previously. But at this point I can't tell you with any legal certainty what the definition is of one amendment or resolution is germane to another.

Mr. Asadorian: Can we put this on hold until we get that answer?

Mr. Chapman: It appears to me that we have a motion on the floor and whether you agree if it is germane or not, there has been an amendment that was seconded. The third thing about sending it to committee did not get a second and it is not valid right now. You could if you chose to have some sort of discussion on this amendment then vote on it straight up and down and then you would be left with the original motion on the floor that was brought on the floor by Mr. McRae.

Mr. Prenzler: So let me understand, we have the resolution that has gone through executive committee and it is in writing and we also have an amendment made by Mr. Parkinson that we should support a millionaire's tax?

Mr. Parkinson: That is correct. As it was proposed in 2014. 60% of the voters in Madison County were in favor of it.

Mr. Madison: I would ask we call the vote on the amendment and then immediately proceed to the vote on the original motion.

Mr. Prenzler: Let's call a roll call vote on the amendment. The amendment is to say we support a millionaire's tax in addition to the underlying resolution. Whatever a millionaire's tax is, that we support a millionaire's tax. A yes vote would be that we support a millionaire's tax.

Mr. Parkinson: It is a vote to amend the resolution as I stated.

Ms. Harriss: So is he asking us to amend the current resolution. He is not asking our opinion on it, he is asking us if we would like to add it.

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Parkinson's amendment is that we support in addition to the underlying resolution that we support a millionaire tax.

Mr. Chapman: And if it fails, we go to the original resolution.

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Parkinson is advocating that we the board should be on record in support of a millionaire's tax and that is what we are voting on.

Ms. Kuhn: I think this is all ridiculous and a big argument. I want to vote yes on Tom's resolution but I think Mike also has a point and they should take that back to committee and vote on it.

Mr. Prenzler: What we are doing is voting no on Mr. Parkinson's motion.

Mr. Parkinson: Are we voting no, is that what you just said? Did you tell people how to vote chairman?

Mr. Prenzler: That is not what I said, I am helping Ms. Kuhn on what she is voting on.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to amend the resolution resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Ms. Gorman, Holliday, Ms. Kuhn, Malone, Minner, Ms. Novacich-Koberna and Parkinson.

NAYS: Chapman, Ciampoli, Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Harriss, Jones, Madison, McRae, Michael, Moore and Wesley.

ABSTAIN: Dalton, Pollard and Trucano.

AYES: 8. NAYS: 13 ABSTAIN. 3. Whereupon the Chairman declared the motion to amend has failed.

Mr. McRae moved, seconded by Mr. Futrell, to adopt the foregoing resolution.

On the question:

Mr. McRae: This is a terrible tax and idea. It punishes the lower to moderate income folks. It is another tax in an overly taxed state and that is why people are leaving the state.

Ms. Gorman: With all due respect Mr. McRae, I think what you said earlier is that this was a thought process and not a law. The senate bill has been pulled and I do agree that we have bigger problems and

real problems that we should deal with versus the political games we are playing right now on both sides. I would like to see us get back to real issues.

Mr. Holliday: I would like to say this is a ghost item. It is not something that we know will be coming out. We have been wasting our time on this.

Mr. Parkinson: I think I made my point that the political posturing, you didn't like the one I put forth, I don't like the one you put forth. Chairman, so you are aware, based on the political posturing that this resolution is I will be abstaining.

Ms. Novacich-Koberna: I will be abstaining as well.

Mr. Prenzler: I don't think it is appropriate to abstain.

Mr. Parkinson: Are you going to make me vote your way?

Mr. Chapman: It is their right to abstain.

Mr. Asadorian: Again, it was brought up earlier, we do not normally take into consideration something that is not an active bill. That would be like bringing in something someone talked about 6 months ago on the campaign trail. This is political rhetoric that has taken place during this campaign and it is not a valid bill coming out the two houses in our state and for us to vote on something that is mythical is a complete waste of time and a political maneuver and I will abstain as well.

Mr. Chapman: In reference to the vehicle tax I personally see it as another possible tax concocted by a legislature in a state whose economic climate is last in the Midwest and who has the lowest economy in the Midwest and since 2008 it has come back from the great recession worse than any other state in the Midwest. It discriminates road users. Those in geographical areas that need to use private transportation, people in rural areas, people who are in commerce who need to use their cars. If we start charging people for how many miles they go, it discriminates. Some people say it is a user fee for whose time has come. Roads are in the general welfare, what if we start having a user fee for people who use public schools. More taxes for people who have their kids in the public school, which is part of the general welfare. We would be rising up saying that is totally wrong. And lastly it potentially smacks a big brother, there is discussion in this state and other states using GPS, in which case the government would be able to track you wherever you go and I see no interest in that and while it was following you it would have its hand in your pocket taking your money. I am totally against it.

Mr. Gray: I think it is this board's duty as an elected to be a voice for their district and you can vote however you want on this resolution but people in my district are taxed enough as is and we don't need a mileage tax on wherever we go. I will be voting for this tax not to happen.

Mr. Madison: I think people who don't drive much might opt for the tracker, but I think most people will take the no tracker option, so it will basically be an additional \$450.00 annual tax on top of the already license plate fee. If we took a poll in Madison County citizens right now whether or not we should stand up before or after this goes to the floor for a vote, I think they all would say do it right now.

Mr. Moore: I attended the meeting where this was first discussed and I honestly did not see any posturing or staging of any kind. That surprised me to hear that. I look at it as another tax on the Illinois citizens and we know what has happened in this state and I believe this would have a major impact on people staying in Illinois if they are going to be taxed on every mile that they drive extra. I would add there is nothing wrong with us being proactive and letting Springfield and Chicago know how we feel about this in advance. To

me that is a sign of good leadership from Madison County. The fellow members that will abstain from voting are doing a disservice to the people they represent and not letting them know. If you vote for it that says you are against the tax and if you vote against it that says you would like to see this tax be put in place.

Ms. Dutton: I don't know why this has to be an argument against republicans and democrats. You guys are making this extremely political in an effort to say we republicans are being political. I don't understand this is a nonpartisan issue that got a lot of attraction in the media from democrat voters who say they would be against this. Like Mr. Moore said, we are being proactive. Counties do it all the time. When they send a message, they vote on resolutions to send a message upstate. Taxes like this are very possible and if you want to play politics and vote no, then you are telling your constituents that you are in favor of a tax like this and I hope they are watching you.

Mr. Futrell: DuPage and Kendall counties have already passed similar resolutions.

Ms. Dalton: I feel that we talk things to death at this board and the issues do not even pertain to us right now. This is an issue that is coming from the state down and we should be more involved what will actually affect our people right now and not waste everyone's time talking about it to death just to hear our voice talk.

Ms. Novacich-Koberna: Here is my question, Ms. Dutton's response made me want to bring this up, if the voters in 2014 spoke to us and said that they were in favor of a millionaire's tax, but we couldn't agree to make that a part of an amendment to the original resolution on the mileage tax. What kind of message are we sending them by saying that you haven't had a chance to vote on this yet, but we are not going to listen to what you said in 2014? This is making it sound like we are not wanting to listen to our constituents, when the republicans were the ones who wanted it in the first place.

Mr. Wesley: I think we owe it to all our voters on both sides of the aisle to protect them if there is significant legislation that is either pending or talked about that is coming down from Springfield, the very idea that we are going to be taxed again unnecessarily and over burdensomely, I think if you spoke to the taxpayers in this county they would tell you they do not want another tax. And this is that, a message to send to Springfield to let our legislators know what our taxpayers feel, and that is on both sides of the aisle. This is not a partisan issue.

Mr. Minner: I certainly do not want any more tax and I think this is the stupidest idea that I have ever heard. But I heard this is political through Governor Rauner's campaign on TV. I don't think it could even be considered.

Mr. Prenzler: Mr. Parkinson you have spoken enough on this issue. I am calling the vote.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Chapman, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Harriss, Jones, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, McRae, Michael, Moore and Wesley.

NAYS: None.

ABSTAIN: Asadorian, Dalton, Gorman, Holliday, Malone, Minner, Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard and Trucano.

AYES: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSTAIN: 10. Whereupon the Chairman declared the foregoing resolution duly adopted.

* * * * *

The following four (4) resolutions were submitted and read:

**SUMMARY REPORT OF CLAIMS AND TRANSFERS
September**

Mr. Chairman and Members of the County Board:

Submitted herewith is the Claims and Transfers Report for the month of September 2018 requesting approval.

	Payroll	Claims
	<u>09/07/2018 & 09/21/2018</u>	<u>10/17/2018</u>
GENERAL FUND	\$ 2,508,142.93	\$ 498,164.33
SPECIAL REVENUE FUND	1,255,410.10	3,083,780.70
DEBT SERVICE FUND	0.00	0.00
CAPITAL PROJECT FUND	0.00	516,469.59
ENTERPRISE FUND	56,746.51	71,951.75
INTERNAL SERVICE FUND	30,695.59	652,045.91
COMPONENT UNIT	0.00	0.00
GRAND TOTAL	\$ 3,850,995.13	\$ 4,822,412.28

s/ Rick Faccin	s/ Lisa Ciampoli	- -
Madison County Auditor	s/ Larry Trucano	
October 17, 2018	s/ Don Moore	- -
	s/ Robert Pollard	
	s/ Philip W. Chapman	- -
	s/ Tom McRae	
	s/ David Michael	- -
	Finance & Gov't Operations Committee	
		- -

* * * * *

IMMEDIATE EMERGENCY APPROPRIATION

WHEREAS, the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget for the County of Madison has been duly adopted by the County Board; and,

WHEREAS, subsequent to the adoption of said budget, the County has received a grant in the amount of \$368,654 entitled the Redeploy Illinois Program, with the purpose of providing psycho/educational assessments and intensive case management services to reduce or eliminate the practice

of committing juvenile offenders to the Illinois Department of Juvenile justice for the sole purpose of psychological and risk evaluation and reducing full commitments whenever possible; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Human Services has authorized funds of \$368,654, with the County providing no matching funds; and

