

**MINUTES  
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE  
ZONING AND PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE MINUTES  
SPECIAL MEETING  
TUESDAY MARCH 23, 2010  
MEMORIAL HALL  
6:30 p.m.**

**Present:** Chairman Williams Trustee LaPlaca, Trustee Angelo, Trustee Schultz

**Absent:** None

**Also Present:** David Cook, Village Manager; Robert McGinnis, Acting Community Development Director/Building Commissioner; Brad Bloom, Chief of Police; Mike Kelly, Fire Chief

Chairman Williams called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

**Minutes – February 22, 2010**

Trustee Angelo moved to approve the minutes as amended for February 22, 2010 meeting. Trustee Schultz seconded. Minor adjustments were addressed and corrected. The motion passed unanimously.

**Monthly Reports – February 2010**

**Police and Fire Departments**

Chief Kelly had no additional comments on the fire department monthly report and offered to answer any questions that the Committee had. Chief Kelly answered questions from the Committee on the progress with the shared services agreement with Clarendon Hills. Chief Kelly informed the Committee that both agencies continue to meet and discuss the various areas of the agreement and that recently there have been discussions on ambulance fees and billing providers. Chief Kelly indicated that two important components of the agreement initially are the common dispatch component and the shared fire radio frequency and that those items are on schedule for implementation by the end of April.

Chief Bloom asked the ZPS Committee if they had any questions regarding the Police report from February 2010. Hearing no questions, he briefly discussed the recent outbreak of cars being broke into and stated the Village currently has no suspects.

**Community Development**

Mr. McGinnis discussed the opening of the new restaurant New York New York and stated they received their certificate of occupancy and should be opening very soon. He also reported on the status of a new sushi restaurant that will be opening up in the downtown area and stated it is currently going through the permit process. Permit revenue is also up 20% this month when compared to the previous year. Trustee Schultz questioned where permit revenue was for the entire year. Mr. Cook stated permit revenue would be down roughly 5% to 10% on the year. General

discussion took place over staffing and if the proposed hospital expansion will have an effect on staffing levels. Mr. McGinnis stated the overview of the project will be handled both in house and by third party consultants and he was confident that staffing issues would not pose a problem.

### **Request for Board Action**

#### **Recommend approval of an Ordinance Approving a Design Review Permit for Site Plan and Exterior Appearance Plan Modifications at 718 N. York Road\*\***

Trustee Schultz expressed his concerns with the design of the sign and felt the sign was confusing and misleading. Terry Doyle, representative of Women's Choice Services, stated the sign is not misleading and it simply states the name of the business on the sign. General discussion took place over certain details of the signage. Trustee Angelo motioned to recommend approval of an Ordinance Approving a Design Review Permit for Site Plan and Exterior Appearance Plan Modifications at 718 N. York Road. Trustee LaPlaca seconded. The motion passed with the following vote: Ayes: Chairman Williams, Trustee LaPlaca, Trustee Angelo. Nays: Trustee Schultz. The motion passed with a vote of 3-1.

#### **Recommend Approval of a Resolution for Maintenance of Streets and Highways by Municipalities under the Illinois Highway Code\***

Chairman Williams questioned who calculates the amount of MFT. Mr. Cook stated this is a request submitted by the Village and can be negotiated. Trustee Schultz motioned to recommend the approval of Streets and Highways by Municipalities under the Illinois Highway Code. Trustee LaPlaca seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

#### **Recommend approval to renew the contract of Attorney Linda Pieczynski at the current per session court rate of \$180.00\***

Trustee Schultz motioned to recommend approval to renew the contract of Attorney Linda Pieczynski at the current per session court rate of \$180.00. Trustee Angelo seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

#### **Recommend approval of a permit for a temporary use at 26-32 First Street for a period of time to be approved by the Village Board of Trustees**

Bill Hogan, representative from Good Earth Green House, provided information regarding this temporary use request. He stated this lease would last for 90 days and this would be an ideal location for this type of use. A temporary green house would be installed in the parking lot and the proposal would take up roughly 25% of the parking spaces available on the property. He discussed the possibility of extending operations to sell pumpkins and Christmas trees but this type of sales would most likely not be utilized in the Village. General discussion took place over the location of the temporary structures that would be placed on the pavement. Chairman Williams questioned if enough parking would be available for this request. Mr. Cook stated he was confident the parking count would be enough but these are one of the issues community development would be confirming before the next Village Board meeting and also fire and utility access. Trustee Schultz questioned if this type of request could be extended at the end of the 90-day period. Mr. Cook stated the length of the temporary use would be at the discretion of the Village Board and could be extended depending on the circumstances. General discussion took place over the type of items that will be sold and the

location of goods to be sold on the property. Trustee Schultz motioned to recommend approval of a permit for a temporary use at 26-32 First Street for a period of time to be approved by the Village Board of Trustees. Trustee Angelo seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

### **Adjournment**


With no further business to come before the Committee, Trustee Angelo motioned to adjourn. Trustee LaPlaca seconded. The meeting was adjourned at 7:05 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert McGinnis  
Acting Director of Community Development/Building Commissioner.

## **Memorandum**

To: Chairman Williams and Public Safety Committee

From: Robert McGinnis MCP, Building Commissioner 

Date: April 13, 2010

**Re: Community Development Department Monthly Report-March 2010**

---

In the month of March, the department issued 93 permits including three single family homes and one demolition permit. Revenue for the month came in at just under over \$98,000. The uptick in revenue is encouraging and was primarily due to permit renewals for two commercial projects. 347 inspections were done during the month and plan review turnaround is running about three weeks. There are approximately 65 applications in house including 12 single family homes and 7 commercial alterations. There are 28 permits ready to issue at this time.

The Engineering Division has continued to work with the Building Division in order to complete site inspections, monitor current engineering projects, support efforts to obtain additional state and federal funding, and respond to drainage complaint calls. In total, 82 site inspections were performed for the month of February by the division.

We currently have 40 vacant properties on our registry list. The department continues to pursue owners of vacant and blighted properties to either demolish them and restore the lots or come into compliance with the property maintenance code.

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MONTHLY REPORT - March 2010**

<b>PERMITS</b>	<b>THIS MONTH</b>	<b>THIS MONTH LAST YEAR</b>	<b>FEES</b>	<b>FY TO DATE</b>	<b>TOTAL LAST FY TO DATE</b>
New Single Family Homes	3	2	\$ 13,753.55		
New Multi Family Homes	0	0	\$ -		
Residential Addns./Alts.	22	15	\$ 18,279.93		
Commercial New	0	0	\$ -		
Commercial Addns./Alts.	6	3	\$ 29,092.85		
Miscellaneous	8	4	\$ 14,484.65		
Demolitions	1	1	\$ 3,300.00		
<b>Total Building Permits</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>\$ 78,910.98</b>	<b>\$ 565,832.45</b>	<b>\$ 572,430.06</b>
<b>Total Electrical Permits</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$ 7,254.50</b>	<b>\$ 62,252.49</b>	<b>\$ 74,799.03</b>
<b>Total Plumbing Permits</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>\$ 11,911.40</b>	<b>\$ 104,000.50</b>	<b>\$ 125,689.64</b>
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>\$ 98,076.88</b>	<b>\$ 732,085.44</b>	<b>\$ 840,655.97</b>

<b>Citations</b>			<b>\$3,825</b>		
<b>Vacant Properties</b>				<b>4,300.00</b>	

<b>INSPECTIONS</b>	<b>THIS MONTH</b>	<b>THIS MONTH LAST YEAR</b>		<b>FY TO DATE</b>	<b>TOTAL LAST FY TO DATE</b>
Building Insp.	137	120			
Electric Insp.	24	38			
Plumbing Insp.	37	31			
Property Maint./Site Mgmt.	67	100			
Engineering Insp.	82	103			
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>392</b>			

**REMARKS:**

VILLAGE OF HINSDALE - MARCH 16, 2010 COURT CALL/RESULT

Name	Ticket No	Location	Violation	Actual	
Coltea, Lucian	9502	Kelly	218 E. Walnut	Counts 1-7 Property Maintenance Violations	3825
Lincoln Park Savings Ba	9597	Kelly	5801 S. Grant	Violation of Stop Work Order	No Show

Fines assessed: 3825

STOP WORK ORDERS ASSESSED

Date	SWO Issued to	Address	Reason
------	---------------	---------	--------

SWO assessed:

MONTHLY TOTAL: 3825



**VILLAGE  
OF HINSDALE** FOUNDED IN 1873

POLICE DEPARTMENT 789-7070  
FIRE DEPARTMENT 789-7060  
121 N. M. SYMONDS DRIVE

**FIRE AND POLICE  
SERVICES  
MONTHLY REPORT**

**March 2010**



## ***Hinsdale Fire Department Monthly Report March 2010***



### ***Emergency Response***

In March, the Hinsdale Fire Department responded to a total of 184 requests for assistance for a total of 553 responses this calendar year. There were 40 simultaneous responses and 3 train delays this month. The responses are divided into three (3) basic categories as follows:

<b><i>Type of Response</i></b>	<b><i>March 2010</i></b>	<b><i>% of Total</i></b>	<b><i>March 2009</i></b>
<b>Fire:</b> (Includes activated fire alarms, fire and reports of smoke)	<b>51</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>Ambulance:</b> (Includes ambulance requests, vehicle accidents and patient assists)	<b>95</b>	<b>51.6%</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Emergency:</b> (Includes calls for hazardous conditions, rescues, service calls and extrications)	<b>38</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Simultaneous:</b> (Responses while another call is on- going. Number is included in total)	<b>40</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>Train Delay:</b> (Number is included in total)	<b>3</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Total:</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>226</b>

