	_	
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	7	
1	2	
1	ے ح	
J.	ر ر	
7	4	
- 1	5	
Τ	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	
2	R	
_ 2	12345678901234567890123456789012	
っっ	ر 0	
っ	1	
ა ი	J	
٠ ١	2	
3		
	4	
3		
	6	
3	7	
3	8	
3	9	
4	0	
	1	
4	2	
4	3	

VILLAGE OF HINSDALE **ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS** MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING **FEBRUARY 22, 2017**

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Bob Neiman called the specially scheduled meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals to order on Thursday, February 22, 2018 at 6:30 p.m. in Memorial Hall of the Memorial Building, 19 E. Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois.

2. ROLL CALL

Present: Members Gary Moberly, Keith Giltner, Kathryn Engel, John Podliska and Chairman Bob Neiman

Absent: Members Marc Connelly and Joseph Alesia

Also Present: Village Attorney Michael Marrs, Director of Community Development/Building Commissioner Robb McGinnis, Village Clerk Christine Bruton and Court Reporter Kathy Bono

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

a) Regular meeting of December 20, 2017

Following corrections to the draft minutes, Member Engel moved to approve the draft minutes of December 20, 2017, as amended. Member Moberly seconded the motion.

AYES: Members Moberly, Giltner, and Engel and Chairman Neiman

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: Member Podliska

ABSENT: Members Connelly and Alesia

Motion carried.

4. APPROVAL OF FINAL DECISION

a) V-09-17, 15 East Fifth Street

There were no changes or corrections to the draft final decision. Member Moberly moved to approve the draft final decision for V-09-17, 15 East Fifth Street. Member Giltner seconded the motion.

AYES: Members Moberly, Giltner, and Engel Chairman Neiman

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: Member Podliska

ABSENT: Members Connelly and Alesia

44 45

Motion carried.

46 47

48

5. RECEIPT OF APPEARANCES - The court reporter administered the oath to those intending to speak.

PUBLIC COMMENT OF A GENERAL NATURE - None

5 6

7. PRE-HEARING AND AGENDA SETTING

7 8 9

26 27 28

29

37 38 39

35

36

40 41

42 43 44

45 46 47 a) V-01-18, 415 South Vine Street

Mr. Howard Chang, applicant and homeowner, and Mr. Patrick Plunkett, architect, addressed the Board. Mr. Plunkett stated they are asking for relief from the required front yard setback due to the depth of the lot in comparison with the majority of lots on the street. The hardship is with the lot in that if you correspond with average front yard setback, with a detached garage, it would be difficult to get in the garage if it is located behind the house. He referenced the attachments in the packet which illustrate the location of the other properties on the block. The code says the average setback is 36.4'feet; their request is to maintain the existing setback of approximately 25' Mr. Chang added the house would have the same footprint as the existing home; if the new home was set 36' feet back, it would be so far back they could not meet the 10' foot requirement between house and garage. The patio would be over rear setback. They believe the approving criteria is met to approve this variance, and noted the majority of the block has twice the depth of the subject lot.

6. RECEIPT OF REQUESTS, MOTIONS, PLEADINGS, OR REQUESTS TO MAKE

The Board had no additional questions. Chairman Neiman reminded them to be prepared to address each of the seven criteria for approval, and noted neighbor support would be helpful.

The public hearing was set for the next meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

b) V-02-18, Monument Sign on Landscaped Median of Salt Creek Lane

Mr. Peter Coules, attorney representing the applicant, addressed the Board. He stated that in 2015 his client was before the Board requesting eight 8' x 6' foot signs which were approved. He described the signs and noted they received unanimous approval from the Plan Commission. However, it is now one year since the previous approval, so they have to come back. Mr. Coules confirmed that all three conditions previously set by the ZBA have been met. The public hearing was set for the next meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

c) V-03-18, 842 West Seventh Street

Mr. John Behrendt with Roberts Design addressed the Board on behalf of the architect and the owners. His client is requesting a reduction of the required corner side yard setback, located at the southeast corner of Seventh and Jackson. Applying the prescribed zoning regulations, they would be left with a width of 24' to design the house. The hardship lies in the challenges of a 24' wide home. It is their intention to build a fully code compliant home, should the variance be granted.

Member Podliska asked Mr. Behrendt to address something in between what

Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting of February 22, 2018 Page **3** of **3**

they are asking for and what the code requires. Mr. Behrendt believes it 1 2 would still be difficult. The public hearing was set for the next meeting of the Zoning Board of 3 Appeals. 4 5 8. PUBLIC HEARINGS 6 7 a) APP-03-17, 504 S. Oak Street & 422 S. Oak Street 8 Chairman Neiman opened the public hearing for APP-03-17, 504 S. Oak Street & 422 S. Oak Street. 9 Due to the complex nature of the proceedings, the transcript of the public 10 hearing is included as part of these minutes. (Exhibit A) 11 12 The public hearing will be reopened at a date to be agreed upon by all 13 14 parties. 15 Member Giltner moved to close the public hearing on APP-03-17, 504 S. 16 17 Oak Street & 422 S. Oak Street, to be reopened at a future date. Member Podliska seconded the motion. 18 19 20 AYES: Members Moberly, Giltner, Engel, Podliska and Chairman Neiman NAYS: None 21 22 **ABSTAIN:** None 23 **ABSENT:** Members Connelly and Alesia 24 Motion carried. 25 26 27 9. NEW BUSINESS - None 28 10.OLD BUSINESS - None 29 30 11. ADJOURNMENT 31 32 With no further business before the Zoning Board of Appeals, Member Moberly made a motion to adjourn the meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals of 33 34 February 22, 2017. Member Giltner seconded the motion. Voice vote taken, all in favor, motion carried. 35 36 37 Chairman Neiman declared the meeting adjourned at 8:35 p.m. 38 39 40 Approved: Christine M. Bruton 41 42 Village Clerk

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had of the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Zoning Board of Appeals, at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on February 22, 2018, at the hour of 6:30 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. ROBERT NEIMAN, Chairman;

MR. GARY MOBERLY, Member;

MR. KEITH GILTNER, Member;

MR. JOHN F. PODLISKA, Member; and

MS. KATHRYN ENGEL, Member.

* * * * *

1 of 37 sheets

l .		-	_		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1	ALSO PRESENT:			4	
	2	MS. CHRISTINE BRUTON, Deputy Village		1 2	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, sorry. It was all on one side. Forgive me.	
	3	Clerk;	ĺ	3	It's within our discretion whether	
		MR. ROBB McGINNIS, Director of		4	to consider those late submissions. I think this	
	4	Community Development;		5	is our first contested hearing under our new rules	
	5	MR. MICHAEL MARRS, Village Attorney;		6	and I think it would be risky personally to start	
	6	MR. ROBERT O'DONNELL, Attorney for	l	7	taking ourselves too seriously and say, oh, you	
	7	Mr. & Mrs. Dugan;		8	missed the deadline, heaven forbid, but I'm open	
	8	MS. NANCY DUGAN, Appellant;		9	to suggestion from other board members.	
	•	MS. SUSAN OVERBY, Attorney for Bayit	96 53 04PM	10	MR. MOBERLY: Well, I have already read	
	9	Builders and Avra Properties.		11	it so I can't unread it. I was in my office	
	10			12	when it came over the wire yesterday. I don't view that the realtors are really, as	
	11	(WHEREUPON, the oath was		13 14	wonderful as realtors are, that it carries as	
	12	administered en masse.)		15	much weight as much of the other information	
	13	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Public Hearing Appeal		16	that we have. I understand the credentials and	
	14	No. 03-17, 504 South Oak Street and 422 South		17	how wonderful both realtors are.	
	15 16	Oak Street. Why don't both sets of attorneys step up initially. There's a couple preliminary		18	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Anyone on the board	
	17	matters that we should go over.		19	have any objection to the submission?	
	18 19	First, I'd like to compliment both sets of attorneys for concise and to the point	D6 53 32PM	20	MR. PODLISKA: No.	
06 51 D6PM	20 21	briefs. I found them enlightening and I went back and forth personally on who's going to	-	21	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. And that ties	
	22	prevail. I'm also interested in hearing the		22	into the standing argument, and I have read the	
		3			5	
	1	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank		1	5 realtors' affidavits about is there going to be	
	1 2			1 2		
		village's point of view, but I wanted to thank			realtors' affidavits about is there going to be	
	2	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary		2	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not.	
	2 3 4 5	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before		2 3 4 5	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This	
	2 3 4 5	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there		2 3 4 5	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An	
	2 3 4 5 6 7	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late		2 3 4 5 6 7	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or	
05 51 45⊇M	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members	có se septi	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision,	
0 5 51 <€™서	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need	05 5 4 16Pt4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village	
06 S1 48⊇M	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you	- 06 54 16Pt4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision,	
06 S1 48PM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free.	. 05 54 16Pta	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority	
05 51 45⊇M	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free. Technically, all of this should	GS 54 16PM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority and duties under the code.	
0 5 51 48⊇M	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free. Technically, all of this should have been submitted on time keeping with the	. QS 54 16PM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority and duties under the code. We have conflicting opinions by the	
05 31 <8≅M	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free. Technically, all of this should have been submitted on time keeping with the briefing schedule set out in the rules. I think	. 08 54 16Pta	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority and duties under the code. We have conflicting opinions by the realtors and by the Dugans. Any thoughts from	
05 51 44⊇M	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free. Technically, all of this should have been submitted on time keeping with the briefing schedule set out in the rules. I think my view is as long as those were filed with the	05 5 4 16Pt4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority and duties under the code. We have conflicting opinions by the realtors and by the Dugans. Any thoughts from the board members? I don't want to just	
06 S1 4€PM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free. Technically, all of this should have been submitted on time keeping with the briefing schedule set out in the rules. I think my view is as long as those were filed with the village and then distributed to us and sent to	. 06 54 16Pts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority and duties under the code. We have conflicting opinions by the realtors and by the Dugans. Any thoughts from the board members? I don't want to just influence everyone with my thought on the issue.	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free. Technically, all of this should have been submitted on time keeping with the briefing schedule set out in the rules. I think my view is as long as those were filed with the village and then distributed to us and sent to us via email; is that correct? They were first filed with the village, both of you submitted your late submissions to the village and to us;	. 06 54 16Ptd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority and duties under the code. We have conflicting opinions by the realtors and by the Dugans. Any thoughts from the board members? I don't want to just influence everyone with my thought on the issue. MR. PODLISKA: I think there's an	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free. Technically, all of this should have been submitted on time keeping with the briefing schedule set out in the rules. I think my view is as long as those were filed with the village and then distributed to us and sent to us via email; is that correct? They were first filed with the village, both of you submitted your late submissions to the village and to us; is that correct?	:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority and duties under the code. We have conflicting opinions by the realtors and by the Dugans. Any thoughts from the board members? I don't want to just influence everyone with my thought on the issue. MR. PODLISKA: I think there's an arguable case to be made that the Dugans may be	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	village's point of view, but I wanted to thank both counsel for submitting what I thought were very good briefs. We have a couple other preliminary issues that I think we should take care of before the main event. There was an issue there were some emails in the last 24 hours about late submissions, and I don't know that we need argument from you. I thought the board members could just address that and if either of you have any comments as we go, feel free. Technically, all of this should have been submitted on time keeping with the briefing schedule set out in the rules. I think my view is as long as those were filed with the village and then distributed to us and sent to us via email; is that correct? They were first filed with the village, both of you submitted your late submissions to the village and to us;	:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	realtors' affidavits about is there going to be hardship and detriment to the Dugans or not. Let's look at the code on the issue of standing because I think it will be helpful. Parties entitled to appeal. This is 11-502.C, parties entitled to appeal. An application for appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals may be filed by any person aggrieved or adversely affected by an order, decision, determination, or failure to act of the village manager acting pursuant to his or her authority and duties under the code. We have conflicting opinions by the realtors and by the Dugans. Any thoughts from the board members? I don't want to just influence everyone with my thought on the issue. MR. PODLISKA: I think there's an arguable case to be made that the Dugans may be affected by the outcome of this. I don't see an	

			······································
,	6	1	8
1	Especially after I spent hours over the weekend.	1	action by establishing local procedures to
2	They better have standing or I just wasted a	2	review and correct administrative errors. It is
3	bunch of time reading this.	3	not, however, intended as a means to subvert the
4	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If they don't have	4	clear purposes, meanings, or intents of the code
5	standing, they don't have standing and it would	5	or the rightful authority of the village manager
6	be well, actually, in appeals our decisions	6	to enforce the requirements of this code. To
7	do have precedential	7	these ends, the reviewing body, that's us,
8	MR. MOBERLY: Okay.	8	should give all proper deference to the spirit
9	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: So any thoughts?	9	and intent embodied in the language of this code
06.55 20PM 10	MR. GILTNER: Is this the first time	C6 57.46PM 10	and to the reasonable interpretations of that
11	that we are considering standing? I mean, it's	11	language by those charged with the administration
12	the fact that they have submitted their requests,	12	of this code.
13	it still has to come it's our decision?	13	So that means that the Dugans who
14	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes, The builder's	14	filed the appeal have the burden of convincing
15	response brief raised a standing issue. The	15	us that the village's decision was either
16	Dugans responded in a reply brief. We have	16	arbitrary, ill-considered, or erroneous. And
17	conflicting affidavits on the issue of hardship	17	with that, I think the Dugans' counsel can begin
18	and whether the Dugans will be adversely	18	their argument unless any of you have anything
19	affected. Kathryn?	19	else preliminary.
DS 35 56PM 20	MS. ENGEL: I believe there's an	06 58 14PM 20	MR. PODLISKA: Nothing.
21	argument for equitable consideration here and	21	MR. GILTNER: No.
22	based on 11-502.B and the purpose of which it's	22	MS. ENGEL: No.
	**************************************	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	7		9
1	written, I don't see an issue going forward with	1	MR. MOBERLY: No.
2	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides.	1 2	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening,
	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the		MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name
2	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus	2 3 4	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants
2 3 4 5	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a	2 3 4	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do
2 3 4 5 6	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that	2 3 4	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set
2 3 4 5 6 7	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the	2 3 4 5	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 50 42PM 10	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 49 38PM 10	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ∞ 55 42₹™ 10 11 12	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 26 3894 10 11 12	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 50 42PM 10 11 12 13	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 49 38PM 10 11 12 13	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 550 42FM 10 11 12 13	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the actual arguments, Kathryn correctly noted that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 50 589 M 10 11 12 13	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am using on the easel will also be on the screen so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 30 42PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the actual arguments, Kathryn correctly noted that the standard for appeals, which also addresses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 50 50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am using on the easel will also be on the screen so those that are behind the easel will still be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 SS 42FM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the actual arguments, Kathryn correctly noted that the standard for appeals, which also addresses the burden of proof for the appellant, is stated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 03 55 389 M 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am using on the easel will also be on the screen so those that are behind the easel will still be able to see. And again, anything that we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 254 42PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the actual arguments, Kathryn correctly noted that the standard for appeals, which also addresses the burden of proof for the appellant, is stated in 11-502.B. Let's read that out loud to refresh	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 49 38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am using on the easel will also be on the screen so those that are behind the easel will still be able to see. And again, anything that we are using on the screen other than the code sections
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 55 42PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the actual arguments, Kathryn correctly noted that the standard for appeals, which also addresses the burden of proof for the appellant, is stated in 11-502.B. Let's read that out loud to refresh our memory on that one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 05 55 35PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am using on the easel will also be on the screen so those that are behind the easel will still be able to see. And again, anything that we are using on the screen other than the code sections has also been previously submitted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 50 42PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the actual arguments, Kathryn correctly noted that the standard for appeals, which also addresses the burden of proof for the appellant, is stated in 11-502.B. Let's read that out loud to refresh our memory on that one. The appeal procedure is provided as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 26 36PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am using on the easel will also be on the screen so those that are behind the easel will still be able to see. And again, anything that we are using on the screen other than the code sections has also been previously submitted. I do have hard copies of what I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 co 35 42PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Dd SIT-TIDPM 20	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the actual arguments, Kathryn correctly noted that the standard for appeals, which also addresses the burden of proof for the appellant, is stated in 11-502.B. Let's read that out loud to refresh our memory on that one. The appeal procedure is provided as a safeguard against arbitrary, ill-considered,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 05 55 35PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 06 59 56PM 20	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am using on the easel will also be on the screen so those that are behind the easel will still be able to see. And again, anything that we are using on the screen other than the code sections has also been previously submitted. I do have hard copies of what I'm going to use by way of a presentation on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 50 42PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	written, I don't see an issue going forward with it. I read both sides. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So the consensus is it accurate to say the consensus of the board is that Dugans have made out a colorable claim that they have standing and that we should move forward with the substance of the objection? MR. PODLISKA: Yes. MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. ENGEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Relatively easy. Now, before we get started with the actual arguments, Kathryn correctly noted that the standard for appeals, which also addresses the burden of proof for the appellant, is stated in 11-502.B. Let's read that out loud to refresh our memory on that one. The appeal procedure is provided as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 26 36PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MOBERLY: No. MR. O'DONNELL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. My name is Bob O'Donnell, and I represent the appellants here James and Nancy Dugan. What I'd like to do at the start is if you give me 45 seconds to set up the easel, get the computer in shape, and I'll move through my argument. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We won't start the clock until your easel is set up. MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you very much. We can start the clock now. By the way, anything that I am using on the easel will also be on the screen so those that are behind the easel will still be able to see. And again, anything that we are using on the screen other than the code sections has also been previously submitted. I do have hard copies of what I'm

	10		12
1	your discretion if you would like.	1	words, not demolished, although the structure
2	What I'd like to do at the outset,	2	was, for the most part, demolished and rebuilt,
3	and I'm really going to try not to repeat	3	that south wall remained.
4	everything that's been written. I think I heard	4	Exhibit 6 to our original submittal
5	all of you say you have had the opportunity to	5	shows the survey of the existing structure and
6	and have taken advantage of the written submission	6	it shows the dimension of that to be 5 feet 6
7	to read it.	7	inches that remain. So the nonconformity remains,
8	But there are several code sections	8	it's not a precode structure.
9	that I think are going to come into play this	9	The second provision of the village
07 00 40PM 10	evening that really need to be understood in the	07 CO 34PM 10	code that comes into play is Section 12-206 and
11	context of this application. The first is	. 11	this will establish that the lot, the 504 lot,
12	Section 10-104. And the issue with respect to	12	would not be a legal nonconforming lot upon the
13	that is the structure that is currently existing	13	demolition of the structure that exists and under
14	on the 504 property is not a precode structure.	14	Section 12-206 there are several requirements for
15	And the reason it is not a precode structure is	15	a legal nonconforming lot, but one is it needs
16	it was voluntarily demolished by the Girschs in	16	to be vacant on June 18, 1988. This clearly
17	1993, 1994 and when it was rebuilt, it was not	17	wasn't. The structure that was demolished by
18	built in conformity with all of the code	18	the Girschs existed on June 18, 1988, or became
19	regulations with the exception of minimum lot	19	vacant thereafter by reason of demolition or
D7 G1 25P4 20	area and lot dimension regulations which is what	07 G4.20PM 20	destruction of a precode structure.
21	10-104 states.	21	So here if the structure that
22	In this instance, the structure as	22	exists on the 504 lot is demolished since it was
	11		13
1	it was upon demolition and rebuilt maintained	1	not a precode structure, it would not be vacant
2	the nonconformity with respect to the side yard	2	considered vacant, and a legal nonconforming lot.
3	setback. The wall facing the the south wall	3	It would simply be a nonconforming lot.
4	which faces the Dugans was existing at 5 feet 6	4	The third code provision that
5	inches and remained at 5 feet 6 inches and the	5	becomes important for consideration is whether
6	and the second of the second o	6	becomes important for consideration is whether
	code requires when a precode structure is	ı	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot
7	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the	7	·
8	·		or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot
i	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the	7	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under
8	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it	7 8	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can
8 9 or oz zopu 10 11	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot	7 8 9	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is.
8 9 or oz zosw 10 11 12	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district	7 8 9 oz os dep.v. 10	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I
8 9 or 02 2004 10 11 12 13	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot	7 8 9 or as dep.w. 10 11	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the
8 9 or 52 2004 10 11 12 13 14	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot dimension regulations and here it maintained a	7 8 9 or cs capm 10 11	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the packet and now on the screen, and what's
8 9 10 07 02 20 4 10 11 12 13 14 15	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot dimension regulations and here it maintained a nonconformity with respect to side yard setback because it is not a precode structure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is that under 10-104.B?	7 8 9 07 05 DBPM 10 11 12 13 14 15	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the packet and now on the screen, and what's important here is this particular aerial
8 9 0 11 11 12 13 14 15 16	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot dimension regulations and here it maintained a nonconformity with respect to side yard setback because it is not a precode structure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is that under 10-104.B? MR. O'DONNELL: 10-104.C.1.	7 8 9 07 CS COPM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the packet and now on the screen, and what's important here is this particular aerial photograph shows in red the lot lines. So we
8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot dimension regulations and here it maintained a nonconformity with respect to side yard setback because it is not a precode structure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is that under 10-104.B? MR. O'DONNELL: 10-104.C.1. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you.	7 8 9 07 05 DEPM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the packet and now on the screen, and what's important here is this particular aerial photograph shows in red the lot lines. So we can see what existed on both the 422, which contained the principal use we maintain and the 504 lot which contained the accessory use.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot dimension regulations and here it maintained a nonconformity with respect to side yard setback because it is not a precode structure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is that under 10-104.B? MR. O'DONNELL: 10-104.C.1. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: And again, what I put	7 8 9 07 05 DEPM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the packet and now on the screen, and what's important here is this particular aerial photograph shows in red the lot lines. So we can see what existed on both the 422, which contained the principal use we maintain and the 504 lot which contained the accessory use. What's important to the code first
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot dimension regulations and here it maintained a nonconformity with respect to side yard setback because it is not a precode structure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is that under 10-104.B? MR. O'DONNELL: 10-104.C.1. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: And again, what I put up here you have in front of you. This is the	7 8 9 07 CS CAPAN 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the packet and now on the screen, and what's important here is this particular aerial photograph shows in red the lot lines. So we can see what existed on both the 422, which contained the principal use we maintain and the 504 lot which contained the accessory use. What's important to the code first and foremost is the use. So the question becomes
8 9 9 110 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 9 20	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot dimension regulations and here it maintained a nonconformity with respect to side yard setback because it is not a precode structure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is that under 10-104.B? MR. O'DONNELL: 10-104.C.1. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: And again, what I put up here you have in front of you. This is the portion of the demolition plan submitted by the	7 8 9 07 05 GAPM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the packet and now on the screen, and what's important here is this particular aerial photograph shows in red the lot lines. So we can see what existed on both the 422, which contained the principal use we maintain and the 504 lot which contained the accessory use. What's important to the code first and foremost is the use. So the question becomes what is the use on the 422 property. It is a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	voluntarily damaged or demolished as was the case here, it may be rebuilt as it was, but it must be rebuilt, restored, or repaired and be in conformity with all of the applicable district regulations other than minimum lot area and lot dimension regulations and here it maintained a nonconformity with respect to side yard setback because it is not a precode structure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is that under 10-104.B? MR. O'DONNELL: 10-104.C.1. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: And again, what I put up here you have in front of you. This is the	7 8 9 07 CS CAPAN 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or not the structure that exists on the 504 lot by definition is an accessory structure. Under your code, candidly the only conclusion one can come to is that it is. And the aerial photograph that I placed on the easel and is also contained in the packet and now on the screen, and what's important here is this particular aerial photograph shows in red the lot lines. So we can see what existed on both the 422, which contained the principal use we maintain and the 504 lot which contained the accessory use. What's important to the code first and foremost is the use. So the question becomes

17

- was it subordinate to serve the principalstructure. The answer is yes.
- 3 And keep in mind what the Girschs
- 4 did back in 1993, they demolished the existing
- 5 garage that accompanied the single-family home
- 6 on the 422 lot, they demolished the existing
- 7 garage that accompanied a single-family home on
- 8 the 504 lot and rebuilt what was the single-
- 9 family home into a four-car garage and anapartment.
 - 11 Well, a four-car garage with an
 - 12 apartment or a coach house is -- and here I
 - 13 think it's critical that we take into account
 - 14 how the property sat one in relation to the
 - 15 other. Where I'm pointing is the single-family
 - 16 home on the 422 lot. The coach house is where
 - 17 I'm now pointing.
 - 18 Between those two properties and
 - 19 straddling the property line is a very large and
- 20 significant motor court. That motor court served
 - 21 singularly the single-family home on the 422
 - 22 property. So the question becomes is a four-car
 - 15
 - 1 garage with a small apartment, i.e., a coach
 - 2 house, an accessory use.
 - 3 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Let me stop you there
 - 4 for a moment.
 - **5** MR. O'DONNELL: Certainly.
 - 6 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: The builder has made
 - 7 an argument that the structure as remodeled meets
 - 8 the definition of a single-family residence.
 - 9 Merely because it has a garage beneath it and a
- war serve 10 kitchen and bedrooms above it doesn't, in my
 - 11 view, turn it into an apartment or even a coach
 - 12 house. It has bedrooms, it has a kitchen.
 - 13 Can you explain to us why it
 - 14 doesn't meet the definition of a single-family
 - 15 residence?
 - 16 MR. O'DONNELL: Because it was never --
 - 17 a certificate of occupancy was never granted as
 - 18 a single-family residence. It was never used as
 - 19 a single-family residence. It was used as an
- accessory structure to the 422 single-family
 - 21 residence and the code speaks to use. Doesn't
 - 22 speak to what one intended.

