

Approved

**MINUTES
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE
PLAN COMMISSION
November 14, 2018
MEMORIAL HALL
7:30 P.M.**

Chairman Cashman called the special meeting to order at 7:30 p.m., Wednesday, November 14, 2018, in Memorial Hall, the Memorial Building, 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois.

PRESENT: Steve Cashman, Gerald Jablonski, Julie Crnovich, Mark Willobee, Jim Krillenberger, Debra Braselton and Troy Unell

ABSENT: Scott Peterson and Anna Fiascone

ALSO PRESENT: Chan Yu, Village Planner
Applicant for cases: A-44-2018, A-48-2018, A-50-2018 and A-40-2018

Approval of Minutes – October 10, 2018

The Plan Commission (PC) **unanimously approved** the October 10, 2018, minutes, as submitted, 7-0, (2 absent).

Sign Permit Review - Case A-44-2018 – 30 E. Hinsdale Ave. – Yankee Peddler – 1 Awning Sign

The applicant introduced the proposed awning sign with a colored sample of the fabric.

Chairman Cashman asked for any comments by the PC.

Commissioner Crnovich stated it is very tasteful and fits in well with the historic district. The PC in general agreed.

The PC with no further comments, **unanimously approved** the sign application as submitted, 7-0, (2 absent).

Sign Permit Review - Case A-48-2018 – 45 S. Washington St. – Lepa Boutique & Décor – 1 Wall Sign

The applicant introduced himself as the sign contractor and fabricator, and stated that he has the sample materials if the PC wishes to see it.

Chairman Cashman asked to clarify if sign backing is black, based on the sign application exhibit.

The applicant responded it is a matte black, made of aluminum, with a bracket bent an inch and a half, and all installed with clips hidden by the backing plate. The half inch letters would be bolted through the backing plate.

Plan Commission Minutes
November 14, 2018

The PC in general thought the sign looks very good.

The PC with no further questions or comments, **unanimously approved** 7-0, (2 absent), the sign application as submitted.

Sign Permit Review - Case A-50-2018 – 21 W. Second St. – TinkRWorks – 1 Wall Sign

The applicant introduced herself as the sign contractor, and reviewed the material, mounting method and colors of the proposed wall sign.

Chairman Cashman asked for any comments by the PC.

Commissioner Unell stated it looks good.

Commissioner Willobee asked how many other tenants are in the building.

The business owner responded just U.S. Bank.

Commissioner Willobee added his only other comment is the asymmetrical entrance due to the proposed wall sign.

Commissioner Braselton asked about the 3 colors and if this is a logo.

The applicant responded yes, and explained that they reduced the number of colors to meet the 3 per code.

Commissioners Crnovich asked if the logo is considered 3 colors.

Chan responded what he counted is orange, blue and green, and has no sign backing.

Commissioner Jablonski stated that he believes it is a little too big.

The applicant responded the rule of thumb is that you can read a 15” legible letter from 300 feet, and they used that to be legible from the street. They could try to make it smaller, but the intricacies of the tools in the logo get lost.

Chairman Cashman mentioned that the U.S. Bank sign is hard to see.

Commissioner Krillenberger stated it looks great.

The PC with no further questions or comments, **unanimously approved** 7-0, (2 absent), the sign application as submitted.

Plan Commission Minutes
November 14, 2018

Exterior Appearance and Site Plan Review (continued from Oct. 10, 2018, PC meeting) - Case A-40-2018 – 550 W. Ogden Ave. – Hinsdale Ortho – Exterior Appearance and Site Plan Review for Interior Parking Lot Landscape Plan (retroactive request), Exterior Parking Lot Landscape Plan and Parking Lot Lighting Plan.

At the November 14, 2018, PC meeting, Mr. Dave Kanzler returned with a lighting expert from Morgan Harbour Construction, Mr. Marrico Crum to answer questions. Mr. Crum confirmed the correct photometric plan is code compliant, indicating less than 0.5 at the residential lot line. The new light poles would also be 14' tall.

Chan stated that the large sets of photometric plans are not consistent with the initial code compliant plans. To that end, we need clarification on the submitted plan.

Chairman Cashman asked if the emailed plan (subsequent to the October 10, 2018, PC meeting) was code compliant.

Chan responded yes, because it shows less than 0.5 foot candles at the residential lot line. The submitted large plans show numbers over 0.5.

Mr. Crum explained that while he enlarged the plan, the formatting changed, and took data without the glare shields in place.

Commissioner Braselton asked if the lights turn off at night.

Mr. Crum replied the lights dim to a security level lighting at 10 PM.

Commissioners Crnovich asked how dim will it be at security lighting.

Mr. Crum replied there's no real code for that, he told the electrician to dim it 20%.

Chan asked if the lighting is dimmable, and if the neighbors, Trustees or Plan Commissioners have an issue, could you dim it.

Mr. Dave Kanzler stated that he will be completely code compliant, and do whatever they need to do, including turning the lights off.

Chairman Cashman stated that it should be noted that the lighting currently shows low illumination levels even at full mode, pointing out that most of it are fractions of a foot candle.

Commissioners Crnovich asked about the lighting adjacent to Manor Care without glare shields.

Mr. Crum replied that is because it faces towards the street so there is no residential spillover and the light dissipates to code compliant levels at the lot line, thus there was no need to put the shields on.

Plan Commission Minutes November 14, 2018

Commissioners Crnovich asked if the new lights will be in the parking lot.

Mr. Crum replied the new lights will be installed next to the landscaped area on a 2' curb, with a 12' pole. So the new lights will be 14' tall and under the maximum 15' height.

Commissioners Crnovich clarified that it will not taking up any parking spaces.

Mr. Crum replied correct.

Commissioner Crnovich asked about the gate on the site plan.

Mr. Dave Kanzler explained that he was reviewing this with the Village Fire Department, but is not part of the request although it could be considered in the future.

Commissioner Crnovich noted the parking situation is messy between Manor Care, and asked if he does pursue a gate, he'd seek Village approval.

Mr. Dave Kanzler confirmed yes.

The PC with no further questions or comments, **unanimously recommended approval** 7-0, (2 absent), for the exterior appearance and site plan application as submitted.

Public Hearing - Case A-24-2018 – Village of Hinsdale – Zoning Code Text Amendment to Section 6-111(H) Exceptions and Explanatory Notes for the O-2 Limited Office District *continued from July 11 PC meeting*

Please refer to Attachment 1, for the transcript of Public Hearing Case A-24-2018.

The PC held a public hearing to review the requested information with Village staff, and **unanimously recommended to deny** the text amendment from the Village of Hinsdale to Zoning Code Section 6-111, 7-0, 2 absent. There were no public comments from the audience at the PC meetings.

The PC stated the requested regulations are arbitrary and would set a bad precedent. The application is too site specific and is potentially spot zoning. And the PC believes the current zoning application process is already in place to carefully review future requests, rationally, and with input by the public.

Public Hearing - Case A-45-2018 – Village of Hinsdale – Zoning Code Text Amendment to Section 9-106(J)(7) to prohibit internally illuminated signage in the B-2 Central Business District.

Please refer to Attachment 2, for the transcript of Public Hearing Case A-45-2018.

The PC held a public hearing to review the requested information with Village staff, and **unanimously continued** the text amendment from the Village of Hinsdale to Zoning Code Section 9-106(J)(7), 7-0, 2 absent, in order for staff to gather data regarding other historic district sign code language, the current

Plan Commission Minutes
November 14, 2018

number of illuminated signs in the downtown district, and get feedback from the Chamber of Commerce. There were no public comments from the audience at the PC meeting.

Schedule of Public Hearing - Case A-37-2018 – 724 N. York Rd. – Hinsdale Animal Hospital Ground Sign in the Design Review Overlay District

The PC **unanimously approved** to schedule a public hearing for Case A-37-2018 for the December 12, 2018, PC meeting, 7-0, (2 absent).

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 8:42 p.m. after a unanimous vote.

Respectfully Submitted by Chan Yu, Village Planner

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) ss.
COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

BEFORE THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE
PLAN COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)
)
Case A-24-2018 - Zoning Code)
Text Amendment to Section 6-111(H))
Exceptions and Explanatory Notes)
for the O-2 Limited Office District)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the continued public hearing of the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Plan Commission at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 14th day of November, 2018, at the hour of 7:55 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. STEPHEN CASHMAN, Chairman;
MS. DEBRA BRASELTON, Member;
MS. JULIE CRNOVICH, Member;
MR. GERALD JABLONSKI, Member;
MR. JIM KRILLENBERGER, Member;
MR. MARK WILLOBEE, Member;
MR. TROY UNELL, Member.