WHEREAS, the agreement provides a grant period of July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019; any amount not expended in fiscal year 2018 will be re-appropriated for the remaining grant period in fiscal year 2019;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of the County of Madison that pursuant to Chapter 55, Section 5/6 - 1003, Illinois Compiled Statutes that this immediate emergency appropriation be hereby adopted whereby the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget for the County of Madison be increased by the amount of \$368,654 in the fund established as the 2019 Juvenile Redeploy Illinois Program.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Thomas McRae
s/ David Michael
s/ Philip Chapman
s/ Larry Trucano

Finance & Government Operations
October 10, 2018

* * * *

IMMEDIATE EMERGENCY APPROPRIATION

WHEREAS, the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget for the County of Madison has been duly adopted by the County Board; and,

WHEREAS, subsequent to the adoption of said budget, the Madison County Child Advocacy Center has received a grant from the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services for the purpose of providing continued funding for the administrative costs of the Child Advocacy Center; and,

WHEREAS, the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services has authorized funds in the amount of \$132,572, with the County providing no additional match funds; and

WHEREAS, the agreement provides a grant period of July 1, 2018, through June 30, 2019 any amount not expended in Fiscal Year 2018 will be re-appropriated for the remaining grant period in Fiscal Year 2019;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of the County of Madison that pursuant to Chapter 55, Section 5/6 - 1003, Illinois Compiled Statutes that this immediate emergency appropriation be hereby adopted whereby the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget for the County of Madison be increased by \$132,572 in the account established as 2019 Child Advocacy Center - Illinois DCFS Grant.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Larry Trucano
s/ Thomas McRae
s/ David Michaels
s/ Philip Chapman
s/ Robert Pollard
Finance & Gov't Operations Committee
October 10, 2018

* * * *

IMMEDIATE EMERGENCY APPROPRIATION

WHEREAS, the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget for the County of Madison has been duly adopted by the County Board; and,

WHEREAS, subsequent to the adoption of said County Budget, it has been determined that there are necessary expenditures that will be incurred by the Regional Office of Education for payroll expenses; and

WHEREAS, said expenditures not provided for in the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget will result in a deficit budget; and

WHEREAS, there are sufficient funds available in the General Fund for this immediate emergency appropriation;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of the County of Madison that pursuant to Chapter 55, Section 5/6 - 1003, Illinois Compiled Statutes that this immediate emergency appropriation be hereby adopted whereby the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget for the County of Madison be increased by \$16,200 in the General Fund – Education Administration budget.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Larry Trucano
s/ Thomas McRae
s/ David Michaels
s/ Philip Chapman
s/ Robert Pollard
Finance & Gov't Operations Committee
October 10, 2018

Ms. Ciampoli moved, seconded by Mr. Holliday, to adopt the four (4) foregoing resolutions.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Chapman, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Dalton, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Gorman, Ms. Harriss, Holliday, Jones, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, Malone, McRae, Michael, Minner, Moore, Ms. Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard, Trucano and Wesley.

NAYS: None.

AYES: 24. **NAYS:** 0. Whereupon the Chairman declared the resolutions duly adopted.

* * * * *

The following resolution was submitted and read:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADMINISTRATION OF HUD GRANTS

WHEREAS, the Community Development Department is responsible for the application of grant funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development office of Community Planning and Development for the receipt of the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and HOME Investment Partnership (HOME), programs;

WHEREAS, the CDBG and HOME National Objectives are to benefit low to moderate income persons, the prevention or elimination of slums and blight, and to meet urgent needs;

WHEREAS, the County of Madison, Illinois has designated the Community Development Department to administer these grants and to prepare the Consolidated Plan, Annual Action Plan, grant agreements, Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER), and all other related documentation as required by the Department of Housing and Urban Development;

WHEREAS, the Community Development Department will adhere to and enforce all Federal Regulations and Certifications for the CDBG and HOME programs; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County Board hereby directs and designates the Madison County Community Development Administrator to act as the County's authorized representative in connection with the Consolidated Plan, Annual Action Plan, grant agreements, CAPER and all other related documentation as required by the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ Clint Jones
s/ Erica Harriss
s/ Liz Dalton
s/ Chrissy Dutton
s/ Ann Gorman
s/ Bruce Malone
s/ Judy Kuhn

**GRANTS COMMITTEE
October 1, 2018**

Mr. Jones moved, seconded by Ms. Ciampoli, to adopt the foregoing resolution.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Chapman, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Dalton, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Gorman, Ms. Harriss, Holliday, Jones, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, Malone, McRae, Michael, Minner, Moore, Ms. Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard, Trucano and Wesley.

NAYS: None.

AYES: 24. NAYS: 0. Whereupon the Chairman declared the foregoing resolution duly adopted.

* * * * *

The following report was received and placed on file:

MADISON COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
FY 2018 Summary thru 08/31/2018

Environmental Health	YTD
Food Inspections Conducted	2332
Food Facility Re Inspections	205
Water Well Permits Issued	12
New Water Wells Inspected	10
Sealed Water Wells Inspected	4
Closed Loop Well Permits Issued	11
Closed Loop Well Inspected	11
Tanning Facility Initial and Renewal Inspections	6
Mosquito Pools Tested for WNV	218
Dead Birds Tested for WNV	2
Body Art Facility Inspections	27
Liquor Commission Inspections	282
Volunteer Management	CURRENT
Medical Reserve Corps Members	362
Personal Health Services	YTD
Immunization Patients Seen	1594
Immunizations Administered	3686
Vision Screens Performed	1813
Hearing Screens Performed	2051
Tuberculin Skin Tests Administered	277
Tuberculin Skin Test Read	256
New Cases Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Disease	1
Acid Fast Bacillus (AFB) Not Identified	52
Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS)	14
Campylobacter	14
Chickenpox/Varicella Cases Investigated	19
Chlamydia Cases Investigated	868
Cluster Illness Cases Investigated	26
Cryptosporidiosis Cases Investigated	0
Enteric Escherichia coli Cases Investigated	10
Food Complaints	21
Foodborne or Waterborne Illness	0
Gonorrhea Cases Investigated	261
Hemophilic Influenza, Meningitis/Invasive Cases Investigated	8
Hepatitis A Cases Investigated	6
Hepatitis B Cases Investigated	65
Hepatitis B Case Management	2
Hepatitis C Cases Investigated	339
Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection	69
HIV Surveillance Services	11
Influenza-ICU, Death or Novel Reported	57
Legionellosis Cases Investigated	8

Lyme Disease Cases Investigated		4
Mumps		3
Neisseria Meningitides, Meningitis/Invasive Cases Investigated		2
Pertussis Cases Investigated		6
Rabies, potential human exposure		28
Salmonellosis Cases Investigated		27
Shigellosis Cases Investigated		15
Streptococcal Infections, Group A, Invasive		14
Syphilis-Early		19
Syphilis Late		5
STD Exams		448
Prep Case Management		24

* * * * *

The following three (3) resolutions were submitted and read:

RESOLUTION TO PURCHASE TWO (2) NEXUS SWITCHES FOR THE MADISON COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

Mr. Chairman and Members of the County Board:

WHEREAS, the Madison County Information Technology Department wishes to purchase two Nexus switches, and;

WHEREAS, these switches are available for purchase under GSA Contract# GS-35f-0202N; and,

ACC
7927 Jones Branch Drive
McLean, VA 22102.....\$36,547.08

WHEREAS, ACC met all specifications at a total contract price of Thirty-six thousand five hundred forty-seven dollars and eight cents (\$36,547.08); and,

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the Madison County Information Technology Department to purchase said maintenance from ACC of McLean, VA; and,

WHEREAS, this switches purchase will be paid for from the Information Technology Department FY2018 funds.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County Illinois, that the County Board Chairman be hereby directed and designated to execute said contract with ACC of McLean, VA for the aforementioned Nexus switches.

Respectfully submitted by,

s/ Jamie Goggin
Jamie Goggin

Lisa Ciampoli

s/ Bruce Malone
Bruce Malone

s/ D.A. Moore
Don Moore

s/ Chrissy Dutton
Chrissy Dutton

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

Ann Gorman

s/ Larry Trucano
Larry Trucano

s/ Jack Minner
Jack Minner

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

s/ James Futrell
James Futrell

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

s/ Lisa Ciampoli
Lisa Ciampoli

Gussie Glasper

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Information Technology Committee

Finance & Government Operations Committee

* * * *

**RESOLUTION TO AWARD A LAPTOP AND COMPUTER PURCHASING AGREEMENT FOR
MADISON COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

Mr. Chairman and Members of the County Board:

WHEREAS, the Madison County Information Technology wishes to award a purchasing agreement for the purchase of laptops and computer for the various Madison County offices; and,

WHEREAS, bids were advertised and received from the following vendor; and,

Lenovo
1009 Think Place
Morrisville, NC 27560.....see attached pricing schedule

Fowler Technology
200 Lake Front Road
Edwardsville, IL 62025.....see attached pricing schedule

WHEREAS, Information Technology has reviewed the bids and recommends the lowest responsible bidder, Lenovo of Morrisville, NC; and,

WHEREAS, the total cost for this expenditure will be paid from the various Madison County offices.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County Illinois, that the County Board Chairman be hereby directed and designated to execute said agreement with Lenovo of Morrisville, NC for the aforementioned purchasing agreement

Respectfully submitted by,

s/ Jamie Goggin
Jamie Goggin

Lisa Ciampoli

s/ Bruce Malone
Bruce Malone

s/ D.A. Moore
Don Moore

s/ Chrissy Dutton
Chrissy Dutton

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

Ann Gorman

s/ Larry Trucano
Larry Trucano

s/ Jack Minner
Jack Minner

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

s/ James Futrell
James Futrell

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

s/ Lisa Ciampoli
Lisa Ciampoli

Gussie Glasper

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Information Technology Committee