- 1 So I would submit to you,
- 2 Mr. Chairman, that if we had a four-car garage
- 3 serving singularly the 422 single-family home
- 4 and a two-bedroom apartment for in-laws or a rec
- 5 room, which is what the Girschs originally
- 6 intended to install, or a large storage area or
- 7 anything else, it becomes a use that is accessory
- 8 to the main structure.
- **9** CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: And I understand that
- α argument and I appreciate it.
 - 11 Is it a prerequisite under our code
 - 12 for the building to be a single-family residence
 - 13 to have received a certificate of occupancy?
 - 14 MR. O'DONNELL: There is no requirement
 - **15** that a certificate of occupancy be issued, but in
 - 16 the first instance one would have to ask what is
 - 17 that property's -- I would submit to you that
 - 18 property's purpose from the time -- and I'm
- 19 talking about the 422 structure, from initial
- or DO 28PM 20 design and intent, it was intended to be an
 - 21 accessory use. And it was built as an accessory
 - 22 use.
 - 1 If one looks at the 1993 letter.
 - 2 which at the outset I think caused consternation
 - 3 amongst all and some confusion among some, the
 - 4 village's response to the initial submittal by
 - 5 Girsch, which called for the four-car garage
 - 6 with a rec room as opposed to a four-car garage
 - 7 with an apartment, the village's response, and
 - 8 the village manager's response, was entirely
 - 9 consistent with the code. He was told -- and if
- grows 10 I can fast forward, what the village manager
 - 11 said, and I quote, "In summary, the zoning lot
 - 12 appears to be large enough to allow your clients
 - 13 to pursue their improvement plans. However, once
 - 14 the coach house is accessory to the principal
 - 15 structure, the property consists of one zoning
 - 16 lot and cannot be subdivided in the future."
 - 17 In other words, once the coach
 - 18 house -- it was not limited to the coach house
 - 19 as a garage plus an apartment, a garage plus a
- or 10.429M 20 rec room or a garage plus anything else. Once
 - 21 it is accessory to the principal structure in
 - 22 use, it becomes one zoning lot. And the code is

of your code.

22

attorney's analysis, which suggested the

1 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We are on 15-minutes. MR. MOBERLY: That's okay. 2 I think it's fair to -- is it the consensus that 2 MR. O'DONNELL: But my point is to take 3 a few more minutes for questions on his 3 your -- they were granted a permit to build a 4 presentation? Five more minutes. single-family home. Okay. So they take the 5 MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. Thank you. single-family home and they don't use it as a MR. MOBERLY: Should we ask questions 6 single-family home, they use it as a use 7 now or at the end? 7 accessory to the 422 property. They straddle 8 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Whenever you want to the property line with a very large motor court. 9 ask questions. They build a sport court behind the four-car D7 16 18PM 10 MR. MOBERLY: In December, I don't know 07 18 22PM 10 garage which serves the 422 property. They use 11 the exact date, December of 1994, a building 11 the property as one property. 12 permit was issued for the 504 structure as a 12 Whatever they were granted a permit 13 single-family house. So they didn't build the 13 for and whatever they built, once you use the 14 house without a permit. And the permit is in 14 property as an accessory use, that can only occur 15 all these things somewhere. It's showing it 15 on a zoning lot and you are only permitted to do 16 just on the 1 75-foot lot and not on the entire 16 that to use two lots as one on a zoning lot. 17 225-foot lot. 17 So even if they intended at the 18 MR. O'DONNELL: Because they had a 18 time they got the permit for a single-family 19 demolition permit. home, that's not the way they used it. That's 20 MR. MOBERLY: No, it was a building D7 18 SDPM **20** not the way Girsch used it. That's not the way 21 permit to put the new structure up. That was a 21 LaRocque used it. In fact, it was never used as 22 permitted structure. It was permitted. 22 anything but accessory to 422 and that's what 23 1 MR. O'DONNELL: Clearly the structure your code speaks to, accessory use. 2 was granted a permit. But my point is that the 2 MR. GILTNER: So there's a statement in structure that was granted a permit was to build 3 the July 2017 memo from Michael Marrs, says, The 4 a four-car garage. You can call it anything but staff has confirmed that the revised plans for 5 it was a four-car garage to serve the 422 504 South Oak were reviewed and approved by the property. It was to be an accessory use to the village as plans for a single-family residence 7 422 property. 7 in 1994. 8 In the creation of zoning lots, as 8 So you are suggesting they wouldn't 9 expressed in the code, focuses on use. If you know what the use was at that time, right? 10 are getting some relief from the village to 07 19 22PM 10 But then it says, Following the 1993 build something and you are allowed to build 11 letter, the work shown on the revised plans then 12 something, if you are using the properties as 12 appears to have been carried out and inspected 13 one, which an accessory use -- keep in mind, an 13 and approved by the village as a single-family 14 accessory use is only permitted on a zoning lot. 14 residence. 15 It is not -- there's no temporary -- you can use 15 So that inspection, that is also 16 it as an accessory use for a while until you are 16 done right after it's built and they also 17 ready to use it as a single-family home. 17 wouldn't know what the use is at that point. 18 So to your point, Chairman Moberly --18 MR. O'DONNELL: They would only know 19 MR. MOBERLY: I'm not the chairman, he 19 what the use would be if somebody requested a 20 is. 07 19 42PM **20** certificate of occupancy to occupy that structure 21 MR. O'DONNELL: I'm sorry, you aren't as a single-family home. The record does not 22 the chairman. I don't mean to annoy you. show that that was requested, let alone granted.

1 So to that point -- so let's -- I 2 would dispute that being a single-family home but let's call it a single-family home. 3 4 MR. GILTNER: The village considered it a single-family home. 6 MR. O'DONNELL: I agree. So you build a four-car garage with a small apartment, it's a single-family home. But you don't use it. You 8 don't intend to use it. You don't ask the 07 20 12PM 10 village if you can use it because that can only come with a certificate of occupancy and for 24 11 years you don't use it as anything but accessory 12 13 to the 422 property. 14 I mean, we have to call it what it 15 is. It's an accessory use. I don't think that 16 can be disputed. And if it was an accessory use 17 for 24 years and 2 owners, then it can only occur 18 on a zoning lot and the creation of the zoning 19 lot was done by Girsch, how Girsch used it, it 20 was continued by LaRocque as LaRocque used it. 21 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If someone applied 22 for a certificate of occupancy today, do you 1

requirements of 4 are met to make it an accessory structure? It's not on the same zoning lot as the residence; right? 5 MR. O'DONNELL: Sure it is. Because zoning lot isn't the lot of record. The zoning lot is -- the zoning lot as referred to here is 422, 504. That's the zoning lot. 9 MR. PODLISKA: Well, what troubles me 07 22 38PM 10 about that is that it sounds like a circuitous 11 argument. You are saying that it's an accessory 12 structure and therefore, it's one zoning lot. 13 MR. O'DONNELL: No. I'm saying it's an 14 accessory structure, therefore, it may only be used on a zoning lot. 15 16 MR. PODLISKA: But does not this 17 provision seem to go the other way around, that 18 you have to show that it's on the same zoning 19 lot before it's an accessory structure? 20 MR. O'DONNELL: I put up on the screen 21 Section 12-206. 22 MR. PODLISKA: Do I have it in the

No. 4. What is your position as to how the

have an opinion on whether or not the village would grant it?
MR. O'DONNELL: I can't speak to what the village can do. I would say that once it became a zoning lot because of the accessory use, the correct answer under the code would be that should be denied.
And in point of fact that's, in essence, what the village manager did when she

first realized that. When the application
crossed the village manager's desk to apply for
a demolition permit on the garage for 422 she
said, wait a minute. 422 is a zoning lot in
conjunction with 504. So back up. Let's take a
look at what you intend to do. That is a zoning
lot.

So wasn't exactly the question you

posed, Mr. Chairman, but it was pretty close andthe village's reaction out of the box was no,it's a zoning.

MR. PODLISKA: Mr. O'Donnell, let meask you about going back to 9-101.B requirement

29 1 packet? 2 MR. O'DONNELL: Yes, you do. So 12-206 is important because this is the definition of a zoning lot. 5 So a zoning lot is a tract of land consisting of one or more lots of record. And 6 7 here the lots of record would be 504 and 422. Or parts thereof, under single ownership or control, located entirely within a block and 07 23 36Рм 10 occupied by, or designated by its owner or a developer at the time of filing for any zoning approval or building permit as a tract of land 13 to be developed for a principal building and its accessory buildings or -- so that speaks to if 14 you come in with an application that says I want 15 16 to take two lots of record. I want to build a principal structure and an accessory use and we 17 18 are going to call it a zoning lot hereafter.

21 MR. O'DONNELL: That's right. Or --22 and here's the second part of the definition. A

owner wants to do it.

MR. PODLISKA: But if the property

19

20

07.24.08PM

- principal use, together with such open spaces
 and yards are designed and arranged or required
 under this code to be used with such building or
- 4 use.
- 5 So what we have here is two lots of
- 6 records, 504, 422. 504 has the accessory
- 7 structure in conjunction with 422. That creates.
- 8 That makes the two of those lots of record a
- 9 zoning lot.
- MR. PODLISKA: So the first part of
 this would be to accommodate the property owner
 - 12 who wishes to add an accessory structure on his
 - 13 property. And the second part of this would
 - 14 impose that upon a property owner depending upon
 - 15 how the use was done on the structures.
 - MR. O'DONNELL: Precisely. And here we
 - 17 have the use of the structures of an accessory
 - 18 structure, the 504 coach house, that was
 - **19** designated, identified and used by the owner as
 - 20 accessory to 422. The village does not permit
 - 21 that unless you treat those two lots of record
 - 22 as a single zoning lot.

- 1 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: I want to go back to
- 2 9-101.B.2, which says, among other things, an
- 3 accessory structure or use is a structure or use
- 4 that is customarily found as an incident to such
- 5 principal structure or use.
- 6 In order to find the building that
- 7 you call a coach house, the four-car garage with
- 8 an apartment, is customarily found as an incident
- 9 to such principal structure or use, we necessarily
- 10 have to buy your argument that it's not a single-
- 11 family residence, it's not just a four-car garage,
- 12 it's -- well, we have to say it is just a four-car
- 13 garage and it's incident to the use of the other
- 14 property or it's not an accessory.
- 15 MR. O'DONNELL: Mr. Chairman, I don't
- **16** think any of us would disagree that a four-car
- 17 garage which serves the single-family home on
- 18 the lot next door is accessory.
- 19 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Now you are getting
- or 26 389 w 20 into the use.
 - 21 MR. O'DONNELL: So does your code.
 - 22 That's what your code speaks to is the use.

- 1 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: But it says the
- 2 structure that you want us to say is an accessory
- 3 structure is customarily found as an incident to
- 4 such principal structure.
- 5 How would you address the argument
- 6 that a separate single-family residence that is
- 7 used as an accessory is customarily found as
- 8 incident to such principal structure or use?
- 9 I agree with you that it was used
- or 22 22PW 10 that way by the last couple of owners, but it's
 - 11 a separate -- arguably, it's a separate single-
 - 12 family residence; the village thought so. And a
 - 13 separate single-family residence is not
 - 14 customarily found as an incident to another
 - 15 principal structure; it's a separate single-
 - 16 family residence.
 - 17 MR. O'DONNELL: But with all due respect,
 - 18 I think we are focusing on labels and not use.
 - 19 A four-car garage which was
- gramma 20 constructed adjacent to a large motor court that
 - 21 straddles the two property lines which the four-
 - 22 car garage served only the occupants of the
 - 33
 - 1 principal structure next door is by any
 - 2 definition an accessory use.
 - Now, the whole name of a coach house
 - 4 -- I mean, I do a considerable amount of work in
 - 5 Lake Forest and coach houses candidly are a dime
 - 6 a dozen. Now, many of the coach houses have
 - 7 other uses.
 - 8 But a coach house historically by
 - 9 its name would have part of it to be a garage,
- or even a stable, depending how far back in time
 - 11 we go, and a residence area for people that work
 - 12 on the property to live. We don't call that a
 - 13 single-family home, we call that a coach house.
 - 14 Why do we call it a coach house? Because it
 - 15 serves as an accessory use to the principal
 - **16** structure. That's exactly what happened here.
 - 17 And let's keep in mind if this
 - 18 single-family home was such an appropriate, if
 - 19 you will, single-family home, the first time
- 20 anybody decided to contemplate that 504 property
 - 21 as a separate lot, what are they doing? They
 - 22 want to teardown completely and build a single-

22

affected by this structure and that will be very

MR. MOBERLY: So now you are saying it's

one giant lot and the village never consolidated

21

22

38 40 1 MRS. DUGAN: Hello, Board. My name is education. 2 2 Nancy Dugan and I will be brief. So I hope you keep this all in 3 When we moved in, we understood mind. Was this house really built as a singlethat the LaRocques owned the coach house as well family home? Check out where the walls are and 5 as the home and that part of the premium we were 5 everything like that. And I appreciate your paying was to be next to this beautiful large time on this matter. 7 property just like there's a property that's 7 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you. 8 large on the other side, the former McGue home. 8 MR. MARRS: I don't want to take too 9 When the house was built in 1999, 9 much of the owner's time but I just did want to 07 33 42Рм 10 which was after the coach house was built, it 10 briefly kind of summarize the village's position 11 was built primarily to enjoy that view. So if and make a couple of points. 11 you look at our house, the majority of the house 12 12 Just to quickly reiterate the 13 is facing the 504 and the 422 property. 13 history of this matter, and you can kind of 14 If you will notice, the coach house 14 follow it along, but I thought I'd go through it 15 is only set back between about six and nine feet. 15 briefly. I think it's important as you consider 16 both the rebuilt wall and the new wall, and I 16 these code provisions and how the village has 17 thought single-family homes had sight set back 17 approached this, keep in mind they don't do 18 rules or they don't. 18 transfer inspections in Hinsdale. Their contact 19 And now, Bayit plans to build this 19 with a particular property is driven by somebody 20 huge 7,500 foot home not where the coach house 20 coming in and asking for permits and that's how 07 36 16PM 21 is but basically right on top of our house. We 21 they facilitate learning about a particular 22 are going to lose all of our light on the second 22 property and from their existing files. 39 41 1 floor. We will have no light on the first 1 All right. So that happened last 2 floor. It's really a shame being I don't think 2 year when staff was approached by the current we would have bought the house if we had known owner with plans for work at 422 Oak and they that this was going to be permitted in the future. reviewed those plans and subsequently it was a 5 Also, Bayit said they were going to neighbor who brought this 1993 letter to the 6 keep all the trees but they are taking down all village's attention and upon receiving that 7 the trees. And I know that's not part of the 7 letter and consulting with me, staff went to the 8 decision, but it's very disappointing that they owner and said, I got an issue here because as are choosing to take down all of the trees that you guys know, the letter specifies that if you 10 border us. 07 37 GZPM 10 -- it's a proposal from somebody asking if I do 11 And then finally, we did talk to 11 this, what's the result? And the village's 12 John Bohnen, who helped us about home values and 12 response was you can do what you are proposing 13 we are here forever, the builder is not here 13 but be mindful that if you do, it becomes one 14 forever, however, we do have four children. We 14 zoning lot and you can't then sell it off as of 15 might need to take money out on the house to 15 right. 16 help finance their education. I have four kids 16 So from the village's position 17 17 that are going to be in school within six years having seen that that clear statement was made, 18 of each other in college and so just because we 18 we said, Bayit Builders, you have a problem 19 are not going to leave the community, doesn't 19 here. The builder then appealed that finding 20 mean that the value of our home is not very 07 37 28°M 20 and it was during the process of dealing with 21 important to us because we may some day need to that appeal, and delving kind of further into 22 get money out of it to finance our kids' 22 village records, that it came to the light that

- 1 as a result of the letter, the property owner
- 2 had then revised his plans in order, from the
- 3 village's perspective, to protect his rights.
- 4 Specifically, it was learned that
- 5 the 1993 letter, and it wasn't entirely clear
- from the context of that letter alone, that it
- 7 concerned plans for this four-car garage with
- 8 just a rec room over it. And presumably as a
- g result of that letter, the plan he subsequently
- submitted made sure he was including all of the
 - 11 elements necessary to maintain a single-family
 - 12 home on that 504 property. So instead of a rec
 - 13 room over a four-car garage, he designed a two-
 - 10 Toom over a rour car garage, he designed a two-
 - 14 bedroom residence, kitchen, bathrooms, et cetera,
 - 15 still over a four-car garage.
 - 16 Assuming that staff was consistent
 - 17 back then with the way they would approach it
 - 18 today, staff would not have approved those plans
 - 19 for an accessory structure because -- and it's
- even made clear in the 1993 letter, it says,
 - 21 Keep in mind that you can't have a dwelling unit
 - 22 in your proposed coach house. Okay. And it has
 - 43
 - 1 all the elements of a dwelling unit, of a single-
 - 2 family house. So the village accepted those
 - 3 plans, then inspected and approved the property as
 - 4 a single-family home.
 - 5 Now, I saw when I was reviewing
 - 6 materials preparing for tonight that the
 - 7 neighbors' counsel had asserted there was no
 - 8 certificate of occupancy, but after conferring
 - 9 with Robb, he did provide me with one, so there
 - 10 is one, which I can provide.
 - 11 MR. MOBERLY: Can you just tell us what
 - 12 it says?
 - MR. MARRS: Sure. It's an application
 - 14 for certificate of occupancy for a single-family
 - 15 residential home signed by the village for 504
 - 16 South Oak.
 - 17 MR. O'DONNELL: Mr. Chairman, I have a
 - 18 real objection to this for two reasons. And I
 - **19** think they are important. One is yes, this is
- all coming in late, that's the obvious. But
 - 21 more important is, we submitted two separate
 - 22 Freedom of Information Act requests to the

- 1 village and this document, which would have been
- 2 responsive to both, never appeared.
- 3 So I would object to this being
- 4 brought into the hearing literally during the
- 5 hearing, and coupled with the fact that we
- 6 submitted two Freedom of Information Act
- 7 requests for the village's entire file on this
- 8 and this document never showed up. I haven't
- 9 even seen it. If it's what counsel represents,
- or 40 06PM 10 it was clearly responsive.
 - 11 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Nor have we and I
 - 12 don't think we can consider evidence referenced
 - 13 but not submitted is my gut reaction, but if you
 - 14 have the exhibit with you --
 - **15** MR. MARRS: I understand. I wasn't a
 - 16 party to the FOIAs; I don't know what was
 - 17 disclosed. But I do know that counsel for the
 - 18 other side has it, so I assume it was given to
 - 19 everybody.
- Also, I would point out, the
 - 21 Freedom of Information Act and responses to that
 - 22 are not discovery; they are a separate matter
- 45
- 1 than this. So I do think it's relevant and you
- 2 consider it but I understand your position.
- 3 At the end of the day, the village
- 4 considered these to be single-family properties
- 5 and even without a certificate of occupancy, I
- 6 think that's obvious from the fact that they
- 7 approved it and it's existed that way for these
- 8 years.
- **9** Staff, they focus a lot on use.
- OF 41 22PM 10 And staff simply does not interpret the zoning
 - 11 lot definition as turning on use. I remind you
 - **12** again, we don't conduct transfer inspections.
 - 13 They don't have the resources or the capability
 - 14 to conduct a use analysis and get affidavits
 - 15 from neighbors and learn how a property has been
 - 16 used over the years.
 - 17 The focus of the zoning lot
 - 18 definition is on the plans that are brought to
 - 19 the village, how somebody is presenting it. And
- 20 that's exactly what happened in 1993. Somebody
 - 21 brought forth a plan that said, hey, I'm
 - 22 thinking of doing this. What's the result going

46 48 1 to be? house is allowed to be built, it takes away the 2 And the result was, the village 2 property value and how were they supposed to said, if you do that, you don't have a separate know and isn't that unfair? 4 independent lot anymore, you have a single **'4** MR. MARRS: I don't know what the 5 zoning lot. So they changed their plans. answer is to that other than the fact that I 6 There's no question about that. They changed understand him referring to it as a coach house the plans. They created a single-family home in 7 7 but it's a fairly substantial structure. It has 8 order to protect their rights. a four-car garage, it looks like a house to me. 9 MR. PODLISKA: Should the homeowner 9 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: How was it built so 10 have gotten a certificate of zoning compliance? 10 close to the other lot line? 11 I'm looking at 9-101.C. 11 MR. MARRS: That's a question I think 12 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: When required by Robb can answer. 13 subsection 11-401.C of this code, a certificate 13 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Please. 14 of zoning compliance evidencing a compliance of 14 MR. McGINNIS: It's a precode structure. 15 the accessory use or structure, the provisions They demolished part of it. But the reality is 16 of this code shall be obtained before any such 16 that structure existed and they did an extensive 17 accessory use or structure exist. 17 renovation to it but they didn't demolish it. 18 MR. PODLISKA: So when he went back to 18 That's why it's so close to that south lot line. 19 change the plan when he was told if you do it 19 MR. MARRS: Right. So that's another 20 this way, you are going to have a problem, and 20 issue that I wanted to raise. 07 45 ZUPM we have all listened to how he went back and he 21 Subject to 10-104 it's not a 22 changed what he was going to do, once he made 22 demolition, it's an alteration and an enlargement 40 1 that decision to do it a different way, was he which does not go to the subsection C that he 2 required then to present that and get a was saying. They have a right to alter that 3 certificate of zoning compliance to establish existing precode structure. They have a right 4 that the new approach he was taking was going to to enlarge it, which is exactly what they did. 5 comply? 5 So they have maintained their rights 6 MR. MARRS: No. Because it's an existing 6 under 10-104. It was a single-family home. It 7 -- he's got an existing single-family home and he continues to be a single-family home from the 8 made his inquiry, he got the answer that he village's perspective. It's not an accessory rejected and so then he went forward with keeping structure. 10 it as an existing use and having it inspected 10 A single-family home is not 07 45 5CP VI and approved as an existing single-family use. 11 11 customarily found as an incident to a principal 12 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: How do you address single-family structure. And frankly, again, 12 13 the Dugans' argument that when they bought their 13 would not have been approved by staff because we 14 house, these two lots looked like one big lot 14 don't let you just put a second single-family 15 with an accessory structure and it was used that 15 home on your lot. It's two zoning lots with two

16 way for years by prior owners and it's been used

17 that way ever since the Dugans bought the house

18 and initially even the village thought that was

19 correct and it was an accessory use and then

20 they found some more documents, the Dugans

21 couldn't have found those documents. They built

16

17

18

19

21

22

07 46 18°M 20

single-family homes.

both principal structures.