### ***Year to Date Totals***

**Fire: 168      Ambulance: 248      Emergency: 137**

**2010 Total: 553**

**2009 Total: 2,408**






# *Hinsdale Fire Department Monthly Report March 2010*




## *Emergency Response*

### **Type of Responses Year to Date**



### **Total Calls for March**






## *Hinsdale Fire Department Monthly Report March 2010*




### *Emergency Response*

#### **Simultaneous Calls**



#### **Distribution of Fire Related Calls**






## *Hinsdale Fire Department Monthly Report March 2010*




### *Emergency Response*

#### **Distribution of Emergency Related Calls**



#### **Distribution of EMS Related Calls**





***Hinsdale Fire Department  
Monthly Report  
March 2010***



***Incidents of Interest***

- On March 1<sup>st</sup>, the Department responded with an engine to assist the Western Springs Fire Department for their house fire. Members assisted with a small fire in a bedroom.
- On March 5<sup>th</sup>, the Department responded to I-294 Southbound for a vehicle fire. On arrival, the crew found the vehicle unoccupied with the engine compartment fully involved in fire. The fire was extinguished. Estimated damage was \$6,000.00
- On March 7<sup>th</sup>, the Department responded with an engine to assist the Western Springs Fire Department for their chimney fire.
- On March 11<sup>th</sup>, the Department responded with an engine to assist the Clarendon Hills Fire Department for the house struck by lightening. Crews checked for any hazards and found none.
- On March 22<sup>nd</sup>, the Department responded to the 5500 block of South Oak for a Carbon Monoxide alarm. On arrival, crews found elevated levels of CO in the home, possibly due to a faulty furnace. The house was evacuated and ventilated and the occupants were evaluated by the paramedics. NICOR was called to the scene to evaluate the incident.
- On March 27<sup>th</sup>, the Department responded to the Garden Court Apartments for a fire alarm. On arrival, crews found a small fire in a 3<sup>rd</sup> floor apartment due to unattended cooking. The fire was extinguished and the smoke was ventilated from the building.
- On March 31<sup>st</sup>, the Department responded with an engine to assist the Tri-State Fire Protection District for their large brush fire near the Santa Fe Railroad.
- On March 31<sup>st</sup>, the Department responded to the Maintenance Building at Hinsdale Hospital for a smoke investigation. Crews found a hazardous condition that was causing the roofing material to heat up near an exhaust vent. Crews cooled the area and advised Hospital personnel.



***Hinsdale Fire Department  
Monthly Report  
March 2010***



***Training/Events***

In March, the members of the Hinsdale Fire Department continued their scheduled fire and EMS training.

Training highlights for the month of March consisted of:

- Several members of the Department received recognition by the State for successfully completing various fire service related courses as listed below:
  - FF/PM Tullis- Firefighter III
  - FF/PM Smith- Leadership IV
  - Lt. Carlson- Incident Safety Officer
- Members trained on a demolition house at 120 N. County Line Rd. on the Hospital property, practicing various firefighting skills and techniques.
- Members reviewed a video training session on cyanide gas, which is a poisonous by-product of combustion found in fire incidents.
- Members utilized the air bag systems to lift vehicles and other heavy objects off of simulated victims in various rescue scenarios.
- The paramedics participated in their monthly continuing education from Good Samaritan Hospital. This month's topic was the health and well being of the emergency responder.
- Members continued their on-going training on Truck 1019, using various locations around the Village to practice setting up the vehicle.
- The monthly EMS drill involved patient immobilization and extrication techniques using three vehicles donated by Chariot Towing to simulate various crash scenarios.




## ***Hinsdale Fire Department Monthly Report March 2010***



### ***Prevention Activities***

The fire prevention bureau is responsible for conducting a variety of activities designed to educate the public, to prevent fires and emergencies, and to better prepare the public in the event a fire or medical emergency occurs.

### **Prevention Activities in March**



### ***Fire Prevention/Safety Education:***

- Inspector McElroy attended a 16 hour fire sprinkler class presented by the Northern Illinois Fire Sprinkler Association.
- Inspector McElroy attended the monthly Safety and Crisis Committee meeting for District 181.
- On March 10<sup>th</sup> and March 30<sup>th</sup>, the Department conducted CPR classes for the public.
- On March 4<sup>th</sup>, Capt. O'Rourke spoke to the children at St. Isaac's as part of their Career Day activities.



## ***Hinsdale Fire Department Monthly Report March 2010***



### ***The Survey Says...***

Each month, the department sends out surveys to those that we provide service to. These surveys are valuable in evaluating the quality of the service we provide and are an opportunity for improvement.

#### ***Customer Service Survey Feedback:***

We received 19 responses in the month of March with the following results:

***Were you satisfied with the response time of our personnel to your emergency?***

***Yes- 19/19***

***Was the quality of service received-***

***"Higher" than what I expected- 16/19  
"About" what I expected- 3/19***

#### ***Miscellaneous Comments:***

***"My daughter has never experienced an anxiety attack before. She is asthmatic which is what she thought she was experiencing. The paramedics were great. They were qualified, patient, reassuring and confident. Thank You!! ."***

***"The fire department personnel were very thorough and did not leave until we felt comfortable that all areas of our house were checked out."***

***"Everyone was real professional. The people of Hinsdale should be proud of their F.D."***

***"I think this is a very great credit to Hinsdale."***



# **POLICE SERVICES MONTHLY REPORT**

**March 2010**



# **PRESENTATIONS — MARCH – 2010**

## **D.A.R.E. (DRUG ABUSE RESISTANCE EDUCATION)**

March 9, 16, 23	9 classes	Monroe School
March 1, 8, 22, 29	12 classes	St. Isaac Jogues School
March 10, 24	6 classes	Madison School
March 5, 12, 19, 26	8 classes	Oak School
March 26	1 class	Hinsdale Adventist

A 13-week **D.A.R.E. Program** is presented in all fifth grade classrooms in Hinsdale Public Schools and in sixth grade classrooms in the Hinsdale Parochial Schools. Topics include making good decisions, consequences and alcohol, drug, tobacco awareness and resistance.

## **V.E.G.A. (VIOLENCE EDUCATION GANG AWARENESS)**

March 11, 18	12 classes	Hinsdale Middle School
March 31	3 classes	St. Isaac Jogues

**V.E.G.A.** is a five-lesson program presented in sixth grade classrooms in Hinsdale public schools and in seventh grade at Hinsdale Parochial schools. The program deals with problem solving without violence and avoiding gang activities.

On March 1, 2010, Officer Coughlin attended the Region DARE III meeting at the Lisle Police Department. Topics covered were upcoming trainings, the annual Chief's luncheon, fundraisers at Buffalo Wild Wings, community events and the State conference.

From March 2–5, 2010, Officer Rauhen attended an EnCase 2 training class in Rosemont. This was the second installment of the Computer Forensic training through Guidance Software.

On March 3, 2010, Officer Coughlin attended the DJOA board meeting in Wheaton. Topics covered were the upcoming M.R.A.I training, scholarships, upcoming training meetings, membership, website, outings, elections and topics for the fall conference training.

On March 4, 2010, Officer Coughlin and Officer Talerico from Clarendon Hills PD taught six classes of D.A.R.E. at Hinsdale Central High School. The aim of the senior high school D.A.R.E. program is to extend the information and reinforce the skills students need to enable them (1) to act in their own best interest when facing high-risk, low-gain choices, and (2) to resist peer pressure and other influences in making their personal choices. Equal emphasis is placed on helping students to recognize and cope with feelings of anger without causing harm to themselves or others and without resorting to violence or the use of alcohol and drugs.

On March 5, 2010, Officer Coughlin attended School Bus Safety Terrorism training at the DuPage Regional Office of Education in Lombard. The class was taught by FBI Agent Mary Beth King and addressed why school buses are targets and what are their key vulnerabilities.

On March 5, 2010, Officer Rauen was paged out to Darien Police Department to assist with the triple homicide investigation that occurred. This was part of the FIAT callout that that was assisting Darien with the investigation.

On March 5, 2010, Officer Coughlin and Officer Talerico presented an Internet Safety program to more than 50 sixth grade girl scouts from Hinsdale and Clarendon Hills at C.H.M.S. Topics covered were about not giving out personal information to others, not everyone is who they say are on line and cyber bullying.

On March 10, 2010, Officer Coughlin continued training on traffic and felony stops and prepared them to be able to conduct traffic stops of their own. Following the classroom instruction the Explorers were brought down to the Public Works garage where they were able to role play in making a vehicle stop. The Explorers dealt with many traffic stop situations and learned a great deal.

On March 10, 2010, Officer Rauen covered the street from 4-6pm for patrol officers who were CPR training. Then from 6-8pm Officer Rauen was in CPR training for recertification.

On March 11, 2010 Officer Rauen assisted with a lockdown drill at Hinsdale Central high school.

On March 12, 2010, Officer Rauen taught the "Alive at 25" defensive driving course to a Driver's Education class at Hinsdale South High School.

On March 15, 2010, Officer Coughlin taught the "Alive at 25" defensive driving course to a Driver's Education class at Hinsdale South High School.

On March 15, 2010, Officer Coughlin gave a station tour to a group of 20 girl scouts from St. Isaac Jogues School. The girls had a chance to see the dispatch center, holding cells, gun range and even met Chief Bloom. Officer Coughlin also spoke with them about safety, strangers and how to help your community.