<p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>1 ALSO PRESENT:</p> <p>2 MR. CHAN YU, Village Planner;</p> <p>3 MR. LANCE MALINA, Village Attorney.</p> <p>4 * * *</p> <p>5 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Our first case is</p> <p>6 A-24-2018 from the Village of Hinsdale. It's a</p> <p>7 Zoning Code Text Amendment to Section 6-111(H),</p> <p>8 Exceptions and Explanatory Notes for the 0-2</p> <p>9 Limited Office District. This we continued from</p> <p>10 our July 11 PC meeting.</p> <p>11 I believe we continued it because</p> <p>12 of lack of notification?</p> <p>13 MR. UNELL: That's correct.</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: So are you the</p> <p>15 applicant, Chan?</p> <p>16 MR. YU: Yes.</p> <p>17 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes. I think you</p> <p>18 are. It's fallen to you. Do you want to</p> <p>19 explain this to us, and then we will grill you.</p> <p>20 MR. YU: So essentially the context of</p> <p>21 this arose --</p> <p>22 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Does Chan need to be</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>1 the height of the building will be reduced, and</p> <p>2 the FAR.</p> <p>3 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Questions of the</p> <p>4 applicant?</p> <p>5 MS. BRASELTON: It's nice that we have</p> <p>6 the Village attorney here.</p> <p>7 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes.</p> <p>8 MS. BRASELTON: Can you comment on the</p> <p>9 precedential nature of this if it's passed, if</p> <p>10 any, for other areas, other zoning districts?</p> <p>11 MR. MALINA: Well, I think Chan</p> <p>12 commented on that. Like the way the amendments</p> <p>13 are drafted, where they would apply in the 0-2</p> <p>14 and because of the lot size, the minimum lot</p> <p>15 size, and another couple requirements, they</p> <p>16 would only apply to this particular property.</p> <p>17 And then the question came up</p> <p>18 before is if something applies to one property</p> <p>19 doesn't that mean it's spot zoning, and I</p> <p>20 addressed that in my memo to you.</p> <p>21 So there are occasions where you</p> <p>22 use logical planning principles, and it may be</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>1 sworn in?</p> <p>2 MR. MALINA: No.</p> <p>3 MR. YU: Right. So there was a map</p> <p>4 amendment at Kensington School for that case</p> <p>5 number to amend an R-4 Single-Family Residential</p> <p>6 to Office Limited District. And as part of the</p> <p>7 public comment period, some of the residents</p> <p>8 expressed concern to the Board of Trustees in</p> <p>9 regards to the intensity of what might happen if</p> <p>10 Kensington School went out of business, a new</p> <p>11 owner came in and demolished the school, and</p> <p>12 constructed a new building.</p> <p>13 So this was sort of driven by the</p> <p>14 Board of Trustees as a part of the -- because of</p> <p>15 the concerns by the neighbors that expressed</p> <p>16 themselves at that public meeting and that this</p> <p>17 was something that the Village would consider to</p> <p>18 reduce the bulk regulations, such as lot</p> <p>19 coverage, building height, FAR, to be less</p> <p>20 intense versus what's allowed in the 0-2</p> <p>21 District as permitted. So some of the things</p> <p>22 that we are changing are regarding the setbacks,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>1 because of the way everything is districted it</p> <p>2 might only apply to one lot or one property at</p> <p>3 least at this time.</p> <p>4 MR. KRILLENBERGER: So how did you pick</p> <p>5 these proportions from 40 to 25 feet? Does that</p> <p>6 match Kensington's proposed building and use?</p> <p>7 MR. YU: Yes. So what's here now is at</p> <p>8 least what Kensington School has.</p> <p>9 MR. JABLONSKI: It's shorter than the</p> <p>10 adjoining homes are allowed to be.</p> <p>11 MR. YU: Correct. Right. So the homes</p> <p>12 I think would be allowed up to --</p> <p>13 MR. JABLONSKI: 30 feet.</p> <p>14 MR. YU: Right, 30 feet, 3 stories. So</p> <p>15 this text amendment would for this site allow a</p> <p>16 building to be maximum 1 story.</p> <p>17 MR. JABLONSKI: In the interest of</p> <p>18 continuity, should we have the attorney write up</p> <p>19 an amendment that houses abutting an area like</p> <p>20 this should be a maximum of 25 feet?</p> <p>21 Discontinuity could be upsetting.</p> <p>22 MR. MALINA: That's right. You could</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <p>1 look at it that way. The other way to look at</p> <p>2 it is a commercial use or an industrial use is</p> <p>3 always considered more intense than single-</p> <p>4 family use. So that's another way to look at</p> <p>5 it.</p> <p>6 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: In our zoning</p> <p>7 ordinance, we allow in 0-2, we feel like 40 feet</p> <p>8 is acceptable.</p> <p>9 MR. MALINA: Right.</p> <p>08:00:17PM 10 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Just in this one</p> <p>11 property because of -- But I can count three</p> <p>12 people that don't even live adjacent to it.</p> <p>13 They are all on North Street or north Monroe. I</p> <p>14 just find it bothers me. I think it just seems</p> <p>15 so --</p> <p>16 I liked your letter. I thought you</p> <p>17 had a couple things in there, Lance, that where</p> <p>18 it spoke to me where it says -- You know, it</p> <p>19 talked about spotting. But he said, you know,</p> <p>08:00:42PM 20 that the regulations could be argued to be</p> <p>21 irrational and arbitrary. I would contend that</p> <p>22 these are completely irrational and arbitrary</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>1 we had the 3 trustees, 3 commissioners at least,</p> <p>2 that voted no against this because of just the</p> <p>3 idea of going from the residential zoning</p> <p>4 district to 0-2. But, again, we had a majority</p> <p>5 that voted yes. It went to the trustees, they</p> <p>6 voted yes.</p> <p>7 So that kind of bothers me, okay.</p> <p>8 Now we decided 0-2 is good, and now we think</p> <p>9 it's not and we are going to change the 0-2?</p> <p>08:02:02PM 10 And we think it's -- If I was the Kensington</p> <p>11 owner, which I can't believe they are not here,</p> <p>12 I mean you are diminishing the value of the</p> <p>13 property.</p> <p>14 I do think it's unusual property.</p> <p>15 And I think Robb spoke to this. And I think</p> <p>16 some other commissioners or people at the board</p> <p>17 meeting, which I couldn't see them, they were on</p> <p>18 TV. It's a small site. It's a corner lot. And</p> <p>19 it has stormwater requirements. So basically</p> <p>08:02:22PM 20 between I think the parking, the balance between</p> <p>21 parking and building size, and stormwater, you</p> <p>22 are not going to really build anything much</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>1 because where is the data. I mean we, just</p> <p>2 because 3 -- We had an issue.</p> <p>3 And I went back and listened to</p> <p>4 this board meeting, and it was interesting.</p> <p>5 This was something that never came before us</p> <p>6 when we did the text amendment. There was</p> <p>7 discussion in the open board meeting about</p> <p>8 creating an O-2A, that was a phrase that was</p> <p>9 tossed out, to create another zoning district.</p> <p>08:01:09PM 10 And that's before the text amendment occurred.</p> <p>11 In my mind, you know, either it</p> <p>12 made sense to split it off in 0-2. And there is</p> <p>13 a lot of -- There were a lot of trustees</p> <p>14 speaking to that issue, that they thought this</p> <p>15 made complete sense to make this an 0-2. And</p> <p>16 the 0-2 comes with everything that an 0-2 is.</p> <p>17 And they all felt because of the fact that this</p> <p>18 thing sat undeveloped for years that no one</p> <p>19 wanted to build a house. There were</p> <p>08:01:35PM 20 homebuilders that actually spoke to the board</p> <p>21 and said they couldn't build a house there, and</p> <p>22 this was the best use to change the zoning. And</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>1 bigger than what's there. So I think, number</p> <p>2 one, that's I think into play and that was</p> <p>3 discussed by the trustees previously.</p> <p>4 And then I think you look at the</p> <p>5 whole process we had. If somebody came back --</p> <p>6 We are talking about something that may never</p> <p>7 happen. And changing our zoning laws for</p> <p>8 something that's not even happened yet to me</p> <p>9 just seems completely arbitrary and --</p> <p>08:02:53PM 10 MR. JABLONSKI: Irrational.</p> <p>11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: -- irrational.</p> <p>12 Thank you.</p> <p>13 So we have, if someone came back,</p> <p>14 and I asked Chan and Robb this, a citizen comes</p> <p>15 back and they want to come back and they are</p> <p>16 doing a code-compliant new project, they would</p> <p>17 have to come back for site plan. We would go</p> <p>18 through the whole process, and we could hear</p> <p>19 from the neighbors and whatever they are</p> <p>08:03:13PM 20 proposing. We have the tools already I believe</p> <p>21 in our zoning ordinance to deal with that, both</p> <p>22 in the Plan Commission and with the variances</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">10</p> <p>1 and with the trustees that I'm not afraid if 2 something happened. 3 And I think it's interesting, if 4 you go back and listen to the trustees' tape, 5 they all felt this is a good use. This is going 6 to be around. This is not a one-off early 7 childhood facility. This is a company that has 8 demonstrated that they build these things and 9 they do well. It's a great, I think a 10 phenomenal transition. If they have parking 11 issues, we will resolve them just like we are 12 working with Hinsdale Orthopaedics. 13 That's a couple things. I have a 14 few more. I mean think about this, and this is 15 what Gerry was getting to. The adjacent 16 properties can be 3 stories, and we are 17 restricting this one building to a 1-story 18 building. It is crazy to me that the 19 residential setbacks are 35 front, 35 corner, 20 8 side, rear, and we are changing these things. 21 And based on what data? Like what percentage? 22 Who came up with these numbers? It just seems</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">12</p> <p>1 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I understand, and 2 I'm sympathetic. I think the trustees are 3 trying to be good about it. I think listening 4 and deliberating and responding, and we have 5 done this for so many different projects. 6 I mean we have even knocked down a 7 building, an existing building, to create 8 more -- The mechanism is there. Hinsdale 9 Meadows, think of all the different things that 10 went through and were discussed there. I just 11 feel like we already have what we need in our 12 ordinance. And to make this change, I do think 13 it sets a terrible precedent. Because if I had 14 something next to me and I didn't like it, I 15 would be like, well, come on, let's get enough 16 trustees in favor of this thing and try to get 17 it to the Plan Commission and put it through. I 18 just don't like it. 19 I'm sympathetic to these people. 20 I'm really hopeful that Kensington will do a 21 good job. I'm excited even to see construction 22 going on there now. It's going to be such an</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">11</p> <p>1 completely arbitrary. I don't see any science 2 behind this. I don't see anything that decides 3 that this is going to make it better. And I 4 think it's, I say it creates a terrible 5 precedent. 6 I mean when we did the General 7 Motors for Land Rover Jaguar, I mean why didn't 8 we start applying all kinds of zoning changes to 9 that property? Next thing you know, every time 10 we have a property that's adjacent to 11 residential, which I do agree residential trumps 12 everything -- I think we already have the 13 things in place to do this. And I think it 14 speaks volumes that no one on Madison that 15 backup to this property ever came before us. 16 It's in their backyard. 17 MR. KRILLENBERGER: Nor are they here 18 tonight. 19 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Nor are they here 20 tonight. 21 MR. JABLONSKI: Because they are 22 embarrassed.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">13</p> <p>1 improvement. And if everything works out the 2 way it was proposed and traffic does not become 3 a nightmare, this could be a really great thing 4 for us; but I just don't think this is how we 5 solve the problem. 6 MS. CRNOVICH: I noticed, too, in the 7 proposed text amendment it was for properties 8 that adjoin. And what's the definition of 9 adjoin? And actually, if it would have been 10 what we use in the Code usually is about, I think 11 there would have been other parcels that this 12 would have pertained to. But this, I agree with 13 everything Steve is saying, you know. 14 MS. BRASELTON: I do, too. 15 MS. CRNOVICH: It's one zoning lot. 16 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I would feel better 17 if it was multiple zoning lots, if it had some 18 rationale. 19 MS. CRNOVICH: Yes. 20 MR. MALINA: Right. 21 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: If it made sense. 22 If we decided 0-2 is too big, and it's</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">14</p> <p>1 systematically -- 0-2 is always important. 2 When you look at this District, it always abuts 3 these residential areas. But if we decided that 4 somehow we need to change it, just like we 5 change the downtown, the height of the buildings 6 in downtown, because we realized it was too 7 tall.</p> <p>8 MS. CRNOVICH: And these setbacks would 9 be greater for 0-2 versus 0-1. And 0-1 is 10 supposed to have, you know, the larger setback. 11 So it's kind of throwing that whole thing off, 12 too, then. I mean what do you do, go back and 13 change that? And that's not what the Code 14 states.</p> <p>15 MS. BRASELTON: It's well-intentioned. 16 Nobody questions the intention.</p> <p>17 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Oh, no. Absolutely 18 well-intentioned.</p> <p>19 MS. BRASELTON: I think it's a huge 20 problem, and I respectfully disagree with the 21 spot zoning.</p> <p>22 MS. CRNOVICH: And I think you also may</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">16</p> <p>1 I think they are buying a new fence for those 2 people.</p> <p>3 MS. BRASELTON: Yes.</p> <p>4 MR. MALINA: Right. And Ogden has 5 always been a challenge.</p> <p>6 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Oh, it has been. 7 They are not here, one of the commissioners 8 asked about the -- or it must have been a 9 trustee asked about the animal hospital property 10 that they'll vacate when they open up their new 11 place. That's residential. There are 4 lots up 12 for sale, and that's staying residential. 13 That's completely surrounded. I think that's a 14 completely different situation. Hopefully, that 15 won't sit empty for decades. But if developed 16 properly, maybe they can buffer it. This one 17 just bothers me.</p> <p>18 Troy?</p> <p>19 MR. UNELL: I agree with all your 20 positions on it.</p> <p>21 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Mark, I didn't mean 22 to jump past you.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">15</p> <p>1 consider some of the 0-1 districts then and some 2 of the business districts, which there is 3 residential next to those, too. You know, like 4 I said, anybody can come in saying, well, you 5 know --</p> <p>6 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I always think about 7 one, the cases that we hear that are in those 8 districts. Those are always the most 9 challenging because it's transitioning from a 10 commercial area to a residential area. And you 11 really want to make that transition as good as 12 possible.</p> <p>13 This was reverse where, to be 14 honest, to have a house right on Ogden Avenue on 15 that corner would not be a primo spot for a 16 house. I certainly wouldn't want to live there. 17 And so because of the speed and noise of Ogden, 18 in a way it forced it to go the other way where 19 it created a transition from Ogden to this 20 residential.</p> <p>21 It's interesting. And even 22 Kensington, I mean they went out of their way.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">17</p> <p>1 MR. WILLOBEE: No, that's all right. 2 No. I underlined in your memo rational 3 approach and irrational and arbitrary. I think 4 to your point, our process is rational. As we 5 go through the change, I think what you are 6 saying, Steve, some of the changes that we are 7 having, it's like we have a desired use in mind 8 if it does change; but we don't even know what 9 that would be. Right? So why are we trying to 10 look at a crystal ball to make changes for a use 11 that we don't know what's going to walk in the 12 door, at Kensington at least.</p> <p>13 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: It's just a fear. 14 It's a fear based on nondata or experience.</p> <p>15 MR. WILLOBEE: Right.</p> <p>16 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: If we had a problem 17 with 0-2s where this kept happening, then I 18 would be in favor of doing a detailed study of 19 the whole 0-2 district and say, okay, what do we 20 need to do to make 0-2 a better transitional 21 district.</p> <p>22 MR. WILLOBEE: Right. And to your</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">18</p> <p>1 other point, I feel like we are effectively deed 2 restricting property through this change; and I 3 don't think that's fair to the property owner as 4 well.</p> <p>5 MR. YU: And I think that's one thing I 6 want to point out. This is not a counter to 7 everything that you are saying. Chuck Marlas, 8 he's the person that purchased the property. 9 And to show his flexibility and for the 10 neighbors' concerns, he was okay with the 11 Village applying for this amendment.</p> <p>12 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Okay.</p> <p>13 MR. YU: Just an aside.</p> <p>14 MR. MALINA: But then again, that 15 doesn't obviate your duty to look at this as a 16 text amendment, not as a deed restriction. So 17 you are doing the right -- That's what you are 18 supposed to do.</p> <p>19 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I think Chuck is 20 confident in his business and thinks it will be 21 succeeding. And he doesn't see -- Which 22 actually makes me feel even more confident that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">20</p> <p>1 about it because they are ultimately the ones 2 who have to work on it if the business fails. 3 So there is no way that I could ever vote for 4 something written like this.</p> <p>5 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Okay. Thanks, Chan. 6 Thanks, Lance.</p> <p>7 Do I have a motion regarding case 8 Case A-24-2018? I guess first do I have a 9 motion to approve.</p> <p>10 MR. MALINA: You can go right to denial 11 based on your discussion.</p> <p>12 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Just checking the 13 wind.</p> <p>14 MR. MALINA: You don't need to.</p> <p>15 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Do I have a motion 16 to deny Case A-24-2018 from the Village of 17 Hinsdale for the Zoning Code Text Amendment to 18 Section 6-111.</p> <p>19 MR. UNELL: So moved.</p> <p>20 MR. JABLONSKI: Second.</p> <p>21 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Jim?</p> <p>22 MR. KRILLENBERGER: Aye.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">19</p> <p>1 this is more than necessary that he has no 2 trepidation about this. He went through the 3 whole process across Ogden on the north side, 4 and then that just became too tricky with IDOT 5 and the turns that he wanted there. So he then 6 came to this property. So in a way, it was 7 beneficial to us.</p> <p>8 Any other comments? Jim?</p> <p>9 MR. KRILLENBERGER: Well-put, Steve. I 10 trust future Plan Commission members.</p> <p>11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right. Yes. This 12 won't be our problem.</p> <p>13 MR. JABLONSKI: I will just add 14 philosophically that my impression is Zoning and 15 the Plan Commission are here to enhance value in 16 the Village. And to write such a specific 17 amendment will impugn the value of this 18 property.</p> <p>19 When you say the fellow who is 20 developing business, he doesn't care about it, I 21 would be interested to know what his bank that 22 is lending against that property would think</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <p>1 MS. BRASELTON: Aye.</p> <p>2 MR. JABLONSKI: Aye.</p> <p>3 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Aye.</p> <p>4 MS. CRNOVICH: Aye.</p> <p>5 MR. WILLOBEE: Aye.</p> <p>6 MR. UNELL: Aye.</p> <p>7 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Thank you.</p> <p>8 * * *</p> <p>9 (Which were all the proceedings had 10 in the above-entitled cause.)</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)

) ss.