An accessory structure must be

And lastly, to his focus on the

subordinate in extent and purpose. A single-

family home is simply not subordinate in extent

and purpose to a single-family home; they are

3 can still be a new structure on it? 4 MR. MARRS: Right. As long as you can create a building pad that fits and meets the 6 other aspects of 10-104. 7 MR. McGINNIS: Just to be clear, 422 may be a conforming lot on its own. I don't have the dimensions offhand but that's a pretty 10 big lot. It may well be a conforming lot. 11 MR. PODLISKA: I'm looking at the 12 letter from 1993, it looks like neither one of 13 them conformed. 422 the lot width is 121

14 instead of 125, and 504 is both -- the lot width

15 is 78 feet instead of 125 and the lot area is

way down, it's 25,291 instead of 30,000, but 16 they can still build new structures on both of 17 18 those properties, right? 19 MR. MARRS: Correct. 20 MR. PODLISKA: Thank you.

21 MR. MARRS: And in closing, what the owner never did was designate this property as a from the village in that respect yesterday as

well, and at the time the permits were issued

for the sport court, they put a condition on

there that they had to stay one foot off the lot

7 line.

8 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Mr. O'Donnell, could

you step up for a minute.

07 50 10PM 10 As a fellow litigator, I saw justifiable steam coming out of your ears, and 12 let's see if we can clear up any issues on

administrative review now rather than your going 13 14

up on administrative review, or someone going up

15 on administrative review, and having this

inadvertent reference to evidence that's not in 16

17 the record become reversible error.

18 We trust the village's counsel that 19 he didn't know what was or wasn't submitted as

20 far as the FOIA request. I think that we can, 21 as judges and juries want to do, not consider

those references to evidence not in the record

54 56 1 in making our decision. document. I mean, I don't see why he can't look 2 The alternative, however, and I'd at it now and we continue this hearing. 3 like your view on it, and then I'd like the 3 Alternatively, if the board does not board members' view on it, is to have those want to consider the evidence as not submitted, documents produced to all parties, continue this 5 then I would argue -- I suppose we could 6 matter until next month so that Mr. O'Donnell continue this, but he simply just -- you cannot 7 has a chance to consider that evidence not in 7 consider the argument that there was not a the record so that the record is fully developed 8 certificate of occupancy. and then that issue couldn't possibly be 9 There's a fact. It's a fact. O7 51 36PM 10 reversible error on administrative review. o7 53 44PM 10 There is a certificate of occupancy. We 11 Your view, Mr. O'Donnell? 11 received it as part of our FOIA request. 12 MR. O'DONNELL: And I would want -- and 12 MR. O'DONNELL: With all due respect, 13 that's why we requested -- and one of the items 13 counsel can't have it both ways. Either we are that we specifically requested is certificates allowed to see whatever documents were requested 15 of occupancy. Because whether we all agree on 15 and adjust accordingly or, the new documents, if 16 the issues, I think we knew what the issues were you will, or not produced documents, can't be 17 and would be. 17 considered. But counsel was saying that you 18 So I want this board to make a 18 should consider the documents that weren't 19 decision on merits and I want to be able to 19 produced and ignore the argument that we based 07 52 02PM **20** present our case similarly. So I'm much more in 07 54 18PM **20** upon the documents not being available. 21 favor of letting us have what we have asked for, 21 MS. OVERBY: No, that was not my 22 I don't know what all there is. It might be 2 22 argument. 55 57 1 documents, it might be 22 or 2,200, I have no 1 MR. O'DONNELL: Well, all I'm saying is 2 idea. But I would much prefer to take a look at I'm perfectly willing to continue and deal with 3 that material and then present it to you. If it the substance of whatever the record is. 4 happens to be relevant and not play -- at least 4 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: So Ms. Overby, you at this point, not play litigation games about 5 are saying that the certificate of occupancy was what wasn't produced and what was requested, et 6 part of the village's FOIA response to your FOIA 7 cetera. 7 request? 8 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: And the FOIA requests 8 MS. OVERBY: Correct. were submitted far enough in advance that the 9 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: And Mr. O'Donnell, you 10 responsive materials should have all been 10 are saying you submitted a FOIA request which 07 52 40PM 11 produced by now. 11 would have covered it and it wasn't and the 12 MR. O'DONNELL: Before we initially certificate of occupancy wasn't part of the 13 submitted our -- obviously, we were looking for 13 village's response to your FOIA request; is that 14 the information upon which we could make a 14 correct? 15 determination whether to appeal. 15 MR. O'DONNELL: Absolutely. 16 MS. OVERBY: Susan Overby, on behalf of 16 MS. OVERBY: My position is I did not 17 Avra and Bayit. 17 include the certificate of occupancy in my brief, 18 We made an independent FOIA request 18 however, when I read his brief, I re-reviewed 19 as well. I did not realize there's a all of the documents again and I discovered it's or 53 100 M 20 certificate of occupancy until I read your brief D7 55.06PM **20** a one-page document. 21 and I located the certificate of occupancy as a 21 I find it difficult to believe that 22 result of reading your brief. It's a one-page 22 it wasn't -- I mean, my understanding the way

		1	······································
1	58 that the village responds to FOIA requests is	Ι,	60
2	that the vinage responds to POIA requests is	1	time to review the FOIA request, I can
3	documents for the properties at issue. Maybe it	2	understand that inclination.
4	was a copying error. But my suggestion is it's	3	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: I have a suggestion
5	a one-page document, can you review it now? I	5	for everybody, and then I'll be quiet and let
6	have copies for everyone.	6	the other board members speak.
7	MR. O'DONNELL: I thought I heard, and	7	It seems to me that what might be
8	I'll be corrected, but I thought I heard the	8	the most efficient way to proceed is to allow Mr. O'Donnell and the village to go back and
9	village attorney made reference to another	9	review what the village produced to Mr. O'Donnell.
07 55 44PM 10	document that he was able to find yesterday and	07 57:52PM 10	If the documents that village's
11	I think that's what prompted you, Mr. Chairman,	11	counsel referred to this evening were part of
12	to react.	12	that response to the FOIA request, then we can
13	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: I saw the steam	13	make our decision without any further hearing
14	coming out of your ears.	14	because Mr. O'Donnell then would have had all of
15	MR. O'DONNELL: That was probably pretty	15	those documents all along and he didn't focus on
16	evident. My only suggestion is I thought I	16	them because no one was focusing on them and
17	heard reference to what might be more documents.	17	then no further argument would be needed.
18	MR. MARRS: That's correct. The	18	If, however, they weren't produced,
19	village is not trying to play gotcha.	19	then I think we have to continue the hearing to
D7 56 CAPM 20	MR. O'DONNELL: No, no.	07.58 30PM 20	allow everybody to argue what those documents
21	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: You are not to blame	21	showed and how they influenced the case.
22	here.	22	Does that make sense to both sides?
	59		61
1	59 Ms. Overby, let me ask you a	1	61 MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me.
1 2		1 2	
	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a		MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me.
2	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question.	2	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes.
3	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure.	2	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that
2 3 4	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your	2 3 4	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight?
2 3 4 5	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not	2 3 4 5	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm
2 3 4 5	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. . MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the	2 3 4 5 6	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request,	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0750389M 10 11	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 or 60 30PM 10 11	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 59 00 FM 10	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 60 38PM 10 11 12 13	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before you start breaking ground if the ultimate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 35 00 PM 10 11	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we reconvene next month, we will have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 or 60 30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before you start breaking ground if the ultimate decisions will allow you to break ground.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 59 00 Pu 10 11 12 13	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we reconvene next month, we will have the deliberations on the case without any further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 60 38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. Ms. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before you start breaking ground if the ultimate decisions will allow you to break ground. Ms. OVERBY: I'm in a difficult position	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 35 00PM 10 11 12 13	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we reconvene next month, we will have the deliberations on the case without any further argument.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 or 60 30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before you start breaking ground if the ultimate decisions will allow you to break ground. MS. OVERBY: I'm in a difficult position because obviously from my client's perspective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 150 00 Pu 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we reconvene next month, we will have the deliberations on the case without any further argument. If, however, those documents weren't produced, then both sides will be allowed a limited amount of time, famous last words, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 65 38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before you start breaking ground if the ultimate decisions will allow you to break ground. MS. OVERBY: I'm in a difficult position because obviously from my client's perspective every day that passes is a day that we are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 35 00PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we reconvene next month, we will have the deliberations on the case without any further argument. If, however, those documents weren't produced, then both sides will be allowed a limited amount of time, famous last words, to at next month to address how those documents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 50 30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before you start breaking ground if the ultimate decisions will allow you to break ground. MS. OVERBY: I'm in a difficult position because obviously from my client's perspective every day that passes is a day that we are not moving forward on this project. But I absolutely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 SS OUPN 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we reconvene next month, we will have the deliberations on the case without any further argument. If, however, those documents weren't produced, then both sides will be allowed a limited amount of time, famous last words, to at next month to address how those documents only should influence our decision.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 60 38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07 51 12PM 20	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before you start breaking ground if the ultimate decisions will allow you to break ground. MS. OVERBY: I'm in a difficult position because obviously from my client's perspective every day that passes is a day that we are not moving forward on this project. But I absolutely take your point that I don't want to put a time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 39 00PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we reconvene next month, we will have the deliberations on the case without any further argument. If, however, those documents weren't produced, then both sides will be allowed a limited amount of time, famous last words, to at next month to address how those documents only should influence our decision. Is that fair, Mr. O'Donnell?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 50 30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Ms. Overby, let me ask you a question. MS. OVERBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: If I were in your shoes, but I'm not, I would be concerned that not giving Mr. O'Donnell a chance to re-review the FOIA request, the response to the FOIA request, to see if he in fact received the certificate of occupancy and any other documents that were referred to this evening, would hand Mr. O'Donnell a reversible error on administrative review and then the case goes up and your client spends however much money and wastes more time before you start breaking ground if the ultimate decisions will allow you to break ground. MS. OVERBY: I'm in a difficult position because obviously from my client's perspective every day that passes is a day that we are not moving forward on this project. But I absolutely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07 SS OUPN 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. OVERBY: That makes sense to me. MR. MARRS: Yes. MR. O'DONNELL: Are you suggesting that be done tonight? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no. What I'm suggesting is you and the village review the documents in the next week. You confer with each other to see if the documents that were referenced were produced. If they were produced, then we need not have any further argument and when we reconvene next month, we will have the deliberations on the case without any further argument. If, however, those documents weren't produced, then both sides will be allowed a limited amount of time, famous last words, to at next month to address how those documents only should influence our decision.

62 64 1 MR. MARRS: Does that mean Ms. Overby 1 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. 2 is going to get an opportunity --2 Ms. Overby? 3 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, yes. Ms. Overby 3 MS. OVERBY: So again, my name is Susan will present her case this evening in case we Overby, and I represent Bayit Builders and Avra, 5 don't reopen anything. which is the owner of 504 South Oak. 6 MS. OVERBY: Okay. 6 A lot of ground has already been 7 MR. O'DONNELL: Could I just ask, the 7 covered. I just wanted to speak on a couple of 8 certificate of occupancy, can I actually see it? 8 points. 9 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: I think that's fair. 9 First of all, I understand that the 10 To the extent that there are -- we C8 02.32PM 10 board has determined, at least for purposes of could call a special meeting if it's necessary 11 this hearing, that the Dugans do have standing 12 to either do just our deliberations or to reopen 12 to appeal the village's decision but for the 13 the hearing, but let's see how quickly -- it seems 13 record, I just wanted to reiterate that it's our 14 to me -- let's first see how quickly Mr. O'Donnell 14 position that they don't have standing and that 15 and the village can figure out what was and wasn't they have not shown that they will be aggrieved 15 16 included in the FOIA request. by the proposed building of a single-family 17 If everything was included in the 17 structure, an additional new single-family 18 FOIA request, then we can have a special meeting 18 structure at 504 South Oak. 19 just for deliberations or we can wait until next 19 We have heard a little bit about the 20 month. And similarly, if the documents weren't 20 history of 504 South Oak. From at least 1948 included, we can call a special meeting or wait 21 until 1993, 504 South Oak was a single-family 22 until the next month. 22 structure that was owned by separate owners from 63 65 1 Let's just make sure everybody is the people who own 422 South Oak. So to the 2 literally on the same page first and then Chris extent there's been argument that 504 South Oak 3 will circulate an email about whether the was always a coach house to 422 South Oak, the 4 parties' preference is a special meeting before recorded history of that property does not bear 5 next month's meeting and if the board members 5 that out. 6 are available, terrific, and if we are not, or 6 In 1993, Jerome Girsch purchased 7 if the consensus of the parties is that no 504 South Oak. He decided he wanted to make some special meeting is necessary, it can wait until modifications to that property. Again, I take 9 next month, then we will wait until next month. issue with the notion that the building was ever 10 Okay. 10 demolished. It was altered but it was not torn 11 MR. PODLISKA: To make sure everybody 11 to the ground. 12 gets on the same page, it might be helpful too 12 He submitted his plans in 1993 to 13 to have counsel for both sides match up what they 13 the village. The village said, if you proceed 14 got from the village to make sure that everybody 14 with these plans, you are going to have a single 15 is working from the same set of documents. I zoning lot. That was exactly the opposite of 15 16 think in the end that's going to make it easier. 16 what Mr. Girsch wanted to do and we presented an 17 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Does the village 17 affidavit from Mr. Girsch that explained his 18 Bates stamp documents to their response to FOIA intention. 18 19 requests? 19 When the village made its original 20 MS. BRUTON: Not the individual piece 08 04 16PM **20** determination with respect to my clients' of paper but the bulk of the communication would 21 21 application to teardown the garage at 422 South 22 have a date when it was responded to. Oak, it was based on this 1993 letter and it

66 68 1 took some time to find the other plans that were but from the Dugans' perspective, when they 2 eventually executed. bought their property, people don't go and 3 And what happened was that I 3 research and microfiche what the next-door personally went to village hall and I found those neighbors did. It looked like one compound, a big house with a small car garage and who was to plans and those plans were saved in a separate 5 microfiche for preplan reviews. They were not know that it was a single-family residence and 7 scanned into the file. Nevertheless, anyone 7 they relied on that when they bought the house. could have gone down to the village hall and 8 How do you respond to that? looked at that microfiche and determine that the 9 MS. OVERBY: Well, I would like to 10 intention was -- Mr. Girsch's intention was that 08 07 28PM 10 point out my client, when it purchased 504 South 504 South Oak remain a single-family residence. 11 11 Oak, relied on the assurances of the village 12 The Dugans have made a valiant manager that this was a lot that could be built 12 13 attempt to argue that the code says that mere 13 on and we paid over a million dollars to 14 use of a structure can convert it into a single 14 purchase this lot. 15 zoning lot. I don't think that that is what the 15 If the Dugans prevail today, the 16 code says. I don't think that the Dugans can 16 structure at 504 South Oak may only be used as 17 point to any specific portion of the code, or 17 an accessory structure to 422 South Oak. If two 18 even reading two portions of the code together. 18 separate purchasers had purchased those 19 to say that I could purchase my next-door 19 properties, if someone else had bought 422 and a 20 neighbors' house and because I used it to host 20 third party bought 504, that would mean if the 21 guests or as a closet or to store all of my 21 Dugans prevail, that they could use that 22 cars, that somehow I created a single zoning lot 22 property for no purpose because it could only be 67 69 1 with those two single-family residences. used as an accessory structure. That is an 2 To the extent that the current 2 absurd result. 3 structure at 504 South Oak is nonconforming, as 3 It is unfortunate that the Dugans 4 the village has pointed out, it's a precode believed that a building was not going to be structure and as a precode structure, the 5 built, that it was always going to stay the same. 6 closeness to the property line was permissible. 6 I live in Clarendon Hills. The The fact that it was maintained as 7 house next door to me is a tiny ranch house. 8 a -- that nonconformity was maintained does not 8 It's just been sold. They are going to build a 9 somehow convert, as the Dugans have argued, a giant house next door to me. One entire side of 08.06 24PM 10 precode structure or precode lot into a C3 05.36PM 10 my house is going to be looking into that house. 11 nonconforming lot. It was simply rebuilt and That's what happens when you live in villages 12 that portion that was nonconforming was that has buildings next to them. You buy some 13 maintained. 13 shades and you put up some bushes. 14 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Let me ask you a 14 And I understand that you are not 15 question. 15 considering the standing argument and your 16 MS, OVERBY: Sure. 16 realtors -- Mr. Moberly has pointed out realtors 17 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: I agree in theory that 17 will say all different kinds of things, but the 18 anybody could have researched the microfiche and 18 sales history of 540 South Oak does not bear out 19 found that it used to be a single-family residence 19 that they paid a premium for those views. The 20 and was used that way before Mr. Girsch did the 08 DS 10PM 20 house was on the market for 485 days and it sold 21 remodeling and after that it met the technical 21 for 85 percent less than asking, which is lower 22 qualifications of the single-family residence, 22 than average.

70 72 MR. MOBERLY: 85 percent of asking. 1 the documents that were referred to were in fact 2 MS. OVERBY: Of asking. So there's not 2 produced. If they weren't in fact produced and they were covered by Mr. O'Donnell's FOIA evidence that they paid a premium for that. 3 4 While I commiserate with the sense request, then the village should produce them that they are not happy that their views might immediately. If they were in fact produced, 6 then obviously nothing else has to be produced. change, but that's what happens when you live in 7 a village. And the zoning code, part of the 7 But if Mr. O'Donnell -- and goals of the zoning code when it was enacted in Mr. McGinnis, if you could coordinate on that in 1989, were to maintain the current level of the next few days, figure that out. 08:2 36PM 10 10 property level that we had at that time. MR. McGINNIS: Sure. 11 At that time in 1989, 504 South Oak 11 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: And then, Chris, you 12 was a single-family home and all we are asking 12 will let me know and then you and I will speak 13 is that it remain a single-family home as the 13 further about what communication we will send to 14 village has perceived it since 1948, at least as the respective parties about what actually 14 far as then. 15 15 occurred and what that means for whether we are 16 I think to the extent that the 16 going to reopen the hearing or whether to allow 17 village takes the view, which I do not think is 17 both parties to argue about the import of the 18 supported by any reading of the code, that use documents that Mr. O'Donnell hadn't received, or 18 19 alone can convert two separate zoning lots into 19 alternatively, that we need no further public OB 10.28PM 20 a single zoning lot, I think there needs to be 20 hearing because Mr. O'Donnell did, in fact, 08 13 06PM 21 an effort made that that becomes a matter of 21 receive those documents and that we can then 22 public record so that the unsuspecting buyer is proceed to deliberations without further hearing. 71 73 1 not placed in a situation where they can 1 And as part of that communication, 2 purchase a property for over a million dollars, **2** Chris, I'll ask that you ask the parties whether doing all possible due diligence, with a letter their preference is that we call a special 4 from the village saying that they could build, meeting to deliberate or reopen the hearing and 5 and then be in a position where they cannot build, then deliberate, depending on the results of the 6 or God forbid if two people had purchased, if FOIA comparison. And if the consensus of the the Dugans prevailed, that they can only use parties is they want that hearing sooner rather their house as a coach house to the neighbor than later, we will poll all of the members and where they don't own that house. see if there's an available date earlier than 10 I don't have anything further. If 10 next month. If there is, we will do it. If C8 14 04PM 11 there are any questions, I'd be happy to answer. 11 not, we will do it next month. 12 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Any questions? 12 Did that make any sense at all? 13 (No response.) 13 MR. O'DONNELL: It did, and I have a 14 Thank you. 14 question. I want you to know that I read your 15 So we will -- I guess, the correct 15 rules, Mr. Chairman, and your rules provide that 16 thing to do is say that we are going to close 16 I get a five-minute reply. 17 the public hearing pending the outcome of a 17 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes, they do. 18 comparison of what the village produced to 18 MR. O'DONNELL: My question is: Do you 19 Mr. O'Donnell and what Mr. O'Donnell received. 19 want me to do that today or wait until the next 20 Mr. O'Donnell, if you could review C8 14-22PM 20 time? 21 those documents, if the village could review the 21 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Oh, no, you should do 22 documents it produced to Mr. O'Donnell to see if 22 it now.

78 bought two lots, but they bought a property, a application for a review, preplan review only 2 single property in a single purchase, and that for the 504 lot. And the village's response to property had a single-family home on one lot and that was -- well, they did not identify the 4 single-family home, they just submitted a request CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: But they are two 5 for 504. The village's response was looks like different --6 a single-family lot. But we, the village, 7 MR. O'DONNELL: One purchase. 7 reserve the right to change if more information 8 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes, but two separate becomes available. 9 pins. 9 Then they turn around and submit it 10 MS. DUGAN: We have two pins on our D8 21 22PM 10 for a demolition permit for the garage on 422. O3 19 18PM 11 property. 11 That's when the village realized, wait a minute, 12 MR. O'DONNELL: Two separate pins. we are talking about a zoning lot. So when the 13 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: But you can convey 13 village -- and it's not incumbent on the village 14 two different properties in one deed. to advise a perspective purchaser on what they 15 MR, O'DONNELL: Yes, Of course, 15 should or should not purchase and whether or not 16 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. So how are they can do what they intend to do. That's 16 17 they to know it wasn't one property when the 17 typically what due diligence is for. And typically when a developer -- typically when a 18 village told them otherwise? 19 MR. O'DONNELL: Well, they clearly knew 19 developer purchases a piece of property, they 20 it wasn't used as one property. They knew it C8 21 50PM 20 take advantage of a period of time to find out 21 was used as one. 21 if there's an opportunity to do what they intend 22 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay, But my 22 before they close. 79 81 question was: How do you remedy their damage? 1 So, candidly, having represented 2 If they relied in good faith on what the village 2 any number of developers, if a developer didn't 3 told them they would be able to do and they 3 take advantage of the opportunity to find out spent money to buy one lot and then the other, from the community what it is they were buying keeping in mind that their intent was to build a and whether or not their plan could be executed, 6 new house, and now if we say no, you can't do or even possible to execute, I don't think that's 7 it, what's their remedy? And why would they be 7 the responsibility of a neighbor. I don't think 8 held responsible for what the village -- for not that's the responsibility of the village. 8 knowing what the village told them they could 9 Because here when the village was 08 20 20 24 10 do? 08.22.20PM 10 presented with requests and they -- well, first --11 MR. O'DONNELL: Well, first, one can 11 and I'm not suggesting anybody was misleading 12 always make the inquiry before you purchase, 12 anybody, but the initial request out of the box particularly when your intention is to develop from Bayit Builders was to show only a single 14 both as single-family homes. So you certainly page application and a survey of the 504 lot 14 15 can perform that due diligence by making that 15 only not showing the 422 lot. 16 inquiry before you buy. 16 It was the village when they finally 17 CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: I understood 17 got the application to demolish the garage at 18 Ms. Overby's argument to say that they did do 18 422 that said, wait a minute. Now we, the that and the village said sure, go ahead. 19 19 village, see what's going on. You are looking 08 20 42P.Y. **20** MR. O'DONNELL: Well, I don't think 08 22 52PM **20** to put a garage on 422 because your garage is on 21 that's what occurred. Because what occurred on 21 504. That's a zoning lot. May 27, 2016, they submitted a single page 22 22 So I would suggest to you if the

20 demolition plan that Girsch received back in
21 1993. So he applied to demolish and the village
22 granted permission to demolish and rebuild.