On March 17, 2010, Officer Coughlin and Officer Rauen attended Taser and Use of Force training with other officers from the Investigation Division. The training was very worthwhile and realistic and put us in situations that we may encounter in the future.

On March 17, 2010, Officer Coughlin attended the District 181 Safety Task Force Meeting at Clarendon Hills Middle School. Topics covered at this meeting were Student Bus Safety, Missing Student Policy, School Safety Drills at HCHS, Parking at Oak School and should Bomb Threat Instructions be posted at Every Phone.

On March 18, 2010, Officer Rauen met with a male juvenile and his father. The juvenile had been arrested for throwing snowballs at vehicles and damaging a car. Officer Rauen spoke with the juvenile and warned him of the consequences he faced for doing delinquent activities.

On March 19, 2010, Officer Coughlin attended the IJOA State Board Meeting. Topics covered were the Treasurer's Report, Conference Committee, Awards and Membership. The IJOA/IDOA Training Conference is scheduled for 6/16-6/18 and all of the speakers and training classes have been finalized.

On March 22, 2010, Officer Coughlin gave a station tour to a group of 22 Cub Scouts from Madison School. The boys had a chance to see inside a police vehicle, hang out in the holding cells, visit the dispatch center, observe the gun range and even met Deputy Chief Kevin Simpson. Officer Coughlin then spoke about fingerprints and how every one is different and then fingerprinted every Cub Scout.

On March 24, 2010, Officers Coughlin, Keller and Rauen attended the D.J.O.A. training meeting at the DuPage County Courthouse. The topic MRAI (Minor Requiring Authoritative Intervention) was presented by Christine Rabaulman of the DuPage Youth Services Coalition.

On March 24, 2010, Officer Coughlin and Officer Talerico from Clarendon Hills PD taught six classes of D.A.R.E. at Hinsdale Central high school. The aim of the senior high school D.A.R.E. program is to extend the information and reinforce the skills students need to enable them (1) to act in their own best interest when facing high-risk, low-gain choices and (2) to resist peer pressure and other influences in making their personal choices. Equal emphasis is placed on helping students to recognize and cope with feelings of anger without causing harm to themselves or others and without resorting to violence or the use of alcohol and drugs.

On March 25, 2010, Officer Rauen met with a student who is attending classes at COD. He hopes to be a police officer and wanted to ask him some questions on what it takes to be a police officer.

On March 26, 2010, Officer Coughlin presented the Junior Achievement program to a class of first graders at The Lane School. This five lesson program includes information on what is a family, community, needs and wants, law enforcement, and government.

On March 26, 2010, Officer Rauen along with Deputy Chief Wodka attended a meeting at the ICE office in Oak Brook Terrace. They discussed what steps we would need to take in order to make Officer Rauen a Task Force Officer (TFO) for their computer forensic division.

On March 5, 12, 19, 26, 31, 2010, Officer Coughlin and Officer Rauen walked the Business District monitoring the behavior of middle school students. Officer Coughlin spoke with teens, shoppers, business owners and handled any incidents related to the students.

On March 4, 11, 18, 25, 2010, Officer Coughlin supervised two offenders from the S.T.A.A.T. program performing community service.

Submitted by:


Officer Michael Coughlin  
*Crime Prevention/D.A.R.E./Juvenile*

Officer Joseph Rauen  
*Juvenile Officer/Detective*

# Hinsdale Police Department

## Selective Enforcement Citation Activity

### March 2010



## TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT—MARCH 2010

*\* Includes Citations and Warnings*

	This Month	This Month Last Year	YTD	Last YTD
<b>Speeding</b>	165	140	470	452
<b>Disobeyed Traffic Control Device</b>	54	25	118	70
<b>Improper Lane Usage</b>	37	30	87	123
<b>Insurance Violation</b>	22	39	60	89
<b>Registration Offense</b>	15	39	46	88
<b>Seatbelt Violation</b>	14	13	33	51
<b>Stop Signs</b>	41	57	128	135
<b>Yield Violation</b>	8	14	33	42
<b>No Valid License</b>	4	4	11	11
<b>Railroad Violation</b>	2	2	4	9
<b>Suspended/Revoked License</b>	4	5	10	23
<b>Other</b>	117	176	348	519

## Investigations Division Summary

### March 2010


- From March 2 to March 5, 2010, numerous Hinsdale investigators responded to Darien Police Department as part of the FIAT Major Case Unit, to assist with the triple homicide investigation. During the course of the investigation, Hinsdale investigators were responsible for the coordination of the FIAT detectives, numerous interviews, surveillance, and serving of search warrants.
- On March 15, 2010, a 47-year-old homeless man was charged with one count of **Criminal Trespass to Real Property**. The male is alleged to have entered the property at 500 East Ogden, after have been given prior notice not to enter the property. The male was released on bond.
- On March 15, 2010, a 34-year-old Geneva man was charged with one felony count of **Retail Theft**. The male is alleged to have been involved in a theft of two cartons of cigarettes in August of 2009 from a service station. The male had been wanted on a warrant, and was served while being held at DuPage County Jail.
- On March 17, 2010, a 21-year-old Brookfield man was charged with one felony count of **Unlawful Use of Credit Card**, and one count of **Theft**. The male entered a service station in the early morning hours in an attempt to purchase two cartons of cigarettes. The officer observed the male running from the service station to a vehicle that was parked in a dark area one block away. Further investigation revealed the male allegedly attempted to use a stolen credit card to purchase the cartons. Receipts in the car showed the male was successful at another Hinsdale service station a few hours earlier. The male was transported to DuPage County Jail for a bond hearing.
- On March 21, 2010, an 18-year-old Darien man was charged with one count of **Criminal Trespass to Motor Vehicle**. The male is alleged to have been visiting a patient at Hinsdale Hospital. While there, the male removed vehicle keys from a purse, and subsequently drove the vehicle away. The male was released after posting bond.
- On March 25, 2010, a 20-year-old homeless man was charged with one count of **Theft Over \$300**. The male had been living with a family member, when he allegedly stole numerous tools from the family member. The male was processed on a warrant at DuPage County Jail.
- On March 30, 2010, a 68-year-old Hinsdale man was charged with two counts of **Domestic Battery**. Officers were called to a residence regarding a domestic battery that had occurred. It was learned that a male in the household had allegedly pushed a female family member to the ground and against a refrigerator, after threatening to stab her. The male was transported to DuPage County Jail for a bond hearing.

- On March 31, 2010, a 38-year-old Westmont woman was charged with four counts of **Theft**. The woman was employed in a downtown Hinsdale business. She allegedly used customer's receipts to make false returns either taking cash, or credited her personal credit card. The female was released after posting bond.

Submitted by:

**Erik Bernholdt**  
*Detective Sergeant*

## BURGLARIES—MARCH 2010



- Motor Vehicle Burglaries
- Burglaries
- ▲ Residential Burglaries



## SERVICE CALLS SUMMARY—MARCH 2010

	This Month	This Month Last Year	This Year to Date	Last Year to Date	% CHANGE
Sex Crimes	0	1	0	2	-100
Robbery	0	0	0	0	0
Assault/Battery	1	4	2	10	-80
Domestic Violence	8	9	23	20	15
Burglary	2	2	2	4	-50
Residential Burglary	1	1	3	3	0
Burglary from Motor Vehicle	10	3	11	12	-8
Theft	12	13	29	34	-15
Retail Theft	0	3	4	10	-60
Identity Theft	1	2	5	6	-17
Auto Theft	3	1	3	1	200
Arson/Explosives	0	0	0	0	0
Deceptive Practice	0	0	1	2	-50
Forgery/Fraud	2	3	5	8	-38
Criminal Damage to Property	8	9	14	24	-42
Criminal Trespass	7	3	12	5	140
Disorderly Conduct	6	2	15	9	67
Harassment	13	9	29	35	-17
Death Investigations	4	0	6	2	200
Drug Offenses	1	4	14	14	0
Minor Alcohol/Tobacco Offenses	1	4	7	6	17
Juvenile Problems	26	31	58	67	-13
Reckless Driving	3	2	10	14	-29
Hit and Run	6	7	15	19	-21
Traffic Offenses	4	12	13	33	-61
Motorist Assist	17	19	65	92	-29
Abandoned Motor Vehicle	4	1	5	3	67
Parking Complaint	18	20	49	70	-30
Auto Accidents	40	37	152	151	1
Assistance to Outside Agency	18	13	43	43	0
Child Seat Inspections	4	3	7	5	40
Traffic Incidents	11	0	22	12	83
Well-being Check	8	11	24	26	-8
Noise complaints	1	4	8	8	0
Vehicle Lockout	18	31	73	92	-21
Fire/Ambulance Assistance	83	111	257	283	-9
Alarm Activations	104	74	248	262	-5
Open Door Investigations	7	3	14	13	8
Lost/Found Articles	6	12	22	32	-31
Runaway/Missing Persons	2	4	6	10	-40
Suspicious Auto/Person	49	41	130	101	29
Disturbance	14	16	35	33	6
911 hangup/misdial	36	44	76	142	-46
Animal Complaints	35	34	82	71	15
Citizen Assists	15	24	49	71	-31
School Crossings	57	84	151	192	-21
Solicitors	5	0	8	3	167
Community Contacts	32	10	83	39	113
Curfew/Truancy	5	5	8	9	-11
Other	51	42	150	106	42