Finance & Government Operations Committee

Information Technology Committee Finance & Government Operations Comm Machine Type	Lenovo		Fowler Technologies	
	Small Form Factor	ThinkCentre M720s	\$603.00	ThinkCentre M720s
Ultrasmall Form Factor	ThinkCentre M720q	\$720.00	ThinkCentre M720q	\$818.00
Workstation Equivalent Model-32GB	ThinkCentre M720t 32GB Ram	\$1,298.00	ThinkCentre M720t 32GB Ram	\$1,517.00
Workstation Equivalent Model-64GB	ThinkCentre M720t 64GB Ram	\$1,657.00	ThinkCentre M720t 64GB Ram	\$1,965.00
Laptop 14"	ThinkPad L480	\$883.00	ThinkPad L480	\$1,032.00
Laptop 15"	ThinkPad L480	\$949.00	ThinkPad L480	\$1,108.00
Ultrabook Less than 3lbs	ThinkPad T480s	\$1,150.00	ThinkPad T480s	\$1,373.00
2 in 1 Ultrabook w/360 degree hinge	ThinkPad X1 Yoga	\$1,374.00	ThinkPad X1 Yoga	\$1,795.00

* * * *

RESOLUTION TO PURCHASE MAINTENANCE RENEWAL ON MICROSOFT SOFTWARE LICENSING FOR THE MADISON COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

Mr. Chairman and Members of the County Board:

WHEREAS, the Madison County Information Technology Department wishes to purchase maintenance renewal on Microsoft software licensing; and,

WHEREAS, this maintenance renewal is available for purchase under Illinois State Contract from CDW-G; and,

CDW-G
120 South Riverside Drive
Chicago, IL 60606..... \$53,157.27

WHEREAS, CDW-G met all specifications at a total contract price of Fifty-three thousand one hundred seventy-five dollars and twenty-seven cents (\$53,157.27); and,

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the Madison County Information Technology Department to purchase said maintenance from CDW-G of Chicago, IL; and,

WHEREAS, this maintenance renewal will be paid for from the Information Technology Department FY2018 funds.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County Illinois, that the County Board Chairman be hereby directed and designated to execute said contract with CDW-G of Chicago, IL for the aforementioned maintenance renewal.

Respectfully submitted by,

s/ Jamie Goggin
Jamie Goggin

Lisa Ciampoli

s/ Bruce Malone
Bruce Malone

s/ D.A. Moore
Don Moore

s/ Chrissy Dutton
Chrissy Dutton

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

Ann Gorman

s/ Larry Trucano
Larry Trucano

s/ Jack Minner
Jack Minner

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

s/ James Futrell
James Futrell

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

s/ Lisa Ciampoli
Lisa Ciampoli

Gussie Gasper

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Information Technology Committee

Finance & Government Operations Committee

Mr. Goggin moved, seconded by Mr. Futrell, to adopt the three (3) foregoing resolutions.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Chapman, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Dalton, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Gorman, Ms. Harriss, Holliday, Jones, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, Malone, McRae, Michael, Minner, Moore, Ms. Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard, Trucano and Wesley.

NAYS: None.

AYES: 24. NAYS: 0. Whereupon the Chairman declared the resolutions duly adopted.

* * * * *

The following seven (7) resolutions were submitted and read:

RESOLUTION – Z18-0007

WHEREAS, on the 23rd day of January, 2018, a public hearing was held to consider the petition of Stephen Edwards, applicant, on behalf of owner of record, A & H Mechanical Contracting, Inc., requesting a special use permit as per §93.030, Section D, Item (11) of the Madison County Zoning Ordinance in order to have a drive-up window in conjunction with a restaurant establishment. Also, a variance as per §93.030, Section B, Item (7) in order to be 30 feet from the south property line and 38 feet from the north property line instead of the required 50 feet. This is located in a "B-2" General Business District in Jarvis Township, at 9070 State Route 162, Troy, Illinois PPN#09-1-22-11-04-401-014; and,

WHEREAS, the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals submitted its Findings for the aforesaid petition; and,

WHEREAS, it was recommended in the aforesaid Report of Findings of the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals that the petition of Stephen Edwards be as follows: **Denied**; and,

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the County Board of Madison County that the Findings made by the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals should be approved and this Resolution adopted; and,

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Resolution is approved and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

s/ Mick Madison
Mick Madison, Chairman

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ Ray Wesley
Ray Wesley

s/ David Michael
David Michael

s/ Nick Petrillo
Nick Petrillo

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

Larry Trucano

s/ Dalton Gray

* * * *

RESOLUTION – Z18-0058

WHEREAS, on the 25th day of September, 2018, a public hearing was held to consider the petition of Victor Olvera-Ramirez, owner of record and occupant of manufactured home, requesting a special use permit as per Article 93.025, Section G, Item 9 of the Madison County Zoning Ordinance to continue placement of a manufactured home on the site for the occupancy of Victor Olvera-Ramirez and family for a period not to exceed five years. This voids SUP #Z09-0007. This is located in an "R-4" Single-Family Residential District in Nameoki Township, at 3113 Amherst Avenue, Collinsville, Illinois PPN#: 17-2-20-36-03-307-026; and,

WHEREAS, the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals has submitted its Findings for the aforesaid petition; and,

WHEREAS, it was recommended in the aforesaid Report of Findings of the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals that the petition of Victor Olvera-Ramirez be as follows:

I. This special use permit is **granted** for the sole usage of Victor Olvera-Ramirez and family for a period not to exceed five (5) years but may be extended either through an amendment to this special use permit or through an administrative review process, if qualified, as long as Victor Olvera-Ramirez occupies the structure, notwithstanding any violations, nuisance, change in ownership, or change in occupancy. The owner shall remove the mobile home from the site or apply for a new special use permit when Victor Olvera-Ramirez vacates the structure; and,

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the County Board of Madison County that the Findings made by the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals should be approved and Resolution adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Resolution is approved and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

s/ Mick Madison
Mick Madison, Chairman

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ Ray Wesley
Ray Wesley

s/ David Michael
David Michael

s/ Nick Petrillo
Nick Petrillo

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

Larry Trucano

s/ Dalton Gray
Dalton Gray

**Planning & Development Committee
October 4, 2018**

* * * *

RESOLUTION – Z18-0060

WHEREAS, on the 25th day of September, 2018, a public hearing was held to consider the petition of Edwardsville Solar I, LLC, applicant, and Sharon Wentz Evans, owner of record, requesting a special use permit as per §93.060, Section B of the Madison County Zoning Ordinance to develop a 2-megawatt community solar garden on the subject parcel. This is located in an Agriculture District in Edwardsville Township at 5729 New Poag Road, Edwardsville, Illinois PPN# 14-1-15-09-00-000-002; and,

WHEREAS, the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals submitted its Findings for the aforesaid petition; and,

WHEREAS, it was recommended in the aforesaid Report of Findings of the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals that the petition Edwardsville Solar I, LLC and Sharon Wentz Evans be as follows:

1. This special use permit is **granted** for Edwardsville Solar I, LLC. If at any point in the future the current owner of the underlying property or Edwardsville Solar I, LLC intend to transfer their/its interest in the property or facility, the Madison County Planning and Development Administrator shall be notified in writing and be provided all necessary information pertaining to the new entities or parties involved.
2. No overweight or oversized loads shall be delivered to the site.
3. All vegetation, shrubbery, or other planting shall be well-maintained and kept free of noxious weeds and invasive plants.
4. The owner shall keep the property in compliance with all Madison County Ordinances.
5. The owner’s failure to adhere to the conditions of the special use permit will cause revocation of the same and require immediate removal of the solar project from the site.

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the County Board of Madison County that the Findings made by the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals should be approved and Resolution adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Resolution is approved and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

s/ Mick Madison
Mick Madison, Chairman

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ Ray Wesley
Ray Wesley

s/ David Michael
David Michael

s/ Nick Petrillo
Nick Petrillo

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

Larry Trucano

s/ Dalton Gray
Dalton Gray

**Planning & Development Committee
Planning & Development Committee
October 4, 2018**

* * * *

RESOLUTION – Z18-0061

WHEREAS, on the 25th day of September, 2018, a public hearing was held to consider the petition of Edwardsville Solar II, LLC, applicant, and Sharon Wentz Evans, owner of record, requesting a special use permit as per §93.060, Section B of the Madison County Zoning Ordinance to develop a 2-megawatt community solar garden on the subject parcel. This is located in an Agriculture District in Edwardsville Township at 5729 New Poag Road, Edwardsville, Illinois PPN# 14-1-15-09-00-000-002; and,

WHEREAS, the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals submitted its Findings for the aforesaid petition; and,

WHEREAS, it was recommended in the aforesaid Report of Findings of the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals that the petition Edwardsville Solar II, LLC and Sharon Wentz Evans be as follows:

1. This special use permit is **granted** for Edwardsville Solar II, LLC. If at any point in the future the current owner of the underlying property or Edwardsville Solar II, LLC intend to transfer their/its interest in the property or facility, the Madison County Planning and Development Administrator shall be notified in writing and be provided all necessary information pertaining to the new entities or parties involved.
2. No overweight or oversized loads shall be delivered to the site.
3. All vegetation, shrubbery, or other planting shall be well-maintained and kept free of noxious weeds and invasive plants.
4. The owner shall keep the property in compliance with all Madison County Ordinances.
5. The owner's failure to adhere to the conditions of the special use permit will cause revocation of the same and require immediate removal of the solar project from the site.