18 at the next document that I just put up on the

19 screen. We have a demolition plan, the approved

16 demolition not a remodel.

MR. PODLISKA: But it has to be a

MR. O'DONNELL: Well, let's take a look

15

17

15

16

17

18

19

21

'93; right?

09.26 42PM **20**

MR. PODLISKA: I understand what you are

saying, but that depends upon you are defining

this as a demolition whereas the village is

calling it a remodel. And the village in their

letter is saying as long as it's only a remodel,

that nonconformity can continue, just don't make

it any worse. That's what this letter says from

	86		88
1	MR. O'DONNELL: I disagree because it's	1	MR. O'DONNELL: My point is way before
2	clear that what Girsch was doing in his own	2	that. My point is they can't build a new
3	plan. The plans are part of the record. His	3	structure on their property and use it other
4	own plans reflect a demolition and rebuild.	4	than accessory to the 422 property. In other
5	MR. PODLISKA: Your position is the	5	words, they can't spinoff, if you will, or
6	village is wrong on that; right?	6	divide the zoning lot because again
7	MR. O'DONNELL: Not at all wrong. I	7	MR. PODLISKA: I understand. But if
8	think the village at the time was correct, that	8	you lose on that point, that it is two separate
9	the demolition was obviously permitted. The	9	properties, your argument is even if it's two
08 27 14PM 10	rebuild call it rebuild, remodel, put	Q8 29 Z4PM 10	separate properties, you can't build on this
11	something else there, it doesn't really matter.	11	property because you have lost your ability to
12	It fits the definition under 10-104.	12	construct as long as it complies with everything
13	In order for that additional	13	else other than width and area.
14	construction to be done and maintain status as a	14	MR. O'DONNELL: To be fair, our case is
15	precode structure, it had to eliminate all	15	premised on the 422 and the 504 properties being
16	nonconformities except for two. It maintained	16	considered as a zoning lot.
17	an existing nonconformity. So there's no	17	If we lose on that and that's
18	problem with what the village did but it lost	18	considered simply a nonconforming lot, well then
19	its status as a precode structure.	19	it can be built on and there are requirements
C8 27 46PM 20	MR. PODLISKA: I don't think that's what	OB 29 56PM 20	for construction on a nonconforming lot.
21	this letter from the village in '93 is saying.	21	My only point would be as a further
22	MR. O'DONNELL: The village in 1993	22	limitation is you can't consider that any longer
	o b o ttill till y mage ii, 1938		innitation is you can't consider that any longer
	87		89
1	87 made no comment whatsoever with respect to	1	as a precode structure, so kind of the
1 2	87 made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode		as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a
1 2 3	87 made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not.	1	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are
1 2	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that	1 2 3 4	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a
1 2 3 4 5	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling	1 2 3 4 5	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot.
1 2 3 4 5	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or	1 2 3 4 5	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you.
1 2 3 4 5 6	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 332294 10	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00:287 24PM 10 11	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 33322PM 10 11	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0220 ZAPM 10 11 12	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 32224 10 11 12 13	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00207 ZAPM 10 11 12 13	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did. But the status of a precode structure because	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 3022PM 10 11 12 13	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the Public Hearing subject to reopening along the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0220 24794 10 11 12 13 14 15	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did. But the status of a precode structure because there are special rights granted or conferred on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 33 22Pu 10 11 12 13 14 15	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the Public Hearing subject to reopening along the lines that I talked about before?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did. But the status of a precode structure because there are special rights granted or conferred on properties with precode structures. My only	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 333229M 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the Public Hearing subject to reopening along the lines that I talked about before? MR. GILTNER: So moved.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did. But the status of a precode structure because there are special rights granted or conferred on properties with precode structures. My only point is that special status was lost when they	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the Public Hearing subject to reopening along the lines that I talked about before? MR. GILTNER: So moved. MR. PODLISKA: Second.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did. But the status of a precode structure because there are special rights granted or conferred on properties with precode structures. My only point is that special status was lost when they maintained the nonconformity of the side yard	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 cs 30 22PW 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the Public Hearing subject to reopening along the lines that I talked about before? MR. GILTNER: So moved. MR. PODLISKA: Second. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Roll call?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did. But the status of a precode structure because there are special rights granted or conferred on properties with precode structures. My only point is that special status was lost when they maintained the nonconformity of the side yard setback.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the Public Hearing subject to reopening along the lines that I talked about before? MR. GILTNER: So moved. MR. PODLISKA: Second. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Roll call? MS. BRUTON: Member Moberly?
1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did. But the status of a precode structure because there are special rights granted or conferred on properties with precode structures. My only point is that special status was lost when they maintained the nonconformity of the side yard setback. MR. PODLISKA: But did I understand you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 20 11 20 11 10 10	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the Public Hearing subject to reopening along the lines that I talked about before? MR. GILTNER: So moved. MR. PODLISKA: Second. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Roll call? MS. BRUTON: Member Moberly? MR. MOBERLY: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	made no comment whatsoever with respect to whether or not the status of the precode structure was being maintained or not. MR. PODLISKA: Well, they are saying that it's okay to remodel provided the remodeling does not create any new nonconformities or increase any existing nonconformities; right? MR. O'DONNELL: That's correct. And that's allowed to be done. But in order to be a precode structure, which is elevated status, if you will, under your zoning code, you can maintain an existing nonconformity and it becomes a nonconforming lot. That's what the village did. But the status of a precode structure because there are special rights granted or conferred on properties with precode structures. My only point is that special status was lost when they maintained the nonconformity of the side yard setback.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as a precode structure, so kind of the additional rights one gets on a property with a precode structure would be lost. There are still rights that are available to be built on a nonconforming lot. MR. PODLISKA: I got it. Thank you. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. If I wasn't clear, I apologize. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, Mr. O'Donnell. Is there a motion to do what I said before? Let me be more specific. Is there a motion to close the Public Hearing subject to reopening along the lines that I talked about before? MR. GILTNER: So moved. MR. PODLISKA: Second. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Roll call? MS. BRUTON: Member Moberly?

1	90		92
1	MS. BRUTON: Member Engel?	1	then we will. I suspect, Chris, we would have
2	MS. ENGEL: Yes.	'2	to publish notice of that hearing to comply with
3	MS. BRUTON: Member Podliska?	3	open meetings. I know this is an appeal but
4	MR. PODLISKA: Yes.	4	
5	MS. BRUTON: Chairman Neiman?	5	MS. BRUTON: We do a legal notice which
6	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes.		needs to be done between 15 and 30 days before
7	ь	6	the hearing so that doesn't really give you a
_	So both parties should know that both of their attorneys and the village counsel	7	lot of wiggle room.
8 9	•	8	MR. MARRS: But not for an appeal. We
	did a really good job tonight because these were	9	don't publish for an appeal.
	really good legal arguments on both sides. I	08.33 36PM 10	MS. BRUTON: Yes, we publish a legal
11 12	can go either way on this and I think this is in	11	notice.
	part based on the skill of all the counsel and	12	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: We will continue it
13	partly based on the fact that we passed some	13	to next month's meeting and if the parties want
14	rules saying focus your arguments in writing and	14	us to expedite the matter and have an earlier
15	don't just dump on us. So both sides should be,	15	deliberation or deliberation and hearing.
16	in my view, very pleased.	16	MS. BRUTON: If it's a deliberation, if
17	This isn't the first time sitting	17	we are not having a public hearing, so I don't
18	in any of these chairs that I thought boy, I	18	think you would need to publish.
19	better understand how difficult a job real	19	CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Okay. Right. So if
C8 32.04PM 20	judges have doing this job. So thank you to	ов 34 оврм 20	we only need deliberation and the parties want
21	everybody, and we will let you know what's	21	that deliberation expedited, then no public
22	happening.	22	notice would be required and if we can figure
1			
	91		93
1	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment	1	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we
2	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close?	1 2	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing,
·	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes.		out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time
2	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure,	2 3 4	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to
2	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public	2 3 4	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try
2	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in	2 3 4	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all
2 3 4 5	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties	2 3 4 5	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct?	2 3 4 5 6	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal	2 3 4 5 6 7	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 32 32PM 10	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not the records were not included in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 03.22.32PM 10 11	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 22 33PM 10 11 12 13	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 68 24 40P4 10 11	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not — the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00.32339M 10 11 12 13	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us. MS. OVERBY: There won't be any open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 3640PW 10 11 12 13	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you want more written submissions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 32 33794 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us. MS. OVERBY: There won't be any open meetings issues with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 32 40PW 10 11 12 13 14 15	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not — the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you want more written submissions? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is your question if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00.32339M 10 11 12 13	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us. MS. OVERBY: There won't be any open meetings issues with that? MR. PODLISKA: We can continue it to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 3640PW 10 11 12 13	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you want more written submissions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 32 3355 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us. MS. OVERBY: There won't be any open meetings issues with that? MR. PODLISKA: We can continue it to a date certain subject to amending it later on if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08 32 40PW 10 11 12 13 14 15	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not — the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you want more written submissions? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is your question if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 32 33PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us. MS. OVERBY: There won't be any open meetings issues with that? MR. PODLISKA: We can continue it to a date certain subject to amending it later on if we choose to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 03 344094 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you want more written submissions? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is your question if the Dugans' FOIA request didn't request those documents? MS. OVERBY: No. I'm sorry if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 32 3355 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us. MS. OVERBY: There won't be any open meetings issues with that? MR. PODLISKA: We can continue it to a date certain subject to amending it later on if we choose to. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Why don't we continue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 03 32 40PW 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not — the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you want more written submissions? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is your question if the Dugans' FOIA request didn't request those documents? MS. OVERBY: No. I'm sorry if I misspoke.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 32 33PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us. MS. OVERBY: There won't be any open meetings issues with that? MR. PODLISKA: We can continue it to a date certain subject to amending it later on if we choose to. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Why don't we continue it until next month and if there's another if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 03 344094 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you want more written submissions? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is your question if the Dugans' FOIA request didn't request those documents? MS. OVERBY: No. I'm sorry if I misspoke. If it turns out that the Dugans'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 00 323 32944 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MARRS: Could I make one comment before you close? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes. MR. MARRS: I just want to make sure, typically when we would continue a public hearing, we would do it to a date certain but in this case, the notice only went to the parties here; correct? MR. McGINNIS: Correct. Under appeal there's no mailing requirements. MR. MARRS: So if everyone here present understands that they will be communicated to all of us. MS. OVERBY: There won't be any open meetings issues with that? MR. PODLISKA: We can continue it to a date certain subject to amending it later on if we choose to. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Why don't we continue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 03 32 40PW 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	out a date that's convenient for everybody, we will do it. If we have to reopen the hearing, then we have to do it with sufficient lead time for the village to issue the public notice to comply with the Open Meetings Act. We will try to do it that way and if we can coordinate all that, we will and if we can't, it will be next month. MS. OVERBY: I need to ask one clarifying question. If we find that the FOIAs were not — the records were not included in Ms. Dugan's FOIA request, is the contemplation that there would be further argument or do you want more written submissions? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Is your question if the Dugans' FOIA request didn't request those documents? MS. OVERBY: No. I'm sorry if I misspoke.