Hinsdale Police Department

# MONTHLY OFFENSE REPORT

MARCH 2010

CRIME INDEX	This Month	This Mo. Last Yr	Yr. to Date	Last Yr. to Date
1. Criminal Homicide	0	0	0	0
2. Criminal Sexual Assault/Abuse	0	1	0	1
3. Robbery	0	0	0	0
4. Assault and Battery, Aggravated	0	1	1	3
5. Burglary/Residential	3	6	6	13
6. Theft	21	8	43	18
7. Auto Theft	1	1	1	1
8. Arson	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	25	17	51	36

**Hinsdale Police Department  
Training Summary  
March 2010**

- \* The following officers—Washburn, Huckfeldt, Kowal, Lillie, and Krefft completed their monthly **Radio Room Refresher Training**; this training is conducted in a two-hour block.
- \* All officers completed their monthly legal update. Topics covered were **Observing Suspicious Activity – Investigative Responses**.
- \* March 2-5, 2010 – Officer Rauen successfully completed the EnCase **Computer Forensics II** course.
- \* March 9-11, 2010 – CSO Sorce attended **Community Service Officer Training**, sponsored by Suburban Law Enforcement Academy.
- \* March 9-11, 2010 – Sergeant Cogger satisfactorily completed the 24-hour **Motor Vehicle Theft for Investigators** course offered by DuPage County Auto Theft Task Force.
- \* March 11-12, 2010 – Sergeant Bernholdt successfully completed **Public Safety Media Relations**, hosted by Oak Brook Police Department.
- \* March 12, 2010 – Sergeants Cogger and Mandarinino completed a course for **Self Aid/Buddy Aid Course**, sponsored by Illinois Tactical Officers Association.
- \* Officers Hayes and Lillie attended their monthly SWAT training on March 16 and 30, 2010. Sergeant Bernholdt also attended the SWAT training on March 16, 2010.
- \* March 17, 2010 – The following officers—Leuver, Bernholdt, Susmarski, Keller, Coughlin, Rauen—completed their Tazer training; Use of Force Training taught in house by Use of Force Instructors Hayes and Holecek;
- \* March 24, 2010 – Officer Susmarski attended a **User Agency Training Class**, hosted by the Illinois State Police Forensic Science Center at Chicago.
- \* March 25, 2010 – Officer Leuver attended a seminar on **Hate Groups and Ties to Terrorism**, sponsored by TLOC.
- \* March 25-26, 2010—Officer Lennox attended **Officer-in-Charge**, offered through NEMRT.
- \* During the month of March the following officers successfully completed the **Law Enforcement Agency's Data System Less Than Full Access Computer Based Training**. State Police sponsored the class.
  - March 2, 2010 Pete Jirasek
  - March 3, 2010 Mark Mandarinino
  - March 23, 2010Anthony Maraviglia
- \* The following officers completed CPR re-certification. The re-certification was taught by the Hinsdale Fire Department
  - March 3, 2010 Cogger, Homolka, Hayes, Kowal, Krefft, Leuver, Mandarinino, Lillie, Lennox, Huckfeldt, Sorce.
  - March 10, 2010 Lamb, Yehl, Washburn, Ruban, Wodka, Jirasek, Maraviglia, Holecek, Davenport.
  - March 31, 2010Keller, Coughlin, Palka, Reich, Susmarski, Rauen, Bernholdt, Petrovic, Simpson and Bloom.

Submitted by:

Mark Mandarinino, Sergeant  
*Training Coordinator*



## **Traffic Information Summary March 2010**

Deputy Chief Wodka addressed speeding vehicle complaints with a concerned resident in the area of Fuller & County Line Road. The resident requested additional information about speed bumps, tables, and other measures that would permanently reduce the speeds of vehicles. The resident was directed to previous studies reviewed by the Village Board regarding this matter and the use of high-level measures to control traffic speeds. The resident was informed of other tools, such as the speed trailer and STEALTH radar, the police department utilizes to calm traffic.

Deputy Chief Wodka met with Oak School Principal Sean Walsh to discuss the placement of signs in the 900 block of south Oak School which prohibit the parking of vehicles during school hours.

Deputy Chief Wodka hired an additional substitute crossing guard to work as a replacement when full-time guards are unable to attend to their crossing duties.

Deputy Chief Wodka spoke with an Adventist Hinsdale Hospital Safety Coordinator regarding potential traffic implications that could be affected on Elm Street near the animal hospital during construction activities. Elm Street will be serving as the main entrance/exit during the hospital remodeling activity.

Deputy Chief Wodka began preparations for the upcoming Public Education and Enforcement Research Study (PEERS) for railroad crossing education and enforcement. This is the sixth phase of PEERS grants that have been received by the Village of Hinsdale. The police department will be staffing officers during the months of May-August to conduct specialized educational and enforcement activities that are specifically targeted for improving safety at railroad crossings.

The Hinsdale Police Department continues to monitor and approve overweight and oversized vehicle permits. During the month of March, three overweight permits were approved.

The Hinsdale Police Department conducted four safety seat inspections during the month of March.

Submitted by:

Mark Wodka  
*Deputy Chief of Administration*

## MARCH 2010 COLLISION SUMMARY

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>This Month</i>	<i>Last 12 Months</i>	<i>Last 5 Years</i>
Garfield & 55th	1	3	33
Garfield & Eighth	1	1	5
Grant & Fourth	1	2	3
Lincoln & First	1	1	5
Madison & 55th	1	3	60
Madison & Ogden	1	1	39

<i>LOCATION</i>	<i>This Month</i>	<i>Last 12 Months</i>	<i>Last 5 Years</i>
Garfield & 55th	1	2	15
Garfield & Eighth	1	1	2
Grant & Fourth	1	1	2
Lincoln & First	1	1	5

### *Contributing Factors and Collision Types*

#### *Contributing Factors:*

Failure to Yield	0
Improper Backing	3
Failure to Reduce Speed	9
Following too Closely	3
Driving Skills/Knowledge	0
Improper Passing	0
Too Fast for Conditions	6
Improper Turning	1
Disobeyed Traffic Control Device	2
Improper Lane Usage	1
Had Been Drinking	0
Weather Related	0
Vehicle Equipment	0
Unable to Determine	1

#### *Collision Types:*

Private Property	6
Hit & Run:	6
Crashes at Intersections	6
Personal Injury	6
Pedestrian	0
Bicyclist	0
<b><i>TOTAL CRASHES</i></b>	<b><i>35</i></b>

# Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices Warrants

March 2010

The following warrants should be met prior to installation of a **two-way stop sign**:

1. Intersection of a less important road with a main road where application of the normal right-of-way rule would not be expected to provide reasonable compliance with the law;
2. Street entering a through highway or street;
3. Unsignalized intersection in a signalized area; and/or
4. High speeds, restricted view, or crash records indicate a need for control by the STOP sign (defined by 5 or more collisions within a 12-month period).

The following warrants should be met prior to the installation of a **Multiway stop sign**:

1. Where traffic control signals are justified, the multiway stop is an interim measure that can be installed quickly to control traffic while arrangements are being made for the installation of the traffic control signal.
2. A crash problem, as indicated by 5 or more reported crashes in a 12-month period, that is susceptible to correction by a multiway stop installation. Such crashes include right-turn and left-turn collisions as well as right-angle collisions.
3. Minimum volumes:
  - a. The vehicular volume entering the intersection from the major street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 300 vehicles per hour for any 8 hours of an average day, and
  - b. The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume entering the intersection from the minor street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 200 units per hour for the same 8 hours, with an average delay to minor-street vehicular traffic of at least 30 seconds per vehicle during the highest hour, but
  - c. If the 85th-percentile approach speed of the major-street traffic exceeds 65 km/h or exceeds 40 mph, the minimum vehicular volume warrants are 70 percent of the above values.
4. Where no single criterion is satisfied, but where Criteria 2, 3.a, and 3.b are all satisfied to 80 percent of the minimum values. Criterion 3.c is excluded from this condition.

## Option:

Other criteria that may be considered in an engineering study include:

1. The need to control left-turn conflicts;
2. The need to control vehicle/pedestrian conflicts near locations that generate high-pedestrian volumes;
3. Locations where a road user, after stopping, cannot see conflicting traffic and is not able to reasonably safely negotiate the intersection unless conflicting cross traffic is also required to stop; and
4. An intersection of two residential neighborhood collector (through) streets of similar design and operating characteristics where multiway stop control would improve traffic operational characteristics of the intersection.

The following warrants must be met prior to the installation of a **Yield sign**:

1. On a minor road at the entrance to an intersection where it is necessary to assign right-of-way to the major road, but where a stop sign is not necessary at all times, and where the safe approach speed on the minor road exceeds 10 miles per hour;
2. On the entrance ramp to an expressway where an acceleration ramp is not provided;
3. Within an intersection with a divided highway, where a STOP sign is present at the entrance to the first roadway and further control is necessary at the entrance between the two roadways, and where the median width between the acceleration lane; and
4. At an intersection where a special problem exists and where an engineering study indicates the problem to be susceptible to correction by use of the YIELD sign.