2 COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

3

4 I, JANICE H. HEINEMANN, CSR, RDR, CRR,
5 do hereby certify that I am a court reporter
6 doing business in the State of Illinois, that I
7 reported in shorthand the testimony given at the
8 hearing of said cause, and that the foregoing is
9 a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
10 notes so taken as aforesaid.

7

8

Janice H. Heinemann CSR, RDR, CRR
License No 084-001391

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

0	amendment [8] - 5:15, 5:19, 7:6, 7:10, 13:7, 18:11, 18:16, 19:17 amendments [1] - 4:12 animal [1] - 16:9 applicant [1] - 4:4 applies [1] - 4:18 apply [3] - 4:13, 4:16, 5:2 applying [2] - 11:8, 18:11 approach [1] - 17:3 approve [1] - 20:9 arbitrary [5] - 6:21, 6:22, 9:9, 11:1, 17:3 area [3] - 5:19, 15:10 areas [2] - 4:10, 14:3 argued [1] - 6:20 aside [1] - 18:13 attorney [2] - 4:6, 5:18 Avenue [1] - 15:14 Aye [5] - 20:22, 21:1, 21:2, 21:4, 21:5 aye [2] - 21:3, 21:6	18:20, 19:20, 20:2 buying [1] - 16:1	company [1] - 10:7 complete [1] - 7:15 completely [5] - 6:22, 9:9, 11:1, 16:13, 16:14 compliant [1] - 9:16 concerns [1] - 18:10 confident [2] - 18:20, 18:22 consider [1] - 15:1 considered [1] - 6:3 construction [1] - 12:21 contend [1] - 6:21 continuity [1] - 5:18 corner [3] - 8:18, 10:19, 15:15 correct [1] - 5:11 count [1] - 6:11 counter [1] - 18:6 couple [3] - 4:15, 6:17, 10:13 crazy [1] - 10:18 create [2] - 7:9, 12:7 created [1] - 15:19 creates [1] - 11:4 creating [1] - 7:8 CRNOVICH [6] - 13:6, 13:15, 13:19, 14:8, 14:22, 21:4 crystal [1] - 17:10	20:11 District [1] - 14:2 district [4] - 7:9, 8:4, 17:19, 17:21 districted [1] - 5:1 districts [4] - 4:10, 15:1, 15:2, 15:8 done [1] - 12:5 door [1] - 17:12 down [1] - 12:6 downtown [2] - 14:5, 14:6 drafted [1] - 4:13 duty [1] - 18:15
1	1 [1] - 5:16 1-story [1] - 10:17	C	E	
2	25 [2] - 5:5, 5:20	care [1] - 19:20 case [1] - 20:7 Case [2] - 20:8, 20:16 cases [1] - 15:7 CASHMAN [24] - 4:3, 4:7, 6:6, 6:10, 9:11, 11:19, 12:1, 13:16, 13:21, 14:17, 15:6, 16:6, 16:21, 17:13, 17:16, 18:12, 18:19, 19:11, 20:5, 20:12, 20:15, 20:21, 21:3, 21:7 certainly [1] - 15:16 CHAIRMAN [24] - 4:3, 4:7, 6:6, 6:10, 9:11, 11:19, 12:1, 13:16, 13:21, 14:17, 15:6, 16:6, 16:21, 17:13, 17:16, 18:12, 18:19, 19:11, 20:5, 20:12, 20:15, 20:21, 21:3, 21:7 challenge [1] - 16:5 challenging [1] - 15:9 Chan [3] - 4:11, 9:14, 20:5 change [9] - 7:22, 8:9, 12:12, 14:4, 14:5, 14:13, 17:5, 17:8, 18:2 changes [3] - 11:8, 17:6, 17:10 changing [2] - 9:7, 10:20 checki ng [1] - 20:12 childhood [1] - 10:7 Chuck [2] - 18:7, 18:19 citizen [1] - 9:14 Code [3] - 13:10, 14:13, 20:17 code [1] - 9:16 code-compliant [1] - 9:16 comment [1] - 4:8 commented [1] - 4:12 comments [1] - 19:8 commercial [2] - 6:2, 15:10 Commission [4] - 9:22, 12:17, 19:10, 19:15 commissioners [3] - 8:1, 8:16, 16:7	early [1] - 10:6 effectively [1] - 18:1 either [1] - 7:11 embarrassed [1] - 11:22 empty [1] - 16:15 enhance [1] - 19:15 entitled [1] - 21:10 excited [1] - 12:21 existing [1] - 12:7 experience [1] - 17:14	
3	3 [5] - 5:14, 7:2, 8:1, 10:16 30 [2] - 5:13, 5:14 35 [2] - 10:19	B	F	
4	4 [1] - 16:11 40 [2] - 5:5, 6:7	backup [1] - 11:15 backyard [1] - 11:16 balance [1] - 8:20 ball [1] - 17:10 bank [1] - 19:21 based [3] - 10:21, 17:14, 20:11 became [1] - 19:4 become [1] - 13:2 behind [1] - 11:2 beneficial [1] - 19:7 best [1] - 7:22 better [3] - 11:3, 13:16, 17:20 between [2] - 8:20 big [1] - 13:22 bigger [1] - 9:1 board [4] - 7:4, 7:7, 7:20, 8:16 bothers [3] - 6:14, 8:7, 16:17 BRASELTON [7] - 4:5, 4:8, 13:14, 14:15, 14:19, 16:3, 21:1 buffer [1] - 16:16 build [4] - 7:19, 7:21, 8:22, 10:8 building [8] - 4:1, 5:6, 5:16, 8:21, 10:17, 10:18, 12:7 buildings [1] - 14:5 business [4] - 15:2,	data [2] - 7:1, 10:21 deal [1] - 9:21 decades [1] - 16:15 decided [3] - 8:8, 13:22, 14:3 decides [1] - 11:2 deed [2] - 18:1, 18:16 definition [1] - 13:8 deliberating [1] - 12:4 demonstrated [1] - 10:8 denial [1] - 20:10 deny [1] - 20:16 desired [1] - 17:7 detailed [1] - 17:18 developed [1] - 16:15 developing [1] - 19:20 different [3] - 12:5, 12:9, 16:14 diminishing [1] - 8:12 disagree [1] - 14:20 discontinuity [1] - 5:21 discussed [2] - 9:3, 12:10 discussion [2] - 7:7,	facility [1] - 10:7 fact [1] - 7:17 fails [1] - 20:2 fair [1] - 18:3 family [1] - 6:4 FAR [1] - 4:2 favor [2] - 12:16, 17:18 fear [2] - 17:13, 17:14 feet [5] - 5:5, 5:13, 5:14, 5:20, 6:7 fellow [1] - 19:19 felt [2] - 7:17, 10:5 fence [1] - 16:1 few [1] - 10:14 first [1] - 20:8 flexibility [1] - 18:9 forced [1] - 15:18 front [1] - 10:19 future [1] - 19:10
6	6-111 [1] - 20:18	D	G	
8	8 [1] - 10:20		General [1] - 11:6 Gerry [1] - 10:15 great [2] - 10:9, 13:3 greater [1] - 14:9 guess [1] - 20:8	
A	A-24-2018 [2] - 20:8, 20:16 above-entitled [1] - 21:10 absolutely [1] - 14:17 abut [1] - 13:10 abuts [1] - 14:2 abutting [1] - 5:19 acceptable [1] - 6:8 add [1] - 19:13 addressed [1] - 4:20 adjacent [3] - 6:12, 10:15, 11:10 adjoin [2] - 13:8, 13:9 adjoining [1] - 5:10 afraid [1] - 10:1 agree [3] - 11:11, 13:12, 16:19 allow [2] - 5:15, 6:7 allowed [2] - 5:10, 5:12 Amendment [1] - 20:17			

H	kinds [1] - 11:8 knocked [1] - 12:6 KRILLENBERGER [4] - 5:4, 11:17, 19:9, 20:22	13:20, 16:4, 16:19, 17:1, 17:15, 17:22, 18:5, 18:13, 18:14, 19:9, 19:13, 20:10, 20:14, 20:19, 20:20, 20:22, 21:2, 21:5, 21:6 MS [13] - 4:5, 4:8, 13:6, 13:14, 13:15, 13:19, 14:8, 14:15, 14:19, 14:22, 16:3, 21:1, 21:4 multiple [1] - 13:17 must [1] - 16:8	P	parcels [1] - 13:11 parking [3] - 8:20, 8:21, 10:10 particular [1] - 4:16 passed [1] - 4:9 past [1] - 16:22 people [4] - 6:12, 8:16, 12:19, 16:2 percentage [1] - 10:21 person [1] - 18:8 pertained [1] - 13:12 phenomenal [1] - 10:10 philosophically [1] - 19:14 phrase [1] - 7:8 pick [1] - 5:4 place [2] - 11:13, 16:11 plan [1] - 9:17 Plan [4] - 9:22, 12:17, 19:10, 19:15 planning [1] - 4:22 play [1] - 9:2 point [3] - 17:4, 18:1, 18:6 positions [1] - 16:20 possible [1] - 15:12 precedent [2] - 11:5, 12:13 precedential [1] - 4:9 previously [1] - 9:3 primo [1] - 15:15 principles [1] - 4:22 problem [4] - 13:5, 14:20, 17:16, 19:12 proceedings [1] - 21:9 process [4] - 9:5, 9:18, 17:4, 19:3 project [1] - 9:16 projects [1] - 12:5 properly [1] - 16:16 properties [2] - 10:16, 13:7 property [16] - 4:16, 4:18, 5:2, 6:11, 8:13, 8:14, 11:9, 11:10, 11:15, 16:9, 18:2, 18:3, 18:8, 19:6, 19:18, 19:22 proportions [1] - 5:5 proposed [3] - 5:6, 13:2, 13:7 proposing [1] - 9:20 purchased [1] - 18:8 put [2] - 12:17, 19:9	Q	questions [2] - 4:3, 14:16
I	idea [1] - 8:3 IDOT [1] - 19:4 important [1] - 14:1 impression [1] - 19:14 improvement [1] - 13:1 impugn [1] - 19:17 industrial [1] - 6:2 intense [1] - 6:3 intention [1] - 14:16 intentioned [2] - 14:15, 14:18 interest [1] - 5:17 interested [1] - 19:21 interesting [3] - 7:4, 10:3, 15:21 irrational [5] - 6:21, 6:22, 9:10, 9:11, 17:3 issue [2] - 7:2, 7:14 issues [1] - 10:11	L Lance [2] - 6:17, 20:6 Land [1] - 11:7 larger [1] - 14:10 laws [1] - 9:7 least [4] - 5:3, 5:8, 8:1, 17:12 lending [1] - 19:22 letter [1] - 6:16 listen [1] - 10:4 listened [1] - 7:3 listening [1] - 12:3 live [2] - 6:12, 15:16 logical [1] - 4:22 look [7] - 6:1, 6:4, 9:4, 14:2, 17:10, 18:15	N	nature [1] - 4:9 necessary [1] - 19:1 need [4] - 12:11, 14:4, 17:20, 20:14 neighbors [1] - 9:19 neighbors' [1] - 18:10 never [2] - 7:5, 9:6 new [3] - 9:16, 16:1, 16:10 next [3] - 11:9, 12:14, 15:3 nice [1] - 4:5 nightmare [1] - 13:3 Nobody [1] - 14:16 noise [1] - 15:17 nondata [1] - 17:14 North [1] - 6:13 north [2] - 6:13, 19:3 noticed [1] - 13:6 number [1] - 9:1 numbers [1] - 10:22	R	rational [2] - 17:2, 17:4 rationale [1] - 13:18 realized [1] - 14:6 really [4] - 8:22, 12:20, 13:3, 15:11 rear [1] - 10:20 reduced [1] - 4:1 regarding [1] - 20:7 regulations [1] - 6:20 requirements [2] - 4:15, 8:19 residential [10] - 8:3, 10:19, 11:11, 14:3, 15:3, 15:10, 15:20, 16:11, 16:12 resolve [1] - 10:11 respectfully [1] - 14:20 responding [1] - 12:4 restricting [2] - 10:17, 18:2 restriction [1] - 18:16 reverse [1] - 15:13 Robb [2] - 8:15, 9:14 Rover [1] - 11:7
J	JABLONSKI [8] - 5:9, 5:13, 5:17, 9:10, 11:21, 19:13, 20:20, 21:2 Jaguar [1] - 11:7 Jim [2] - 19:8, 20:21 job [1] - 12:21 jump [1] - 16:22	M Madison [1] - 11:14 majority [1] - 8:4 MALINA [8] - 4:11, 5:22, 6:9, 13:20, 16:4, 18:14, 20:10, 20:14 Mark [1] - 16:21 Marlas [1] - 18:7 match [1] - 5:6 maximum [2] - 5:16, 5:20 Meadows [1] - 12:9 mean [10] - 4:19, 7:1, 8:12, 10:14, 11:6, 11:7, 12:6, 14:12, 15:22, 16:21 mechanism [1] - 12:8 meeting [3] - 7:4, 7:7, 8:17 members [1] - 19:10 memo [2] - 4:20, 17:2 might [1] - 5:2 mind [2] - 7:11, 17:7 minimum [1] - 4:14 Monroe [1] - 6:13 most [1] - 15:8 motion [3] - 20:7, 20:9, 20:15 Motors [1] - 11:7 moved [1] - 20:19 MR [32] - 4:11, 5:4, 5:7, 5:9, 5:11, 5:13, 5:14, 5:17, 5:22, 6:9, 9:10, 11:17, 11:21,	O	O-2A [1] - 7:8 obviate [1] - 18:15 occasions [1] - 4:21 occurred [1] - 7:10 Ogden [5] - 15:14, 15:17, 15:19, 16:4, 19:3 one [14] - 4:18, 5:2, 6:10, 7:18, 9:2, 10:6, 10:17, 11:14, 13:15, 15:7, 16:7, 16:16, 18:5 one-off [1] - 10:6 ones [1] - 20:1 open [2] - 7:7, 16:10 ordinance [3] - 6:7, 9:21, 12:12 Orthopaedics [1] - 10:12 owner [2] - 8:11, 18:3	S	sale [1] - 16:12 sat [1] - 7:18 School [1] - 5:8 science [1] - 11:1 second [1] - 20:20 Section [1] - 20:18 see [5] - 8:17, 11:1, 11:2, 12:21, 18:21 sense [3] - 7:12, 7:15, 13:21 setback [1] - 14:10 setbacks [2] - 10:19, 14:8 sets [1] - 12:13 shorter [1] - 5:9 show [1] - 18:9 side [2] - 10:20, 19:3 single [1] - 6:3 sit [1] - 16:15 site [3] - 5:15, 8:18, 9:17 situation [1] - 16:14 size [3] - 4:14, 4:15, 8:21 small [1] - 8:18
K	Kensington [5] - 5:8, 8:10, 12:20, 15:22, 17:12 Kensington's [1] - 5:6 kept [1] - 17:17 kind [2] - 8:7, 14:11					