-			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	village's attorney, is the idea then we have a hearing, do you want more writing on it? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: No. We will have a hearing limited to the import of the documents that Mr. O'Donnell did not receive. We are not reopening the entire hearing. It will be a very short reopening of the hearing limited to the import of those specific documents nothing else. We had the hearing. MS. OVERBY: We will receive notice of whatever those documents are? CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Of course. MS. OVERBY: Okay. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Thank you, everybody. MR. MOBERLY: Motion to adjourn. MR. GILTNER: Second. CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Roll call, please? MS. BRUTON: Member Moberly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken aforesaid. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 14th day of March, A.D. 2018. KATHLEEN W. BONO,
19 ceasion 20 21	MR. MOBERLY: Yes. MS. BRUTON: Member Giltner? MR. GILTNER: Yes. MS. BRUTON: Member Engel?	20 21 22	C.S.R. No. 84-1423, Notary Public, DuPage County 237 South Wisconsin Avenue, Addison, IL 60101-3837
1	95 MS_ENGEL: Ves	22	

```
1
         MS. ENGEL: Yes.
 2
           MS. BRUTON: Member Podliska?
 3
           MR. PODLISKA: Yes.
           MS. BRUTON: Chairman Neiman?
           CHAIRMAN NEIMAN: Yes.
 6
               (WHICH, said Public Hearing
               was continued to March 21,
8
                2018 at 6:30 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

2016 [p. 774, 79.22 2017 [r] - 25.3 2018 [p. 111, 95.8] 501.8 501.					
2017 (1) - 25.3 20.3 (2) - 3.5 (r	2016 (2) - 77:4 7 9:22	65:2 65:7 66:11	30:17 30:20 31:3	affected (4) - 5:0
2018 pg - 111, 95.8, 68.20, 70.11, 177.8, 80.2, 805.8, 814.4, 81.21, 82.15, 42.19, 46.15, 47.19, 47.19, 48.17, 47.11, 47.19, 47.					
98 p 95 22 86 22 11 11 15 57 22 m -11 15 54 19 68 11 48 11 48 11 58 12 48 11 58		1 '''	1		· '
0 22 foot pri - 22:17	'93 [2] - 85:22, 86:21	1 ''	1		1 ''
0 22 pp. 1-114, 55-1					
23-17 (ii) - 2:14 23-60 (iii) - 22:17 23-71 (iii) - 22:17 23-71 (iii) - 22:17 23-71 (iii) - 22:17 23-71 (iii) - 22:16 24-(iii) - 37, 28:11, 28:13 25-(iii) - 23-(iii) - 23-	0				
1			0.10[1]		l "
1			6	1 ' '	1
1 25,000-square [] - 34,173	03-17 [1] - 2:14				1 .
1				accompanied [2] -	**
1	1	· ·	1 ''		
1	····	-		į.	_ · · ·
1-102.8	1 [1] - 22:16		1	1	
10-101 A - 20-118 3 7			l l	accordingly [1] -	
10-104 (sr) - 10-12	1		6:30 [2] - 1:12, 95:8		
10:21, 48:21, 48:26, 50:17, 514, 52:16, 53:18, 23:16, 83:8, 30 (2) - 35:13, 92:15 30,000 (n) - 51:16 32-minutes (n) - 37:11	1	3		account [1] - 14:13	
Solid Soli	1 ' '		_ 7	accurate [1] - 7:4	· ·
7.500 (- 38:20	1 ' '			acknowledged [2] -	1
33.11, 86.12 30.000 [1] - 51:16 32-minutes [1] - 21:15 75-foot [1] - 22:16 78 [1] - 51:15 44:21, 93:5 acting [1] - 51:1 82:20 11-401.0 [1] - 46:13 11-802.B [2] - 62:2, 717 11-802.B [2] - 62:2, 717 11-802.C [1] - 516 12-206 [4] - 12:10, 14:15, 13:15, 13:20, 14:5, 12:14, 13:15, 13:20, 14:5, 12:14, 15:20, 12:14, 28:12, 29:2 12 [1] - 51:13 125 [2] - 51:14, 51:15 24:10, 24:22, 26:13, 15 [1] - 92:5 27:12, 27:13, 28.8, 15-minutes [1] - 22:1 18 [2] - 12:16, 12:18 18 [2] - 12:16, 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [2] - 12:16, 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [2] - 12:16, 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 - 92:1) 18 [3] (19 -	75:3, 82:16, 83:8,		7.500 m - 38:20	19:12, 21:16	1
10-104.C.1 p-11:15 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 37:11 38 acting (p-5:11 action (p-8:1 action (p-8:1 acting (p-5:11 action (p-8:1 acting (p-5:12 acting (p-5:11 action (p-8:1 acting (p-9:1	83:11, 86:12		1	Act [4] - 43:22, 44:6,	60:20, 72:16
10-104.C.1	10-104.B [1] - 11:15	= =		44:21, 93:5	allowed [8] - 21:2,
11	10-104.C.1 [1] -	37:11	10[1]	act [1] - 5:10	23:11, 34:22, 48:1,
11 - 8 - 2 2	11:16	<u>-</u>	8	acting [1] - 5:11	50:21, 56:14, 61:16,
11-502.B 2] - 6:22,	11 [1] - 82:20	4		action [1] - 8:1	87:9
7:17 11-502.C [1] - 5.6 12-206 [a] - 12:10. 13:15, 13:20, 14:6, 14:21, 15:20, 14:6, 14:21, 15:20, 16:3, 16:19, 18:9, 19:10, 21:1, 21:5, 23:5, 23:7, 24:7, 24:10, 24:22, 26:13, 15 [n] - 92:5 15 [n] - 92:5 15 [n] - 92:5 15 [n] - 11:0 18 [a] - 12:16, 12:18 19 [n] - 11:0 19 [n] - 12:10 10 [n] - 48:12 10 [n] - 48:22 10 [n] - 48:21 10 [n] - 48:22 10 [n] -	11-401.C [1] - 46:13			actual [1] - 7:14	alone [3] - 25:22,
T-170_1	11-502.B [2] - 6:22,	4 (2) - 28:1, 28:2	1	add [2] - 30:12, 37:5	42:6, 70:19
11-502.C [1]-5.6 12-206 [a]-12:10, 13:15, 13:20, 14:6, 14:16, 14:21, 15:20, 14:16, 14:21, 15:20, 16:3, 16:19, 18:9, 19:10, 21:1, 21:5, 23:5, 23:7, 24:7, 24:10, 24:22, 26:13, 27:12, 27:13, 28:8, 15-minutes [a]-22:1 29:7, 30:6, 30:7, 30:20, 35:15, 36:22, 37:7, 38:13, 34:13, 19 [a]-12:16, 21:18, 35:13, 36:12 1988 [a]-12:16, 68:39, 80:10, 81:15, 1998 [a]-70:9, 70:11 1998 [a]-70:9, 70:11 1998 [a]-70:9, 70:11 1998 [a]-10:17, 25:10, 25:11, 25:7, 1999 [a]-38:9 10:17, 25:17, 13:18, 13:17, 14:8, 12:20, 83:21, 25:5, 27:14, 28:8, 29:1, 22:14, 25:7, 25:14, 28:8, 29:1, 20:14,	7:17		85 [2] - 69:21, 70:1	Addison [1] - 96:21	ALSO [1] - 2:1
12-206 [4] - 12:10, 12:14, 28:21, 29:2 16:3, 16:19, 18:9, 19:10, 21:1, 21:5, 23:5, 23:7, 24:7, 24:10, 24:22, 26:13, 27:12, 27:13, 28:8, 29:101.B [1] - 27:22 address [5] - 3:10, 32:5, 47:12, 61:18, 74:14 11-96:18 30:20, 35:15, 36:22, 3194 [2] - 64:20, 70:14 68:19, 80:10, 81:15, 12:18, 35:13, 36:12 18:8, 18:20, 82:4, 1998 [2] - 70:9, 70:11 18:8, 35:13, 36:12 1998 [2] - 70:9, 70:11 1998 [2] - 70:9, 70:11 1999 [1] - 38:9 10:17, 22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 10:17, 22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 10:17, 22:12, 20:18, 20:19, 20:19, 20:14, 28:11, 26:5, 27:14, 28:8, 29:7, 30:6, 30:16, 37:12, 20:14, 2	11-502.C [1] - 5:6			addition [1] - 19:16	alter [1] - 49:2
1214, 2821, 292 16:3, 16:19, 18:9, 19:10, 21:1, 21:5, 23:5, 23:7, 24:7, 24:10, 24:22, 26:13, 27:12, 27:13, 28:8, 15-minutes [ŋ-21:18] 29:701.B [ŋ-27:22] 9:101.B 2 [ŋ-31:2] 20ddress [9-3:10, 32:5, 47:12, 61:18, 74:14	12-206 [4] - 12:10,		, 9		alteration [1] - 48:22
12f [r] - 51:13	12:14, 28:21, 29:2			37:13, 64:17, 86:13,	altered [1] - 65:10
125	121 [1] - 51:13		9-101 B (1) - 27:22	89:2, 91:22	alternate [1] - 18:7
14th [r] - 96:18	125 [2] - 51:14, 51:15			address [5] - 3:10,	alternative [1] - 54:2
15-minutes [i] - 22:1 18 [i] - 12:16, 12:18 19 [i] - 1:10 1948 [i] - 12:16 1988 [i] - 12:16 1988 [i] - 12:16 1988 [i] - 12:16 1988 [i] - 12:16 1989 [i] - 70:9, 70:11 1993 [ii] - 10:17, 14:4, 47:1, 25:10, 485 [i] - 69:20 1994 [i] - 10:17, 12:11, 25:7 1999 [i] - 38:9 2	14th [1] - 96:18	24:10, 24:22, 26:13,			alternatively [2] -
18	15 [1] - 92:5	27:12, 27:13, 28:8,	0 10110[1] 10111		56:3, 72:19
18 2 - 12:16 12:18 30:20 35:15 36:22 37:7 38:13 41:3 37:7 38:13 34:10 37:13 34:10 37:13 34:10 37:13 34:10 37:13 34:10 34:10 11:9 34:11 11:5 34:11 35:11 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:15 54:14 64:21 34:14 34:15 54:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:12 34:14 34:14 34:14 34:14 34:14 34:14 34:14 34:15 34:14		29:7, 30:6, 30:7,	Δ	1	amending [1] - 91:17
1948	1	30:20, 35:15, 36:22,		⊣ ` ` ` ` `	
70:14 65:3, 65:21, 68:17, 68:19, 80:10, 81:15, 81:18, 81:20, 82:4, 1989 [2] - 70:9, 70:11 88:4, 88:15 74:18, 74:20, 75:16, 79:3 administration [1] - 88:10, 485 [1] - 69:20 485 [1] - 66:6, 65:12, 65:22, 75:11, 82:20, 83:21, 86:22 1994 [3] - 10:17, 22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 21:14, 11:5, 1299 [1] - 38:9 21:14, 12:22, 13:6, 13:17, 14:8, 22:13, 23:0, 35:16, 37:1, 29:10, -9q:10 30:0, 30:18, 29:10, 8q:24, 20:14, 23:15, 51:14, 64:5, 29:7, 30:6, 30:18, 29:20 43:12, 29:14, 23:20, 84:21, 29:14, 23:20, 8q:21, 29:14, 29:14, 29:17, 55:14, 64:5, 29:19, 8q:21, 29:14, 29:19, 8q:21, 29:14, 29:14, 29:19, 8q:21, 29:14, 29:19, 8q:21, 29:14, 29:19, 8q:21, 29:14, 29:14, 29:19, 8q:21, 29:14, 29:19, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:19, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:19, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:19, 29:14, 29:14, 29:19, 29:14		37:7, 38:13, 41:3,			
1988	I	1		1	1
12:18, 35:13, 36:12				1 · ·	
1989				1	1 .
1993					
14:4, 17:1, 25:10,			1 ' ' ' '		
41:5, 42:5, 42:20, 45:20, 51:12, 64:21, 65:6, 65:12, 65:22, 75:11, 82:20, 83:21, 86:22 1994 [3] - 10:17, 22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	l .	1		1	1
45:20, 51:12, 64:21, 65:6, 65:12, 65:22, 75:11, 82:20, 83:21, 86:22 1994 [3] - 10:17, 22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		485 [1] - 69:20			
65:6, 65:12, 65:22, 75:11, 82:20, 83:21, 86:22 1994 [3] - 10:17, 22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3					
75:11, 82:20, 83:21, 86:22 1994 [3] - 10:17, 22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3		5			1
86:22 5[3] - 11:4, 11:5, accepted [1] - 43:2 administrators [1] - 21:13 13:22, 14:10, 14:12, 15:1, 16:4, 15:11, 16:4, 15:11, 16:4, 16:1					
12:6 1994 [3] - 10:17, 22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 2 2 2 2 2 12:6 2 2 12:6 304 [44] - 1:5, 2:14, 13:7, 13:17, 15:2, 15:11, 15:11, 16:4, 17:7, 17:19, 18:10, 18:13, 19:17, 26:7, 18:14, 17:21, 18:14, 19:18, 23:6, 23:13, 21:1, 21:5, 22:12, 21:13 21:13 21:13 30vante [1] - 55:9 31:8, 34:2, 37:6 31:8, 34:2, 37:1 31:8, 34:2, 37:1 31:8, 34:2, 37:1 31:1		5 [3] - 11:4, 11:5,	* *		1
22:11, 25:7 1999 [1] - 38:9 2 10:14, 12:11, 12:22, 13:6, 13:17, 14:8, 21:1, 21:5, 22:12, 25:5, 27:14, 28:8, 29:7, 30:6, 30:18, 33:20, 35:16, 37:1, 20:12, 20:00-square [1] - 37:2, 38:13, 42:12, 34:2 32:11, 25:7 10:14, 12:11, 12:22, 15:20, 16:7, 16:21, 15:20, 16:7, 16:21, 17:14, 17:21, 18:14, 17:21, 18:14, 17:21, 18:14, 19:18, 23:6, 23:13, 23:14, 23:16, 24:7, 24:14, 24:22, 25:1, 26:15, 26:16, 37:1, 37:2, 38:13, 42:12, 43:15, 51:14, 64:5, 64:18, 64:20, 64:21, 6				1	
1999 [1] - 38:9 10:14, 12:11, 12:22, 13:6, 13:17, 14:8, 21:1, 21:5, 22:12, 25:5, 27:14, 28:8, 29:7, 30:6, 30:18, 33:20, 35:16, 37:1, 20:09-square [1] - 34:2 10:14, 12:11, 12:22, 15:20, 16:7, 16:21, 17:14, 17:21, 18:14, 17:21	· ·	504 [44] - 1:5, 2:14,	- · ·	l	
2 13:6, 13:17, 14:8, 21:1, 21:5, 22:12, 25:5, 27:14, 28:8, 23:14, 23:16, 24:7, 24:14, 24:22, 25:1, 26:15, 26:16, 37:1, 37:2, 38:13, 42:12, 37:2, 38:13, 42:12, 34:2 43:16, 51:14, 64:5, 34:2 43:16, 51:14, 64:5, 34:2 43:16, 51:14, 64:31		10:14, 12:11, 12:22,		1	1 ' ' '
2 21:1, 21:5, 22:12, 25:5, 27:14, 28:8, 23:13, 23:16, 24:7, 29:7, 30:6, 30:18, 33:20, 35:16, 37:1, 37:2, 38:13, 42:12, 2000-square [1] - 34:2 43:16, 51:14, 64:5, 64:18, 64:20, 64:21, 64:21, 26:14, 28:19, 29:14, 36:14, 28:19, 29:14, 36:14, 28:19, 29:14, 36:16, 21:14, 28:19, 29:14, 36:16, 24:7, 24:14, 24:22, 25:1, 24:14, 24:22, 25:1, 26:15, 26:16, 26:16, 26:16, 27:5, 28:3, 28:11, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 36:16ct [2] - 20:14, 36:20, 92:3, 92:8, 92:9	1555 [.] 55.5	13:6, 13:17, 14:8,		T	
25:5, 27:14, 28:8, 23:14, 23:16, 24:7, 29:7, 30:6, 30:18, 33:20, 35:16, 37:1, 24:14, 24:22, 25:1, 26:15, 26:16, 37:2, 38:13, 42:12, 43:15, 51:14, 64:5, 34:2 43:15, 51:14, 64:5, 64:18, 64:20, 64:21, 26:15, 26:14, 28:19, 29:14, 64:18, 64:20, 64:21, 26:14, 24:21, 26:15, 26:16, 20:14, 26:12, 26:15, 26:16, 20:14, 26:12, 26:15, 26:16, 20:14, 26:12, 26:15, 26:16, 20:14, 27:5, 28:3, 28:11, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 28:14, 28:19, 29	2	21:1, 21:5, 22:12,			1
29:7, 30:6, 30:18, 33:20, 35:16, 37:1, 26:12, 26:15, 26:16, 37:2, 38:13, 42:12, 43:15, 51:14, 64:5, 34:2 43:18, 64:20, 64:21, 64:18, 64:20, 64:21, 26:15, 26:14, 24:22, 25:1, 26:15, 26:16, 26:15, 26:16, 26:15, 26:16, 27:5, 28:3, 28:11, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14, 29:14		25:5, 27:14, 28:8,		T	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2 [2] - 26:17, 54:22 33:20, 35:16, 37:1, 26:12, 26:15, 26:16, 27:5, 28:3, 28:11, 27:5, 28:3, 28:11, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, 364:20 64:18 64:20 64:21 28:19, 29:14, 364:21 28:19, 29:	*	29:7, 30:6, 30:18,		1	
2,000-square [1] - 37:2, 38:13, 42:12, 27:5, 28:3, 28:11, 13:13 55:15, 64:12, 91:9, 34:2 43:15, 51:14, 64:5, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, affect [2] - 20:14, 92:3, 92:8, 92:9	2 [2] - 26:17, 54:22	33:20, 35:16, 37:1,			1
34:2 43:15, 51:14, 64:5, 28:14, 28:19, 29:14, affect [2] - 20:14, 92:3, 92:8, 92:9	2,000-square [1] -			1	
3 300 m					
[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[2,200 [1] - 55: 1	64:18, 64:20, 64:21,	29:17, 30:6, 30:12,	37:19	Appeal [1] - 2:13

appealed [1] - 41:19 90:14 appeals [2] - 6:6, 7:15 **APPEALS** [1] - 1:3 Appeals [2] - 1:10, 5:8 appeared [1] - 44:2 assurances [1] -Appellant [1] - 2:7 68:11 appellant [1] - 7:16 appellants [1] - 9:4 applicable [3] -11:10, 75:6, 75:19 2.6, 2.8 application [10] -5:7, 10:11, 27:10, 29:15, 43:13, 65:21, 94:1 74:12, 80:1, 81:14, 81:17 applied [3] - 26:21, 2:19, 90:8 83:21, 85:6 apply [2] - 27:11, 8:5, 50:8 appreciate [3] -16:10, 40:5, 50:1 approach [2] - 42:17, 56:20, 63:6, 73:9, 47:4 80:8, 89:4 approached [2] -40:17, 41:2 96:21 appropriate [1] -33:18 avoid [1] - 7:22 approval [1] - 29:12 approvals [1] - 52:2 approved [9] - 11:21, aware [1] - 82:4 25:5, 25:13, 42:18, 43:3, 45:7, 47:11, В 49:13, 83:19 arbitrary [2] - 7:20, backwards [1] -8:16 74:15 area [15] - 10:20, based [6] - 6:22, 11:11, 16:6, 33:11, 56:19, 65:22, 76:19, 50:19, 50:22, 51:2, 90:12, 90:13 51:15, 75:7, 75:20, basis [1] - 52:13 76:8, 77:6, 82:18, Bates [1] - 63:18 85:13, 88:13 bathrooms [1] arguable [1] - 5:18 42:14 arguably [3] - 19:2, Bayit [7] - 2:8, 38:19, 19:5, 32:11 39:5, 41:18, 55:17, argue [4] - 56:5, 64:4, 81:13 60:20, 66:13, 72:17 bear [2] - 65:4, 69:18 argued [1] - 67:9 beautiful [1] - 38:6 argument [26] - 3:9, became [3] - 12:18, 4:22, 6:21, 8:18, 9:8, 27:5, 82:3 15:7, 16:10, 28:11, become [2] - 18:14, 31:10, 32:5, 47:13, 53:17 50:1, 56:7, 56:19, becomes [9] - 13:5, 56:22, 60:17, 61:11, 13:19; 14:22, 16:7, 61:14, 65:2, 69:15, 17:22, 41:13, 70:21, 76:19, 77:14, 77:20, 80:8, 87:12 79:18, 88:9, 93:13 bedroom [3] - 13:21, arguments [4] -16:4. 42:14 7:14, 36:10, 90:10,

arranged [1] - 30:2 aspects [1] - 51:6 asserted [1] - 43:7 assume [1] - 44:18 assuming [1] - 42:16 attempt [1] - 66:13 attention [1] - 41:6 Attorney [3] - 2:5, attorney [5] - 58:9. 74:16, 75:22, 93:21, attorney 's [1] - 19:22 attorneys [3] - 2:15, August [1] - 82:20 authority [3] - 5:11, authorize [1] - 50:5 available [6] - 9:21, Avenue [2] - 1:10, average [1] - 69:22 Avra [3] - 2:9, 55:17,

bedrooms [4] -

52:9

24:9

15:10, 15:12, 19:1,

BEFORE [1] - 1:3

behalf [1] - 55:16

behind [2] - 9:15,

below [1] - 18:22

beneath [1] - 15:9

better [2] - 6:2, 90:19

between [4] - 14:18,

38:15, 52:18, 92:5

big [3] - 47:14,

bit [1] - 64:19

blame [1] - 58:21

board [13] - 3:9, 4:9,

4:18, 5:15, 7:5, 37:12,

block [1] - 29:9

54:4, 54:18, 56:3,

60:5, 61:22, 63:5,

BOARD [2] - 1:3,

Board [4] ~ 1:10, 5:7,

64:10

1:14

9:3, 38:1

96:19

Bob [1] - 9:4

body [1] - 8:7

Bohnen [1] - 39:12

bomb III - 59:21

BONO [2] - 96:3.

border [1] - 39:10

bought [9] - 39:3,

47:13, 47:17, 68:2,

51:10, 68:5

begin [1] - 8:17

68:7, 68:19, 68:20. 78:1 box [2] - 27:19, 81:12 boy [1] - 90:18 break [1] - 59:15 breaking [1] - 59:14 brick [3] - 52:17, 52:22 brief [9] - 6:15, 6:16, 37:22, 38:2, 55:20, 55:22, 57:17, 57:18, 74:5 briefing [1] - 3:14 briefly [3] - 37:18, 40:10, 40:15 briefs [2] - 2:20, 3:3 brought [4] - 41:5, 44:4, 45:18, 45:21 **BRUTON** [15] - 2:2, 63:20, 89:19, 89:21, 90:1, 90:3, 90:5, 92:4, 92:10, 92:16, 94:18,

95:4 build [24] - 22:13, 23:3, 23:11, 24:3, 24:9, 26:6, 29:16, 33:22, 36:16, 37:4, 38:19, 50:15, 51:17, 52:20, 69:8, 71:4, 71:5, 74:20, 77:16, 79:5, 87:21, 88:2, 88:10 builder [4] - 15:6, 39:13, 41:19, 50:13 builder's [2] - 6:14, 77:14 Builders [4] - 2:9, 41:18, 64:4, 81:13 building [15] - 16:12, 22:11, 22:20, 29:12, 29:13, 30:3, 31:6, 51:5, 52:1, 52:2, 64:16, 65:9, 69:4, 76:11 buildings [2] - 29:14, 69:12 built [15] - 10:18, 16:21, 24:13, 25:16, 38:9, 38:10, 38:11, 40:3, 47:21, 48:1, 48:9, 68:12, 69:5, 88:19, 89:4 bulk [1] - 63:21 bunch [1] - 6:3 burden [2] - 7:16, 8:14 bushes [1] - 69:13 buy [4] - 31:10, 69:12, 79:4, 79:16 buyer [1] - 70:22 buying [2] - 81:4, 82:2

C

C.S.R [1] - 96:20 candidly [4] - 13:8, 33:5, 74:17, 81:1 cannot [4] - 17:16, 56:6, 71:5, 87:21 capability [2] - 20:1, 45:13 capable [4] - 20:4, 21:11, 21:18, 50:1 car [28] - 13:21, 14:9, 14:11, 14:22, 16:2, 17:5, 17:6, 18:9, 18:13, 18:21, 18:22, 23:4, 23:5, 24:9, 26:7, 31:7, 31:11, 31:12, 31:16, 32:19, 32:22,