# Parking Citations — March 2010

## PARKING CITATIONS BY LOCATION

		This Month	This Month Last Year	YTD	Last YTD
<b>Chestnut Lot</b>	<i>Commuter Permit</i>	22	22	89	71
<b>Highland Lot</b>	<i>Commuter Permit</i>	6	6	30	28
<b>Village Lot</b>	<i>Commuter Permit</i>	48	42	128	100
<b>Washington Lot</b>	<i>Merchant Permit</i>	36	32	122	67
<b>Hinsdale Avenue</b>	<i>Parking Meters</i>	358	388	963	828
<b>First Street</b>	<i>Parking Meters</i>	386	208	1030	792
<b>Washington Street</b>	<i>Parking Meters</i>	122	32	1383	1036
<b>Lincoln Street</b>	<i>Parking Meters</i>	32	37	88	103
<b>Garfield Lot</b>	<i>Parking Meters</i>	206	164	435	346

## VIOLATIONS BY TYPE

	This Month	This Month Last Year	YTD	Last YTD
<b>Parking Violations</b>				
METER VIOLATIONS	1571	1378	4020	3307
HANDICAPPED PARKING	7	11	17	44
NO PARKING 7AM-9AM	25	39	78	99
NO PARKING 2AM-6AM	114	70	295	197
PARKED WHERE PROHIBITED BY SIGN	74	59	176	179
NO VALID PARKING PERMIT	61	57	176	153
<b>Vehicle Violations</b>				
VILLAGE STICKER	85	66	186	165
REGISTRATION OFFENSE	48	44	167	50
VEHICLE EQUIPMENT	61	19	33	137
<b>Animal Violations</b>	1	8	13	22

## **Youth Bureau Summary—March 2010**

On 3/1/10, a teacher at Hinsdale Central High School noticed a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from a female student. The student was brought to the nurse's office and the School Resource Officer was also called to the office. The SRO asked the female student to take a breathalyzer test and the student blew a .34. Due to her extremely high level of intoxication she was transported to Hinsdale Hospital. After she was released she was enrolled in a outpatient program at Hinsdale and was placed on Station Adjustment given that she would complete this outpatient program.

On 3/4/10, a student at Hinsdale Central reported that her cell phone was stolen. The victim had it taken out of her PE locker. Through an investigation the School Resource Officer identified an offender. This offender stated she found it inside the bathroom inside the PE locker room. The offender then used the cell phone to make personal calls and texts. The offender initially denied taking the phone but latter admitted to it. The suspect was assigned to Peer Jury as a first offender.

On 3/4/10, the School Resource Officer was summoned to the cafeteria for a five person fight. The five students agreed to fight each other before school after an argument that started in Clarendon Hills. All five students were charged with Fighting under village ordinance.

On 3/8/10, The SRO at Hinsdale Central had three male juvenile students who did not come to school and were not called out by their parents. One of the students was a repeat offender so he was issued a local ordinance citation to appear in field court. The other two students were sent letters home warning them as well as their parents that a repeat offense would warrant a citation.

On 3/9/10, officers were dispatched to a house near Hinsdale Hospital for a violent domestic between a mother and daughter. Upon arrival, officers spoke with the mother who advised them she was trying to wake her daughter up for school. Her daughter became very upset and started to physically assault her mother. She punched and scratched her mother. The juvenile offender was taken into custody and brought back to the station. While she was at the station, officers were dispatched over to the same house for a report of another domestic situation between the mother and another daughter. When officers arrived, the mother informed them that the daughter kicked a few holes in the walls of the house and took off running. Officers tried to stop the female offender but she ran into the parking garage at Hinsdale Hospital. She was finally stopped and she was also brought back to the Hinsdale Police Department. She was charged with Criminal Damage to Property as well as Obstructing a Police Officer. Due to their numerous police contacts, both sisters were transported to the Youth Home and remained there until their bond hearing.

On 3/12/10, officers were dispatched to the area of 55<sup>th</sup> St and Madison Street for a report of kids throwing snowballs at cars. When the officer arrived, he found one male juvenile who admitted to doing it. There was damage that was done to one of the vehicles. The juvenile's parents agreed to pay for the damages.

On 3/14/10, a police officer who was on patrol noticed a vehicle parked on the soccer field at Oak School. It was apparent to the officer that the vehicle was stuck. The officer ran the license plate of the vehicle and made contact with the owner. The owner's son admitted to driving the vehicle and getting it stuck on the field. They were able to tow the vehicle and the male juvenile was charged with Vandalism under Village Ordinance. He was given Peer Jury as a first time offender.



On 3/20/10, police officers were dispatched to a report of theft from a parked motor vehicle. The complainant advised our officers that a group of juvenile solicitors came to his house in order to sell newspaper subscriptions, the complainant told them no. When the complainant shut the door he looked out the window and observed one of the solicitors going through his vehicle. He saw him take a purse and start running. The complainant tried to run after him but could not catch him. Officers searched the area and located the group of solicitors. They were able to identify which one committed the theft and he was charged with stealing the purse.

On 3/26/10, a patrol officer stopped a vehicle for a traffic violation. While conducting the traffic stop, it was learned that the two passengers were out past curfew. They were brought back to the station and their parents were contacted.


On 3/28/10, at approximately 12:04am, a patrol officer observed a male teen who he has had previous contacts with getting gas at Mobil. The police officer knew this teen was not over 18 years of age and should not have been driving past 11pm. The officer stopped the vehicle and noticed a strong odor of alcohol emitting from the vehicle. The officer also observed a case of beer in the suspect's back seat. The male teen was arrested for No Valid DL-due to curfew, Unlawful Possession of Alcohol, and Zero Tolerance. His parents were contacted and came to the station to pick him up.

Submitted by:


Officer Joseph Rauhen  
*Detective/Youth Officer*

# Hinsdale Police Department—March 2010 Juvenile Monthly Report


## AGE AND SEX OF OFFENDERS




## DISPOSITION OF CASES





**Hinsdale Police Department  
Juvenile Monthly Report  
March 2010 — continued**



Hinsdale Police Department  
**Juvenile Monthly Offenses Total Offenses by Offense Type**  
 March 2010



**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

<b>AGENDA</b>		<b>ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT</b>		
<b>SECTION NUMBER</b> Zoning and Public Safety Committee		<b>Community Development</b>		
<b>ITEM</b> 550 Walnut Street – Peirce Park – Site Plan and Exterior Appearance Review – Dugout Improvements		<b>APPROVAL</b>		
<p>The petitioner, Village of Hinsdale's Parks and Recreation Department, is requesting exterior appearance and site plan review to allow for the installation and replacement for new dugout roofs on the existing dugouts at Peirce Park. The proposal includes covering the dugouts on the east side of Peirce Park that run along 294, and are not currently covered and replacing the roofs that are existing along Mills Street. The dugouts that currently contain roofs would be replaced in like and kind to what already exists, and the proposed dugout roofs that do not currently exist, would be identical to those being replaced, as illustrated in the attached documents.</p> <p>At the March 24, 2010 Plan Commission meeting the commission reviewed the application submitted by the Village of Hinsdale's Parks and Recreation Department and unanimously recommended approval (5-0, four absent) of the request for site plan and exterior appearance for the requested dugout improvements.</p> <p><u>Review Criteria</u></p> <p>In review of the application submitted the Commission must review the following criteria as stated in the Zoning Code:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Subsection 11-604F pertaining to Standards for site plan disapproval; and</li> <li>2. Subsection 11-606E pertaining to Standards for building permits (exterior appearance review), which refers to Subsection 11-605E Standards and considerations for design review permit.</li> </ol> <p>Attached are the approved findings and recommendation from the Plan Commission and the ordinance.</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> Move that the request be forwarded to the Board of Trustees to approve an "Ordinance Approving Site Plans and Exterior Appearance Plans for Dugout Improvements at 550 Walnut Street."</p>				
<b>APPROVAL</b> 	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>MANAGER'S APPROVAL</b> 
<b>COMMITTEE ACTION:</b>				
<b>BOARD ACTION:</b>				

# HINSDALE PLAN COMMISSION

**RE: 550 Walnut Street – Peirce Park - Exterior Appearance and Site Plan Review**

**DATE OF PLAN COMMISSION REVIEW: March 24, 2010**

**DATE OF ZONING AND PUBLIC SAFETY REVIEW: April 20, 2010**

## FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

### I. FINDINGS

1. The Village of Hinsdale Parks and Recreation Department, (the "Applicant") submitted an application for the property located at 550 Walnut Street (the "Subject Property").
2. The Subject Property is zoned in the OS Open Space District and is improved with a public park and various amenities.
3. The applicant is proposing the installation and replacement of new dugout roofs on the existing dugouts at Peirce Park.
4. The Plan Commission finds that the plan submitted by the Applicant complies with the applicable bulk, space and yard requirements of the Hinsdale Zoning Code.
5. The Plan Commission finds that the application complies with the standards set forth in Section 11-606 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code pertaining to the exterior appearance review.
6. The Plan Commission finds that the plan submitted by the Applicant complies with the standards set forth in Section 11-604 of the Zoning Code governing site plan review. There are no changes proposed to the site plan.

### II. RECOMMENDATION

The Village of Hinsdale Plan Commission, on a vote of five (5) "Ayes", zero (0) "Nays," and four (4) "Absent" recommends that the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale approve the exterior appearance and site plans at 550 Walnut Street.

THE HINSDALE PLAN COMMISSION

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman

Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2010.

**VILLAGE OF HINSDALE**

**ORDINANCE NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

**AN ORDINANCE APPROVING SITE PLANS  
AND EXTERIOR APPEARANCE PLANS  
FOR DUGOUT IMPROVEMENTS AT PEIRCE PARK  
AT 550 WALNUT STREET**

WHEREAS, The Hinsdale Parks and Recreation Department. (the "Applicants") filed an application for site plan approval and exterior appearance approval (the "Application") to authorize improvements to the existing dugouts, located on the property commonly known as Peirce Park at 550 Walnut Street, in the Village of Hinsdale (the "Subject Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Hinsdale Plan Commission conducted a public meeting to consider the Application on March 24, 2010, and, after considering all of the matters related to the Application, recommended approval of the Application; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, at a public meeting on April 20, 2010, considered the Application and the recommendation of the Plan Commission and made its recommendation to the Board of Trustees; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale have determined that the Application satisfies the standards established in Sections 11-604 and 11-606 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code governing site plans and exterior appearance plans, subject to the conditions stated in this Ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois, as follows:

**Section 1. Recitals.** The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Ordinance as findings of the President and Board of Trustees.