solve [1] - 13:5 someone [1] - 9:13 speaking [1] - 7:14 speaks [1] - 11:14 specific [1] - 19:16 speed [1] - 15:17 split [1] - 7:12 spot [3] - 4:19, 14:21, 15:15 spotting [1] - 6:19 start [1] - 11:8 states [1] - 14:14 staying [1] - 16:12 Steve [3] - 13:13, 17:6, 19:9 stories [2] - 5:14, 10:16 stormwater [2] - 8:19, 8:21 story [1] - 5:16 Street [1] - 6:13 study [1] - 17:18 succeeding [1] - 18:21 supposed [2] - 14:10, 18:18 surrounded [1] - 16:13 sympathetic [2] - 12:2, 12:19 systematically [1] - 14:1	trustee [1] - 16:9 trustees [7] - 7:13, 8:1, 8:5, 9:3, 10:1, 12:2, 12:16 trustees' [1] - 10:4 try [1] - 12:16 trying [2] - 12:3, 17:9 turns [1] - 19:5 TV [1] - 8:18	zoning [12] - 4:10, 4:19, 6:6, 7:9, 7:22, 8:3, 9:7, 9:21, 11:8, 13:15, 13:17, 14:21
T	U	
tall [1] - 14:7 tape [1] - 10:4 terrible [2] - 11:4, 12:13 text [5] - 5:15, 7:6, 7:10, 13:7, 18:16 Text [1] - 20:17 thinks [1] - 18:20 three [1] - 6:11 throwing [1] - 14:11 tonight [2] - 11:18, 11:20 tools [1] - 9:20 tossed [1] - 7:9 traffic [1] - 13:2 transition [3] - 10:10, 15:11, 15:19 transitional [1] - 17:20 transitioning [1] - 15:9 trepidation [1] - 19:2 tricky [1] - 19:4 troy [1] - 16:18 trumps [1] - 11:11 trust [1] - 19:10	ultimately [1] - 20:1 underlined [1] - 17:2 undeveloped [1] - 7:18 UNELL [3] - 16:19, 20:19, 21:6 unusual [1] - 8:14 up [6] - 4:17, 5:12, 5:18, 10:22, 16:10, 16:11 upsetting [1] - 5:21	
	V	
	vacate [1] - 16:10 value [3] - 8:12, 19:15, 19:17 variances [1] - 9:22 versus [1] - 14:9 Village [4] - 4:6, 18:11, 19:16, 20:16 volumes [1] - 11:14 vote [1] - 20:3 voted [3] - 8:2, 8:5, 8:6	
	W	
	walk [1] - 17:11 well-intentioned [2] - 14:15, 14:18 well-put [1] - 19:9 whole [5] - 9:5, 9:18, 14:11, 17:19, 19:3 WILLOBEE [4] - 17:1, 17:15, 17:22, 21:5 wind [1] - 20:13 works [1] - 13:1 write [2] - 5:18, 19:16 written [1] - 20:4	
	Y	
	years [1] - 7:18 YU [5] - 5:7, 5:11, 5:14, 18:5, 18:13	
	Z	
	Zoning [2] - 19:14, 20:17	

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) ss.
COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

BEFORE THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE
PLAN COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)
)
Case A-45-2018 - Zoning Code Text)
Amendment to Section 9-106(J)(7))
to prohibit internally illuminated)
signage in the B-2 Central Business)
District)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the public hearing of the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Plan Commission at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 14th day of November, 2018, at the hour of 8:12 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. STEPHEN CASHMAN, Chairman;
MS. DEBRA BRASELTON, Member;
MS. JULIE CRNOVICH, Member;
MR. GERALD JABLONSKI, Member;
MR. JIM KRILLENBERGER, Member;
MR. MARK WILLOBEE, Member;
MR. TROY UNELL, Member.