34:1, 37:4, 42:7, 42:13, 42:15, 48:8, 68:5 care [1] - 3:5 carried [1] - 25:12 carries [1] - 4:14 carry [1] - 36:12 cars [1] - 66:22 Case [1] - 1:6 case [10] - 5:18, 11:8, 54:20, 59:12, 60:21, 61:13, 62:4, 88:14, 91:7 category [1] - 85:14 caused [1] - 17:2 certain [3] - 47:22, 91:6, 91:17 certainly [4] - 15:5, 37:13, 79:14, 82:9 certificate [21] -15:17, 16:13, 16:15, 25:20, 26:11, 26:22, 43:8, 43:14, 45:5, 46:10, 46:13, 47:3, 55:20, 55:21, 56:8, 56:10, 57:5, 57:12, 57:17, 59:8, 62:8 certificates [1] -54:14 Certified [1] - 96:3 certify [1] - 96:6 cetera [2] - 42:14, 55:7 Chairman [9] - 1:15, 9:3, 18:4, 23:18, 43:17, 58:11, 73:15, 90:5, 95:4 CHAIRMAN [75] -2:13, 4:1, 4:18, 4:21, 6:4, 6:9, 6:14, 7:3, 7:12, 9:9, 11:15, 11:17, 15:3, 15:6, 16:9, 18:20, 22:1, 22:8, 26:21, 31:1, 31:19, 32:1, 37:11, 40:7, 44:11, 46:12, 47:12, 48:9, 48:13, 53:8, 55:8, 57:4, 57:9, 58:13, 58:21, 59:4, 60:3, 61:5, 61:22, 62:3, 62:9, 63:17, 64:1, 67:14, 67:17, 71:12, 72:11, 73:17, 73:21, 74:6, 76:10, 77:13, 78:5, 78:8, 78:13, 78:16, 78:22, 79:17, 82:11, 84:1, 84:10, 84:18, 89:9, 89:18, 90:6, 91:3,

91:19, 92:12, 92:19,

94:20, 94:22, 95:2,

93:15, 94:3, 94:12, 94:14, 94:17, 95:5 chairman [5] - 16:2, 23:19, 23:22, 27:18, 31:15 **chairs** [1] - 90:18 chance [2] - 54:7, change [8] - 18:3, 18:7, 18:17, 18:20, 46:19, 52:7, 70:6, 80:7 changed [6] - 18:6, 18:8, 46:5, 46:6, 46:22, 52:4 character [1] - 20:14 charged [1] - 8:11 check [1] - 40:4 Chicago [1] - 1:10 children [1] - 39:14 choose [1] - 91:18 choosing [1] - 39:9 Chris [4] - 63:2, 72:11, 73:2, 92:1 **CHRISTINE** [1] - 2:2 circuitous [1] - 28:10 circulate [1] - 63:3 **claim** [1] - 7:6 Clarendon [1] - 69:6 clarifying [1] - 93:10 clear (10) - 8:4. 21:21, 41:17, 42:5, 42:20, 51:7, 53:12, 76:4, 86:2, 89:8 clearly [6] - 12:16, 19:9, 23:1, 44:10, 78:19, 85:4 Clerk [1] - 2:2 client [3] - 34:18, 59:12, 68:10 client's [1] - 59:17 clients [1] - 17:12 clients '[1] - 65:20 clock [2] - 9:10, 9:12 close [8] - 27:18, 48:10, 48:18, 71:16, 80:22, 83:4, 89:13, 91:2 closeness [1] - 67:6 closet [1] - 66:21 closing [1] - 51:21 coach [26] - 14:12, 14:16, 15:1, 15:11, 17:14, 17:17, 17:18, 19:18, 30:18, 31:7, 33:3, 33:5, 33:6, 33:8, 33:13, 33:14, 38:4, 38:10, 38:14, 38:20, 42:22, 48:6, 50:14, 65:3, 71:8, 82:21

code (53) - 5:3, 5:12. 8:4, 8:6, 8:9, 8:12, 9:17, 10:8, 10:18, 11:6, 12:10, 13:4, 13:8, 13:18, 15:21, 16:11, 17:9, 17:22, 18:2, 19:19, 20:2, 20:6, 20:8, 20:10, 20:11, 21:5, 21:10, 21:22, 23:9, 25:1, 27:6, 30:3, 31:21, 31:22, 35:8, 37:9, 40:16, 46:13, 46:16, 66:13, 66:16, 66:17, 66:18, 70:7, 70:8, 70:18, 76:4, 82:17, 83:6, 83:11, 84:21, 85:1, 87:11 code's [1] - 35:5 college [1] - 39:18 colorable [1] - 7:6 combine [1] - 52:20 coming [4] - 40:20, 43:20, 53:11, 58:14 commencement [1] - 96:6 comment [2] - 87:1, comments [1] - 3:11 commiserate [1] -70:4 communicated [1] -91:12 communication [3] -63:21, 72:13, 73:1 community [2] -39:19, 81:4 Community [1] - 2:4 comparison [2] -71:18, 73:6 complete [1] - 96:14 completely [1] compliance [4] -46:10, 46:14, 47:3 complied [1] - 76:3 complies [1] - 88:12 compliment [1] -2:18 comply [6] - 47:5, 75:18, 76:7, 83:5, 92:2, 93:5 compound [1] - 68:4 computer [1] - 9:7 concerned [2] - 42:7, 59:5

concise [1] - 2:19

conclusion [1] - 13:8

condition [1] - 53:5

conduct [2] - 45:12,

45.14 confer [1] - 61:7 conferred [1] - 87:15 conferring [1] - 43:8 confirmed [1] - 25:4 **conflicting** [2] - 5:13, 6:17 conform [1] - 50:20 conformed [1] -51:13 conforming [5] -21:1, 35:4, 35:10, 51:8. 51:10 conformity [3] -10:18, 11:10, 75:5 **confusion** [1] - 17:3 conjunction [2] -27:14, 30:7 consensus [5] - 7:4, 22:2, 63:7, 73:6 consider [11] - 4:4, 40:15, 44:12, 45:2, 52:21, 53:21, 54:7, 56:4, 56:7, 56:18, 88:22 considerable [1] -33:4 consideration [2] -6:21, 13:5 considered [8] -7:20, 8:16, 13:2, 26:4, 45:4, 56:17, 88:16, 88:18 considering [2] -6:11, 69:15 consistent [3] - 17:9, 18:2, 42:16 consisting [1] - 29:6 consists [1] - 17:15 consolidate [3] -36:2, 36:4, 36:9 consolidated [1] -35:22 consternation [1] construct [1] - 88.12 constructed [1] -32:20 construction [2] -86:14, 88:20 consulting [1] - 41:7 contact [1] - 40:18 contained [3] -13:11, 13:16, 13:17 containing [2] -21:11, 21:18

60:19, 85:20, 91:5, 91:16, 91:19, 92:12 continued [3] -20:17, 26:20, 95:7 continues [2] - 49:7, continuous [1] -52:10 control [2] - 29:9, 75:7 convenient [1] - 93:1 convert [4] - 19:17, 66:14, 67:9, 70:19 converts [1] - 18:17 convey [1] - 78:13 convincing [1] - 8:14 coordinate [2] -72:8, 93:6 copies [2] - 9:19, 58:6 copying [1] - 58:4 correct [17] - 3:17, 3:20, 8:2, 27:6, 47:19. 51:19, 57:8, 57:14, 58:18, 71:15, 75:21, 84:14, 86:8, 87:8, 91:8, 91:9, 96:14 corrected [1] - 58:8 correctly [1] - 7:14 counsel [12] - 3:2. 8:17, 43:7, 44:9, 44:17, 53:18, 56:13, 56:17, 60:11, 63:13, 90:8, 90:12 count [1] - 21:15 County [2] - 96:5, 96:20 COUNTY [2] - 1:2, couple [7] - 2:16, 3:4, 32:10, 36:3, 40:11, 64:7, 74:11 coupled [1] - 44:5 course [2] - 78:15, 94:12 court [9] - 14:20, 19:7, 19:9, 24:8, 24:9, 32:20, 52:17, 53:5 covered [3] - 57:11, 64:7, 72:3 create [7] - 21:7, 35:8, 35:10, 51:5, 52:5, 82:22, 87:6 created [6] - 21:3, 36:8, 37:9, 46:7,

contested [1] - 4:5 52:14, 66:22 context [2] - 10:11, creates [1] - 30:7 creation [2] - 23:8, continue [10] - 54:5, 26:18 56:2, 56:6, 57:2, credentials [1] - 4:16 critical [1] - 14:13 crossed [1] - 27:11 current [3] - 41:2, 67:2, 70:9 customarily [6] -31:4, 31:8, 32:3, 32:7, 32:14, 49:11

42:6

D

damage [1] - 79:1 damaged [1] - 11:7 date [7] - 22:11, 35:14, 63:22, 73:9, 91:6, 91:17, 93:1 days [3] - 69:20, 72:9, 92:5 deadline [1] - 4:8 deal [1] - 57:2 dealing [1] - 41:20 December (2) -22:10, 22:11 decided [3] - 33:20, 36:15, 65:7 decision [10] - 5:9, 6:13, 8:15, 39:8, 47:1, 54:1, 54:19, 60:13, 61:19, 64:12 decisions [3] - 6:6, 7:21, 59:15 deed [1] - 78:14 deference [1] - 8:8 defining [1] - 85:16 definition [9] - 13:7, 15:8, 15:14, 29:3, 29:22, 33:2, 45:11, 45:18, 86:12 deliberate [2] - 73:4, deliberation [5] -92:15, 92:16, 92:20, 92:21 deliberations [5] -61:13, 62:12, 62:19, 72:22, 91:22 delving [1] - 41:21 demo [1] - 50:18 demolish [7] - 48:17, 75:4, 81:17, 83:13, 83:21, 83:22, 84:2 demolished [15] -10:16, 11:7, 12:1,

12:2, 12:17, 12:22,

14:4, 14:6, 48:15,

contemplate [1] -

contemplation [1] -

33:20

93:12

F

65:10, 75:11, 75:13, direction [1] - 21:6 due [6] - 32:17. 18:1 65:15, 75:21 76:20, 82:16, 84:19 Director [1] - 2:3 56:12, 71:3, 77:15, examination [1] en [1] - 2:12 demolishing [1] -79:15, 80:17 disagree [2] - 31:16, enacted [1] - 70:8 96:7 86:1 Dugan [3] - 2:6, 9:5, end [3] - 22:7, 45:3, example [2] - 20:5, demolition [26] disappointing [1] -38:2 63:16 36:13 11:1, 11:20, 12:13, 39:8 dugan [1] - 37:18 ends [1] - 8:7 except [6] - 50:21. 12:19, 22:19, 27:12, disassemble [1] -DUGAN [3] - 2:7. enforce [1] - 8:6 75:6, 75:20, 76:8. 48:22, 75:12, 75:15, 38:1, 78:10 ENGEL [6] - 1:19, 82:17, 86:16 80:10, 82:14, 83:12, disassembled [2] -Dugan's [1] - 93:12 6:20, 7:11, 8:22, 90:2, **exception** [1] - 10:19 83:14, 83:16, 83:19, 21:3, 21:11 Dugans [18] - 5:2, 95:1 execute [1] - 81:6 83:20, 84:11, 84:20, disclosed [1] - 44:17 5:14, 5:18, 6:16, 6:18, Engel [2] - 90:1, executed [2] - 66:2, 85:1, 85:3, 85:5, 85:7, discovered [1] -7:5, 8:13, 11:4, 47:17, 94:22 85:17, 86:4, 86:9 47:20, 64:11, 66:12, Exhibit [1] - 12:4 enjoy [1] - 38:11 denied [1] - 27:7 discovery [1] - 44:22 66:16, 67:9, 68:15, exhibit [2] - 44:14, enjoyment [1] -**Deputy** [1] - 2:2 68:21, 69:3, 71:7 discretion [2] - 4:3, 37:20 75:13 design [1] - 16:20 Dugans '[6] - 8:17, enlarge [1] - 49:4 exist [1] - 46:17 designate [1] - 51:22 34:11, 47:13, 68:1, dispute [1] - 26:2 enlargement [1] existed [4] - 12:18, designated [2] disputed [1] - 26:16 93:16, 93:20 48:221 13:15, 45:7, 48:16 29:10, 30:19 distributed [1] - 3:16 duly [1] - 96:8 enlightening [1] existence (2) designed [3] - 19:6, dump [1] - 90:15 district [3] - 11:10. 2:20 20:17, 52:10 30:2, 42:13 DuPage [2] - 96:5, 75:19, 76:7 existing [21] - 10:13, entire [5] - 22:16, desirable [1] - 20:21 96:20 districts [1] - 20:19 44:7, 69:9, 83:13, 11:4, 12:5, 14:4, 14:6, desk [1] - 27:11 during [3] - 19:13, divide [1] - 88:6 94:6 35:3, 37:1, 40:22, destruction [1] -41:20, 44:4 document [7] - 44:1, entirely [3] - 17:8, 47:6, 47:7, 47:10, 12:20 duties [1] - 5:12 44:8, 56:1, 57:20. 29:9, 42:5 47:11, 49:3, 75:2, determination [3] dwelling [2] - 42:21, 76:4, 76:16, 83:1, 58:5, 58:10, 83:18 entitled [4] - 1:9, 5:5, 5:10, 55:15, 65:20 84:16, 86:17, 87:7, 5:6, 74:7 documents [34] determine [1] - 66:9 87:12 47:20, 47:21, 53:2, equation [1] - 82:4 determined [1] -Ε 54:5, 55:1, 56:14, equitable [1] - 6:21 exists [6] - 12:13, 64:10 56:15, 56:16, 56:18, 12:22, 13:6, 19:8, erroneous [2] - 7:21, determines [1] - 85:3 56:20, 57:19, 58:3, 20:22, 74:19 8:16 early [1] - 19:11 detriment [1] - 5:2 58:17, 59:9, 60:10, expand [1] - 21:17 error [5] - 53:17, ears [2] - 53:11, detrimental [1] -60:15, 60:20, 61:7, expanded [1] - 21:9 54:10, 58:4, 59:11, 58:14 77:19 61:8, 61:15, 61:18, expedite [2] - 91:21, 59:22 easel [5] - 9:7, 9:10, develop [1] - 79:13 62:20, 63:15, 63:18, errors [1] - 8:2 92:14 9:14, 9:15, 13:11 developed [2] -71:21, 71:22, 72:1, especially [1] - 6:1 expedited [1] - 92:21 easier [1] - 63:16 29:13, 54:8 72:18, 72:21, 93:17, essence [1] - 27:9 explain [1] - 15:13 East [1] - 1:10 developer [4] -93:21, 94:4, 94:8, establish [2] - 12:11, explained [1] - 65:17 easy [1] - 7:12 29:11, 80:18, 80:19, 94:11 expressed [1] - 23:9 47:3 education [2] dollars [2] - 68:13, expression [2] established [1] -39:16, 40:1 developers [1] - 81:2 20:20 18:15, 21:21 efficient [1] - 60:7 Development [1] done [9] - 25:16, extensive [1] - 48:16 establishes [1] effort [1] - 70:21 26:19, 30:15, 37:8, 19:9 extent [7] - 49:18, either [5] - 3:10, 61:4, 75:9, 86:14, development [1] -49:19, 62:10, 65:2, establishing [1] - 8:1 8:15, 56:13, 62:12, 87:9, 92:5 20:15 67:2, 70:16, 85:3 estate [1] - 36:16 90:11 different [5] - 47:1, door [8] - 19:12, et [2] - 42:14, 55:6 elements [3] - 42:11, 31:18, 33:1, 37:5, 50:4, 69:17, 78:6, evening [5] - 9:2, 43:1, 52:11 66:19, 68:3, 69:7, 78:14 10:10, 59:10, 60:11, elevated [1] - 87:10 69:9 difficult [3] - 57:21, 62:4 eliminate [5] - 21:7, doubt [2] - 75:13, faces [1] - 11:4 59:16, 90:19 event [1] - 3:6 34:11, 34:17, 35:7, facilitate [1] - 40:21 77:22 diligence [4] - 71:3, **eventually** [1] - 66:2 86:15 facing [2] - 11:3, down [12] - 36:21, 77:15, 79:15, 80:17 evidence [6] - 44:12, eliminating [1] -38:13 dime [2] - 33:5, 37:1, 39:6, 39:9, 53:16, 53:22, 54:7, 21:20 fact [19] - 6:12, 20:7, 34:22 50:14, 51:16, 66:8, 56:4, 70:3 elimination [2] -20:8. 24:21. 27:8. 76:22, 84:7, 84:8, dimension 161 evidencing [1] -20:13, 20:20 44:5, 45:6, 48:5, 56:9, 84:12, 84:13 10:20, 11:12, 12:6, 46:14 email [2] - 3:17, 63:3 59:8, 67:7, 72:1, 72:2, dozen [2] - 33:6, 75:20, 76:8, 82:18 evident [1] - 58:16 emails [1] - 3:7 72:5, 72:20, 83:4, 34:22 dimensions [4] exact [1] - 22:11 embodied [1] - 8:9 85:11, 90:13 driven [1] - 40:19 51:2, 51:9, 75:8, exactly [6] - 27:17, emphasizing [1] failure [1] - 5:10 DU [2] - 1:2, 96:2 85:13 33:16, 45:20, 49:4,

64:20, 65:4, 69:18

fair [5] - 22:2, 34:10, 61:20, 62:9, 88:14 fairly [1] - 48:7 faith [2] - 77:18, 79:2 family [80] - 14:5, 14:7, 14:9, 14:15, 14:21, 15:8, 15:14, 15:18, 15:19, 15:20, 16:3, 16:12, 18:10, 18:11, 19:2, 20:5, 21:12, 22:13, 23:17, 24:4, 24:5, 24:6, 24:18, 25:6, 25:13, 25:21, 26:2, 26:3, 26:5, 26:8, 31:11, 31:17, 32:6, 32:12, 32:13, 32:16, 33:13, 33:18, 33:19, 34:1, 34:5, 35:15, 35:18, 36:13, 37:3, 38:17, 40:4, 42:11, 43:2, 43:4, 43:14, 45:4, 46:7, 47:7, 47:11, 49:6, 49:7, 49:10, 49:12, 49:14, 49:16, 49:19, 49:20, 52:6, 52:9, 52:11, 64:16, 64:17, 64:21, 66:11, 67:1, 67:19, 67:22, 68:6, 70:12, 70:13, 78:3, 79:14, 80:4, 80:6 famous [1] - 61:17 far [4] - 33:10, 53:20, 55:9, 70:15 fast [1] - 17:10 favor [1] - 54:21 February [1] - 1:11 feet [6] - 11:4, 11:5, 12:6, 34:13, 38:15, 51:15 fellow [1] - 53:10 few [2] - 22:3, 72:9 figure [3] - 62:15, 72:9, 92:22 file [2] - 44:7, 66:7 filed [4] - 3:15, 3:18, 5:8, 8:14 files [1] - 40:22 filing [1] - 29:11 finally [2] - 39:11, 81:16 finance [2] - 39:16, 39:22 fine [1] - 85:10 first [18] - 2:18, 3:17, 4:5, 6:10, 10:11, 13:18, 16:16, 27:10, 30:10, 33:19, 39:1, 62:14, 63:2, 64:9,

74:11, 79:11, 81:10, 90:17 fit [1] - 74:18 fits [2] - 51:5, 86:12 five [3] - 22:4, 73:16, 74:7 five-minute [1] -73:16 floor [2] - 39:1, 39:2 focus [5] - 45:9, 45:17, 49:22, 60:15, 90:14 focuses [1] - 23:9 focusing [2] - 32:18, 60:16 FOIA [20] - 53:20, 55:8, 55:18, 56:11, 57:6, 57:10, 57:13, 58:1, 59:7, 60:1, 60:12, 62:16, 62:18, 63:18, 72:3, 73:6, 93:12, 93:16 FOIAs [2] - 44:16, 93:10 follow [1] - 40:14 Following [1] - 25:10 foot [3] - 34:2, 38:20, 53:6 forbid [2] - 4:8, 71:6 foregoing [1] - 96:13 foremost [1] - 13:19 Forest [1] - 33:5 forever [2] - 39:13, 39:14 forgive [1] - 4:2 form [2] - 18:16, 96:13 former [2] - 37:2, 38:8 forth [3] - 2:21, 36:13, 45:21 forward [5] - 7:1, 7:7, 17:10, 47:9, 59:19 four [31] - 13:21, 14:9, 14:11, 14:22, 16:2, 17:5, 17:6, 18:8, 18:13, 18:21, 18:22,

23:4, 23:5, 24:9, 26:7,

31:7, 31:11, 31:12,

34:1, 37:4, 39:14,

42:15, 48:8, 74:3,

74.4

39:16, 42:7, 42:13,

14:9, 14:11, 14:22,

18:13, 18:21, 18:22,

31:7, 31:11, 31:12,

23:4, 23:5, 24:9, 26:7,

16:2, 17:5, 17:6,

four-car [25] - 13:21,

31:16, 32:19, 32:21,

31:16, 32:19, 34:1, 37:4, 42:7, 42:13, 42:15, 48:8 frankly [1] - 49:12 free [1] - 3:11 Freedom [3] - 43:22, 44:6, 44:21 frequently [1] -20:18 front [1] - 11:19 fully [1] - 54:8 fun [1] - 35:13 future [2] - 17:16, G

gotcha [1] - 58:19 graceful [1] - 20:13 gradual [1] - 20:20 grant [1] - 27:2 granted [8] - 15:17, 23:2, 23:3, 24:3, 24:12, 25:22, 83:22, 87:15 ground [4] - 59:14, 59:15, 64:6, 65:11 guess [2] - 50:2, 71:15 guests [1] - 66:21 gut [1] - 44:13 guys [1] - 41:9

HINSDALE [1] - 1:3

historically [1] - 33:8

history [4] - 40:13,

Hinsdale [4] - 1:9,

1:11, 34:4, 40:18

Н games [1] - 55:5 garage [46] - 13:21, hall [2] - 66:4, 66:8 14:5, 14:7, 14:9, hand [2] - 59:10, 14:11, 15:1, 15:9, 96:17 16:2, 17:5, 17:6, happy [2] - 70:5, 17:19, 17:20, 18:9, 71:11 18:13, 18:21, 18:22, hard [1] - 9:19 19:9, 19:16, 23:4, hardship [2] - 5:2, 23:5, 24:10, 26:7, 6:17 27:12, 31:7, 31:11, heard [6] - 10:4, 31:13, 31:17, 32:19, 21:13, 58:7, 58:8, 32:22, 33:9, 34:1, 58:17, 64:19 36:22, 37:2, 37:4, Hearing [3] - 2:13, 42:7, 42:13, 42:15, 89:14, 95:6 48:8, 65:21, 68:5, hearing [27] - 2:22, 80:10, 81:17, 81:20 4:5, 44:4, 44:5, 56:2, **GARY** [1] - 1:16 60:13, 60:19, 62:13, generally [2] - 20:10, 64:11, 71:17, 72:16, 20:21 72:20, 72:22, 73:4, giant [2] - 35:22, 73:7, 91:6, 91:22. 69:9 92:2, 92:6, 92:15, Giltner [2] - 89:21, 92:17, 93:2, 94:2, 94:20 94:4, 94:6, 94:7, 94:9 GILTNER [9] - 1:17, heaven [1] - 4:8 6:10, 8:21, 25:2, 26:4, held [2] - 52:19, 79:8 89:16, 89:22, 94:16, hello [1] - 38:1 94:21 help [1] - 39:16 girsch [2] - 65:16, helped [1] - 39:12 65:17 helpful [2] - 5:4, Girsch [13] - 17:5, 63:12 24:20, 26:19, 65:6, hereafter [1] - 29:18 67:20, 75:10, 75:11, hereby [1] - 96:5 76:15, 76:22, 77:12, herein [1] - 96:8 83:20, 86:2 hereto [1] - 96:10 girsch's [1] - 66:10 hereunto [1] - 96:17 Girschs [6] - 10:16, hesitant [1] - 34:7 11:21, 12:18, 14:3, Hills [1] - 69:6

hit [1] - 20:8 home [50] - 14:5, 14:7, 14:9, 14:16, 14:21, 16:3, 18:10, 18:11, 23:17, 24:4, 24:5, 24:6, 24:19, 25:21, 26:2, 26:3, 26:5, 26:8, 31:17, 33:13, 33:18, 33:19, 34:1, 34:5, 37:3, 38:5, 38:8, 38:20, 39:12, 39:20, 40:4, 42:12, 43:4, 43:15, 46:7, 47:7, 49:6, 49:7, 49:10, 49:15, 49:19, 49:20, 52:6, 52:10, 52:11, 70:12, 70:13, 74:21, 78:3, 80:4 homeowner [1] -46:9 homes [6] - 20:5, 21:12, 36:14, 38:17, 49:16, 79:14 hope [1] - 40:2 host [1] - 66:20 hour [1] - 1:12 hours [2] - 3:7, 6:1 house [56] - 14:12, 14:16, 15:2, 15:12, 17:14, 17:18, 19:13, 19:18, 22:13, 22:14, 30:18, 31:7, 33:3, 33:8, 33:13, 33:14, 34:18, 37:5, 38:4, 38:9, 38:10, 38:12, 38:14, 38:20, 38:21, 39:3, 39:15, 40:3, 42:22, 43:2, 47:14, 47:17, 47:22, 48:1, 48:6, 48:8, 50:14, 65:3, 66:20, 68:5, 68:7, 69:7, 69:9, 69:10, 69:20, 71:8, 71:9, 76:12, 77:17, 79:6, 82:21 houses [5] - 33:5, 33:6, 35:15, 35:18, 52:19

housing [1] - 21:19

huge [1] - 38:20

i.e m - 15:1 idea [2] - 55:2, 94:1 identified [1] - 30:19 identify (1) - 80:3 ignore [1] - 56:19

given [4] - 21:12,

35:6, 44:18, 96:10

goals [1] - 70:8

God [1] - 71:6

16:5, 18:6