**Section 2. Approval of Site Plans and Exterior Appearance Plans.** The Board of Trustees, acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by laws of the State of Illinois and Sections 11-604 and 11-606 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code, approves the site plans and exterior appearance plans attached to and, by this reference, incorporated into this Ordinance as Exhibit A (the

“Approved Plans”), subject to the conditions stated in Section 3 of this Ordinance.

**Section 3. Conditions.** The approvals granted in Section 2 of this Ordinance are expressly subject to all of the following conditions:

- A. **Compliance with Plans.** All work on the Subject Property shall be undertaken in strict compliance with the Approved Plans.
- B. **Compliance with Codes, Ordinances, and Regulations.** Except as specifically set forth in this Ordinance, the provisions of the Hinsdale Municipal Code and the Hinsdale Zoning Code shall apply and govern all development on, and improvement of, the Subject Property. All such development and improvement shall comply with all Village codes, ordinances, and regulations at all times.
- C. **Building Permits.** The Applicants shall submit all required building permit applications and other materials in a timely manner to the appropriate parties, which materials shall be prepared in compliance with all applicable Village codes and ordinances.

**Section 4. Violation of Condition or Code.** Any violation of any term or condition stated in this Ordinance or of any applicable code, ordinance, or regulation of the Village shall be grounds for the immediate rescission by the Board of Trustees of the approvals made in this Ordinance.

**Section 5. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances.** If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity of such section, paragraph, clause or provision shall not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance, and all ordinances, resolutions or orders, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance are to the extent of such conflict hereby repealed.

**Section 6. Effective Date.** This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:



APPROVED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2009.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT BY THE APPLICANT TO  
THE CONDITIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
By:

Its:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_, 2010



Repace Rapp - 550 Walnut

SCALES:  $\frac{1}{2}" = 1'$

EXISTING BUGOUT  
POLES




TREATED  
2 x 4 TYP.

APPLANT SHINGLES  
1 CEX PLYWOOD  
TYP.



DATE: April 15, 2010

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

<b>AGENDA SECTION</b> Zoning and Public Safety		<b>ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT</b> Administration		
<b>ITEM</b> Ordinance Amending Title 4 (Health and Sanitation) Chapter 1 (General Health Regulations) Section 4-1-9 (Ambulance and Life Support Service Fees)		<b>APPROVAL</b> Darrell Langlois, Assistant Village Manager/Finance Director 		
<p>In Hinsdale, ambulance fees for residents are based on the Medicare fee schedule for three progressive service tiers-Basic Life Support (BLS), Advanced Life Support I (ALS I) and Advanced Life Support II (ALS II). The current Medicare/Resident rates are as follows: BLS-\$358.44, ALS I-\$425.64, and ALS II-\$616.06. Non-resident rates are \$350 over the Medicare/Resident rates. The rates we charge are "bundled", meaning we don't charge for supplies or procedures, only the flat charge (Medicare and many insurance companies will not consider "unbundled" charges). In Clarendon Hills, they do not have differing resident/non-resident rates. They currently impose a charge of \$650 for BLS and \$1,000 for both ALS levels in addition to a number of other unbundled charges. While on its surface it looks like they charge residents more than Hinsdale, in practice this is not true in that for residents they only take what insurance pays and write off anything insurance doesn't pay. These write offs include deductibles, co-pays (except for Medicare which by law you can not write-off), amounts over "usual and customary", and all amounts for those residents without insurance. As it relates to residents, Clarendon Hills actually collects approximately only 47% of what they bill whereas Hinsdale collects 90% of the amounts we bill.</p> <p>As we met with the staff from Clarendon Hills, both of us wanted to maintain much of what we had and actually wanted to increase revenues (the FY 2011 Budget assumed an increase of \$30,000 in ambulance fees). From Hinsdale's perspective, it became apparent during my analysis of a number of explanation of benefits on charges assessed by Clarendon Hills, that although the amounts billed by them are frequently reduced, the amounts considered and paid by insurance are frequently much more than charged by Hinsdale. What this means is that by locking in the resident rate at the Medicare allowed rates, which are the lowest charges around except for Medicaid, the Village is leaving a significant amount of insurance money "on the table" since we are not billing to the full amount that would be considered usual and customary.</p> <p>The attached ordinance has been approved by the staffs of both Hinsdale and Clarendon Hills and provide for a unified billing methodology (mileage and non-resident rates have been revised slightly from my prior memorandum):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A resident charge of \$550 for BLS, \$650 for ALS I, and \$800 for ALS II. These charges are based loosely on a staff estimate of usual and customary charges based on a review of actual insurance payments (there is not a resource available that will provide information).</li><li>• Non-resident charges of \$800 for BLS, \$1,000 for ALS I, and \$1,200 for ALS II.</li><li>• All fees would be "bundled" into these charges except for mileage, which would be assessed at \$10/mile for residents and \$25/mile for non-residents.</li><li>• We are defining "residents" as those residing in either Hinsdale or Clarendon Hills.</li><li>• For the first time we will be imposing a fee if ALS services are rendered but there is no transport (frequently involves the administration of medications)</li></ul> <p><b>Motion:</b> To recommend approval of the attached Ordinance Amending Title 4 (Health and Sanitation) Chapter 1 (General Health Regulations) Section 4-1-9 (Ambulance and Life Support Service Fees)</p>				
<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b> 	<b>MANAGER'S APPROVAL</b> 
<b>COMMITTEE ACTION:</b>				
<b>BOARD ACTION:</b>				

**VILLAGE OF HINSDALE**

**ORDINANCE NO. O2010-\_\_\_\_\_**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 4 (HEALTH AND SANITATION),  
CHAPTER 1 (GENERAL HEALTH REGULATIONS), SECTION 4-1-9  
(AMBULANCE AND LIFE SUPPORT SERVICE FEES)**

WHEREAS, the Village of Hinsdale's ("Hinsdale") Fire Department provides fire and emergency medical services to Village residents and nonresidents; and

WHEREAS, the Village will be sharing fire and emergency medical services with the Village of Clarendon Hills ("Clarendon Hills") in the near future; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of this Ordinance is to provide a unified billing structure regarding fire and emergency medical services provided by the Village and the on the part of both Hinsdale and Clarendon Hills; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale find that it is in the best interests of the Village to provide for such a unified billing structure as set forth in this Ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois, as follows:

Section 1. Village Code Amended. Title 4 (Health and Sanitation), Chapter 1 (General Health Regulations), Section 4-1-9 (Ambulance and Life Support Service Fees), of the Village Code of Hinsdale is amended by deleting the overstricken language and adding the underlined language to read as follows:

**4-1-9: AMBULANCE AND LIFE SUPPORT SERVICES FEES:**

Each person receiving ambulance or life support services, or both, from the village shall pay the village fees therefor in accordance with the provisions of this section.

~~A. Service Fees: The fees required for all levels of ambulance and life support services provided by the village shall be calculated in accordance with the fee components established by the U.S. department of health and human services, health care financing administration (HCFA) fee schedule for ambulance service, as amended from time to time. Such fees shall include prevailing mileage charges. The levels of services for which fees are established hereunder~~

~~are defined at 42 CFR 410, 414, in regulations promulgated by the U.S. department of health and human services.~~

~~B. Fee For Nonresident Transport: In addition to the fees required pursuant to subsection A of this section, each person who is not a resident of the village and who receives ambulance or life support services provided by the village shall pay the village an additional service fee of three hundred fifty dollars (\$350.00).~~

~~C. Fee For Nonresident Extrication: In addition to the fees required pursuant to subsections A and B of this section, each person who is not a resident of the village and who is extricated from a vehicle or other machinery utilizing specialized equipment shall pay the village an additional service fee of one hundred forty five dollars (\$145.00) per hour for vehicle/equipment use and thirty dollars (\$30.00) per hour and per person for personnel. There shall be a one hour minimum charge for each of the said services.~~

A. Definitions: For the purposes of this section, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings herein ascribed to them:

ALS REFUSAL: A person who has received or accepted advanced life support (ALS) services from ambulance personnel (EMT-B's and/or Paramedics), but has refused transportation by ambulance to a hospital.

NONRESIDENT: A person who is not domiciled within the Village of Hinsdale or the Village of Clarendon Hills.

NONRESIDENT ALS REFUSAL: All persons who are nonresidents of the Village of Hinsdale or the Village of Clarendon Hills who have received or accepted advanced life support services from ambulance personnel (EMT-B's and/or paramedics), but have refused transportation by ambulance to a hospital.

NONRESIDENTS TRANSPORTED TO HOSPITAL: All persons who are nonresidents of the Village of Hinsdale or the Village of Clarendon Hills who are transported by a fire department operated ambulance from within the limits of the Village of Hinsdale or the Village of Clarendon Hills to a hospital or other authorized medical facility for medical care or attention.

PAYMENT: Payment of the ambulance service fee shall be due upon the rendering of an invoice or statement by the village of its authorized

billing and collection service corporation or agent and any policies pertaining thereto.

RESIDENT: A person who is domiciled within the Village of Hinsdale or the Village of Clarendon Hills.