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the County Board of Madison County that the Findings made by the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals should be approved and Resolution adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Resolution is approved and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

s/ Mick Madison
Mick Madison, Chairman

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ Ray Wesley

Ray Wesley

s/ David Michael
David Michael

s/ Nick Petrillo
Nick Petrillo

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

Larry Trucano

s/ Dalton Gray
Dalton Gray

**Planning & Development Committee
October 4, 2018**

* * * *

RESOLUTION – Z18-0062

WHEREAS, on the 25th day of September, 2018, a public hearing was held to consider the petition of Iona Bertels, owner of record, requesting variances as per §93.023, Section B, Item 1, Sub (a) of the Madison County Zoning Ordinance to create a tract of land that has 30 ft. of property width at the front yard setback instead of the required 150 ft. and to create a tract of land that will be 0.88 acres instead of the required 2 acres. Also, a variance as per §93.061, Section (A) in order to have a private sewage system on a lot that is less than the required 40,000 square feet. This is located in an Agriculture District in Moro Township at 4610 Okke Street, Dorsey, Illinois PPN# 16-1-03-16-01-101-003; and,

WHEREAS, the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals has submitted its Findings for the aforesaid petition; and,

WHEREAS, it was recommended in the aforesaid Report of Findings of the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals that the petition of Iona Bertels be as follows: **Approved**; and,

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the County Board of Madison County that the Findings made by the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals should be approved and Resolution adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Resolution is approved and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

s/ Mick Madison
Mick Madison, Chairman

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ Ray Wesley
Ray Wesley

s/ David Michael

David Michael

s/ Nick Petrillo

Nick Petrillo

s/ Robert Pollard

Robert Pollard

Larry Trucano

s/ Dalton Gray

Dalton Gray

**Planning & Development Committee
October 4, 2018**

* * * *

RESOLUTION – Z18-0066

WHEREAS, on the 25th day of September, 2018, a public hearing was held to consider the petition of John & Deborah Miller, owners of record, requesting variances as per §93.025, Section B, Items 3 & 5 of the Madison County Zoning Ordinance to have a front yard setback of 37 ft. instead of the required 50 ft. and a rear yard setback of 57 ft. instead of the required 75 ft. Also, a variance as per §93.061, Section (A) in order to install a private sewage system on a lot that is less than the required 40,000 square feet. This is located in an “R-1” Residential District in Collinsville Township, on State Route 162, Glen Carbon, Illinois PPN#13-1-21-04-16-401-007; and,

WHEREAS, the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals has submitted its Findings for the aforesaid petition; and,

WHEREAS, it was the recommendation in the aforesaid Report of Findings of the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals that the petition of John and Deborah Miller be as follows: **Approved**; and,

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the County Board of Madison County that the Findings made by the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals should be approved and Resolution adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Resolution is approved and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

s/ Mick Madison

Mick Madison, Chairman

s/ Philip Chapman

Philip Chapman

s/ Ray Wesley

Ray Wesley

s/ David Michael

David Michael

s/ Nick Petrillo

Nick Petrillo

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

Larry Trucano

s/ Dalton Gray
Dalton Gray

**Planning & Development Committee
October 4, 2018**

* * * *

RESOLUTION – Z18-0067

WHEREAS, on the 25th day of September, 2018, a public hearing was held to consider the petition of Chad Wernle, owner of record, requesting a variance as per §93.051, Section A, Item 3, Sub (b) of the Madison County Zoning Ordinance in order to construct a detached garage that will be 2.5 feet from the north property line instead of the required 15 feet. This is located in an Agriculture District in Saline Township, at 13308 Fawn Creek Road, Highland, Illinois PPN#02-1-18-22-00-000-002; and,

WHEREAS, the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals has submitted its Findings for the aforesaid petition; and,

WHEREAS, it was the recommendation in the aforesaid Report of Findings of the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals that the petition of Chad Wernle be as follows: **Approved**; and,

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the County Board of Madison County that the Findings made by the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals should be approved and this Resolution adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Resolution is approved and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

s/ Mick Madison
Mick Madison, Chairman

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ Ray Wesley
Ray Wesley

s/ David Michael
David Michael

s/ Nick Petrillo
Nick Petrillo

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

Larry Trucano

s/ Dalton Gray

Dalton Gray

**Planning & Development Committee
October 4, 2018**

Mr. Madison moved, seconded by Mr. Michael, to adopt the seven (7) foregoing resolutions.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Chapman, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Dalton, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Gorman, Ms. Harriss, Holliday, Jones, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, Malone, McRae, Michael, Minner, Moore, Ms. Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard, Trucano and Wesley.

NAYS: None.

AYES: 24. NAYS: 0. Whereupon the Chairman declared the seven (7) resolutions duly adopted.

* * * * *

The following resolution was submitted and read:

RESOLUTION – Z18-0057

WHEREAS, on the 28th day of August 2018, a public hearing was held to consider the petition of Larry L. Dunn, applicant and owner of record, requesting a variance as per Article 93.034, Section B, Item 6 of the Madison County Zoning Ordinance in order to construct a pole barn that will be 4 feet from the West property line instead of the required 25 feet. This is located in an “M-1” Limited Manufacturing District in Chouteau Township, at 3126 W. Chain of Rocks Road, Granite City, Illinois PPN#: 18-1-14-28-03-301-016; and,

WHEREAS, the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals has submitted its Findings for the aforesaid petition; and,

WHEREAS, it was the recommendation in the aforesaid Report of Findings of the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals that the petition of Larry L. Dunn be as follows: **Approved** with the condition that the proposed structure not exceed 30 feet in height; and,

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the County Board of Madison County that the Findings made by the Madison County Zoning Board of Appeals should be approved and this Resolution adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Resolution is approved and shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

s/ Mick Madison

Mick Madison, Chairman

s/ Philip Chapman

Philip Chapman

s/ Ray Wesley
Ray Wesley

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Nick Petrillo

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

Larry Trucano

s/ Dalton Gray
Dalton Gray

**Planning & Development Committee
October 4, 2018**

Mr. Madison moved, seconded by Mr. Wesley, to adopt the foregoing resolution.

On the question:

Mr. Madison: This resolution is regarding a piece of property on Chain of Rocks Road, unincorporated Granite City. The people at the beginning of the meeting spoke about this. It has been through committee, Mr. Asadorian asked it go back to committee, we voted and sent it back to committee, we heard speakers at the committee and it was voted on 4-2 in favor and the gentleman that spoke tonight was here and prior to the meeting our deputy told me about the situation and we were going to let him speak and it was worked out, but during the meeting when I called for him to speak, he had left and his wife spoke on his behalf. It was a call the officer felt he had to make at the time. I am not sure what information he was shown or given to make his decision, but I am sure he did what he felt was correct at the time. The property will be shown on the screen and it will show the surrounding zoning, the neighborhood to the north and it will show the gentleman's property we are discussing and the building he has requested with the location.

Ms. Gorman: Mick, currently there is a 25 feet required and you discussed minimizing that to 4 feet on the west side?

Mr. Madison: Yes, closer to the road and parallel to the neighboring homes not towards them, it is towards the road and the reason the gentleman is wanting to put the building where he says is because he has a very long trailer. It is a 3 piece rig that is used to disperse large amounts of oversized weight and more tires. It is difficult to turn and turn around. He has enough room to turn around in his lot but he wants to be able to back it into this building and he wants to get a lot of things out of the lot and into the building that are making people mad. He feels the ground in the opposite corner where the neighbors would like it placed, is too soft to put a heavy load there. He is left with this position and is convinced that is the only position. We have not done a soil sample test or no engineering study has been done. There was one person in opposition through 2 committee meetings until tonight.

Mr. Holliday: Why was he not allowed to speak at the committee meeting?

Mr. Madison: I am not sure I am at liberty to say. There was apparently some kind of restraining order by the property owner against who spoke tonight and that was the reason. He was allowed to speak, but

apparently the person who has the restraining order against him said he wouldn't allow it. I didn't know any of this until after the meeting.

Mr. Asadorian: I attended that meeting, it is in my district and I oppose the efforts of Mr. Dunn not denying him the right to conduct business but the manner in which he does conduct business. For 10 years we have had issues on that property with that property owner. He has been sited on many occasions. He almost gets to where he corrects the problem in the full limit time frame that he has and he does not quite complete it. When he turned the design in to the committee, he turned in a site plan that called for the building to be square in the back part of the property. Then he stated afterwards that it wouldn't be square to the property it will sit at an angle. It is bad enough that they will have a building by their houses, but now it will be a building that doesn't sit right on the property. That is one issue. The other is he said he needs that building to pull his trailer in. I am trying to figure out how you will pull a 135 foot trailer into a 50 foot long building. Physically it cannot be done.

Mr. Madison: Maybe the whole thing will not be in there, but he may want the load in there.

Mr. Asadorian: It can't be done. He hasn't been forth right with the information we need as a board to make the proper decisions. It was also suggested that he move his building to the front part of the property. Mr. Petrillo said it seemed easier if you pull in and back into the building that way. Mr. Dunn is talking about pulling his vehicle in, making a complete circle turn and stated he would have to go out the gate and almost to the road to be able to back my vehicle into the proposed building. I believe we talked about a study at that time during the meeting to see if that vehicle can make that turn in that amount of space which his property occupies. Evidently the highway department has some computer program that they work with on highways to see about turning radiuses for large vehicles. The neighbors do not ask for a lot. They ask that the building be built, just not by their houses, and I think everyone can understand that. They are asking it be placed in the front part of the property. Any suggestion that was made to Mr. Dunn was no I can't do that, it has to be done this way. There is no cooperation at all from Mr. Dunn on this issue. Here we sit in judgement one way or another, these neighbors have to deal with this and they have dealt with this the last 10 years and they have to deal with it from here on. We do not oppose the building, we support the building, and we just do not want it where he wants to put it. He falsified documents, we wasn't truthful and he continues to be acting in the same manner that he has acted for the last 10 years. At what point do we say no, we are not going to let you do this anymore. We have to call him on this. This is in my district and I am voting against this. We used to have the respect of the individual whose district it was in to allow their voice to be heard, that has gone to the wayside here. I don't want this for the neighbors in that area and we should vote against it.

Mr. Parkinson: Mr. Madison as you know this is an issue that I went both ways on. I agree with you on some of the variance issues and voted in your favor before. What it comes down for me is, do we have evidence from people in the area that will be negatively impacted by this decision? I think it has been proven that we do. This is in Art's district and he is fighting for his people. I voted for it when no one else cared and there was nobody opposing opposition to it. I voted for variances, but I think this time we need to listen to the people that took the time out of their day and come here to speak today and give them their rights as well that their property is not being infringed upon and their values be decreased and I encourage you to vote no.