IL [1] - 96:21 inspections [2] -39:19 lower [1] - 69:21 Κ ill [2] - 7:20, 8:16 40:18, 45:12 legal [10] - 7:22, ill-considered [2] install [1] - 16:6 12:12, 12:15, 13:2, М KATHLEEN [2] -7:20, 8:16 instance [2] - 10:22, 34:20, 36:14, 77:10, 96:3, 96:19 ILLINOIS [2] - 1:1, 16:16 90:10, 92:4, 92:10 mailing [1] - 91:10 Kathryn [2] - 6:19, 96:1 legally [1] - 36:9 instantly [1] - 82:5 main [2] - 3:6, 16:8 Illinois [2] - 1:11, instead [4] - 42:12, less [1] - 69:21 KATHRYN [1] - 1:19 maintain [7] - 13:16, 96:5 51:14, 51:15, 51:16 letter [18] - 17:1, keep [7] - 14:3, 19:7, 21:1, 42:11, 70:9, immediately [1] intend [4] - 26:9, 25:11, 41:5, 41:7, 23:13, 33:17, 39:6, 76:6, 86:14, 87:11 72:5 41:9, 42:1, 42:5, 42:6, 27:15, 80:16, 80:21 maintained [15] -40:2, 40:17 import [3] - 72:17, intended [6] - 7:22, 42:9, 42:20, 51:12, 11:1, 11:12, 11:22, Keep [1] - 42:21 94:4, 94:8 8:3, 15:22, 16:6, 65:22, 71:3, 77:3, 49:5, 67:7, 67:8. keeping [3] - 3:13, 82:19, 85:19, 85:21, important [8] - 13:5, 16:20, 24:17 67:13, 76:4, 77:1. 47:9, 79:5 86:21 13:13, 13:18, 29:3, intent [4] - 8:9, 77:12, 84:16, 85:12, **KEITH** [1] - 1:17 39:21, 40:15, 43:19, 16:20, 35:7, 79:5 letting [1] - 54:21 86:16, 87:3, 87:18 kids [1] - 39:16 43:21 intention [4] - 65:18, level [3] - 37:3, 70:9, kids' [1] - 39:22 majority [1] - 38:12 impose [1] - 30:14 70:10 66:10, 79:13 manager [6] - 5:11, kind [5] - 40:10, improvement [1] intents [1] - 8:4 lie [1] - 82:10 8:5, 17:10, 18:2, 27:9, 40:13, 41:21, 77:19, 17:13 lies [1] - 82:7 interested [1] - 2:22 68:12 89:1 IN [1] - 96:16 light [3] - 38:22, interior [1] - 77:9 manager's [2] - 17:8, kinds [1] - 69:17 39:1, 41:22 in-laws [1] - 16:4 interpret [1] - 45:10 27:11 kitchen [5] - 15:10, inadvertent [1] limitation [1] - 88:22 interpretation [1] -March [2] - 95:7, 15:12, 19:1, 42:14, 53:16 21:9 limited [4] - 17:18, 96:18 52:8 61:17, 94:4, 94:7 inches [3] - 11:5, interpretations [1] market [1] - 69:20 knowing [1] - 79:9 12:7 line [9] - 14:19, 19:8, Marrs [1] - 25:3 known [1] - 39:3 incident [7] - 31:4, 24:8, 48:10, 48:18, inviting [1] - 21:19 MARRS [21] - 2:5, 31:8, 31:13, 32:3, issue [15] - 3:6, 5:3, 52:20, 53:7, 67:6, 40:8, 43:13, 44:15, L 32:8, 32:14, 49:11 5:16, 5:20, 6:15, 6:17, 83:4 47:6, 48:4, 48:11, lines [3] - 13:14, inclination [1] - 60:2 7:1, 10:12, 41:8. 48:19, 50:12, 50:17, include [2] - 21:18, 32:21, 89:15 48:20, 54:9, 58:3, labels [1] - 32:18 51:4, 51:19, 51:21, links [1] - 52:18 57:17 65:9, 93:4 Lake [1] - 33:5 53:1, 58:18, 61:2, included [4] - 62:16, issued [3] - 16:15, listened [1] - 46:21 land [3] - 20:12, 62:1, 91:1, 91:4, 62:17, 62:21, 93:11 22:12, 53:4 literally [2] - 44:4, 29:5, 29:12 91:11, 92:8 including [1] - 42:10 issues [7] - 3:5, 36:8, 63:2 language [2] - 8:9, masse (1) - 2:12 inconsistent [1] -37:19, 53:12, 54:16, litigation [1] - 55:5 match [1] - 63:13 20:18 91:15 litigator [1] - 53:10 large [7] - 14:19, material [1] - 55:3 live [4] - 33:12, 69:6, increase [2] - 83:1, items [1] - 54:13 16:6, 17:12, 24:8, materials [2] - 43:6, 87.7 69:11, 70:6 32:20, 38:6, 38:8 55:10 incumbent [1] -J lives [1] - 34:18 LaRocque [3] -Matter [1] - 1:4 80:13 local [1] - 8:1 24:21, 26:20 matter [8] - 1:9, 40:6, independent [2] located [2] - 29:9, LaRocque 's [1] -James [1] - 9:5 40:13, 44:22, 54:6, 46:4, 55:18 19:14 70:21, 86:11, 92:14 **Jerome** [1] - 65:6 individual [1] - 63:20 look [7] - 5:3, 27:15, LaRocques [1] job [3] - 90:9, 90:19, matters [2] - 2:17, influence [2] - 5:16, 38:12, 55:2, 56:1, 38:4 96:9 90:20 61:19 82:1, 83:17 LaRocques [1] -**JOHN** [1] - 1:18 McGinnis [6] - 2:3, influenced [1] looked [3] - 47:14, 19:13 48:14, 51:7, 72:8, John [1] - 39:12 60:21 66:9, 68:4 last [4] - 3:7, 32:10, 72:10, 91:9 judges [2] - 53:21, information [3] looking [7] - 46:11, 41:1, 61:17 McGue [1] - 38:8 90:20 4:15, 55:14, 80:7 51:11, 55:13, 69:10, lastly [1] - 49:22 July [1] - 25:3 mean [11] - 6:11, 81:19, 82:16, 82:19 Information [3] late [5] - 3:7, 3:19, 18:21, 23:22, 26:14, jump [1] - 19:21 43:22, 44:6, 44:21 looks [4] - 17:1, 3:22, 4:4, 43:20 33:4, 39:20, 50:6, jumping [1] - 20:7 48:8, 51:12, 80:5 initial [4] - 16:19, laws [1] - 16:4 56:1, 57:22, 62:1, June [2] - 12:16, 17:4, 52:3, 81:12 lose [3] - 38:22, 88:8, lead [1] - 93:3 12:18 inquiry [5] - 47:8, 88:17 learn [1] - 45:15 meanings [1] - 8:4 juries [1] - 53:21 52:3, 77:5, 79:12, loses [1] - 77:1 learned [1] - 42:4 means [5] - 8:3, justifiable [1] - 53:11 79:16 loss [1] - 77:21 learning [1] - 40:21 8:13, 72:15, 83:3, inspected [3] lost [6] - 76:15, least [4] - 55:4, 96:11 25:12, 43:3, 47:10 77:11, 86:18, 87:17, 64:10, 64:20, 70:14 meant [1] - 50:7 inspection [1] -88:11, 89:3 leave [2] - 9:22, meet [1] - 15:14 25:15

loud [1] - 7:17

meeting [8] - 62:11, 62:18, 62:21, 63:4, 63:5, 63:8, 73:4, 92:13 meetings [2] - 91:15, 92:3 Meetings [1] - 93:5 meets [2] - 15:7, 51:5 Member [12] - 1:16, 1:17, 1:18, 1:19, 89:19, 89:21, 90:1, 90:3, 94:18, 94:20, 94:22, 95:2 members [9] - 3:9, 4:9, 5:15, 37:12, 60:5, 61:22, 63:5, 73:8, 74:17 Members [1] - 9:3 MEMBERS [1] - 1:14 members '[1] - 54:4 memo [1] - 25:3 memory [1] - 7:18 mere [1] - 66:13 merely [2] - 15:9, 82:15 merits [2] - 5:21, 54:19 met [2] - 28:2, 67:21 Michael [1] - 25:3 MICHAEL [1] - 2:5 microfiche [4] - 66:6, 66:9, 67:18, 68:3 might [8] - 39:15. 54:22, 55:1, 58:17, 60:6, 63:12, 70:5, 74:6 million [2] - 68:13, 71:2 mind [10] - 14:3, 19:7, 23:13, 33:17, 40:3, 40:17, 42:21, 52:5, 74:5, 79:5 mindful [1] - 41:13 minimum [6] - 10:19, 11:11, 75:20, 76:2, 76:8, 82:18 minute [5] - 27:13, 53:9, 73:16, 80:11, 81:18 minutes [3] - 22:3, 22:4, 74:8 misleading [1] -81:11 missed [1] - 4:8 misspoke [1] - 93:19 MOBERLY [23] -1:16, 4:10, 5:22, 6:8, 7:10, 9:1, 22:6, 22:10, 22:20, 23:19, 24:1,

34:9, 34:16, 35:12, 35:21, 43:11, 52:16, 70:1, 74:2, 74:9, 89:20, 94:15, 94:19 Moberly [4] - 23:18, 69:16, 89:19, 94:18 modifications [1] -65:8 moment [1] - 15:4 money [5] - 39:15, 39:22, 59:13, 77:19, 79:4 month [11] - 54:6, 61:12, 61:18, 62:20, 62:22, 63:9, 73:10, 73:11, 91:20, 93:8 month's [2] - 63:5, 92:13 most [3] - 12:2, 60:7, 84:8 motion [3] - 89:11, 89:13, 94:15 motor [7] - 14:20, 19:7, 19:8, 24:8, 32:20, 52:17 move [2] - 7:7, 9:8 moved [2] - 38:3, 89:16 movement [1] - 36:7 moving [2] - 5:21, 59:19 MR [161] - 1:15, 1:16, 1:17, 1:18, 2:3, 2:5, 2:6, 3:21, 4:10, 4:20, 5:17, 5:22, 6:8, 6:10, 7:9, 7:10, 8:20, 8:21, 9:1, 9:2, 9:11, 11:16, 11:18, 15:5, 15:16, 16:14, 19:4, 22:5, 22:6, 22:10, 22:18, 22:20, 23:1, 23:19, 23:21, 24:1, 24:2, 25:2, 25:18, 26:4, 26:6, 27:3, 27:21, 28:5, 28:9, 28:13, 28:16, 28:20, 28:22, 29:2, 29:19, 29:21, 30:10, 30:16, 31:15, 31:21, 32:17, 34:9, 34:14, 34:16, 34:20, 35:12, 35:20, 35:21, 36:6, 37:17, 40:8, 43:11, 43:13, 43:17, 44:15, 46:9, 46:18, 47:6, 48:4, 48:11, 48:14, 48:19, 50:10, 50:12, 50:13, 50:17, 51:1, 51:4, 51:7, 51:11, 51:19, 51:20, 51:21, 52:16, 53:1,

54:12, 55:12, 56:12, 57:1, 57:15, 58:7, 58:15, 58:18, 58:20, 61:2, 61:3, 61:21, 62:1, 62:7, 63:11, 70:1, 72:10, 73:13, 73:18, 74:1, 74:2, 74:9, 74:10, 74:22, 75:1, 76:13, 77:22, 78:7, 78:12, 78:15, 78:19, 79:11, 79:20, 82:13, 83:8, 83:15, 83:17, 84:6, 84:15, 84:22, 85:15, 86:1, 86:5, 86:7, 86:20, 86:22, 87:4, 87:8, 87:20, 88:1, 88:7, 88:14, 89:6, 89:7, 89:16, 89:17, 89:20, 89:22, 90:4, 91:1, 91:4, 91:9, 91:11, 91:16, 92:8, 94:15, 94:16, 94:19, 94:21, 95:3 MRS [1] - 38:1 MS [41] - 1:19, 2:2, 2:7, 2:8, 6:20, 7:11, 8:22, 55:16, 56:21, 57:8, 57:16, 59:3, 59:16, 61:1, 62:6, 63:20, 64:3, 67:16, 68:9, 70:2, 78:10, 89:19, 89:21, 90:1, 90:2, 90:3, 90:5, 91:14, 92:4, 92:10, 92:16, 93:9, 93:18, 94:10, 94:13, 94:18, 94:20, 94:22, 95:1, 95:2, 95:4 municipality [1] -85:2 must [2] - 11:9, 49:17

Ν

name [6] - 9:3, 33:3, 33:9, 38:1, 64:3

NANCY [1] - 2:7

Nancy [2] - 9:5, 38:2

necessarily [1] - 31:9

necessary [3] - 42:11, 62:11, 63:8

need [13] - 3:8, 7:22, 10:10, 34:17, 36:20, 39:15, 39:21, 61:10, 72:19, 76:12, 92:18, 92:20, 93:9

needed [2] - 60:17,

91:22 needs [4] - 12:15, 59:22, 70:20, 92:5 neighbor [6] - 19:12, 41:5, 71:8, 77:5, 81:7, 82:10 neighbors [2] -45:15, 68:4 neighbors '[2] - 43:7, 66:20 **NEIMAN** [76] - 1:15, 2:13, 4:1, 4:18, 4:21, 6:4, 6:9, 6:14, 7:3, 7:12, 9:9, 11:15, 11:17, 15:3, 15:6, 16:9, 18:20, 22:1, 22:8, 26:21, 31:1, 31:19, 32:1, 37:11, 40:7, 44:11, 46:12, 47:12, 48:9, 48:13, 53:8, 55:8, 57:4, 57:9, 58:13, 58:21, 59:4, 60:3, 61:5, 61:22, 62:3, 62:9, 63:17, 64:1, 67:14, 67:17, 71:12, 72:11, 73:17, 73:21, 74:6, 76:10, 77:13, 78:5, 78:8, 78:13, 78:16, 78:22, 79:17, 82:11, 84:1, 84:10, 84:18, 89:9, 89:18, 90:6, 91:3, 91:19, 92:12, 92:19, 93:15, 94:3, 94:12, 94:14, 94:17, 95:5 Neiman [3] - 18:5, 90:5.95:4 never [10] - 15:16, 15:17, 15:18, 18:19, 24:21, 35:22, 44:2, 44:8, 51:22, 75:14 nevertheless [1] -66:7 new [19] - 4:5, 22:21, 37:4, 38:16, 47:4, 47:22, 50:15, 51:3,

51:17, 56:15, 64:17,

74:20, 76:11, 77:16,

79:6, 82:22, 87:6,

next [27] - 19:12,

61:12, 61:18, 62:19,

31:18, 33:1, 37:5,

62:22, 63:5, 63:9,

66:19, 68:3, 69:7,

69:9, 69:12, 72:9,

73:10, 73:11, 73:19,

83:18, 91:20, 92:13,

93:7

38:6, 54:6, 61:7,

87:22, 88:2

next-door (3) -19:12, 66:19, 68:3 nine [1] - 38:15 nonconforming [26] - 12:12, 12:15, 13:2, 13:3, 20:13, 21:4, 34:11, 34:12, 34:15, 34:17, 34:19, 35:10, 36:14, 50:11, 51:2, 67:3, 67:11, 67:12, 74:19, 76:18, 77:9, 77:10, 87:13, 88:18, 88:20, 89:5 nonconformities [13] - 20:18, 20:21, 21:4, 21:7, 21:20, 21:21, 34:21, 35:7, 83:1, 83:2, 86:16, 87:6, 87:7 nonconformity [15] -11:2, 11:13, 12:7, 67:8, 76:2, 76:16, 77:1, 77:12, 83:7, 84:16, 85:12, 85:20, 86:17, 87:12, 87:18 notarial [1] - 96:17 Notary [2] - 96:4, 96:20 noted [1] - 7:14 notes [1] - 96:15 nothing [5] - 8:20, 20:2, 20:6, 72:6, 94:8 notice [8] - 38:14, 91:7, 92:2, 92:4, 92:11, 92:22, 93:4, 94:10 notion [1] - 65:9 number [2] - 21:12,

0

81:2

O'DONNELL [69] -2:6, 3:21, 9:2, 9:11, 11:16, 11:18, 15:5, 15:16, 16:14, 19:4, 22:5, 22:18, 23:1, 23:21, 24:2, 25:18, 26:6, 27:3, 28:5, 28:13, 28:20, 29:2, 29:21, 30:16, 31:15, 31:21, 32:17, 34:14, 34:20, 35:20, 36:6, 37:17, 43:17, 54:12, 55:12, 56:12, 57:1, 57:15, 58:7, 58:15, 58:20, 61:3, 61:21, 62:7, 73:13, 73:18, 74:1, 74:10, 75:1, 76:13, 77:22, 78:7,

78:12, 78:15, 78:19, one [47] - 4:2, 7:18, 8:20, 27:21, 28:9, owner [11] - 29:10, perfectly [1] - 57:2 79:11, 79:20, 83:8, 12:15, 13:8, 14:14, 29:20, 30:11, 30:14, 28:16, 28:22, 29:19, perform [1] - 79:15 83:17, 84:6, 84:15, 15:22, 16:16, 17:1, 30:10, 46:9, 46:18, 30:19, 36:15, 41:3, period [1] - 80:20 84:22, 86:1, 86:7, 17:15, 17:22, 20:3, 41:8, 42:1, 51:22, 50:10, 50:13, 51:1, permissible [1] -86:22, 87:8, 88:1, 23:13, 24:11, 24:16, 64:5 51:11, 51:20, 63:11, 67:6 88:14, 89:7 28:12, 29:6, 34:9, 74:22, 82:13, 83:15, owner's [1] - 40:9 permission [2] -O'Donnell [22] - 9:4, 35:22, 41:13, 43:9, 85:15, 86:5, 86:20, owners [5] - 26:17, 36:4, 83:22 27:21, 37:16, 53:8, 43:10, 43:19, 47:14, 32:10, 35:17, 47:16, 87:4, 87:20, 88:7, permit [19] - 22:12, 57:9, 59:6, 59:10, 51:12, 52:16, 53:6, 89:6, 89:17, 90:4, 64:22 22:14, 22:19, 22:21, 60:8, 60:9, 60:14, 54:13, 55:22, 57:20, 91:16, 95:3 ownership [1] - 29:8 23:2, 23:3, 24:3, 61:20, 62:14, 71:19, 58:5, 60:16, 68:4, point [29] - 2:19, 3:1, 24:12, 24:18, 27:12, 71:20, 71:22, 72:7, 69:9, 74:11, 74:16, Р 18:12, 19:11, 20:8, 29:12, 30:20, 37:13, 72:18, 72:20, 74:7, 77:17, 78:3, 78:7, 20:16, 21:8, 23:2, 52:2, 75:16, 80:10, 82:12, 94:5 78:14, 78:17, 78:20, 23:18, 24:2, 25:17, 85:4, 85:5 p.m [2] - 1:12, 95:8 o'Donnell [3] - 54:6, 78:21, 79:4, 79:11, 26:1, 27:8, 35:4, 35:5, permits [2] - 40:20, packet [2] - 13:12, 54:11, 89:10 89:2, 91:1, 93:9 35:6, 36:12, 44:20, 53:4 29:1 O'Donnell's [1] one-page [3] - 55:22, 55:5, 59:20, 66:17, permitted [8] pad [1] - 51:5 72:3 57:20, 58:5 68:10, 75:9, 85:8, 20:15, 22:22, 23:14, page [7] - 55:22, Oak [22] - 1:5, 2:14, open [4] - 4:8, 30:1, 87:17, 88:1, 88:2, 24:15, 37:10, 39:4, 57:20, 58:5, 63:2, 2:15, 25:5, 41:3, 91:14, 92:3 86:9 88:8, 88:21 63:12, 79:22, 81:14 43:16, 64:5, 64:18, Open [1] - 93:5 pointed [2] - 67:4, person [1] - 5:8 PAGE [2] - 1:2, 96:2 64:20, 64:21, 65:1, opinion [1] - 27:1 69:16 personally [3] - 2:21, paid [3] - 68:13, 65:2, 65:3, 65:7, opinions [1] - 5:13 pointing [2] - 14:15, 4:6, 66:4 69:19, 70:3 65:22, 66:11, 67:3, opportunity [5] -14:17 perspective [5] -68:11, 68:16, 68:17, paper [1] - 63:21 10:5, 35:6, 62:2, points [3] - 40:11, 42:3, 49:8, 59:17, 69:18, 70:11 part [21] - 12:2, 64:8, 74:11 80:21, 81:3 68:1, 80:14 oath [1] - 2:11 29:22, 30:10, 30:13, opposed [3] - 17:6, pertaining [1] **poll** [1] - 73:8 33:9, 36:6, 38:5, 39:7, object [1] - 44:3 21:20, 74:2 portion [3] - 11:20, 96:10 48:15, 52:12, 56:11, objection [4] - 4:19, 66:17, 67:12 opposite [2] - 36:3, photograph [2] -57:6, 57:12, 60:11, 7:8, 43:18, 85:11 65:15 portions [1] ~ 66:18 13:10, 13:14 70:7, 73:1, 82:4, obtained [1] - 46:16 order [7] - 5:9, 31:6, posed [1] - 27:18 phrase [1] - 50:5 83:10, 85:9, 86:3, obvious [2] - 43:20. 36:20, 42:2, 46:8, position [9] - 28:1, picked [1] - 35:13 90:12 45:6 86:13, 87:9 40:10, 41:16, 45:2, piece [2] - 63:20, particular [4] obviously [5] original [2] - 12:4, 57:16, 59:16, 64:14, 80:19 13:13, 40:19, 40:21, 55:13, 59:17, 72:6, 65:19 71:5, 86:5 pins [4] - 35:17, 85:2, 86:9 originally [1] - 16:5 possible [2] - 71:3, 78:9, 78:10, 78:12 particularly [1] occupancy [20] otherwise [2] - 20:9, 81:6 placed [2] - 13:11, 79:13 15:17, 16:13, 16:15, 78:18 possibly [1] - 54:9 71.1 parties [13] - 5:5, 19:13, 25:20, 26:11, ourselves [1] - 4:7 precedential [1] - 6:7 plan [9] - 11:20, 5:6, 54:5, 63:7, 72:14, 26:22, 43:8, 43:14, outcome [2] - 5:19, precisely [1] - 30:16 18:7, 42:9, 45:21, 72:17, 73:2, 73:7, 45:5, 54:15, 55:20, 71:17 precode [34] - 10:14, 46:19, 81:5, 83:19, 90:7, 91:7, 91:21, 55:21, 56:8, 56:10, outset [3] - 10:2, 10:15, 11:6, 11:14, 83:20, 86:3 92:13, 92:20 57:5, 57:12, 57:17, 17:2, 82:1 12:8, 12:20, 13:1, plans [23] - 17:13, parties [1] - 63:4 59:9, 62:8 overby [4] - 59:1, 48:14, 49:3, 67:4, 25:4, 25:6, 25:11. partly [1] - 90:13 occupants [2] -62:1, 62:3, 64:2 67:5, 67:10, 74:13, 38:19, 41:3, 41:4, parts [3] - 29:8, 83:9, 32:22, 37:7 Overby [3] - 55:16, 75:2, 75:18, 76:5, 42:2, 42:7, 42:18, 84:3 occupied [1] - 29:10 76:6, 76:13, 76:15, 57:4, 64:4 43:3, 45:18, 46:5, occupy [1] - 25:20 party [2] - 44:16, **OVERBY** [18] - 2:8, 76:17, 76:21, 77:2, 46:7, 52:4, 65:12. 68:20 occur [2] - 24:14, 77:9, 77:11, 85:14, 55:16, 56:21, 57:8, 65:14, 66:1, 66:5, passed [1] - 90:13 26:17 86:15, 86:19, 87:2, 57:16, 59:3, 59:16, 75:12, 86:3, 86:4 passes [1] - 59:18 occurred [4] - 18:19, 87:10, 87:14, 87:16, 61:1, 62:6, 64:3, play [5] - 10:9, 12:10, patterns [1] - 20:12 72:15, 79:21 89:1, 89:3 67:16, 68:9, 70:2, 55:4, 55:5, 58:19 paver [1] - 52:22 **OF** [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 91:14, 93:9, 93:18, prefer [1] - 55:2 pleased [1] - 90:16 paying [1] - 38:6 1:8, 96:1, 96:2 94:10, 94:13 preference [2] pluq [1] - 19:15 pending [1] - 71:17 offhand [1] - 51:9 Overby 's [1] - 79:18 63:4, 73:3 plus [3] - 17:19, people [4] - 33:11, office [3] - 4:11, own [6] - 36:16, preliminary [3] -17:20 65:1, 68:2, 71:6 19:14, 19:17 2:16, 3:4, 8:19 51:8, 65:1, 71:9, 86:2, Podliska [3] - 74:21, perceived [1] - 70:14 once [6] - 17:13, premised [2] - 76:19, 90:3, 95:2 percent [2] - 69:21, 17:17, 17:20, 24:13, 88:15 owned [2] - 38:4, PODLISKA [33] -27:4, 46:22 70:1 64:22 premium [3] - 38:5, 1:18, 4:20, 5:17, 7:9,

69:19, 70:3 preparing [1] - 43:6 preplan [3] - 66:6, 77:7, 80:1 prerequisite [1] -16:11 **PRESENT** [2] - 1:14, present [5] - 47:2, 54:20, 55:3, 62:4, 91:11 presentation [2] -9:20, 22:4 presented [3] - 36:8, 65:16, 81:10 presenting [1] -45:19 presumably [1] -42:8 pretty [3] - 27:18, 51:9, 58:15 prevail [3] - 2:22, 68:15, 68:21 prevailed [1] - 71:7 previous [1] - 96:6 previously [1] - 9:18 primarily [1] - 38:11 principal [19] -13:16, 14:1, 17:14, 17:21, 19:19, 29:13, 29:17, 30:1, 31:5, 31:9, 32:4, 32:8, 32:15, 33:1, 33:15, 36:17, 49:11, 49:21, 52:1 print [1] - 58:2 problem [4] - 41:18, 46:20, 76:14, 86:18 procedure [1] - 7:19 procedures [1] - 8:1 proceed [3] - 60:7, 65:13, 72:22 proceeding [1] -59:21 PROCEEDINGS [1] process [1] - 41:20 produce [1] - 72:4 produced [16] - 54:5, 55:6, 55:11, 56:16, 56:19, 60:9, 60:18, 61:9, 61:10, 61:16, 71:18, 71:22, 72:2, 72:5, 72:6 project [1] - 59:19 prompted [1] - 58:11 proof [1] - 7:16 **proper** [1] - 8:8 properties [14] -14:18, 20:4, 23:12,