RESIDENT ALS REFUSAL: All persons who are residents of the Village of Hinsdale or the Village of Clarendon Hills who have received or accepted advanced life support services from ambulance personnel (EMT-B's and/or Paramedics), but have refused transportation by ambulance to a hospital.

RESIDENTS TRANSPORTED TO HOSPITAL: All persons who are residents of the Village of Hinsdale or the Village of Clarendon Hills who are transported by a Fire Department operated ambulance from within the limits of the Village of Hinsdale or the Village of Clarendon Hills to a hospital or other authorized medical facility for medical care or attention.

VEHICLE EXTRICATION: When members of the fire department are required to use hydraulic, gas powered, electric powered, air powered or other specialized rescue equipment to remove a person from a vehicle or other structure.

VILLAGE: The Village of Hinsdale, unless otherwise provided.

B. Ambulance Service Fees: Fees for ambulance services and pre-hospital care provided by the village's fire department shall be charged to the person receiving said services, or the person's estate, in accordance with the following schedule:

	<u>Resident</u>	<u>Nonresident</u>
<u>Basic Life Support emergency (BLS)</u>	<u>\$550.00</u>	<u>\$800.00</u>
<u>Advanced Life Support emergency (ALS-1)</u>	<u>\$650.00</u>	<u>\$1,000.00</u>
<u>Advanced Life Support emergency (ALS-2)</u>	<u>\$800.00</u>	<u>\$1,200.00</u>

<u>Mileage (as measured from the location of the ambulance call to the hospital)</u>	<u>\$10.00, per mile or portion thereof</u>	<u>\$25.00, per mile or portion thereof</u>
<u>Vehicle Extrication</u>	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>\$400.00</u>
<u>Advanced Life Support (ALS) Refusal</u>	<u>\$450.00</u>	<u>\$650.00</u>

~~D.~~ C. Fee For Nonresident Vehicle Fire: In addition to the fees required pursuant to subsection ~~subsections A, B and C~~ of this section, each person who is not a resident of the village and receives a fire department response to extinguish a vehicle fire shall pay the village an additional service fee of ninety dollars (\$90.00) per hour for vehicle/equipment use and thirty dollars (\$30.00) per hour and per person for personnel. There shall be a one hour minimum charge for each of the said services.

~~E.~~ D. Fee For Services Provided In Conjunction With Helicopter Transport: In addition to the fees required pursuant to subsections A, B, and C and D of this section, when the village provides ambulance services to a hospital in conjunction with a helicopter transport, that hospital shall pay to the village a fee of four hundred dollars (\$400.00) for such services.

E. All fees shall be determined pursuant to the schedule set forth in subsections B, C and D of this section, with the exception of ambulance services provided to patients covered under the Federal Medicare system who shall be billed under the appropriate billing code as provided under the uniform Medicare fee schedule for ambulance services, and based on the services provided and applicable mileage.

F. All persons who receive ambulance services from the village and who have insurance coverage which provides for the payment in whole or in part of the ambulance service fee, are covered by a governmental entity program such as Medicare that provides for the payment, in whole or in part, of the ambulance service fee, or who have the ability to obtain reimbursement, in whole or in part, of the ambulance service fee from a noninsurance/nongovernmental entity third party, shall upon request of the village, provide the names and addresses of said third party to the village. (The term "third party" shall mean any such insurance company, governmental entity, or other third party as referenced in the foregoing sentence.) The village shall prepare and issue to the third party an invoice for such ambulance service fee in accordance with the ambulance service fee schedule set forth above.

G. In the event any person who received ambulance services from the village receives compensation from a third party which is allocable to the ambulance service fee, such compensation shall be promptly forwarded by said person to the village. Under no circumstances shall any term or provision of this section adversely affect the rights of the village as set forth in the Illinois Health Care Services Lien Act, 770 ILCS 23/1 et seq., as amended, or any other similar statute.

Section 2. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity thereof shall not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance. All ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 3. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2010.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:


\_\_\_\_\_  
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk

Z:\PLS\Village of Hinsdale\Ordinances\2010\10-xx ambulance fees 04-13-10.doc



DATE: April 15, 2010

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

<b>AGENDA SECTION</b> Zoning and Public Safety	<b>ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT</b> Administration
<b>ITEM</b> Ordinance Amending Title 5 (Police Regulations) Chapter 5 (Alarm Devices) of the Village Code of Hinsdale	Darrell Langlois, Assistant <b>APPROVAL</b> Village Manager/Finance Director 

The Village Code of Hinsdale currently provides for an annual \$10 fee for residents and businesses that have an automated Police or Fire alarm device. The current \$10 annual fee was established a number of years ago, and during the FY 2011 budget process it was proposed to increase the fee amount to \$20. An increase in alarm permit fee revenue of \$28,500 was included in the FY 2011 Budget (some of this increase will come from a renegotiated contract with ADT). In addition to the fee increase, I have noted that there have been some compliance problems with getting all of the Alarm owners to pay. In order to cost justify the effort of sending follow up notice, staff time, and possible collection agency action, it is my recommendation that the fee amount double to \$40 if not paid within 60 days (these "escalators" are common with a number of other fees we collect).

If the Committee concurs the following motion would be in order:

**Motion: To recommend approval of the attached Ordinance Amending Title 5 (Police Regulations) Chapter 5 (Alarm Devices) of the Village Code of Hinsdale.**

<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b> 	<b>MANAGER'S APPROVAL</b> 
-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	---	---

**COMMITTEE ACTION:**

**BOARD ACTION:**

VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ORDINANCE NO. 02010-\_\_\_\_\_

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 5 (POLICE REGULATIONS)  
CHAPTER 5 (ALARM DEVICES)  
OF THE VILLAGE CODE OF HINSDALE

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale have determined that it is appropriate and in the best interest of the Village to amend Title 5, Chapter 5 of the Village Code of Hinsdale in order to increase fees associated with Alarm Devices.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois, as follows:

Section 1. Recital. The foregoing recital is hereby incorporated into this Ordinance as a finding of the President and Board of Trustees.

Section 2. Amendment of Subsection 5-5-2-2. Section 5-5-2-2, titled "Application for Permits" of the Village Code of Hinsdale is amended by deleting the overstricken words and adding the underlined words to read as follows:

**5-5-2-2: APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS:**

A. Application for Permit by User: Applications for permits to install, maintain and operate an automatic protection device shall be filed with the Chief of Police on forms supplied by the Village with an application fee of ~~ten~~ twenty dollars (~~\$10.00~~) (\$20.00) payable to the Village Clerk. Said application shall include the following:

1. Name, address, telephone number and emergency telephone numbers of alarm equipment supplier and type of business organization (individual, partnership, corporation). If a partnership, names and addresses of the partners; if a corporation, the names and addresses of the principal officers and the state where incorporated.

2. A description of, and operational specifications for the automatic protection device or devices offered to the public, together with a statement that the equipment does comply with the standards of the Underwriters' Laboratories, the National Fire Protection Association, Factory Mutual or equal.

3. All installations shall comply with the Village Electrical Code. <sup>49</sup>

B. Application for Permit by a Company Engaged in Alarm Business: Applications for permits to install, maintain and operate an automatic protection device shall be filed with the Chief of Police on forms supplied by the Village with an application fee of ~~ten~~ twenty dollars ~~(\$10.00)~~ (\$20.00) payable to the Village Clerk. Said application shall include the following:

1. Name, address and telephone number of alarm equipment supplier and type of business organization (individual, partnership, corporation). If a partnership, names and addresses of the partners; if a corporation, the names and addresses of the principal officers and the state where incorporated.

2. A description of, and operational specifications for the automatic protection device or devices offered to the public, together with a statement that the equipment does comply with the standards of the Underwriters' Laboratories, the National Fire Protection Association, Factory Mutual or equal.

3. All installations shall comply with the Village Electrical Code.

4. A list of names and addressed of persons for whom installations in the Village have been made by the applicant prior to the effective date hereof.

5. A list of criminal convictions, if any (other than for minor traffic offenses) of the applicant, or a list of criminal convictions, if any (other than for minor traffic offenses) of the partners, directors and officers, if the applicant is other than an individual.

6. A statement of responsibility for service of the automatic protection devices that the applicant proposes for the public.

7. A statement that the applicant is willing to comply with other reasonable rules and regulations about details of installation and operation of such devices, as may be issued by the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Amendment of Subsection 5-5-2-4. Section 5-5-2-4, titled "Annual Fees" of the Village Code of Hinsdale is amended by deleting the overstricken words and adding the underlined words to read as follows:

**5-5-2-4: ANNUAL FEES:**

Each permit holder shall pay to the Village on or before January 1 of each year a fee in the amount of ~~ten~~ twenty dollars ~~(\$10.00)~~ (\$20.00) to reimburse the Village for the cost of providing special telephone lines to receive calls from automatic protection devices and other expenses of

operation. For those annual fees not paid by March 1 of each year the fee amount shall be increased to forty dollars (\$40.00).

The annual permit fee shall be reduced fifty percent (50%) if the permit is issued on or after July 1.

\* \* \* \*

Section 4. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity thereof, shall not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance. All ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2010.