Mr. Brandmeyer gave a brief overview through audio visual aid describing the property involved

Mr. Wesley: I am on the committee and have heard all this, but this particular property has been sited and part of the cleanup of the site includes the building proposed. I think we ought to encourage that particular building if we truly want him to clean up his property. Also, this has been through the zoning board, they reviewed it, they approved it, it then came to committee, the committee reviewed it and approved it, it then

came to county board, the board said they have questions and we would like it sent back to committee. It was sent back to committee, reviewed it and approved it again. I am encouraging my fellow board members to vote for this. This is something that has been through multiple layers of review and approval. If this gentleman is truly going to clean up that property we have need to give him the variance to allow that.

Mr. Malone: What kind of fence does that property have around it? Is it a regular chain length fence?

Mr. Brandmeyer: It is a 6 foot chain link with barb wire at the top. The past couple of years he has been a result of our code enforcement process. He has inserted vinyl strips that are green and look like an opaque fence. Across the street there is a white vinyl insert into the chain link fence there. It is not entirely opaque.

Ms. Novacich-Koberna: This property is in Granite City and I have seen it many times and I would not want to live by it. It is completely deplorable, it has not been taken care of. I understand we think by adding this structure it will help him store what he needs to store. It sounds to me like it is not going to be large enough. He is asking for things that are included in the variance and I will be voting no because of past practice of what I have seen him do and handle his property.

Mr. Holliday: It says for 10 years he has been skirting the rules and not being in compliance, I will also be voting no. I think with this being in Mr. Asadorian's district, he is the county board member there and I think what he wants to be done there should be a weighted vote.

Ms. Kuhn: How much ground does he have?

Mr. Brandmeyer: It is about 2 acres.

Ms. Kuhn: So when it is a business, what is the least amount they can have?

Mr. Brandmeyer: The zoning code needs to be re looked at. The minimum district size is 1 acre for non-residential uses. But he adjoins a larger district, so the minimum lot size is one acre as well.

Mr. Madison: I would like to add, if you look around there are big trucking companies all around and thick trees around. There is also commercial properties around. This gentleman is doing something that is allowed in his zoning classification and so are the other people. So if we tell him no and the others yes, we are voting against his property rights and the other folks say we let him do what he wants, it is against their property rights. We have to make a decision and if all the other folks in the area can do it, but we tell him he can't.

Mr. Moore: We all know that Mr. Asadorian fights for people in his district when it comes to regulations. I have to say I give him credit and it carries some weight on my decision if he says no to this. I also will say that going from 25 foot minimum to a 4 foot minimum we are ignoring 85% of the minimum amount of that regulation. Does that mean in the future for others people they can ignore that much of regulation? Can we let our grass grow 85% taller than the policy dictates? Why not give them that movement or ability to let their grass grow. Or if I am letting dark water go into the ditch, can I have 85% more flow into the water table? No I wouldn't think so. It is a slippery slope to use an overused term, if we are going to have a policy in place, then we stand by the policy. If we think the policy should be changed, then let's change the policy make it 4 foot, but I do not feel that is the best policy for the county as a whole. Now we have a responsibility and obligation to encourage business in this county and I am for that, but at the same time the individual that lives near here and spoke tonight have a right to their property and the value of that property. How would we feel if it was our property that was going to decline in value because a decision made by the Madison County board to allow something to become more unsightly and not contiguous to increasing property values.

Ms. Gorman: I could not have said that any better, I will second that.

Ms. Ciampoli: I would agree with that as well. I would like to ask how many board members or who went out to visit this lot? Most likely I will support the board member in that district.

Mr. Michael: I want to explain a little of my thinking in this. The zoning board approved this and not a single person voted against it. It passed unanimously through committee the first time, then came here and was sent back and it passed 4-2 the second time I believe. One of the main factors for me and why I voted for it, the actual neighbor that lives closest to this does not object. The ones objecting live further away. The neighbor that lives closest again does not object. So not a single adjoining property owner objects to this. Who has control over your property then, the people that live at the end of your street or the people that live 200 yards away? This is your property and you bought it and not a single adjoining neighbor objects, who else is allowed to tell you what to do? He only needs to be 4 foot away from the right a way, not someone else's property line, but 4 feet away from the road then he has enough room to back his trailer in. He cannot make it in the other corners because of gas lines there and it is illegal to build there. The other corner is not rock or concrete it is grass and when you have a 250 ton trailer it would get stuck when it floods near the ditch. There is a logical reason why this is the only place he can put it. This is why I am voting yes for this.

Ms. Kuhn: It always had been that the zoning board has been on site and now they are not correct? Is the zoning board required to go out and check things out? It does not seem right to me that they do not go onsite any more.

Mr. Madison: They do go out and look themselves on their own time then come to the zoning hearing.

Ms. Kuhn: Doesn't it give the people an opportunity whenever everyone is there at once to see?

Mr. Madison: They come here.

Mr. Brandmeyer: We have had a better turn out in the afternoons at 5:00 PM as opposed to during the day.

Ms. Kuhn: You never had a case where a zoning board member did not go to the site?

Ms. Kuhn: Do they do this in other counties?

Mr. Brandmeyer: We just started the new policy. As far as I know, we are the only one having onsite hearings in the entire country. I have never heard of it in my entire career. It is interesting and there are a lot of issues with it that we haven't resolved which I would have to go into more detail, but overall we are getting more people coming to the meetings since they are here and at 5:00 PM, which is a better time for people to come after work. We were getting complaints and other things on it being during the day.

Mr. Madison: Mr. Brandmeyer can you speak to the siting's and how long it took and how bad were those siting's. I think the people need the same context we were given.

Mr. Brandmeyer: We had Mr. Dunn under code violation every year for several years for different aspects. It seems every year we are getting closer to compliance. I think right now he is as close to compliance as he has ever been. I think he has cleaned up his lot to the larger degree because of this process. That is something to consider. He did provide some screening, like I said the chain link fence was completely open and now there are vinyl slats in there that does provide some screening. He has generally made an effort

to do some housekeeping on the property. He is in compliance with the land use and the zoning. He is largely in compliance with a lot of our property maintenance issues. There are things that pop up. But knowing this is largely a business area, we expect that. And especially when you are talking about a business that backs up to a residential neighborhood. That kind of interaction between land uses are the toughest. We have been getting him closer to compliance and I think the building he is proposing will get him even closer and help with that.

Mr. Minner: Was this zoning hearing before or after you stopped onsite hearings?

Mr. Brandmeyer: It was after, it was here.

Mr. Asadorian: If you look at the property itself, I think it tells a story. The gas line issue was not an issue because it runs from left front corner to the right rear corner at an angle. So any time he drives across this property, he crossing that gas line. Whether you build or drive over it, the request was to put it in front right corner buy the neighbors. They encouraged the building not right there. As far as the immediate neighbor, he has given up on this issue. He is not going to object because it does not do any good. The rest of the neighbors are not 200 yards away, everyone is within 400 feet of that property. It is a very condensed neighborhood, they are right next to the property and they don't deserve to see what we are seeing on the screen.

Mr. Madison: I can tell you if the next door neighbor would have come here and spoke, he would have been taken very seriously.

Mr. Asadorian: Why is the neighbor directly next door taken seriously but every other resident within a 400 foot shot not taken seriously? They don't count?

Mr. Madison: I think that is pretty obvious. I am glad people are talking about whose district it is in and they should have more weight in this or say. That always doesn't mean they are right. Here is what I would say or believe about it. I have never yet voted no against anybody in their district, but I have voted yes against them and that is the way I will continue to do things.

Mr. Parkinson: I just want to reiterate, Mick I go with you a lot on these variances but tonight I can't do it. These people are affected by this, their property values are affected by this, and he is their constituent here fighting for them and I have been consistent on this and I tend to go with the people in their area and what they suggest and I feel that is right.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Chapman, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Harriss, Madison, McRae, Michael and Wesley.

NAYS: Asadorian, Ciampoli, Dalton, Gorman, Holliday, Jones, Kuhn, Malone, Minner, Moore, Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard, and Trucano.

AYES: 10. NAYS: 14. Whereupon the Chairman declared the resolution has been denied.

* * * * *

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL
FOR UPDATING MADISON COUNTY'S MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL ALL HAZARDS
MITIGATION PLAN**

WHEREAS, proposals were advertised, and received to provide professional services to update Madison County's Multi-Jurisdictional All Hazards Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, proposals were reviewed for compliance with the specifications and instructions to consultants; and,

WHEREAS, the following vendors submitted proposals:

American Environmental\$42,617.00
3700 West Grand Ave., Suite A
Springfield, IL 62711

WHEREAS, American Environmental met all specified documentation; and,

WHEREAS, the costs will be paid from the Emergency Management Agency's Hazardous Material Emergency Preparedness Grant.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County, Illinois, that the County Board Chairman be directed and designated to execute said contract with American Environmental of Springfield, IL to provide professional services to update Madison County's Multi-Jurisdictional All Hazards Mitigation Plan.

Respectfully Submitted,

Gussie Glasper, Chair

Lisa Ciampoli, Chair

s/ Michael Parkinson
Mike Parkinson

s/ D.A. Moore
Don Moore

s/ Raymond Wesley
Ray Wesley

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ Art Asadorian
Art Asadorian

s/ Larry Trucano
Larry Trucano

s/ Judy Kuhn
Judy Kuhn

s/ Robert Pollard
Robert Pollard

s/ Lisa Ciampoli
Lisa Ciampoli

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Public Safety Committee

Gussie Glasper
Finance & Government Operations Committee

Mr. Asadorian moved, seconded by Ms. Ciampoli, to adopt the foregoing resolution.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Chapman, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Dalton, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Gorman, Ms. Harriss, Holliday, Jones, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, Malone, McRae, Michael, Minner, Moore, Ms. Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard, Trucano and Wesley.

NAYS: None.

AYES: 24. NAYS: 0. Whereupon the Chairman declared the foregoing resolution duly adopted.