36:15, 36:17, 45:4, 51:18, 58:3, 68:19, 78:14, 87:16, 88:9, 88:10, 88:15 **Properties** [1] - 2:9 property [63] - 10:14, 13:20, 14:14, 14:19, 14:22, 17:15, 19:8, 19:10, 20:1, 21:18, 23:6, 23:7, 24:7, 24:8, 24:10, 24:11, 24:14, 26:13, 29:19, 30:11, 30:13, 30:14, 31:14, 32:21, 33:12, 33:20, 37:8, 37:20, 38:7, 38:13, 40:19, 40:22, 42:1, 42:12, 43:3, 45:15, 48:2, 51:22, 52:7, 65:4, 65:8, 67:6, 68:2, 68:22, 70:10, 71:2, 77:8, 78:1, 78:2, 78:3, 78:11, 78:17, 78:20, 80:19, 83:13, 84:7, 87:22, 88:3, 88:4, 88:11, 89:2 property 's [2] -16:17, 16:18 proposal [1] - 41:10 proposed [2] -42:22, 64:16 proposing [1] -41:12 protect [2] - 42:3, provide [4] - 20:12, 43:9, 43:10, 73:15 provided [4] - 7:19, 75:22, 82:21, 87:5 provision [4] - 12:9, 13:4, 28:17, 83:5 provisions [2] -40:16, 46:15 Public [4] - 89:14, 95:6, 96:4, 96:20 public [8] - 2:13, 70:22, 71:17, 72:19, 91:5, 92:17, 92:21, 93:4 publish [4] - 92:2, 92:9, 92:10, 92:18 purchase [7] - 66:19, 68:14, 71:2, 78:2, 78:7, 79:12, 80:15 purchased [4] - 65:6, 68:10, 68:18, 71:6 purchaser [2] -80:14, 82:8

purchasers [1] -

purchases [1] -

68:18

80:19
purpose [5] - 6:22,
16:18, 49:18, 49:20,
68:22
purposes [5] - 8:4,
20:10, 20:11, 20:19,
64:10
pursuant [1] - 5:11
pursue [1] - 17:13
put [12] - 9:22, 11:18,
22:21, 28:20, 36:22,
49:14, 53:5, 59:20,
69:13, 81:20, 83:18,
86:10

qualifications [1] 67:22
questions [10] 22:3, 22:6, 22:9, 34:8,
37:13, 37:14, 52:15,
71:11, 71:12, 82:11
quickly [3] - 40:12,
62:13, 62:14
quiet [1] - 60:4
quote [4] - 17:11,
20:11, 20:17, 77:8

R

R-1 (1) - 34:12 R-2[1] - 20:12 raise [1] - 48:20 raised [1] - 6:15 ranch [1] - 69:7 rather [3] - 5:21, 53:13, 73:7 re [2] - 57:18, 59:6 re-review [1] - 59:6 re-reviewed [1] -57:18 react [1] - 58:12 reaction [3] - 27:19, 44:13, 82:3 read [8] - 4:10, 4:22, 7:2, 7:17, 10:7, 55:20, 57:18, 73:14 reading [4] - 6:3, 55:22, 66:18, 70:18 ready [1] - 23:17 real [2] - 43:18, 90:19 reality [1] - 48:15 realize [1] ~ 55:19 realized [2] - 27:10, 80:11 really [10] - 4:13,

10:3, 10:10, 39:2, 40:3, 75:7, 86:11, 90:9, 90:10, 92:6 realtors [6] - 4:13, 4:14, 4:17, 5:14, 69:16 realtors '[1] - 5:1 reason [2] - 10:15, 12:19 reasonable [1] - 8:10 reasons [1] - 43:18 rebuild [13] - 50:18, 75:4, 75:5, 75:16, 75:17, 76:5, 76:11, 83:22, 85:9, 86:4, 86:10 rebuilt [15] - 10:17, 11:1, 11:8, 11:9, 12:2, 14:8, 38:16, 67:11, 76:1, 76:16, 77:12, 82:17, 85:10, 85:12 rec [7] - 16:4, 17:6, 17:20, 19:16, 37:5, 42:8, 42:12 receive [4] - 72:21, 93:21, 94:5, 94:10 received [8] - 16:13, 56:11, 59:8, 71:19, 72:18, 83:20, 85:6, 93:22 receiving [1] - 41:6 reconvene (2) ~ 61:12, 74:4 record [15] - 25:21, 28:6, 29:6, 29:7, 29:16, 30:8, 30:21, 53:17, 53:22, 54:8, 57:3, 64:13, 70:22, recorded [1] - 65:4 records [3] - 30:6, 41:22, 93:11 red [1] - 13:14 reduced [1] - 96:11 refer [1] - 82:14 reference [5] - 50:7, 50:8, 53:16, 58:9, 58:17 referenced [2] -44:12, 61:9 references [1] -53:22 referred [5] - 28:7, 59:10, 60:11, 72:1, 93:22 referring [3] - 35:3, 48:6, 84:11

refers [2] - 83:11,

reflect [1] - 86:4

85:1

refresh [1] - 7:17 regulations [9] -10:19, 10:20, 11:11, 11:12, 75:6, 75:19, 75:21, 76:9, 82:18 reiterate [2] - 40:12, 64:13 rejected [1] - 47:9 related [1] - 20:12 relation [2] - 14:14, 96:9 relatively [1] - 7:12 relevant [2] - 45:1, reliance [1] - 77:20 relied [4] - 68:7, 68:11, 77:17, 79:2 relief [1] - 23:10 relies [1] - 82:9 remain [5] - 12:7, 35:1, 66:11, 70:13, 84:5 remained [2] - 11:5, 12:3 remains [1] - 12:7 remedy [3] - 77:20, 79:1, 79:7 remind [1] - 45:11 remodel [7] - 82:15, 83:16, 84:3, 85:18, 85:19, 86:10, 87:5 remodeled [3] - 15:7, 82:21, 83:3 remodeling [4] -67:21, 82:22, 83:6, 87:5 renovation [2] -48:17, 50:15 reopen [6] - 62:5, 62:12, 72:16, 73:4, 74:7, 93:2 reopening [3] -89:14, 94:6, 94:7 repaired [1] - 11:9 repeat [1] - 10:3 replaced [2] - 76:21, 76:22 reply [3] - 6:16, 73:16, 74:3 **REPORT** [1] - 1:8 Reporter [1] - 96:4 represent [2] - 9:4, 64:4 represented [1] -81:1 represents [1] - 44:9 request [19] - 52:3, 53:20, 55:18, 56:11,

57:7, 57:10, 57:13,

59:7, 60:1, 60:12,

62:16, 62:18, 72:4, 81:7, 81:8, 82:7 school [1] - 39:17 12:14 64:16, 64:17, 64:21, 80:4, 81:12, 93:12, responsible [1] scream [1] - 34:4 shades [1] - 69:13 65:14, 66:11, 66:14, 93:16 79:8 screams [1] - 20:9 shall [1] - 46:16 66:22, 67:1, 67:19, requested [6] responsive [3] -67:22, 68:6, 70:12, screen [6] - 9:14, shame [1] - 39:2 25:19, 25:22, 54:13, 44:2, 44:10, 55:10 70:13, 70:20, 78:2, 9:17, 9:21, 13:12, shape [2] - 9:7, 54:14, 55:6, 56:14 78:3, 79:14, 79:22, restored [1] ~ 11:9 28:20, 83:19 18:16 requests [7] - 6:12, 80:4, 80:6, 81:13 result [8] - 41:11, scrutiny [1] - 50:3 shoes [1] - 59:5 43:22, 44:7, 55:8, 42:1, 42:9, 45:22, single-family [70] seal [1] - 96:18 short [1] - 94:7 58:1, 63:19, 81:10 46:2, 55:22, 69:2, 14:5, 14:7, 14:15, second [8] - 12:9, Shorthand [1] - 96:4 required [5] - 30:2, 14:21, 15:8, 15:14, 87:21 29:22, 30:13, 38:22, shorthand [2] -46:12, 47:2, 83:5, results [1] - 73:5 15:18, 15:19, 15:20, 49:14, 85:9, 89:17, 96:12, 96:15 92:22 reversible [4] -16:3, 16:12, 18:10, 94:16 show [3] - 25:22, requirement [3] -18:11, 19:2, 20:5, 53:17, 54:10, 59:11, seconds [1] - 9:6 28:18, 81:13 16:14, 27:22, 85:5 21:12, 22:13, 23:17, 59:22 section [2] - 84:20, showed [2] - 44:8, 24:4, 24:5, 24:6, requirements [7] review [16] - 8:2, 60:21 8:6, 12:14, 28:2, 24:18, 25:6, 25:13, 53:13, 53:14, 53:15, Section [8] - 10:12, showing [2] - 22:15, 50:21, 76:7, 88:19, 25:21, 26:2, 26:3, 54:10, 58:5, 59:6, 12:10, 12:14, 20:9, 81:15 91:10 26:5, 26:8, 31:17, 59:11, 60:1, 60:9, 20:16, 28:21, 75:3, shown [2] - 25:11, requires [2] - 11:6, 32:6, 32:13, 33:13, 61:6, 71:20, 71:21, 83:11 64:15 33:18, 33:19, 35:15, 77:7.80:1 sections [2] - 9:17, shows [4] - 11:21, research (1) - 68:3 36:13, 37:3, 38:17, reviewed [3] - 25:5, 12:5, 12:6, 13:14 researched [1] -42:11, 43:4, 43:14, 41:4. 57:18 see [18] - 5:19, 7:1, side [8] - 4:2, 11:2, 45:4, 46:7, 47:7, 67:18 reviewing [2] - 8:7, 9:16, 13:15, 52:13, 11:13, 38:8, 44:18, 47:11, 49:6, 49:7, reserve [1] - 80:7 43:5 53:12, 56:1, 56:14, 69:9, 76:2, 87:18 49:10, 49:12, 49:14, residence [21] reviews [1] - 66:6 59:8, 61:8, 62:8, sides [6] - 7:2, 60:22, 49:16, 49:20, 52:6, 15:8, 15:15, 15:18, revised [3] - 25:4, 62:13, 62:14, 71:22, 61:16, 63:13, 90:10, 52:9, 52:11, 64:16, 15:19, 15:21, 16:12, 25:11, 42:2 73:9, 81:19, 84:7, 90:15 64:17, 64:21, 66:11, 19:2, 25:6, 25:14, rid [1] - 52:9 84:13 sight [1] - 38:17 67:1, 67:19, 67:22, 28:4, 31:11, 32:6, rightful [1] - 8:5 seem [1] - 28:17 signed [1] - 43:15 68:6, 70:12, 70:13, 32:12, 32:13, 32:16, rights [6] - 42:3, sell [1] - 41:14 significant [1] -78:3, 79:14, 80:4, 33:11, 42:14, 66:11, 46:8, 49:5, 87:15, send [1] - 72:13 14.20 80:6 67:19, 67:22, 68:6 89:2, 89:4 sense [6] - 18:4, similarly [2] - 54:20, singularly [3] residences [1] - 67:1 rip [2] - 36:21, 37:1 50:6, 60:22, 61:1, 62:20 14:21, 16:3, 37:7 resident [1] - 77:6 risk [1] - 53:1 70:4, 73:12 simply [7] - 13:3, site [1] - 50:16 residential [1] risky [1] - 4:6 sent [2] - 3:16, 82:20 45:10, 49:19, 56:6, sitting [1] - 90:17 43:15 ROBB [1] - 2:3 separate [23] - 19:3, 58:2, 67:11, 88:18 situation [1] - 71:1 resolve [1] - 5:20 Robb [2] - 43:9, 32:6, 32:11, 32:13, single [92] - 14:5, six [2] - 38:15, 39:17 resort [1] - 7:22 48:12 32:15, 33:21, 35:14, 14:7, 14:8, 14:15, size [1] - 50:22 resources [1] - 45:13 **ROBERT** [2] - 1:15, 35:16, 35:17, 43:21, 14:21, 15:8, 15:14, skill [1] - 90:12 respect [11] - 10:12, 44:22, 46:3, 64:22, 15:18, 15:19, 15:20, smali [3] - 15:1, 26:7, 11:2, 11:13, 32:17, roll [2] - 89:18, 94:17 66:5, 68:18, 70:19, 16:3, 16:12, 18:10, 68:5 52:12, 53:3, 56:12, 77:16, 78:8, 78:12, room [8] - 16:5, 17:6, 18:11, 19:2, 20:5, sold [2] - 69:8, 69:20 65:20, 75:10, 85:8, 88:8, 88:10 17:20, 19:16, 37:5, 21:12, 22:13, 23:17, someone [3] - 26:21, 87:1 42:8, 42:13, 92:7 seriously [1] - 4:7 24:4, 24:5, 24:6, 53:14, 68:19 respective [1] serve [7] - 13:22, roughly [1] - 34:13 24:18, 25:6, 25:13, somewhat [1] -72:14 14:1, 18:9, 18:10, rules [6] - 3:14, 4:5, 25:21, 26:2, 26:3, respond [2] - 68:8, 34:22 23:5, 37:7 38:18, 73:15, 90:14 26:5, 26:8, 29:8, 77:13 somewhere [1] served [2] - 14:20, 30:22, 31:10, 31:17, 22:15 responded [3] -32:22 32:6, 32:11, 32:13, S sooner [1] - 73:7 6:16, 18:2, 63:22 serves [3] - 24:10, 32:15, 33:13, 33:18, sorry [3] - 4:1, 23:21, responds [1] - 58:1 31:17, 33:15 33:19, 33:22, 34:4, safeguard [1] - 7:20 response [15] - 6:15, 93:18 serving [1] - 16:3 35:15, 35:18, 36:13, sales [1] - 69:18 sounds [1] - 28:10 17:4, 17:7, 17:8, set [7] - 3:14, 9:6, 37:3, 38:17, 40:3, sat [1] - 14:14 37:15, 41:12, 57:6, south [5] - 11:3, 42:11, 43:1, 43:4, 9:10, 38:15, 38:17, saved [1] - 66:5 57:13, 59:7, 60:12, 43:14, 45:4, 46:4, 11:22, 12:3, 48:18, 63:15, 96:17 saw [3] - 43:5, 53:10, 84:17 63:18, 71:13, 77:7, setback [4] - 11:3, 46:7, 47:7, 47:11, 80:2, 80:5 58:13 South [22] - 1:5, 11:13, 76:3, 87:19 49:6, 49:7, 49:10, scanned [2] - 58:2, 2:14, 25:5, 43:16, responses [1] -49:12, 49:14, 49:16, setbacks [1] - 50:20 44:21 49:18, 49:20, 52:6, 64:5, 64:18, 64:20, sets [2] - 2:15, 2:19

responsibility [3] -

schedule [1] - 3:14

several [2] - 10:8,

52:9, 52:11, 52:13,

64:21, 65:1, 65:2,

65:3, 65:7, 65:21, 66:11, 67:3, 68:10, 68:16, 68:17, 69:18, 70:11, 96:21 space [1] - 35:19 spaces [1] - 30:1 speaking [1] - 20:9 speaks [4] - 15:21, 25:1, 29:14, 31:22 special [8] - 62:11, 62:18, 62:21, 63:4, 63:8, 73:3, 87:15, 87:17 specific [3] - 66:17, 89:12, 94:8 specifically [2] -42:4, 54:14 specifies [1] - 41:9 speculate [1] - 21:14 spends [1] - 59:12 spent [3] - 6:1, 77:19, 79:4 spinoff [1] - 88:5 **spirit** [1] - 8:8 **sport** [2] - 24:9, 53:5 ss [2] - 1:1, 96:1 stable [1] - 33:10 staff [8] - 25:4, 41:2, 41:7, 42:16, 42:18, 45:9, 45:10, 49:13 **stamp** [1] - 63:18 standard [1] - 7:15 standing [12] - 4:22, 5:3, 5:20, 6:2, 6:5, 6:11, 6:15, 7:6, 64:11, 64:14.69:15 start [5] - 4:6, 9:6, 9:9, 9:12, 59:14 started [1] - 7:13 **STATE** [2] - 1:1, 96:1 State [1] - 96:5 statement [2] - 25:2, 41:17 states [1] - 10:21 status [10] - 76:6, 76:15, 77:2, 77:11, 86:14, 86:19, 87:2, 87:10, 87:14, 87:17 **statute** [1] - 50:9 stay [2] - 53:6, 69:5 steam [2] - 53:11, 58:13 step [2] - 2:16, 53:9 still [7] - 6:13, 9:15, 42:15, 51:3, 51:17, 52:9, 89:4 **stop** [1] - 15:3 storage [2] - 16:6, 18:22 store [1] - 66:21

straddle [1] - 24:7 straddles [1] - 32:21 straddling [2] -14:19, 19:8 Street [3] - 1:6, 2:14, 2:15 structure [109] -10:13, 10:14, 10:15, 10:22, 11:6, 11:14, 12:1, 12:5, 12:8, 12:13, 12:17, 12:20, 12:21, 13:1, 13:6, 13:7, 14:2, 15:7, 15:20, 16:8, 16:19, 17:15, 17:21, 18:8, 19:19, 22:12, 22:21, 22:22, 23:1, 23:3, 25:20, 28:3, 28:12, 28:14, 28:19, 29:17, 30:7, 30:12, 30:18, 31:3, 31:5, 31:9, 32:2, 32:3, 32:4, 32:8, 32:15, 33:1, 33:16, 36:18, 36:19, 37:21, 42:19, 46:15, 46:17, 47:15, 48:7, 48:14, 48:16, 49:3, 49:9, 49:12, 49:17, 50:16, 51:3, 52:18, 64:17, 64:18, 64:22, 66:14, 67:3, 67:5, 67:10. 68:16, 68:17, 69:1, 74:13, 74:19, 75:2, 75:3, 75:14, 75:15, 75:18, 76:5, 76:6, 76:14, 76:15, 76:17, 76:20, 76:21, 77:2, 77:10, 77:11, 84:4, 84:9, 84:12, 84:19, 85:14, 86:15, 86:19, 87:3, 87:10, 87:14, 87:22, 88:3, 89:1, 89:3 structures [5] -30:15, 30:17, 49:21, 51:17, 87:16 subdivided [1] -

17:16

93:14

17:4

16:17, 80:9

subject [4] - 48:21,

50:18, 89:14, 91:17

submission [3] -

submissions [5] -

3:8, 3:19, 3:22, 4:4,

submit [3] - 16:1,

submittal [2] - 12:4,

submitted [17] -

4:19, 10:6, 82:1

3:13, 3:18, 6:12, 9:18, 11:20, 42:10, 43:21, 44:6, 44:13, 53:19, 55:9, 55:13, 56:4, 57:10, 65:12, 79:22, 80:4 submitting [1] - 3:2 subordinate [4] -13:22, 14:1, 49:18, 49:19 subsection [2] -46:13, 49:1 subsequently [2] -41:4, 42:9 substance [2] - 7:7, substantial [1] - 48:7 subvert [1] - 8:3 sufficient [1] - 93:3 suggest [3] - 19:4, 34:3, 81:22 suggested [1] -19:22 suggesting [6] -25:8, 35:2, 50:6, 61:3, 61:6, 81:11 suggestion [4] - 4:9, 58:4, 58:16, 60:3 suggests [3] - 20:3, 20:6, 21:5 summarize [1] -40:10 summary [2] - 17:11, 74:5 supported [1] -70:18 suppose [1] - 56:5 supposed [1] - 48:2 survey [2] - 12:5, 81:14 Susan [1] - 64:3 susan [1] - 55:16 SUSAN [1] - 2:8 suspect [1] - 92:1 sustaining [1] - 20:4

Т

sworn [1] - 96:8

turn [2] - 15:11, 80:9 turning [1] - 45:11 turns [1] ~ 93:20 tactic [1] - 36:3 two [45] - 13:21, talks [1] - 83:13 14:18, 16:4, 20:4, teardown [3] - 33:22, 21:3, 24:16, 29:16, 65:21, 76:11 30:5, 30:8, 30:21. technical [1] - 67:21 32:21, 35:10, 35:14, technically [2] -35:16, 35:17, 35:18, 3:12, 84:20 36:13, 36:14, 36:15, temporary [1] -36:17, 42:13, 43:18, 23:15 43:21, 44:6, 47:14, terrific [1] - 63:6 49:15, 66:18, 67:1,

testify [1] - 96:9 testimony [2] - 96:7, 96:10 TESTIMONY [1] -96:16 THE [1] - 1:3 theory [1] - 67:17 thereafter [3] -12:19, 37:6, 96:12 therefore [2] - 28:12, 28:14 thereof [1] - 29:8 thinking [1] - 45:22 third [2] - 13:4, 68:20 thoughts [2] - 5:14, 6:9 three [1] - 36:21 ties [1] - 4:21 tiny [1] - 69:7 today [5] - 26:22, 42:18, 68:15, 73:19, 87:21 together [3] - 30:1, 36:5, 66:18 tonight [3] - 43:6, 61:4, 90:9 took [4] - 66:1, 76:22, 84:8, 85:13 top [1] - 38:21 torn [3] - 65:10, 84:12, 84:13 tract [2] - 29:5, 29:12 transcribed [1] -96:12 transcript [1] - 96:14 transfer [2] - 40:18, 45:12 treat [1] - 30:21 trees [3] - 39:6, 39:7, 39:9 troubles [1] - 28:9 true [1] - 96:14 trust (1) - 53:18

truth [1] - 96:9

93:5

try [3] - 5:20, 10:3,

trying [1] - 58:19

68:17, 70:19, 71:6, 77:16, 78:1, 78:5, 78:8, 78:10, 78:12, 78:14, 83:9, 83:10, 86:16, 88:8, 88:9 two-bedroom [2] -13:21, 16:4 two-part [1] - 83:10 two-single [1] -35:18 typewritten [1] -96:13 typically [4] - 80:17, 80:18, 91:5

U

ultimate [1] - 59:14 under [18] - 4:5, 5:12, 11:15, 12:13, 13:7, 16:11, 27:6, 29:8, 30:3, 34:2, 37:9, 49:6, 50:8, 50:17, 84:20, 86:12, 87:11, understood [3] -10:10, 38:3, 79:17 unfair [1] - 48:3 unfortunate [1] -69:3 unit [2] - 42:21, 43:1 unless [5] - 8:18, 30:21, 34:7, 50:15, 52:14 unread [1] - 4:11 unsuspecting [1] -70:22 unwound [1] - 37:10 up [18] - 2:16, 9:7, 9:10, 9:22, 11:19, 22:21, 27:14, 28:20, 44:8, 53:9, 53:12, 53:14, 59:12, 63:13, 69:13, 83:18, 85:1 upsetting [1] - 53:1

V

uses [2] - 20:13, 33:7

vacant [4] - 12:16, 12:19, 13:1, 13:2 **valiant** [1] - 66:12 value [3] - 20:14, 39:20, 48:2 values [1] - 39:12 variance [1] - 36:1 variation [1] - 76:12 various [1] - 96:7 via [1] - 3:17

view [10] - 3:1, 3:15, 4:13, 15:11, 38:11, 54:3, 54:4, 54:11, 70:17, 90:16 views [2] - 69:19, 70:5 Village [2] - 2:2, 2:5 village [98] - 3:16, 3:18, 3:19, 5:10, 8:5, 11:21, 12:9, 17:8, 17:10, 18:1, 18:12, 18:16, 19:21, 21:13, 23:10, 25:6, 25:13, 26:4, 26:10, 27:1, 27:4, 27:9, 27:11, 30:20, 32:12, 34:4, 34:21, 35:22, 40:16, 41:22, 43:2, 43:15, 44:1, 45:3, 45:19, 46:2, 47:18, 52:12, 52:21, 53:3, 58:1, 58:9, 58:19, 60:8, 60:9, 61:6, 62:15, 63:14, 63:17, 65:13, 65:19, 66:4, 66:8, 67:4, 68:11, 70:7, 70:14, 70:17, 71:4, 71:18, 71:21, 72:4, 74:16, 75:22, 77:4, 77:7, 77:15, 77:18, 78:18, 79:2, 79:8, 79:9, 79:19, 80:6, 80:11, 80:13, 81:8, 81:9, 81:16, 81:19, 82:5, 82:9, 82:15, 82:20, 83:21, 85:2, 85:17, 85:18, 86:6, 86:8, 86:18, 86:21, 86:22, 87:13, 90:8, village's [22] - 3:1, 8:15, 17:4, 17:7, 27:19, 40:10, 41:6, 41:11, 41:16, 42:3, 44:7, 49:8, 52:5, 53:18, 57:6, 57:13, 60:10, 64:12, 80:2, 80:5, 82:3, 94:1 villages [1] - 69:11 void [1] - 19:15 voluntarily [3] -10:16, 11:7, 50:18

W

wait [12] - 27:13, 62:19, 62:21, 63:8, 63:9, 73:19, 74:3, 80:11, 81:18, 84:1 wail [8] - 11:3, 11:22,

12:3, 38:16, 76:4, 84:17 walls [1] - 40:4 wants [1] - 29:20 wasted [1] - 6:2 wastes [1] - 59:13 ways [1] - 56:13 week [1] - 61:7 weekend [1] - 6:1 weeks [2] - 74:3, 74:4 weight [1] - 4:15 whatsoever [2] -18:15, 87:1 whereas [1] - 85:17 WHEREOF [1] -96:16 WHEREUPON [1] -WHICH [1] - 95:6 whole [2] - 33:3, 82:2 width [3] - 51:13, 51:14, 88:13 wiggle [1] - 92:7 willing [1] - 57:2 wire [1] - 4:12 Wisconsin [1] -96:21 wishes [1] - 30:12 witnesses [2] - 96:8, 96:11 wonderful [2] - 4:14, 4:17 word [2] - 50:1, 50:3 words [4] - 12:1. 17:17, 61:17, 88:5 worse [2] - 83:7, 85:21 writing [3] - 90:14, 94:2, 96:11 written [6] - 7:1,

Υ

10:4, 10:6, 18:1,

19:20, 93:14

yard [4] - 11:2, 11:13, 76:3, 87:18 yards [1] - 30:2 year [1] - 41:2 years [9] - 26:12, 26:17, 35:13, 35:19, 37:8, 39:17, 45:8, 45:16, 47:16 yesterday [3] - 4:12, 53:3, 58:10

Ζ

ZBA [1] - 36:1 zero [1] - 18:15 **ZONING** [1] - 1:3 zoning [65] - 17:11, 17:15, 17:22, 18:14, 18:18, 20:3, 20:10, 20:22, 21:2, 21:10, 21:12, 21:14, 23:8, 23:14, 24:15, 24:16, 26:18, 27:5, 27:13, 27:15, 27:20, 28:4. 28:6, 28:7, 28:8, 28:12, 28:15, 28:18, 29:4, 29:5, 29:11, 29:18, 30:9, 30:22, 35:4, 36:8, 37:9, 41:14, 45:10, 45:17, 46:5, 46:10, 46:14, 47:3, 49:15, 52:2, 52:5, 52:13, 65:15, 66:15, 66:22, 70:7, 70:8, 70:19, 70:20, 80:12, 81:21, 82:5, 87:11, 88:6, 88:16 **Zoning** [2] - 1:9, 5:7