\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk

Date: 04/15/2010

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

<b>AGENDA SECTION</b> ZONING AND PUBLIC SAFETY	<b>ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT</b> FIRE			
<b>ITEM NUMBER</b> Inspection Fees	<b>APPROVED</b> Chief Michael Kelly			
<p><b>SUMMARY OF REQUESTED ACTION</b></p> <p>Attached is an ordinance amending the International Fire Code that the Village has adopted. The amendment addresses the institution of an inspection fee for the initial and 1<sup>st</sup> reinspection that is conducted in occupancies that are inspected by the fire department. The fee is based on the type of occupancy as defined by the International Building Code and separated by levels that are based on the square footage of the building to recognize the difference in the time and complexity of fire inspections in larger buildings.</p> <p>Previously, the fire department has conducted inspections with no fee associated with the inspection unless the violations that were identified were not corrected in a timely manner. The fire inspection services that are provided by the fire department are an important component of maintaining fire safety and life safety in the commercial buildings within the Village. The Village employs 1 full time fire inspector, who over the years, has developed an excellent working relationship with the business and building owners. His services are of value to both the Village and the business/building owners and he regularly assists the business community in solving problems and developing solutions. This new fee structure , which recognizes the service that is provided, is estimated to generate \$32,000 per year and had been included in the FY 2011 Budget.</p> <p>Additionally, this is one of the areas that was identified in the agreement with Clarendon Hills to work toward implementing common fee structures for services provided by each agency.</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> To recommend the approval to the Board of Trustees an Ordinance Amending Title 9, Chapter 9, Section 9-9-2 of the Village Code instituting a new fire inspection fee.</p>				
<b>STAFF APPROVALS</b>				
<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>MANAGER'S APPROVAL</b> 
<b>COMMITTEE ACTION:</b>				
<b>BOARD ACTION:</b>				

VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ORDINANCE NO. O2010-\_\_\_\_\_

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 9 (BUILDING REGULATIONS),  
CHAPTER 9 (FIRE CODE), SECTION 9-9-2  
(AMENDMENTS, REVISIONS, AND CHANGES)

BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois, as follows:

Section 1. Village Code Amended. Title 9 (Building Regulations), Chapter 9 (Fire Code), Section 9-9-2 (Amendments, Revisions and Changes), of the Village Code of Hinsdale is amended by deleting the overstricken language and adding the underlined language to read as follows:

**9-9-2: AMENDMENTS, REVISIONS, AND CHANGES:**

\* \* \* \*

106.2 Inspections: Add the following new paragraphs at the end:

"The fire code official shall coordinate an annual fire inspection for all properties within the village subject to inspections. If as a result of an inspection, the code official determines that either the structure or the premises fail to comply with section 101.2 the code official shall provide the owner or tenant with a written list of items to be corrected and assign a date by which those corrections must be completed. On or after the date assigned for completion of such corrections the code official will reinspect the structure or premises. ~~If, as a result of the reinspection, the code official determines that the owner or tenant has failed to correct the violations, the code official shall provide the owner or tenant with another written list of the items that must be corrected and assign a second date by which the changes must be completed. On or after the second date assigned for completion of such corrections, the code official shall, again, reinspect the structure and premises. The party receiving the notice of corrections shall pay to the village a fee for this second reinspection in the amount of seventy-five dollars (\$75.00).~~ The owner or tenant shall be assessed an annual fee to be paid to the village for the initial inspection and any necessary reinspections pursuant to the fee schedule set forth in this section.

If, as a result of the reinspection, the code official determines that the owner or tenant has failed to correct the violations, the code official

shall provide the owner or tenant with another written list of the items that must be corrected and assign a second date by which the changes must be completed. On or after the second date assigned for completion of such corrections, the code official shall, again, reinspect the structure and premises. ~~The party receiving the notice of corrections shall pay to the village a fee for this third or any subsequent reinspection in the amount of one hundred dollars (\$100.00).~~ The party receiving the notice of corrections shall pay to the village a fee for this second reinspection pursuant to the fee schedule set forth in this section.

If, as a result of the second or any subsequent reinspection, the code official determines that the owner or tenant has failed to correct the violations, the code official may, at his option, provide the owner or tenant with another written list of the items that must be corrected and assign another date by which the changes must be completed. On or after the date assigned for completion of such corrections, the code official shall, again, reinspect the structure or premises. The party receiving the notice of corrections shall pay to the village a fee for this third or any subsequent reinspection pursuant to the fee schedule set forth in this section.

For purposes of this section, the fee schedule shall be as follows based upon the square feet of the applicable structure:

<u>Category</u>	<u>0-5000 square feet</u>	<u>5,001-10,000 square feet</u>	<u>10,0001 square feet and above</u>
<u>Business</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>	<u>\$150.00</u>	<u>\$200.00</u>
<u>Assembly</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>
<u>Institutional</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>	<u>\$200.00</u>	<u>\$350.00</u>
<u>Educational</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>	<u>\$200.00</u>	<u>\$200.00</u>
<u>R-1, R-2, and R-3 Residential</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>	<u>\$200.00</u>	<u>\$350.00</u>
<u>Second reinspection</u>	<u>\$75.00</u>	<u>\$75.00</u>	<u>\$75.00</u>
<u>Third/Subsequent Reinspection</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>	<u>\$100.00</u>

The terms "business," "assembly," "institutional," "education," and "R-1, R-2 and R-3 residential" set forth above shall be as set forth in chapter 3 of the international building code, 2006 edition, adopted pursuant to section 9-1-1 of this code, as amended."

\* \* \* \*

Section 2. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity thereof shall not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance. All ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 3. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2010.

---

Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:

---

Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk

Z:\PLS\Village of Hinsdale\Ordinances\2010\10-xx Section 9-9-2 04-14-10 rev.doc



Date: 4/13/10

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

<b>AGENDA</b>	<b>ZONING &amp; PUBLIC</b>	<b>ORIGINATING</b>	
<b>SECTION NUMBER</b>	<b>SAFETY</b>	<b>DEPARTMENT</b>	<b>FIRE</b>
<b>ITEM NUMBER</b>	Disposal of Surplus Property	<b>APPROVED</b>	Chief Michael Kelly

**SUMMARY OF REQUESTED ACTION**

The Fire Department has identified surplus equipment listed on Exhibit A. This equipment is no longer in use by the Department or is in the process of being replaced. The items that have an associated value will be listed on an auction site and the equipment that is listed that has no value will be donated or discarded.

**MOTION:** To recommend to the Board of Trustees approval of an Ordinance for the Disposal of Surplus Equipment owned by the Village of Hinsdale.

**STAFF APPROVALS**

<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>MANAGER'S APPROVAL</b>
-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------------------------------

**COMMITTEE ACTION:**

**BOARD ACTION:**

**Village of Hinsdale**  
**Ordinance No. \_\_\_\_\_**

**An Ordinance Authorizing the Sale by Auction  
of Personal Property Owned by the Village of Hinsdale**

WHEREAS, in the opinion of at least a simple majority of the corporate authorities of the Village of Hinsdale, it is no longer necessary or useful to or for the best interests of the Village of Hinsdale, to retain ownership of the personal property hereinafter described; and

WHEREAS, it has been determined by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale to sell said property on the E-Bay Auction website ([www.ebay.com](http://www.ebay.com)) or another auction service approved by the Village Manager open to public auction to be held on or after the week of April 21<sup>st</sup> 2010.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PRESIDENT AND BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE:

Section One: Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-76-4, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale find that the personal property listed on the form attached (Exhibit A) to this Ordinance and now owned by the Village of Hinsdale, is no longer necessary or useful to the Village of Hinsdale and the best interests of the Village of Hinsdale will be served by its sale.

Section Two: Pursuant to said 65 ILCS 5/11-76-4, the Village Manager is hereby authorized and directed to sell the aforementioned personal property now owned by the Village of Hinsdale on the E-Bay Auction website ([www.ebay.com](http://www.ebay.com)) or another auction service approved by the Village Manager open to public auction, on or after Wednesday, April 21, 2010, to the highest bidder on said property.

Section Three: The Village Manager is hereby authorized and may direct E-Bay or other auction services to advertise the sale of the aforementioned personal property in a newspaper published within the community before the date of said public auction.

Section Four: No bid which is less than the minimum price set forth in the list of property to be sold shall be accepted except as authorized by the Village Manager or his agent.

Section Five: The Village Manager is hereby authorized and may direct E-Bay or another auction service to facilitate an agreement for the sale of said personal property. E-Bay or another auction service will charge an administrative fee, which will come out of the proceeds from the sale of surplus vehicles and equipment.

Section Six: Upon payment of the full auction price, the Village Manager is hereby authorized and directed to convey and transfer title to the aforesaid personal property, to the successful bidder.

Section Seven: This Ordinance shall be in force and effect from and after its passage, by a simple majority vote of the corporate authorities, and approval in the manner provided by law.

PASSED

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this 20th day of April 2010.

---

Village President

ATTEST:

---

Village Clerk

**EXHIBIT A**  
**INVENTORY FORM\***

**Municipality: Hinsdale**

**Contact Person: David Cook**

**Phone Number : (630) 789-7013**

**FAX Number: (630) 789-7015**

QUA	ITEM/MAKE	MODEL/STYLE	SERIAL NUMBER	MINIMUM BID
1	Amana Refrigerator	THI18TW- White	9508138719	\$40.00
1	Amana Refrigerator	THI18TW- White	9602129991	\$50.00
1	Kenmore Refrigerator	363.9638715-White	L50650176	\$40.00
1	Cybex-Back Extension Machine	4207	4207M04D094	\$50.00
1	Universal-Abdominal Curl Machine	994067	0000080603	\$50.00
1	Universal-Pec Fly Machine	993888	0000077781	\$50.00
1	Cybex-tricep Extension machine	N/A	N/A	\$50.00
25	MSA- SCBA mask/harness assembly	Custom 4500- MMR		No Value

\*This Inventory Form, the Response Form, and copies of titles must be returned to reserve space. Items are accepted on a first-come, first-served basis.