<p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>1 ALSO PRESENT:</p> <p>2 MR. CHAN YU, Village Planner;</p> <p>3 MR. LANCE MALINA, Village Attorney.</p> <p>4 * * *</p> <p>5 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: This is Case</p> <p>6 No. A-45-2018. This is a Zoning Code Text</p> <p>7 Amendment to Section 9-106(J)(7) to prohibit</p> <p>8 internally illuminated signage in the B-2</p> <p>9 Central Business District.</p> <p>08:12:44PM 10 And Lance is lucky, he gets to get</p> <p>11 out of here for this one.</p> <p>12 MR. MALINA: Chan just wanted me here</p> <p>13 in case --</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: No, we appreciate</p> <p>15 it. You wrote the memo so it was great.</p> <p>16 MR. MALINA: But you did exactly the</p> <p>17 right thing. It was your job to apply those</p> <p>18 principles; but I just wanted to correct the</p> <p>19 sort of the idea that -- the sole fact that it</p> <p>08:13:03PM 20 applies, yes.</p> <p>21 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Thanks, Lance.</p> <p>22 MS. CRNOVICH: Happy Thanksgiving.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>1 prefer the goose-neck, external type of</p> <p>2 lighting. So I really think this is where this</p> <p>3 request is coming from.</p> <p>4 And it's a request to prohibit</p> <p>5 illuminated signage, backlit signage, as even a</p> <p>6 request; so they can't even request it for the</p> <p>7 Historic District.</p> <p>8 MS. CRNOVICH: Chan, could you explain</p> <p>9 HPC, their role, in reviewing signage? I know</p> <p>08:14:41PM 10 there has been some questions from certain Plan</p> <p>11 commissioners of why does HPC even get to have,</p> <p>12 even get to remark on signage. I know that's</p> <p>13 something that's only been going on for a couple</p> <p>14 years.</p> <p>15 MR. YU: Right. So it's in Title 14.</p> <p>16 It's always been there.</p> <p>17 MS. CRNOVICH: So it wasn't always --</p> <p>18 MR. YU: It wasn't always enforced.</p> <p>19 But in terms of signage, the Historic</p> <p>08:15:04PM 20 Preservation Commission does make a</p> <p>21 recommendation to the Plan Commission.</p> <p>22 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right, to us.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>1 MR. MALINA: Same to all of you. Good</p> <p>2 night.</p> <p>3 (Exit Mr. Lance Malina.)</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Okay, Chan. One</p> <p>5 thing I pointed out to Chan, for some reason he</p> <p>6 said he was fighting with Adobe. The</p> <p>7 application online was a duplicate of the</p> <p>8 previous one and then he was hoping to get that</p> <p>9 changed today. So the one that's currently</p> <p>08:13:35PM 10 online is correct?</p> <p>11 MR. YU: Yes.</p> <p>12 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Okay, Chan. Fire</p> <p>13 away.</p> <p>14 MR. YU: So this is a text amendment</p> <p>15 request. I think it was really spearheaded by a</p> <p>16 few of the trustees and Historic Preservation</p> <p>17 commissioners. As you know, signage is reviewed</p> <p>18 a week prior to when the Plan Commission reviews</p> <p>19 signage downtown. And from my experience,</p> <p>08:14:00PM 20 illuminated signage is just, backlit illuminated</p> <p>21 signage, has just been -- The HPC is very</p> <p>22 opposed to it based on my experience. They</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>1 MS. CRNOVICH: Which I think is a good</p> <p>2 thing.</p> <p>3 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: One thing that I</p> <p>4 thought was interesting here is it doesn't say</p> <p>5 who this was from. I mean by listening, I went</p> <p>6 back and watched the video, Luke clearly was in</p> <p>7 favor of this. I think he was the one who spoke</p> <p>8 to it. They reference someone at the Historic</p> <p>9 Preservation Commission, but they don't list any</p> <p>08:15:34PM 10 names. They don't even list the trustees that</p> <p>11 thought this was a good idea. I mean that in</p> <p>12 itself bothers me. Just that --</p> <p>13 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, certain.</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Certain. Then who</p> <p>15 specifically?</p> <p>16 MS. CRNOVICH: As Chan and Steve know,</p> <p>17 I was hoping to get this discussed at HPC so we</p> <p>18 at least could listen to hear what they had to</p> <p>19 say, their recommendations. And I really feel</p> <p>08:15:56PM 20 that they would have had some valued input with</p> <p>21 the reasoning behind this.</p> <p>22 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: But they have no</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <p>1 involvement in text amendments.</p> <p>2 MS. CRNOVICH: No, but they do with</p> <p>3 signage and just as --</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: But why aren't they</p> <p>5 here?</p> <p>6 MS. CRNOVICH: Do they even know it's</p> <p>7 on our agenda?</p> <p>8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I would think so,</p> <p>9 I'm pretty sure, one of the unnamed people.</p> <p>08:16:14PM 10 It's not like there are 50 people.</p> <p>11 MS. BRASELTON: Don't they meet right</p> <p>12 before we do?</p> <p>13 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: They usually do.</p> <p>14 MS. CRNOVICH: No. They meet at a</p> <p>15 different time. I saw it wasn't on their agenda</p> <p>16 for discussion, and I really feel it should have</p> <p>17 been discussed by HPC. So we could have heard</p> <p>18 their side, and then I know what your opinion is</p> <p>19 on this and --</p> <p>08:16:31PM 20 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: You don't know what</p> <p>21 my opinion is.</p> <p>22 MS. CRNOVICH: You talked about it at</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>1 one of the trustees that I thought had a really</p> <p>2 good point about it, he said, you know, we go</p> <p>3 through and we have rejected bad designs; and I</p> <p>4 think there could be a very good internally</p> <p>5 illuminated sign. And if you do this, I mean</p> <p>6 it's not even possible. This doesn't even</p> <p>7 eliminate just internally illuminated.</p> <p>8 There is I think a fantastic</p> <p>9 internally illuminated sign for Bluemercury.</p> <p>08:17:38PM 10 It's like a makeup place. I have never gone in</p> <p>11 there, but it's on the outside. I mean you can</p> <p>12 barely tell it's illuminated. It's a halo</p> <p>13 illumination. That would eliminate that.</p> <p>14 MS. BRASELTON: I know the sign very</p> <p>15 well.</p> <p>16 MS. CRNOVICH: How many of these signs</p> <p>17 do we have in the Historic District?</p> <p>18 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Exactly. I went and</p> <p>19 drove around yesterday. I had my daughter</p> <p>08:17:54PM 20 drive, which is interesting, since she got a</p> <p>21 permit. There are a total of 15 illuminated</p> <p>22 signs in the downtown area, internally</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>1 the last meeting, Steve.</p> <p>2 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: My opinion might</p> <p>3 have changed. I hadn't seen it.</p> <p>4 MS. BRASELTON: I wasn't here last time</p> <p>5 so this is all new.</p> <p>6 MS. CRNOVICH: I just like to hear both</p> <p>7 sides.</p> <p>8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: One thing that</p> <p>9 bothers me, I think this is like -- I mean we</p> <p>08:16:47PM 10 are talking about changing our Code. And not a</p> <p>11 minor thing, this is something that impacts</p> <p>12 businesses. We are clamoring that we have too</p> <p>13 many empty spaces in the city, in the town. And</p> <p>14 we are talking about changing it, and there is</p> <p>15 actually no data to support this. I mean this</p> <p>16 is about as irrational -- What is the other</p> <p>17 word?</p> <p>18 MR. WILLOBEE: Arbitrary.</p> <p>19 MR. JABLONSKI: Arbitrary.</p> <p>08:17:07PM 20 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: -- arbitrary as can</p> <p>21 be with two unnamed people. Luke at least said</p> <p>22 what his opinion was on it. I thought there was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>1 illuminated. The vast majority are not</p> <p>2 illuminated.</p> <p>3 And one reason I think it is</p> <p>4 because we roll up our sidewalk at 5 o'clock. I</p> <p>5 mean, our town, you could shoot a canon through</p> <p>6 it at night.</p> <p>7 MS. CRNOVICH: Except the restaurants.</p> <p>8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Except the</p> <p>9 restaurants.</p> <p>08:18:15PM 10 MR. JABLONSKI: And FedEx.</p> <p>11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right. Oh, thank</p> <p>12 God. I mean if a national chain wanted -- I</p> <p>13 mean people are usually upset with us when they</p> <p>14 come to do signs. They think we are too</p> <p>15 restrictive. Now, we are like, well, you can't</p> <p>16 do illumination.</p> <p>17 MS. CRNOVICH: I don't think we are</p> <p>18 restrictive enough.</p> <p>19 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Well, that's your</p> <p>08:18:28PM 20 opinion. But I can't say -- If there was some</p> <p>21 real data to this. But how about the Chamber of</p> <p>22 Commerce? You know, I would want to hear from</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">10</p> <p>1 them. I would want to survey all the businesses 2 in town and see what their take is because maybe 3 they are in favor of it. 4 But to just say a couple people 5 want to do this and let's change the Code, I 6 just think it's irresponsible. I just don't 7 think we should be acting that way. We are a 8 Historic District. How many are there in the 9 state? How many other towns have restrictions 10 on signage? 11 MS. CRNOVICH: Galena. 12 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Galena, right. But 13 Barrington, same downtown area. They have 14 got -- 15 MS. CRNOVICH: Geneva. 16 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: They have 17 guidelines. But do they restrict internally 18 illuminated? 19 MS. CRNOVICH: Yes, in the historic 20 district. 21 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Where is the data? 22 Look at Barrington, which is a very similar</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">12</p> <p>1 was going to say, to get more data. 2 MS. BRASELTON: You both raise really 3 good points. But Chan is left here to put this 4 application forth with nobody else asking for 5 it. 6 MS. CRNOVICH: I did my own research. 7 I think the biggest eyesore, where this came 8 from, was from MyEyeDr., which I think everybody 9 here will agree it is an eyesore. 10 MR. KRILLENBERGER: Ironically. 11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: See, I wouldn't 12 agree. 13 MS. CRNOVICH: Oh, so many people. 14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: But that's your 15 personal preference. 16 MS. CRNOVICH: Right. 17 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: And that should not 18 be -- A Code should not be personal 19 preferences. That's why I'm saying I'm not 20 necessarily opposed to this idea, but it has to 21 be based on some type of criteria. This is 22 irrational. I mean if we had all this data --</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">11</p> <p>1 community to ours. They have not restricted, 2 but they have signage guidelines that I think 3 are fantastic. I mean it's basically to guide 4 people like us. And it talks about how they 5 encourage these other types of illumination. 6 And they have examples, and they show what's 7 positive. 8 If we as trustees, as 9 commissioners, had that, I think any time we 10 have an internally illuminated sign we put it 11 through the ringer on whether these meet the 12 criteria. 13 But how do you ask us to change the 14 Code based on no data? I think we would be 15 negligent to change it. I think it's just a 16 knee-jerk reaction. What's next, streetlights, 17 the color blue? I mean it's just, it's 18 arbitrary. 19 MS. BRASELTON: Do we have the 20 opportunity to continue this? 21 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Oh, absolutely. 22 MS. CRNOVICH: That's exactly what I</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">13</p> <p>1 And then how about our community? 2 I would want to talk to the head of Chamber of 3 Commerce, the head of development for the 4 Village of Hinsdale. 5 MR. KRILLENBERGER: That's right. 6 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: When we are talking 7 to people, Oh, I'm sorry, you can't illuminate 8 your sign. We need to know if this is going to 9 impact. And what's more important, when the 10 Hinsdale Theatre was built in 1925, you think 11 that thing wasn't illuminated? It was glowing. 12 It was a historic building. 13 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, it wasn't historic 14 in 1925. 15 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I know. But that's 16 what we are harkening back to, which is most of 17 downtown was built in -- most of the buildings 18 were in the '20s. 19 MR. JABLONSKI: Electricity has been 20 around for 130 years. 21 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, you know, and I 22 guess we --</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">14</p> <p>1 MR. JABLONSKI: Historic is a good 2 point. 3 MS. CRNOVICH: I feel that signage, we 4 have too much visual clutter. 5 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: You can see, it 6 could all be halo. One thing is interesting. 7 Look at Barrington when they talk about 8 internally illuminated, and one thing they are 9 really strict about is they don't want the 10 entire thing to illuminate. They want when it 11 goes into nighttime mode that the lettering will 12 be backlit basically. 13 So if you took MyEyeDr., if they 14 had that criteria, it would still be internally 15 illuminated; but it would be toned down a bit. 16 That's where I think this is a 17 concept that needs, if it goes forward, it just 18 needs to be considered properly because it might 19 make sense. But I think to ask us to vote on 20 this with no information, basically just show us 21 how you are changing this paragraph, I think 22 it's really not the way to do business. We</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">16</p> <p>1 approach. Maybe we could encourage something. 2 Even if you just said you're encouraged to use 3 something, it would change the thing. 4 But if you say what he's saying, 5 you couldn't even come in front of us with a 6 sign. I just think that bothers me. And then 7 we have these empty stores that sit there, and 8 they don't get filled. 9 And right now I think our town is a 10 little sleepy at night. We have some 11 restaurants, thank God, finally. But stores 12 don't stay open. And that's why I have been 13 pushing the parking garage. I think it's going 14 to be so crucial to have those parking spaces. 15 If we were truly a successful town after like 16 5 o'clock, these stores would be staying open to 17 8:00 or 9 o'clock at night. Maybe if that was 18 the scenario, they'd want illumination. 19 MS. BRASELTON: But do people, we don't 20 even know if people want the stores open to 8:00 21 or 9:00 at night, we don't know because we -- 22 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">15</p> <p>1 should have all this data. I mean all the 2 historic districts in northern Illinois, I would 3 like to see their codes, specifically how they 4 handle signage, what's allowed, what's not. 5 MS. CRNOVICH: I think it should be 6 historic districts, not all of northern 7 Illinois. 8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes, that's what I 9 mean. No, historic districts in -- Like I 10 don't know that I would put Galena in our mix. 11 Galena is a destination town, a historic town. 12 I would like to see something, you know, take 13 suburban Chicago, from Lake Forest down to 14 Orland Park. 15 MS. BRASELTON: Orland Park. 16 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: There is a bunch of 17 them. Glen Ellyn nearby. We have a bunch that 18 have them. Glen Ellyn you can have illuminated 19 signs. They have restrictions. I mean their 20 sign ordinance is more detailed than ours. I 21 just think we could have information, maybe we 22 could come up with something different than this</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>1 MS. BRASELTON: Might this be, it seems 2 like this would be amenable to a study group or 3 a subcommittee or something. 4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right. I think it's 5 a good question for sure. 6 MS. BRASELTON: It is a good question. 7 MS. CRNOVICH: That's why I was hoping 8 HPC could give their opinion. Or it's too bad 9 Scott is not here tonight. I mean he's the 10 chair of HPC. 11 MS. BRASELTON: Well, they are the 12 applicants, they should be here. 13 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Who is the 14 Village -- head of development for the Village 15 now, is that Robb? 16 MR. YU: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Robb is meeting with 18 potential tenants constantly trying to fill up 19 empty stores, that we should hear from the 20 Village. We should hear from the Chamber of 21 Commerce for sure because they represent all the 22 businesses.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">18</p> <p>1 And then why not do a survey of the 2 businesses, just ask them a simple question. 3 They might like, say if you asked the Village -- 4 Because it's really the majority are not 5 illuminated right now, the vast majority. You 6 are talking 15 total signs in the downtown area. 7 And some I counted are actually not because it's 8 like the Harris Bank is not in this District. 9 It's just across the street, it's got an 10 illuminated sign.</p> <p>08:24:07PM</p> <p>11 It would be interesting. Maybe it 12 makes sense to do it. That's where I was 13 thinking I was totally opposed to it. But when 14 I really drove around and looked, I went, I 15 don't think it's a problem, you might not like 16 that one sign but --</p> <p>17 MS. CRNOVICH: There are a couple more. 18 FedEx.</p> <p>19 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: But if there were 20 ordinances on architectural design, Frank Lloyd 21 Wright would not have built a single house in 22 the United States.</p> <p>08:24:22PM</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">20</p> <p>1 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: The old 2 Schweidler's, I think that's one of the most 3 amazing designs. You never would think he did 4 that. It's just a fascinating design. 5 MR. KRILLENBERGER: He approved the 6 plan for this building as part of the Plan 7 Commission chairmanship. 8 MS. CRNOVICH: That was not on the 9 program Sunday. 10 MR. KRILLENBERGER: I read it on the 11 Internet, must be true. 12 Yet to pile on a little bit, this 13 is a business development issue. And I share 14 your misgivings, Julie, about some of the 15 illuminated signs including MyEyeDr., whatever 16 it's called. 17 But when FedEx appeared before us, 18 and I think it was probably Robb who said we 19 have been trying really hard to get some 20 national tenants to come to downtown Hinsdale, 21 and they bring illuminated signs. Hopefully, 22 they are tasteful. Hopefully, the lumens are</p> <p>08:25:18PM</p> <p>08:25:46PM</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">19</p> <p>1 MS. BRASELTON: Good point. 2 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I mean I think 3 what's really funny in the 1920s Hinsdale passed 4 a -- what was it -- a Grecian Revival code. 5 They only wanted Greek Revival architecture. 6 MS. CRNOVICH: I thought that it was 7 more Colonial. Was it Grecian? 8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes. How crazy was 9 that? 10 MR. WILLOBEE: Crazy. 11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Obviously it got 12 knocked out because it was a bad idea. 13 MS. CRNOVICH: Did that come from Zook, 14 too? 15 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Probably not. Zook 16 was a very creative architect. He did all kinds 17 of stuff. 