* * * * *

The following resolution was submitted and read:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the County of Madison has undertaken a program to collect delinquent taxes and to perfect titles to real property in cases where the taxes on the same have not been paid pursuant to 35 ILCS 201/21d and 235A (formerly Ch. 120, Secs. 697(d) and 716(a), Ill. Rev. Stat. 1987, and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to this program, the County of Madison has acquired an interest in the real estate described on the attached list, and it appearing to the Property Trustee Committee that it would be in the best interest of the County to dispose of its interest in said property, and

WHEREAS, the parties on the attached list, have offered the amounts shown and the breakdown of these amounts have been determined as shown.

THEREFORE, Your Real Estate Tax Cycle Committee recommends the adoption of the following resolution.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNTY BOARD OF MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS, that the Chairman of the Board of Madison County, Illinois, be authorized to execute deed of conveyance of the County's interest or authorize the cancellation of the appropriate Certificate(s) of Purchase, as the case may be, on the attached described real estate, for the amounts shown on the attached, to be disbursed according to law.

ADOPTED by roll call vote 17th day of October, 2018.

ATTEST:

s/ Debra D. Ming Mendoza
County Clerk

s/ Kurt Prenzler
County Board Chairman

Submitted by:

s/ Philip Chapman
s/ D.A. Moore
s/ Mike Parkinson
s/ David Michael

Real Estate Tax Cycle Committee

MADISON COUNTY MONTHLY RESOLUTION LIST OCTOBER 2018

RES#	Account	Type	Acct Name	Total Collected	County Clerk	Auc	Recorder	Agent	Treasurer
10-18-001	0818993	SAL	City of Collinsville	634.00	0.00	0.00	34.00	350.00	250.00
10-18-002	2014-01566	SUR	Joshua Robinson	3,540.00	117.00	0.00	0.00	1,215.36	2,204.84
10-18-003	1217001E	RENT	Plains Marketing	29.34	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.67	14.67
10-18-004	2013-01920	REC	Stanislaus & Patricia Kochanski	1,083.99	10.00	0.00	44.00	445.80	584.19
10-18-005	1116027L	DEF-SA	Uptown Capital Partners	1,386.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	509.00	877.00
			Totals:	\$6,673.33	\$127.00	\$0.00	\$78.00	\$2,534.83	\$3,930.70
							Clerk Fees:		\$127.00
							Recorder:		\$78.00
							Total to County		\$4,135.70

Mr. Chapman moved, seconded by Ms. Ciampoli, to adopt the foregoing resolution.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Chapman, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Dalton, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Gorman, Ms. Harriss, Holliday, Jones, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, Malone, McRae, Michael, Minner, Moore, Ms. Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard, Trucano and Wesley.

NAYS: None.

AYES: 24. NAYS: 0. Whereupon the Chairman declared the foregoing resolution duly adopted.

The following four (4) resolutions were submitted and read:

REPORT OF BIDS/AWARD CONTRACT CHAMBERLAIN BRIDGE TR236 ON PIN OAK ROAD SECTION 13-20110-00-BR PIN OAK ROAD DISTRICT MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Madison County Board

Ladies and Gentlemen:

WE, your Transportation Committee to whom was referred the Petition for County Aid to replace the existing single span structure carrying Pin Oak Road over Silver Creek located in Section 14 of Pin Oak Township with a new single span, precast concrete beam bridge along with other necessary roadway work to complete this project, beg leave to report that your Committee advertised for and received bids on October 2, 2018 at 10:30 A. M. at the Office of the County Engineer, 7037 Marine Road, Edwardsville, Illinois, 62025, at which time the following bids were received:

Baxmeyer Construction, Inc., Waterloo, IL.....	\$438,667.77*
Keller Construction, Inc., Glen Carbon, IL.....	\$448,544.00
Stutz Excavating, Inc., Alton, IL	\$475,366.35
DMS Contracting Inc., Mascoutah, IL.....	\$481,527.00
Plocher Construction Company, Inc., Highland, IL.....	\$536,390.00
The Kilian Corporation, Mascoutah, IL.....	\$542,008.90
Kinney Contractors Inc., Raymond, IL.....	\$551,728.30
RCS Construction, Inc., Wood River, IL.....	\$568,421.94

Your Committee recommends that the above project be awarded to Baxmeyer Construction, Inc., Waterloo, Illinois, their bid being the lowest received and that the project be funded from the County Bridge Fund.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

s/ Judy Kuhn
Judy Kuhn

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Clint Jones

s/ Mike Walters
Mike Walters

s/ Larry Trucano
Larry Trucano

s/ Ann Gorman
Ann Gorman

Transportation Committee

* * * *

REPORT OF BIDS/AWARD CONTRACT 2018 VARIOUS ROADWAY PATCHING MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Madison County Board

Ladies and Gentlemen:

WE, your Transportation Committee beg leave to report that we have received bids for the improvement of 2018 Various Roadway Patching on St. James Road, Moro Road, Seminary Road, Union

School Road and New Poag Road located in Madison County. Work shall consist of Class B Patches, Gutter Removal and Replacement along with other necessary work to complete this project, beg leave to report that your Committee advertised for and received bids on October 2, 2018 at 10:30 A. M. at the Office of the County Engineer, 7037 Marine Road, Edwardsville, Illinois, 62025, at which time the following bids were received:

Kinney Contractors Inc., Raymond, IL.....	\$ 65,972.00*
Keller Construction, Inc., Glen Carbon, IL.....	\$ 68,640.00
RCS Construction, Inc., Wood River, IL.....	\$ 72,868.00
Keeley & Sons, Inc., E. St. Louis, IL.....	\$108,123.60
Stutz Excavating, Inc., Alton, IL	\$119,598.00
Hanks Excavating & Landscaping, Belleville, IL.....	\$119,661.20

Your Committee recommends that the above project be awarded to Kinney Contractors, Inc., Raymond, Illinois, their bid being the lowest received and that the project be funded from the County Highway Fund.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

s/ Judy Kuhn
Judy Kuhn

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Clint Jones

s/ Mike Walters
Mike Walters

s/ Larry Trucano
Larry Trucano

s/ Ann Gorman
Ann Gorman

Transportation Committee

* * * *

**AGREEMENT/FUNDING RESOLUTION NEW POAG ROAD OVER ABANDONED NW
RAILROAD COUNTY OF MADISON, SECTION 15-00113-03-BR MADISON COUNTY,
ILLINOIS**

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Madison County Board

Ladies and Gentlemen:

WHEREAS, the State of Illinois Department of Transportation and the County of Madison, in order to facilitate the free flow of traffic and insure safety to the motoring public, are desirous to reconstruct the bridge carrying New Poag Road (CH 69) over the abandoned NW Railroad/MCT Goshen Trail, project consists of superstructure removal & replacement, PCC pavement removal & replacement, riprap, guardrail removal & replacement, striping and other appropriate work in accordance with the approved plans and specifications; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Highway Agency has Federal Funds allocated to this project; and

WHEREAS, the County of Madison has sufficient funds to appropriate for this project; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County that the Chairman of the County Board be and he is hereby authorized to enter into a joint agreement with the State of Illinois Department of Transportation towards the funding of the above-mentioned project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Four Hundred Thousand (\$400,000.00) dollars from the County Matching Tax Fund and furthermore agrees to pass a supplemental resolution if necessary to appropriate additional funds for the County's share of the project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County and its' Chairman that the County of Madison hereby approves the plans and specifications for the above-mentioned project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Clerk of Madison County be directed to transmit three (3) certified copies of this Resolution to the State of Illinois Department of Transportation through its' District Engineer at Collinsville, Illinois.

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

s/ Judy Kuhn
Judy Kuhn

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Clint Jones

s/ Mike Walters
Mike Walters

s/ Larry Trucano
Larry Trucano

s/ Ann Gorman
Ann Gorman

Transportation Committee

* * * *

**ROADWAY BRIDGE CROSSING LICENSE AGREEMENT RESOLUTION NEW POAG ROAD
OVER ABANDONED NW RAILROAD COUNTY OF MADISON, SECTION 15-00113-03-BR
MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Madison County Board

Ladies and Gentlemen:

WHEREAS, the State of Illinois Department of Transportation and the County of Madison, in order to facilitate the free flow of traffic and insure safety to the motoring public, are desirous to reconstruct the bridge carrying New Poag Road (CH 69) over the abandoned NW Railroad/MCT Goshen Trail; and

WHEREAS, the Madison County Mass Transit District has jurisdiction of the abandoned NW Railroad right of way under the proposed structure; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the County Board of Madison County that the Chairman of the County Board be and he is hereby authorized to enter into a Roadway Bridge Crossing License Agreement with the Madison County Mass Transit District for the construction and maintenance of the above-mentioned project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Clerk of Madison County be directed to transmit one (1) certified copy of this Resolution to the Madison County Mass Transit District, One Transit Way, P.O. Box 7500, Granite City, Illinois 62040-7500.

s/ Tom McRae
Tom McRae

s/ Judy Kuhn
Judy Kuhn

s/ Philip Chapman
Philip Chapman

s/ David Michael
David Michael

Clint Jones

s/ Mike Walters
Mike Walters

s/ Larry Trucano
Larry Trucano

s/ Ann Gorman
Ann Gorman

Transportation Committee

Mr. McRae moved, seconded by Mr. Jones, to adopt the four (4) foregoing resolutions.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Chapman, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Dalton, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Gorman, Ms. Harriss, Holliday, Jones, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, Malone, McRae, Michael, Minner, Moore, Ms. Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard, Trucano and Wesley.

NAYS: None.

AYES: 24. NAYS: 0. Whereupon the Chairman declared the four (4) resolutions duly adopted.

* * * * *

Mr. Prenzler: Any new business?

Mr. Asadorian: This report was given to us and I was wondering if the finance committee could look into some better investments than a 3.15% return. I am just asking the committee to look into that.

Mr. Madison: I would like to ask that all departments that are proposing to change any ordinance or policy utilize strikethrough lines to delineate all texts that are to be eliminated and highlighted text for all new text and fee amounts that are going to be proposed from this time forward. This is the only way the entire county board can have proper information necessary to make informed decisions. I will submit this for the record.

Mr. McRae: Can we have the treasurer address the question on investments. That is not the finance committee, the treasurer makes those decisions and it would be appropriate to have him address any issue.

Mr. Slusser: That is our average yield. We are lattering these investments out. We are not allowed to go out and buy stocks. They did just change the law that we can buy some corporate bonds, but these are the best investments out there. I have looked for the best opportunities. We are not allowed to buy junk bonds that would pay a higher yield, these are the absolute best yields and if you look, we have compared it with other county treasurer and we are out performing all the other county treasurers with our investments at this point. We are only able to buy municipal bonds and federal agency bonds. If you look in the bottom left corner, where we compare it to the treasury curve yield, we are out performing everything we have bought in the last two years is outperforming the benchmark by 31 basis points and that includes investments we have made that are at 1 year maturity, 2 year maturity and 3 year. All investments in the bond market are benchmarked to the treasury, especially the munie bond and if we are out performing the treasury yield, then we are doing really well.

Mr. Parkinson: Compared to 2 years ago before you took over, how are you doing financially as far as making the county more money with these investments, compared to the 2 years before you took over?

Mr. Slusser: I think that is addressed there. Over the next 12 months we will do a little of 2 million more maybe 2.3 million dollars more than what we did in the past. That is a combination of interest rates have risen, I cannot take all the credit, and the rates have gone up. We have taken advantage of that. We are well positioned to make a move. We have about 2/3 of the portfolio was turned over so we were able to invest that. We still have 1/3 that has not matured and will mature in the next year. We have done a little better. Part of that is strategy and part is interest rates.

Mr. Parkinson: One final question, what were most of the investments in before you took over?

Mr. Slusser: Most were federal agency bonds and CD's and cash.

Mr. Parkinson: What were the yields on those bonds?

Mr. Slusser: I think the whole portfolio was earning 1.08%. A lot were in CD's and I will say the municipal bond market has improved significantly over the last couple of years, which is one reason I wanted to take advantage of it.

Ms. Kuhn: I would like to have it noted in the minutes that I am requesting the animal ordinance that has been in discussion, be given in hard copy to members of the public safety committee and the rest of the county board members at least 2 weeks before the vote in committee. This would give board members ample time to discuss the issue with their constituents and the authorities in their district.

Mr. Chapman: I have two things, I am curious Mr. Madison's document was an actual motion or not.

Mr. Madison: No it was not.

Mr. Chapman: Second of all, I did not hear old business called.

Mr. Prenzler: Old business is not on the agenda.

Mr. Chapman: According to my understanding, old business should be on every agenda. If that was overlooked may I suggest that I have something that might be appropriate for old business? Mr. Chairman I move to reconsider the resolution I voted for last month at the county board meeting which amended chapter 55 of the Madison County Food Sanitation Ordinance. I am concerned there are new fees and some members were ill prepared to consider in retrospect I think the greater scrutiny may be appropriate.

Mr. Prenzler: Are you making a motion?

Mr. Chapman: That is a motion and if I understand parliamentary procedures correctly, I do have the right to make it in this meeting and I do have the right to reconsider it since I voted aye on the original motion.

Mr. Prenzler: Is this a motion to send it back to committee?

Mr. Chapman: No, because we already voted on it at the last meeting and we were unable to. My motion is to reconsider it right now.

Mr. Asadorian: I believe the person voting in the affirmative on a motion that past has a right to represent.

Mr. Chapman: I did vote for it, but a couple of my colleagues have come to me very concerned that there were new fees past at that time, which they were ill prepared to really discuss intelligently. I think that when we pass new fees which is a tax, if you look it up a fee is known as a tax, we should discuss new taxes. We really didn't discuss those new taxes.

Mr. Prenzler: So this is a motion to reconsider it, but not a motion to send it back to committee?

Mr. Chapman: We already voted on that. You would have to reconsider it at this meeting.

Mr. Asadorian: It's a reconsider motion.

Mr. Michael: If we vote to reconsider and it gets voted to yes to reconsider then the committee could bring back a new ordinance with a more humble fee increase or whatever it is they want, right? Any changes that they would desire? So basically it is like sending it back to committee.

Ms. Ciampoli: I just want to let the fellow board members to know that these fees already went into effect. The chairman had the right to hold or recall it within 10 days and did not. So therefore once he signed it, it went into effect and these fees and newsletters have gone out to the public. I wanted to inform you of that.

Mr. Michael: That is technically incorrect, I have a copy of the newsletter right here. I was given by a restaurant owner and it does not mention anything about a fee increase, not a single thing, would you like to see it?

Mr. Prenzler: Ms. Corona, have the fees been increased?

Ms. Ciampoli: I have this here that says changes in the food service ordinance and sanitation ordinance on December 1, 2018 the newly amended food service and sanitation ordinance will take effect. The amend ordinance change will include definition and all that. This has went out already.

Mr. Michael: No, it says changes to the fees structure, so imagine if the University of Illinois sent out a document saying we changed the tuition structure and then you went online and found out it increased 80% that is what we just did.

Mr. Chapman: If in fact the newsletter says that this will not take effect until December 1, 2018, then I would say the board has sufficient time to reconsider and change that. It is within their prevue and within their power to change it. It is untrue to say that those fees have taken effect, because they have not. By their own wording they have not. They do not take place until December 1, 2018.

Mr. Asadorian: I would second that motion.

Mr. Madison: Per the document that I have submitted for the record, every board member had one placed before them tonight. The yellow highlighted areas are the areas I was concerned with and put in red font where I made my own comments. I would like when the health department committee go back through this, going back to the statement I made at the beginning of new business that all departments use strikethrough and highlight to show text being removed and new text being put in and any changes to the fees showing the old fees versus the new fees, I think that should always be given to all committees and to all board members.

Mr. Prenzler: But that is not part of the motion? The motion is clearly to reconsider what was done at the prior county board meeting.

Mr. Chapman: I might add that it was moved and seconded if I understand by Mr. Moore and it is not showing that.

Mr. Holliday: As that went back to committee, I asked you Mr. Madison if you had anything and you said you would present it to us and there was nothing presented when we had our meeting last. Now I see this after the fact.

Mr. Madison: I am sorry Doc, I missed getting on the agenda by one day and realized I was late.

Mr. Michael: I don't know if the committee did any research on the fees, but I did it very quickly on my phone for 10 minutes and we increased it from 375.00 to 675.00 that is an 80% fee increase. Put that in perspective, Clinton County's fee is 150.00, Macoupin is 85.00, Bond is 200.00 and Cook County is 600.00, our fee is now higher than Cook County. Arguably the most corrupt and inefficient government unit in the State of Illinois. That is embarrassing. Why are we higher than Cook County and 300 times higher than Clinton, Bond and Macoupin County?

Mr. Holliday: Why don't you come to the Health Department Committee and see what is being talked about and why the fees are what they are.

Mr. Michael: If you would send us the information beforehand, that would be great.

Mr. Asadorian: I am just curious, on a motion to reconsider what percentage of vote is required to overturn that?

Mr. Prenzler: Majority vote.

Mr. Moore: I have to commend Mr. Chapman for giving consideration and changing his vote from a yes to a no. I would encourage other members who voted yes last time on these changes to the ordinance to do so. This was brought up by myself at the last meeting and we have a member of the board who did a lot of homework and came up with questions that he wanted to get answers. And my understanding there was no emergency need for this to pass right away. We basically ignored what Mr. Madison wanted to discuss with the board right wrong or indifferent and go ahead and pass an ordinance that is now coming back to bite us. We need to take more time. We have an ordinance in place and we have a county board member that has questions about something, let them ask the question to see if it could make a difference.

Ms. Ciampoli: I did offer to answer any questions at that time and was not given that chance. I had the ability to answer any of Mr. Madison's questions and we also had the department head here, Toni to answer any questions and she was not given any time to speak at that meeting. I apologize, I offered the chance to rebut and was not given that chance.

Mr. Parkinson: Were there any reductions in these fee proposals?

Ms. Ciampoli: Yes there was.

Ms. Corona: There were a few fees that were reduced. We split Category 1 and Category 2. They pay separate fees now. New concession stand fee was reduced 50%. There is a fee decrease for concession stands that operate seasonally. Several other fees. I apologize I do not have any of my paperwork here. There was a cost analysis done and the fee structure we developed was developed over a series of 3 months with the Health Department Committee and the Health Advisory Committee input. This wasn't just done in a silo. It was done in the open. We also reached out to municipalities at one point to discuss food trucks.

Mr. Pollard: I have a question for Ms. Corona, what kind of deficit were we running with the fees we were charging?

Ms. Corona: When we did our cost analysis we discovered basically to operate this state mandated program, we were operating about a deficit of \$230,000.00.

Mr. Madison: To Lisa, I apologize, we did discuss this prior to the meeting, but you can see this document and there are a lot of items on there and I don't even know how you would go through the fees to amend all this at a county board meeting. My asking for it to go back to the committee was denied. It was already

passed, had I gone to the committee meeting I don't think I would have done any good anyway, even if I had remembered to put it on my agenda, which I planned to do. My point is we did not know what any of these fees used to be or if they had changed at all. That is what we need to know from every committee and every department. I have asked if of mine and they do those things in every committee I have been on in the past that has come up with quite of few changes. That is my whole point, for us to make an educated decision we need to have the information. If we do need to raise our prices then the health department can come and present their fees now and what they want to propose to raise them to and why. They need to let us know, so we can an informed decision.

Mr. Parkinson: What I want to point out, the question I asked, my park district was suffering. They were being charged exuberant fees for concession stands that were open during softball season to serve pretzels, soda and candy bars to kids watching their dad play. This is a reduction in their fees to make it more viable for them not to lose money each year as well. There are some good things with this.