18 MS. BRASELTON: No. She's saying did 19 he propose that. 20 MS. CRNOVICH: I think he was the first 21 chair of the Plan Commission. 22 MR. KRILLENBERGER: He was.</p> <p>08:24:46PM</p> <p>08:25:57PM</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">21</p> <p>1 down. And we can have lumen meters and make 2 sure they are within our standards. 3 But once again, I trust this Plan 4 Commission and future plan commissions to make 5 sure that we do what's right for the Village in 6 all respects, visually, from a business 7 perspective, across all spectrums in 8 consideration. So I don't know if we are going 9 to vote on this, but I think this is too much. 10 MS. CRNOVICH: We need more 11 information. If, for an example, I think this 12 is MyEyeDr., I think this is Lake Forest. 13 MR. BRASELTON: Can you pass it around. 14 MS. CRNOVICH: Yes. 15 MS. BRASELTON: That is not 16 illuminated? I can't tell. 17 MR. KRILLENBERGER: It looks like it's 18 just -- 19 MS. CRNOVICH: I don't think it is. 20 And I found other ones around the country. And 21 this is just a quick Google search where they 22 are not internally illuminated. And I quickly</p> <p>08:26:23PM</p> <p>08:26:48PM</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">22</p> <p>1 just looked at FedEx and MyEyeDr.</p> <p>2 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Did you find FedEx</p> <p>3 is not illuminated?</p> <p>4 MS. CRNOVICH: Yes.</p> <p>5 MS. BRASELTON: Really.</p> <p>6 MS. CRNOVICH: Let's see, this one and</p> <p>7 I have another one that I did not print. I'll</p> <p>8 have to go back and --</p> <p>9 MS. BRASELTON: I'm intrigued by the</p> <p>08:27:18PM 10 halo illumination.</p> <p>11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Halo, I think that's</p> <p>12 one thing in Barrington they really encourage</p> <p>13 because you can still see, like it says Bank One</p> <p>14 or whatever, because it's like the shadow of the</p> <p>15 letter so it's a subtle thing. That's an</p> <p>16 internally illuminated sign so that would be</p> <p>17 excluded. So maybe that, maybe that type of</p> <p>18 illumination should be left.</p> <p>19 That's just even what is the</p> <p>08:27:43PM 20 definition of what's an illuminated sign. What</p> <p>21 are we allowing? We have done a lot of halo</p> <p>22 signs here recently, and I think they look</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">24</p> <p>1 ones. It needs to be broken out.</p> <p>2 I would be okay with extending this</p> <p>3 because then at least we could have some data.</p> <p>4 Without data I think we would be compelled to</p> <p>5 vote no, unless you just are dead set against</p> <p>6 any illumination in signs. But then I'd say why</p> <p>7 not have -- eliminate goosenecks? Why not</p> <p>8 eliminate anything?</p> <p>9 MS. CRNOVICH: Oh, I think there is a</p> <p>08:28:51PM 10 big difference between the goosenecks and the --</p> <p>11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: The tricky part that</p> <p>12 we don't even have covered are those illuminated</p> <p>13 things inside the stores.</p> <p>14 MS. CRNOVICH: Although, I think we</p> <p>15 will all agree that our signage code needs to be</p> <p>16 totally redone. I just think being a Historic</p> <p>17 District, I think there is the charm to that,</p> <p>18 the character of the District, and a lot of</p> <p>19 these signs take away. It's visual clutter to</p> <p>08:29:15PM 20 me from some of these beautiful buildings. Why</p> <p>21 have a Historic District? But I do agree with</p> <p>22 you that we could use some more information.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">23</p> <p>1 decent.</p> <p>2 But I wouldn't want the whole town</p> <p>3 a bunch of gooseneck things. That's going to</p> <p>4 look like Disneyland. Disneyland is fake. And</p> <p>5 commerce and business, you know, Rome wasn't</p> <p>6 created the way it looks. It was knocked down,</p> <p>7 rebuilt, and the good stuff lasts. If it's ugly</p> <p>8 and it's poorly done, it gets demolished. And</p> <p>9 Hinsdale is the same way. I think the good</p> <p>08:28:12PM 10 stuff will say.</p> <p>11 And people choose. Look at</p> <p>12 Starbucks chose not to put in an internally</p> <p>13 illuminated sign. I mean we didn't ask them. I</p> <p>14 mean most of the signs are not internally</p> <p>15 illuminated. I mean you are talking about a</p> <p>16 small percentage. But even that, the fact that</p> <p>17 there is numbers on it should be exactly, how</p> <p>18 many are --</p> <p>19 You know, because in the Historic</p> <p>08:28:27PM 20 District there are like contributing buildings,</p> <p>21 which mean the good ones. And then there are</p> <p>22 noncontributing ones, which is meaning the ugly</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">25</p> <p>1 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: We could.</p> <p>2 MS. CRNOVICH: Like I think Geneva, I</p> <p>3 love their zoning code.</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I would like to see</p> <p>5 all of them. It would just be interesting to</p> <p>6 know.</p> <p>7 MR. CRNOVICH: Poor Chan. It sounds</p> <p>8 like a lot of work.</p> <p>9 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Well, yes. We could</p> <p>08:29:39PM 10 continue it for two months if you'd like. It's</p> <p>11 just without the data we are just shooting in</p> <p>12 the dark. We could be doing something that's a</p> <p>13 total mistake, and how are we ever going to</p> <p>14 change it; we can't. Once the trustees revise</p> <p>15 this, it wasn't like it was a unanimous vote by</p> <p>16 the trustees or the entire Historic Preservation</p> <p>17 Commission came in front of us. We had no --</p> <p>18 This is like maybe two people.</p> <p>19 MS. CRNOVICH: They should have come</p> <p>08:30:04PM 20 before us, though. I have a problem with that.</p> <p>21 Why couldn't they add it to their agenda? I</p> <p>22 really feel they should have discussed this</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">26</p> <p>1 before we did. I hope if this moves forward</p> <p>2 that it can be added to their agenda.</p> <p>3 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Along with them, I</p> <p>4 want to hear from the businesses, Chamber of</p> <p>5 Commerce, our own head of development for the</p> <p>6 town.</p> <p>7 MS. CRNOVICH: I think Chamber of</p> <p>8 Commerce, I think if you go to all the</p> <p>9 businesses that's an awful lot of work.</p> <p>08:30:27PM 10 MS. BRASELTON: Well, it's up to the</p> <p>11 applicants to bring the issue to us. It's not</p> <p>12 up to us to --</p> <p>13 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right. And the</p> <p>14 applicant is the Village of Hinsdale. Come on,</p> <p>15 they have time, money. Chan will be getting his</p> <p>16 steps in.</p> <p>17 MR. YU: Yeah.</p> <p>18 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: They literally could</p> <p>19 just, they could do it through the Chamber of</p> <p>08:30:47PM 20 Commerce.</p> <p>21 MS. CRNOVICH: They could do it through</p> <p>22 the Chamber at one of their meetings maybe.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">28</p> <p>1 don't know how they came up with this thing</p> <p>2 because Barrington is not like a humongous town,</p> <p>3 but it is so well done. They have examples and</p> <p>4 dimensions, like good example, bad example. And</p> <p>5 it's really interesting how it's written because</p> <p>6 it talks about encouraging things.</p> <p>7 This is where like our parking</p> <p>8 ordinance is super weak. It does not spell out</p> <p>9 exactly how many landscape islands there should</p> <p>08:31:52PM 10 be. It just talks about how many trees there</p> <p>11 should be. The Village parking lot, it's right</p> <p>12 in the downtown Historic District, is the</p> <p>13 ugliest parking lot in the western --</p> <p>14 MS. CRNOVICH: But because it's owned</p> <p>15 by the Village --</p> <p>16 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: And they don't want</p> <p>17 to spend any money on it.</p> <p>18 MS. CRNOVICH: But they aren't required</p> <p>19 to do any landscaping.</p> <p>08:32:04PM 20 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: They exempted</p> <p>21 themselves from it.</p> <p>22 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, actually there is</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">27</p> <p>1 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes. Chamber of</p> <p>2 Commerce, that would be something that their</p> <p>3 membership might be interested in, some of</p> <p>4 them -- most of them being impacted in the</p> <p>5 downtown area.</p> <p>6 MS. CRNOVICH: I think they are very</p> <p>7 good at promoting the charm in the Historic</p> <p>8 District and the character.</p> <p>9 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: But I also --</p> <p>08:31:06PM 10 MS. CRNOVICH: I mean If you want a lot</p> <p>11 of lights, go to Naperville.</p> <p>12 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I just never have</p> <p>13 seen signage as a problem in Hinsdale. I think</p> <p>14 you need to have visual variety. I think it's</p> <p>15 crazy that white is a color in our zoning</p> <p>16 ordinance. I think that's too restrictive. I</p> <p>17 mean we are looking at counting colors in</p> <p>18 TinkRworks, that's a nice looking logo and sign.</p> <p>19 We have a very restrictive sign ordinance</p> <p>08:31:26PM 20 already, but we don't have any guidelines.</p> <p>21 The guidelines, you should look at</p> <p>22 the one from Barrington. It's so well done. I</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">29</p> <p>1 a section in the Code that says any government-</p> <p>2 owned parking lots do not have to meet the</p> <p>3 requirements.</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I think it's</p> <p>5 terrible. I think the Village should be held to</p> <p>6 the highest standard. We should have the best</p> <p>7 parking lots. Instead we have this thing, it's</p> <p>8 like a wasteland of asphalt. And every person</p> <p>9 who drives past and goes to Naperville, looks</p> <p>08:32:24PM 10 out, they go, Look at that. I mean it's a dump.</p> <p>11 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, we do need more</p> <p>12 landscaping, too. Less signage and more</p> <p>13 landscaping, how is that?</p> <p>14 MS. BRASELTON: You two are saying</p> <p>15 exactly the same thing about the signage in just</p> <p>16 different ways.</p> <p>17 MS. CRNOVICH: We are. But I think</p> <p>18 Hinsdale, I think their signage code, I think,</p> <p>19 is way too lax. Now, I like LaGrange. Look at</p> <p>08:32:45PM 20 their signage code. It's a good -- And you</p> <p>21 don't see all the clutter in LaGrange. Next</p> <p>22 time you are on the train or you are driving</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">30</p> <p>1 through downtown LaGrange --</p> <p>2 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Does LaGrange allow</p> <p>3 internally illuminated sign?</p> <p>4 MS. CRNOVICH: I did not check that.</p> <p>5 MS. BRASELTON: They are everywhere.</p> <p>6 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes. They are</p> <p>7 everywhere.</p> <p>8 MS. CRNOVICH: But they don't have the</p> <p>9 clutter like Hinsdale.</p> <p>08:33:00PM 10 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: LaGrange at night is</p> <p>11 alive. Hinsdale is not.</p> <p>12 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, it's a whole</p> <p>13 different town, too.</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: But people are</p> <p>15 shopping at night.</p> <p>16 MS. CRNOVICH: They have the condos and</p> <p>17 you have the apartments, and you have a young</p> <p>18 crowd there. I think that makes a big</p> <p>19 difference.</p> <p>08:33:12PM 20 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Oh, we are old?</p> <p>21 MS. CRNOVICH: The nightlife. You have</p> <p>22 all those condos.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">32</p> <p>1 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right, but we have</p> <p>2 Historic Preservation now.</p> <p>3 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, there is also like</p> <p>4 Geneva, any signage has to go through HPC. And</p> <p>5 they have, you know, they have the final vote on</p> <p>6 it I think for the historic district anyway.</p> <p>7 So when you are Christmas shopping</p> <p>8 in all these little towns, everybody should be</p> <p>9 looking at signage.</p> <p>08:34:17PM 10 MR. JABLONSKI: And wishing we had</p> <p>11 saltwater taffy shops everywhere.</p> <p>12 MS. CRNOVICH: I would be okay with</p> <p>13 that.</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right.</p> <p>15 MS. CRNOVICH: I would be okay with</p> <p>16 that.</p> <p>17 MR. JABLONSKI: I don't need any more</p> <p>18 saltwater taffy, I have got Rocky Mountain</p> <p>19 chocolate.</p> <p>08:34:30PM 20 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: So I guess the</p> <p>21 question is do we have a motion to continue</p> <p>22 this, and then give Chan some idea of the things</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">31</p> <p>1 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: It is, I know. I'm</p> <p>2 just teasing you.</p> <p>3 But that would be a great</p> <p>4 example. I would like to see Western Springs,</p> <p>5 Clarendon Hills, LaGrange. Those are our</p> <p>6 neighbors. They are not -- Are any of those in</p> <p>7 a historic register?</p> <p>8 MS. CRNOVICH: I don't think so.</p> <p>9 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: But then there are a</p> <p>08:33:29PM 10 lot. Glen Ellyn is not far, same railroad line.</p> <p>11 They are on the historic register. Barrington,</p> <p>12 Lake Forest.</p> <p>13 MR. JABLONSKI: Winnetka probably.</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I wouldn't be</p> <p>15 surprised. You know, a lot of those towns are</p> <p>16 much more restrictive. They have architectural</p> <p>17 review.</p> <p>18 MS. CRNOVICH: Riverside. Yes. That's</p> <p>19 another difference. Some of the towns that</p> <p>08:33:48PM 20 maybe have a more lax signage code, they have to</p> <p>21 go through architectural review, which makes a</p> <p>22 big difference.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">33</p> <p>1 we need.</p> <p>2 MS. CRNOVICH: Maybe to like a</p> <p>3 January meeting?</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Can you continue</p> <p>5 more than one month?</p> <p>6 MS. BRASELTON: Do we have a meeting in</p> <p>7 December?</p> <p>8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I'm sure we do.</p> <p>9 MS. CRNOVICH: Yes, we do.</p> <p>08:34:48PM 10 MR. WILLOBEE: But if we're talking</p> <p>11 studies and Chamber of Commerce, so we could</p> <p>12 take longer. So I mean does it make more sense</p> <p>13 to deny as written with a recommendation for</p> <p>14 resetting?</p> <p>15 MR. JABLONSKI: That's a great idea.</p> <p>16 MR. WILLOBEE: And then Chan is not</p> <p>17 under pressure from a continuation.</p> <p>18 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Oh, good.</p> <p>19 MR. JABLONSKI: We'll make the people</p> <p>08:35:06PM 20 who want it go back and propose it. We</p> <p>21 shouldn't give him to-dos, more work to do; make</p> <p>22 them think about what they want.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">34</p> <p>1 MR. KRILLENBERGER: I'm all for that.</p> <p>2 MR. UNELL: Yes. I agree with that</p> <p>3 approach.</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I would be okay with</p> <p>5 that because I think to continue it, it's really</p> <p>6 going to take a long time. Every month --</p> <p>7 MR. KRILLENBERGER: There is no rush.</p> <p>8 MS. CRNOVICH: I wish the Village</p> <p>9 attorney was still here. What do you think,</p> <p>10 Chan? Which would be better? I mean to deny it</p> <p>11 is kind of a --</p> <p>12 MR. BRASELTON: Well, there is no like</p> <p>13 harsh --</p> <p>14 MR. WILLOBEE: Deny as written.</p> <p>15 MS. BRASELTON: It's not like they</p> <p>16 can't come back for two years like the ZBA,</p> <p>17 right?</p> <p>18 MS. CRNOVICH: There is, there is a</p> <p>19 limit.</p> <p>20 MS. BRASELTON: Maybe he just wants to</p> <p>21 withdraw it. I mean that's an option, too.</p> <p>22 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: There you go.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">36</p> <p>1 resubmit.</p> <p>2 MS. CRNOVICH: I think, yes, continue</p> <p>3 is the safest way.</p> <p>4 MR. YU: I'm just not sure because this</p> <p>5 is a text amendment, the Plan Commission makes</p> <p>6 recommendation to the Board. I don't know if</p> <p>7 the Plan Commission can just deny it.</p> <p>8 MS. CRNOVICH: Yes. So continue would</p> <p>9 be best.</p> <p>10 MR. YU: Yes.</p> <p>11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Well, we would vote</p> <p>12 on it.</p> <p>13 MR. YU: Vote to deny it.</p> <p>14 MR. WILLOBEE: That's what I meant.</p> <p>15 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes. Well, some of</p> <p>16 the things I would like, if we are going to see</p> <p>17 this, I really think we need to know numbers in</p> <p>18 that Historic District. What's the breakout? I</p> <p>19 mean how many are illuminated? How many are not</p> <p>20 illuminated at all? How many exterior</p> <p>21 illumination?</p> <p>22 MS. CRNOVICH: And add things like if</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">35</p> <p>1 MS. CRNOVICH: I think even he would --</p> <p>2 Yes, it would work. Or how about just continue</p> <p>3 it to February or --</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: We have always</p> <p>5 continued stuff to the next meeting so I</p> <p>6 assume strategically --</p> <p>7 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, we can continue it</p> <p>8 to the next meeting and then continue it again.</p> <p>9 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right, that would</p> <p>10 give them time to put together some data.</p> <p>11 MS. BRASELTON: I think it's really</p> <p>12 Chan's call. They are the applicant. It's not</p> <p>13 really ours.</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: If you are not sure,</p> <p>15 Chan, my recommendation would be we continue it.</p> <p>16 MR. YU: Okay.</p> <p>17 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: At least you could</p> <p>18 then figure out whether in the next month we</p> <p>19 should vote on it and then allow --</p> <p>20 MR. YU: Right.</p> <p>21 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I wouldn't want to</p> <p>22 deny it and then find out that they can't</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">37</p> <p>1 they are illuminated do they have to go through</p> <p>2 design review, which I think would make a</p> <p>3 difference.</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: How would they know</p> <p>5 that?</p> <p>6 MR. KRILLENBERGER: Well, everything</p> <p>7 would be grandfathered; right?</p> <p>8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes. Everything</p> <p>9 existing, the 15 existing ones would be</p> <p>10 grandfathered.</p> <p>11 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, a town might allow</p> <p>12 that kind of illumination; but it has to go</p> <p>13 through design review as an extra set of eyes.</p> <p>14 MR. JABLONSKI: You are talking about a</p> <p>15 best practices is what you're talking about.</p> <p>16 MS. CRNOVICH: Not in Hinsdale.</p> <p>17 MR. JABLONSKI: You are talking about</p> <p>18 best practices generically. So you are asking</p> <p>19 Chan to do a best practices of historic</p> <p>20 districts in northeastern Illinois?</p> <p>21 MS. CRNOVICH: Yes. Exactly.</p> <p>22 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes, northeastern.</p>

<p style="text-align: center;">38</p> <p>1 So we have some idea of how we compare, which --</p> <p>2 Yes. And how our Code, how many towns prohibit</p> <p>3 internally illuminated signs.</p> <p>4 MR. JABLONSKI: And what the code looks</p> <p>5 like.</p> <p>6 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes. What the</p> <p>7 language is because it could be something where</p> <p>8 it doesn't prohibit it but it encourages against</p> <p>9 it, or maybe it says it only allows halo.</p> <p>08:37:53PM 10 MR. WILLOBEE: I think one meeting we</p> <p>11 actually joked are we going to end up with</p> <p>12 everything gooseneck by default so that puts us</p> <p>13 to that direction.</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right.</p> <p>15 MR. JABLONSKI: Gooseneck is a fad now.</p> <p>16 And in 10 years everybody will say they wrote</p> <p>17 that in 2018.</p> <p>18 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right. Right. Yes.</p> <p>19 So I think we really need to hear</p> <p>08:38:12PM 20 from the Chamber of Commerce.</p> <p>21 MR. JABLONSKI: Property owners.</p> <p>22 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Property owners</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">40</p> <p>1 look at the last text amendment we just</p> <p>2 discussed, I mean did everybody get notice about</p> <p>3 that I mean?</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: No. I had a problem</p> <p>5 with that, too.</p> <p>6 MS. CRNOVICH: So you know what I'm</p> <p>7 saying, you've got a --</p> <p>8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I agree with Gerry.</p> <p>9 MR. JABLONSKI: This isn't Russia.</p> <p>08:38:19PM 10 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: We are talking about</p> <p>11 impacting people's value of their property in</p> <p>12 just a casual way. I just don't think it's</p> <p>13 fair. Especially some of these landlords that</p> <p>14 have owned these businesses down there, they</p> <p>15 have owned them for generations. And I think</p> <p>16 they, it would be nice to make sure that they</p> <p>17 are onboard with it. They might be.</p> <p>18 MR. JABLONSKI: They might be.</p> <p>19 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes. That's why I</p> <p>08:39:38PM 20 was saying initially I was totally opposed to</p> <p>21 this. But when I drove around last night and I</p> <p>22 saw it, I'm talking like about 15 total in town.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">39</p> <p>1 maybe through the Chamber of Commerce.</p> <p>2 MS. CRNOVICH: Yes, I think through the</p> <p>3 Chamber of Commerce.</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Or I don't know if</p> <p>5 the Village can, I don't know how they could</p> <p>6 handle it; but it would be nice to know from the</p> <p>7 businesses their thought on this.</p> <p>8 What else do we have?</p> <p>9 MR. JABLONSKI: The landlords, too, are</p> <p>08:38:34PM 10 the constituents.</p> <p>11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: True.</p> <p>12 MR. JABLONSKI: It's their value. It's</p> <p>13 their property value. They collect rent.</p> <p>14 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I just want to see</p> <p>15 if I had any other comments.</p> <p>16 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, actually -- Well,</p> <p>17 there is a public notice in the Hinsdalean;</p> <p>18 right?</p> <p>19 MR. YU: Yes.</p> <p>08:38:59PM 20 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes, but is a</p> <p>21 business reading that? I doubt it.</p> <p>22 MS. CRNOVICH: Well, did we -- Like</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">41</p> <p>1 So the vast majority are not. There is entire</p> <p>2 blocks that don't have a single illuminated sign</p> <p>3 on them. I'm like is it a problem? I didn't</p> <p>4 think it was a problem. I was expecting to see</p> <p>5 more illuminated signs than I actually saw.</p> <p>6 MS. CRNOVICH: I didn't think there</p> <p>7 were that many.</p> <p>8 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Yes.</p> <p>9 MS. CRNOVICH: Having a list of what</p> <p>08:40:01PM 10 signs are illuminated would be helpful. And</p> <p>11 what might help is years ago when they had a</p> <p>12 text amendment in the B-2 district for the</p> <p>13 height limits, how did they notify people back</p> <p>14 then? Do you remember?</p> <p>15 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: When they changed</p> <p>16 the height? You would hope they notified</p> <p>17 people.</p> <p>18 MS. BRASELTON: It was in all the</p> <p>19 newspapers every week.</p> <p>08:40:20PM 20 MR. JABLONSKI: Lawsuits, people went</p> <p>21 to jail.</p> <p>22 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I mean this --</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">42</p> <p>1 MS. CRNOVICH: This was the last text</p> <p>2 amendment that affected the whole B-2.</p> <p>3 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Right, in a big way.</p> <p>4 I mean limiting -- And that was a perfect time.</p> <p>5 That was also the recession and nobody was</p> <p>6 thinking of building so we took advantage of</p> <p>7 that.</p> <p>8 So at least with some data then we</p> <p>9 could possibly make an intelligent decision on</p> <p>10 this. And I don't think there is any impending</p> <p>11 doom. I just feel for the next illuminated sign</p> <p>12 that comes in front of us --</p> <p>13 MR. YU: That's next month.</p> <p>14 MR. WILLOBEE: But we have a process to</p> <p>15 deal with that. We take HPC's consideration.</p> <p>16 We take it case by case, and I think we have a</p> <p>17 process to rely on in the meantime.</p> <p>18 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: We sent that nail</p> <p>19 salon back.</p> <p>20 MS. BRASELTON: So from a Robert's</p> <p>21 Rules perspective, do we need to do the closing</p> <p>22 of the hearing, then we will continue it? Or do</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">44</p> <p>1 MR. JABLONSKI: Aye.</p> <p>2 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Aye.</p> <p>3 MS. CRNOVICH: Aye.</p> <p>4 MR. WILLOBEE: Aye.</p> <p>5 MR. UNELL: Aye.</p> <p>6 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: And do I have a</p> <p>7 motion to continue Case A-45-2018 in the Village</p> <p>8 of Hinsdale, Zoning Code Text Amendment,</p> <p>9 9-106(J)(7) to our December Plan Commission</p> <p>10 meeting?</p> <p>11 MR. UNELL: So moved.</p> <p>12 MR. KRILLENBERGER: Second.</p> <p>13 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Troy?</p> <p>14 MR. UNELL: Aye.</p> <p>15 MR. WILLOBEE: Aye.</p> <p>16 MS. CRNOVICH: Aye.</p> <p>17 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Aye.</p> <p>18 MR. JABLONSKI: Aye.</p> <p>19 MS. BRASELTON: Aye.</p> <p>20 MR. KRILLENBERGER: Aye.</p> <p>21</p> <p>22 * * *</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">43</p> <p>1 we even have the option of moving to continue</p> <p>2 it, can't we, after we have had a public</p> <p>3 hearing?</p> <p>4 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: I thought in the</p> <p>5 past we've closed the public hearing, continued</p> <p>6 the meeting, and then reopened the public</p> <p>7 hearing at the next meeting.</p> <p>8 MR. YU: Right.</p> <p>9 MR. WILLOBEE: Hinsdale Meadows we did.</p> <p>10 MS. CRNOVICH: At least four times.</p> <p>11 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: For like a year,</p> <p>12 okay.</p> <p>13 MR. JABLONSKI: Do you want to move</p> <p>14 that?</p> <p>15 MS. BRASELTON: Sure.</p> <p>16 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Do we have a motion</p> <p>17 to close the public hearing?</p> <p>18 MS. BRASELTON: So moved.</p> <p>19 MR. UNELL: Second.</p> <p>20 CHAIRMAN CASHMAN: Jim?</p> <p>21 MR. KRILLENBERGER: Aye.</p> <p>22 MS. BRASELTON: Aye.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">45</p> <p>1 (Whereupon the further hearing of the</p> <p>2 above-entitled caused was continued to</p> <p>3 December 13, 2018, at 7:30 p.m.)</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) ss.
2 COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