Mr. Chapman: I have 2 questions, someone raised a good point, what was your deficit? Apparently it is \$230,000 previously, what is your anticipated receipts not that this would be unchanged and goes into effect, surely you must anticipate what your revenues would be then.

Mr. Pollard: Break even.

Ms. Corona: The increased permit fees will close the gap between what it costs for us to inspect the establishments.

Mr. Chapman: So to break even with the \$230,000 my next question would be that it appears the restaurant fees took a huge hit here, are the restaurants paying the \$230,000 or is someone else helping to bring the county in balance?

Ms. Corona:

Mr. Chapman: My question was are the 1400 places or restaurants, are they the ones picking up the \$230,000?

Ms. Corona: Yes. That is income that is generated from the fees. Each establishment will pay for a permit based on their category. There are about 1400 of those establishments. To compare a Bond county, they have less than 100 establishments.

Mr. Chapman: So everybody that got their taxes cut or eliminated, they will not carry any of that, so it all fell on the restaurants, is that right?

Ms. Corona: That is not true. What the breakout was of the study that we did and it was shared with the health department committee. The permit category dictates the number of inspections we conduct annually within the establishment. So we are in some places three times a year. Seasonal facilities operate less often and should not have to pay the same amount as the places we go to multiple times per year. There are many types of food operations. All have had their fees increased. Temporary permit fees stay \$75.00.

Mr. Asadorian: Cost go up and we need to address those. I know we had a situation with special sewer district and the rates had to be raised. Instead of doing in one fell swoop, we spread it out over a 3-5 year period where the rate actually increased slightly more than what we anticipated it should be 6 years down the road. Like anything else, we discussed businesses trying to operate in Madison County and if this could be implemented over a 3-4 year period and I realize by doing that we would be taking a hit each year until we get to that point to where the fees will be more our costs, that would be a perfect resolve to this whole

situation. Instead of hitting the businesses with an additional 300.00 cost, maybe do 110.00-150.00 cost and spread it out.

Ms. Gorman: Toni, can you give us an example of a category 1 annual permit?

Ms. Corona: Those are your full scale operations. McDonalds is a Category 2 establishment. It all comes down to the type of food handling practices that they do- cooling, reheating, and making food from scratch- that's a category 1. We have not had a fee increase in 5 years.

Mr. Wesley: With the fee increase proposals that we have now, what will happen in years to come. Are they anticipating additional fee increases? As it was stated earlier, costs do go up, and I am curious as to what the long range plan is and if it is the first increase in the tax that we are going to see?

Mr. Asadorian: It says every 2 years on the odd years it would go up 5%.

Ms. Corona: Yes.

Ms. Gorman: A category 2 annual permit is McDonalds and they pay the county \$425.00 a year?

Ms. Corona: Correct.

Ms. Gorman: So do you think that \$425.00 is unreasonable for McDonalds to pay and do business in the county?

Mr. Goggin: We have been operating this \$230,000 deficit and that is coming out of a fund, is that fund supplied only by the fees or is that fund supplied by the general fund?

Mr. Holliday: It would come out of the general fund.

Mr. Hulme: It's property tax as well. They have a property tax levy for the health department then they have their fees then the other money they generate as far as activity that they sell at the health department.

Mr. McRae: How do we operate at a deficit and how long has this been? If we have a \$230,000 deficit that did not occur the other day or 6 months ago, how is this deficit made up?

Ms. Corona: Each year it costs more to operate the program. The food permit revenue should support the program.

Mr. McRae: You know you could take that to an extreme and say you could hire more staff and then the revenue should support more, right? I don't understand how all of a sudden we arrived at this all of a sudden?

Ms. Corona: We realize a loss of approximately \$230,000.00 when the cost study analysis was conducted. The permit fees should cover the costs of the program.

Mr. Chapman: The question that Mr. McRae asked was never answered, which was in the past how did you make up the deficit? This did not happen overnight. You still did not answer that question.

Mr. McRae: You are not deficit spending? No, so you are projecting the so called deficit as really not a deficit. It is your projections and cost. You have revenues and you are not in a fiscal crisis, right?

Ms. Corona: Certainly not. Revenue in health department comes from special property tax rate, which has been about 3, as maximum rate is 7.5 for the last 23 years. We also have program grants and fees for permits. Keeping the special tax rate low means that we have to look at the other sources to cover costs.

Mr. Minner: That health tax is actually property taxes, correct.

Ms. Corona: That is correct.

Mr. Minner: How can we expect them to operate at a loss and add on to the property tax that does not seem to make sense?

Ms. Ciampoli: How can we not ask the health department to not raise their fees in 23 years? I don't know any part of the county that has done that and not raised their tax levy.

Mr. Michael: One, the health department has probably raised their tax levy in 23 years. Two, in one fund or cost center having a \$230,000 deficit yet at the end of the year they break even because something else is funding that deficit, that means all else being equal all you did was increase this fee, you would have a \$230,000 surplus. There is no way. We need to reconsider this and look at this.

Ms. Harriss: If it is reconsidered, it was mentioned that the fee was the highest in the state, I would be curious if other counties that we are comparing ourselves too are they able to charge that lower amount and break even? Are they running more efficiently or are they operating at a loss?

Mr. Chapman: I have a question for you Mr. Chairman and the administration, are you in favor of this resolution that was passed last month?

Mr. Prenzler: I think there are so many questions here that I think this is a good candidate to go back for reconsideration. I think in the spirit of what we did last month with the zoning question, it went back to committee. It appears that there are many questions here and it is a lot of money and affects many businesses. If you want my opinion, I do think it is appropriate. Can we restate the motion?

Mr. Chapman: I move to reconsider a resolution I voted for last month at the county board meeting, which amended chapter 55 of the Madison County food sanitation ordinance and I move we reconsider what we voted on last month.

Mr. Asadorian: So are we voting to send back to committee or establish something new in its place.

Mr. Prenzler: No it is basically a motion to reverse what was done.

Mr. Parkinson: No, it is to reconsider it.

Mr. Prenzler: Probably send back to committee.

Mr. Parkinson: That is not correct.

Mr. Asadorian: This is the question I have. This reconsider, is it to change what voted on?

Mr. Prenzler: It is to reverse the vote that is my understanding. To reverse what was done before.

Mr. Chapman: What I would like to see is because there has been enough concern raised here that we really need to reconsider it. Which would mean we would maybe change the fees and it would reverse the previous vote. The previous vote would no longer exist.

Mr. Holliday: How can you tell the health department how much money they are going to need?

Mr. Moore: I don't think we are. I think we are taking a look at it and address it.

Mr. Michael: I am an elected official and represent 10,000 people and they have a right to have a voice here.

Mr. Holliday: But if you don't know what it takes to run the department, how can you say how much they need?

Mr. Prenzler: This has been debated long enough. I think there was a valid question on what we were voting on Mr. Asadorian. We are voting to reconsider.

Mr. Parkinson: A vote to reconsider is brought back in front of the same board.

Mr. Madison: So you will have to take a look at this again in your committee.

Mr. Parkinson: No, it is brought back in front of the same board. He is asking for us to reconsider it and it is done here on the spot.

Mr. Asadorian: This is why I asked the question, a vote to reconsider is we discuss it and we make a decision today. It does not go back to committee for consideration.

Mr. Parkinson: You are asking us to reconsider it tonight. It does not go back to committee. Phil, if it is voted to reconsider it, then yes we would vote on it tonight. Then a motion could be made to send it back to committee. But a vote to reconsider it has to be voted on tonight.

Mr. Chapman: Yes, and we would have to reconsider it tonight even if that meant we decided to do whatever with it.

Mr. Prenzler: So we are voting to reconsider and if the vote is to reconsider, then we vote again.

Mr. Parkinson: If you don't want to reconsider it, then it is a no vote.

Mr. Chapman: And if you do want to reconsider it because you have concerns with it, then it is a yes vote.

Mr. Prenzler: So the vote we are taking is a vote to reconsider.

Mr. Chapman moved, seconded by Mr. Moore, to reconsider the Chapter 55 food sanitation ordinance that was voted on last month.

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to reconsider resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Chapman, Ms. Dutton, Futrell, Gray, Goggin, Ms. Harriss, Ms. Kuhn, Madison, McRae, Michael, Moore, Trucano and Wesley.

NAYS: Asadorian, Ciampoli, Dalton, Gorman, Holliday, Jones, Malone, Minner, Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson and Pollard.

AYES: 13. NAYS: 11. Whereupon the Chairman declared the reconsideration of the ordinance.

Mr. Chapman moved, seconded by Mr. Michael, to revote on the Chapter 55 food sanitation ordinance presented last month.

Mr. Madison: We are now voting on reconsidering the passing of this ordinance presented last month.

Ms. Harriss: Can I vote to table it so I can attend the committee meeting to learn more?

Mr. Prenzler: No. We are voting again

Mr. McRae: If it is voted down then it could go back to committee to have another discussion about it.

Mr. Prenzler: Correct. So if you vote it down then it has to go back to committee.

Mr. Parkinson: So a yes vote is for the original and a no vote is for not approving it.

Mr. Prenzler: A yes vote is for the original fee increase and we are voting again. Does everyone understand?

The ayes and nays being called on the motion to adopt resulted in a vote as follows:

AYES: Asadorian, Ms. Ciampoli, Ms. Gorman, Holliday, Jones, Malone, Minner, Ms. Novacich-Koberna, Parkinson, Pollard and Trucano.

NAYS: Chapman, Dalton, Dutton, Futrell, Goggin, Gray, Harriss, Kuhn, Madison, McRae, Michael, Moore and Wesley.

AYES: 11. NAYS: 13. Whereupon the Chairman declared the adoption of the ordinance has failed.

Mr. Moore: It can now go back to committee for review and hopefully get all the questions answered that Mr. Madison and others have.

* * * * *

Mr. Futrell moved, seconded by Ms. Harriss, to recess this session of the Madison County Board Meeting until Wednesday, November 21, 2018. **MOTION CARRIED.**

ATTEST: Debbie Ming-Mendoza
County Clerk

* * * * *