3

4 I, JANICE H. HEINEMANN, CSR, RDR, CRR,
do hereby certify that I am a court reporter
doing business in the State of Illinois, that I
5 reported in shorthand the testimony given at the
hearing of said cause, and that the foregoing is
6 a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
notes so taken as aforesaid.

7

8

Janice H. Heinemann CSR, RDR, CRR
License No 084-001391

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

'20s [1] - 13:18	amendment [4] - 36:5, 40:1, 41:12, 42:2	Bluemercury [1] - 8:9	33:4, 33:8, 33:18, 34:4, 34:22, 35:4, 35:9, 35:14, 35:17, 35:21, 36:11, 36:15, 37:4, 37:8, 37:22, 38:6, 38:14, 38:18, 38:22, 39:4, 39:11, 39:14, 39:20, 40:4, 40:8, 40:10, 40:19, 41:8, 41:15, 41:22, 42:3, 42:18, 43:4, 43:11, 43:16, 43:20, 44:2, 44:6, 44:13, 44:17	26:7, 26:19, 26:22, 33:11, 38:20, 39:1, 39:3
1	Amendment [1] - 44:8	bothers [3] - 5:12, 7:9, 16:6		chamber [1] - 27:1
10 [1] - 38:16	amendments [1] - 6:1	BRASELTON [30] - 6:11, 7:4, 8:14, 11:19, 12:2, 15:15, 16:19, 17:1, 17:6, 17:11, 19:1, 19:18, 21:13, 21:15, 22:5, 22:9, 26:10, 29:14, 30:5, 33:6, 34:12, 34:15, 34:20, 35:11, 41:18, 42:20, 43:15, 43:18, 43:22, 44:19		Chan [10] - 4:8, 5:16, 12:3, 25:7, 26:15, 32:22, 33:16, 34:10, 35:15, 37:19
13 [1] - 45:2	anyway [1] - 32:6	breakout [1] - 36:18		Chan's [1] - 35:12
130 [1] - 13:20	apartments [1] - 30:17	bring [2] - 20:21, 26:11		change [5] - 10:5, 11:13, 11:15, 16:3, 25:14
14 [1] - 4:15	appeared [1] - 20:17	broken [1] - 24:1		changed [2] - 7:3, 41:15
15 [4] - 8:21, 18:6, 37:9, 40:22	applicant [2] - 26:14, 35:12	building [3] - 13:12, 20:6, 42:6		changing [3] - 7:10, 7:14, 14:21
1920s [1] - 19:3	applicants [2] - 17:12, 26:11	buildings [3] - 13:17, 23:20, 24:20		character [2] - 24:18, 27:8
1925 [2] - 13:10, 13:14	application [1] - 12:4	built [3] - 13:10, 13:17, 18:21		charm [2] - 24:17, 27:7
2	approach [2] - 16:1, 34:3	bunch [3] - 15:16, 15:17, 23:3		check [1] - 30:4
2018 [2] - 38:17, 45:2	approved [1] - 20:5	business [5] - 14:22, 20:13, 21:6, 23:5, 39:21		Chicago [1] - 15:13
5	arbitrary [3] - 7:18, 7:20, 11:18	businesses [8] - 7:12, 10:1, 17:22, 18:2, 26:4, 26:9, 39:7, 40:14		chocolate [1] - 32:19
5 [2] - 9:4, 16:16	Arbitrary [1] - 7:19			choose [1] - 23:11
50 [1] - 6:10	architect [1] - 19:16			chose [1] - 23:12
7	architectural [3] - 18:20, 31:16, 31:21			Christmas [1] - 32:7
7:30 [1] - 45:2	architecture [1] - 19:5			city [1] - 7:13
8	area [4] - 8:22, 10:13, 18:6, 27:5			clamoring [1] - 7:12
8:00 [2] - 16:17, 16:20	asphalt [1] - 29:8			Clarendon [1] - 31:5
9	assume [1] - 35:6			clearly [1] - 5:6
9 [1] - 16:17	attorney [1] - 34:9			close [1] - 43:17
9-106(J)(7) [1] - 44:9	awful [1] - 26:9			closed [1] - 43:5
9:00 [1] - 16:21	Aye [10] - 43:21, 43:22, 44:1, 44:3, 44:4, 44:14, 44:15, 44:16, 44:18, 44:19			closing [1] - 42:21
A	aye [4] - 44:2, 44:5, 44:17, 44:20			clutter [4] - 14:4, 24:19, 29:21, 30:9
A-45-2018 [1] - 44:7		C		code [7] - 19:4, 24:15, 25:3, 29:18, 29:20, 31:20, 38:4
above-entitled [1] - 45:1		canon [1] - 9:5		Code [7] - 7:10, 10:5, 11:14, 12:18, 29:1, 38:2, 44:8
absolutely [1] - 11:21		Case [1] - 44:7		codes [1] - 15:3
acting [1] - 10:7		case [2] - 42:16		collect [1] - 39:13
add [2] - 25:21, 36:22		CASHMAN [103] - 4:22, 5:3, 5:14, 5:22, 6:4, 6:8, 6:13, 6:20, 7:2, 7:8, 7:20, 8:18, 9:8, 9:11, 9:19, 10:12, 10:16, 10:21, 11:21, 12:11, 12:14, 12:17, 13:6, 13:15, 14:5, 15:8, 15:16, 16:22, 17:4, 17:13, 17:17, 18:19, 19:2, 19:8, 19:11, 19:15, 20:1, 22:2, 22:11, 24:11, 25:1, 25:4, 25:9, 26:3, 26:13, 26:18, 27:1, 27:9, 27:12, 28:16, 28:20, 29:4, 30:2, 30:6, 30:10, 30:14, 30:20, 31:1, 31:9, 31:14, 32:1, 32:14, 32:20, 33:4, 33:8, 33:18, 34:4, 34:22, 35:4, 35:9, 35:14, 35:17, 35:21, 36:11, 36:15, 37:4, 37:8, 37:22, 38:6, 38:14, 38:18, 38:22, 39:4, 39:11, 39:14, 39:20, 40:4, 40:8, 40:10, 40:19, 41:8, 41:15, 41:22, 42:3, 42:18, 43:4, 43:11, 43:16, 43:20, 44:2, 44:6, 44:13, 44:17		Colonial [1] - 19:7
added [1] - 26:2				color [2] - 11:17, 27:15
advantage [1] - 42:6				colors [1] - 27:17
affected [1] - 42:2				coming [1] - 4:3
agenda [4] - 6:7, 6:15, 25:21, 26:2				comments [1] - 39:15
ago [1] - 41:11				Commerce [11] - 9:22, 13:3, 17:21, 26:5, 26:8, 26:20, 27:2, 33:11, 38:20, 39:1, 39:3
agree [6] - 12:9, 12:12, 24:15, 24:21, 34:2, 40:8				commerce [1] - 23:5
alive [1] - 30:11				Commission [10] - 4:20, 4:21, 5:9, 19:21, 20:7, 21:4, 25:17, 36:5, 36:7, 44:9
allow [3] - 30:2, 35:19, 37:11				
allowed [1] - 15:4				
allowing [1] - 22:21				
allows [1] - 38:9				
amazing [1] - 20:3				
amenable [1] - 17:2				
B				
B-2 [2] - 41:12, 42:2				
backlit [2] - 4:5, 14:12				
bad [4] - 8:3, 17:8, 19:12, 28:4				
Bank [2] - 18:8, 22:13				
barely [1] - 8:12				
Barrington [7] - 10:13, 10:22, 14:7, 22:12, 27:22, 28:2, 31:11				
based [2] - 11:14, 12:21				
beautiful [1] - 24:20				
behind [1] - 5:21				
best [5] - 29:6, 36:9, 37:15, 37:18, 37:19				
better [1] - 34:10				
between [1] - 24:10				
big [4] - 24:10, 30:18, 31:22, 42:3				
biggest [1] - 12:7				
bit [2] - 14:15, 20:12				
blocks [1] - 41:2				
blue [1] - 11:17				

commissioners [2] - 4:11, 11:9 commissions [1] - 21:4 community [2] - 11:1, 13:1 compare [1] - 38:1 compelled [1] - 24:4 concept [1] - 14:17 condos [2] - 30:16, 30:22 consideration [2] - 21:8, 42:15 considered [1] - 14:18 constantly [1] - 17:18 constituents [1] - 39:10 continuation [1] - 33:17 continue [14] - 11:20, 25:10, 32:21, 33:4, 34:5, 35:2, 35:7, 35:8, 35:15, 36:2, 36:8, 42:22, 43:1, 44:7 continued [3] - 35:5, 43:5, 45:1 contributing [1] - 23:20 counted [1] - 18:7 counting [1] - 27:17 country [1] - 21:20 couple [3] - 4:13, 10:4, 18:17 covered [1] - 24:12 crazy [3] - 19:8, 19:10, 27:15 created [1] - 23:6 creative [1] - 19:16 criteria [3] - 11:12, 12:21, 14:14 CRNOVICH [81] - 4:8, 4:17, 5:1, 5:13, 5:16, 6:2, 6:6, 6:14, 6:22, 7:6, 8:16, 9:7, 9:17, 10:11, 10:15, 10:19, 11:22, 12:6, 12:13, 12:16, 13:13, 13:21, 14:3, 15:5, 17:7, 18:17, 19:6, 19:13, 19:20, 20:8, 21:10, 21:14, 21:19, 22:4, 22:6, 24:9, 24:14, 25:2, 25:7, 25:19, 26:7, 26:21, 27:6, 27:10, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:11, 29:17, 30:4, 30:8, 30:12, 30:16, 30:21, 31:8, 31:18, 32:3, 32:12,	32:15, 33:2, 33:9, 34:8, 34:18, 35:1, 35:7, 36:2, 36:8, 36:22, 37:11, 37:16, 37:21, 39:2, 39:16, 39:22, 40:6, 41:6, 41:9, 42:1, 43:10, 44:3, 44:16 crowd [1] - 30:18 crucial [1] - 16:14 D dark [1] - 25:12 data [12] - 7:15, 9:21, 10:21, 11:14, 12:1, 12:22, 15:1, 24:3, 24:4, 25:11, 35:10, 42:8 daughter [1] - 8:19 dead [1] - 24:5 deal [1] - 42:15 December [3] - 33:7, 44:9, 45:2 decent [1] - 23:1 decision [1] - 42:9 default [1] - 38:12 definition [1] - 22:20 demolished [1] - 23:8 deny [6] - 33:13, 34:10, 34:14, 35:22, 36:7, 36:13 design [4] - 18:20, 20:4, 37:2, 37:13 designs [2] - 8:3, 20:3 destination [1] - 15:11 detailed [1] - 15:20 development [4] - 13:3, 17:14, 20:13, 26:5 difference [5] - 24:10, 30:19, 31:19, 31:22, 37:3 different [4] - 6:15, 15:22, 29:16, 30:13 dimensions [1] - 28:4 direction [1] - 38:13 discussed [4] - 5:17, 6:17, 25:22, 40:2 discussion [1] - 6:16 Disneyland [1] - 23:4 disneyland [1] - 23:4 district [3] - 10:20, 32:6, 41:12 District [11] - 4:7, 8:17, 10:8, 18:8, 23:20, 24:17, 24:18, 24:21, 27:8, 28:12, 36:18 districts [4] - 15:2,	15:6, 15:9, 37:20 done [4] - 22:21, 23:8, 27:22, 28:3 doom [1] - 42:11 dos [1] - 33:21 doubt [1] - 39:21 down [5] - 14:15, 15:13, 21:1, 23:6, 40:14 downtown [8] - 8:22, 10:13, 13:17, 18:6, 20:20, 27:5, 28:12, 30:1 drive [1] - 8:20 drives [1] - 29:9 driving [1] - 29:22 drove [3] - 8:19, 18:14, 40:21 dump [1] - 29:10 E electricity [1] - 13:19 eliminate [4] - 8:7, 8:13, 24:7, 24:8 Ellyn [3] - 15:17, 15:18, 31:10 empty [3] - 7:13, 16:7, 17:19 encourage [3] - 11:5, 16:1, 22:12 encouraged [1] - 16:2 encourages [1] - 38:8 encouraging [1] - 28:6 end [1] - 38:11 enforced [1] - 4:18 entire [3] - 14:10, 25:16, 41:1 entitled [1] - 45:1 especially [1] - 40:13 everywhere [3] - 30:5, 30:7, 32:11 exactly [6] - 8:18, 11:22, 23:17, 28:9, 29:15, 37:21 example [4] - 21:11, 28:4, 31:4 examples [2] - 11:6, 28:3 except [1] - 9:7 Except [1] - 9:8 excluded [1] - 22:17 exempted [1] - 28:20 existing [2] - 37:9 expecting [1] - 41:4 explain [1] - 4:8 extending [1] - 24:2 exterior [1] - 36:20 external [1] - 4:1	extra [1] - 37:13 eyes [1] - 37:13 eyesore [2] - 12:7, 12:9 F fact [1] - 23:16 fad [1] - 38:15 fair [1] - 40:13 fake [1] - 23:4 fantastic [2] - 8:8, 11:3 far [1] - 31:10 fascinating [1] - 20:4 favor [2] - 5:7, 10:3 February [1] - 35:3 FedEx [5] - 9:10, 18:18, 20:17, 22:1, 22:2 figure [1] - 35:18 fill [1] - 17:18 filled [1] - 16:8 final [1] - 32:5 finally [1] - 16:11 first [1] - 19:20 Forest [3] - 15:13, 21:12, 31:12 forth [1] - 12:4 forward [2] - 14:17, 26:1 four [1] - 43:10 Frank [1] - 18:20 front [3] - 16:5, 25:17, 42:12 funny [1] - 19:3 future [1] - 21:4 G Galena [4] - 10:11, 10:12, 15:10, 15:11 garage [1] - 16:13 generations [1] - 40:15 generically [1] - 37:18 Geneva [3] - 10:15, 25:2, 32:4 Gerry [1] - 40:8 Glen [3] - 15:17, 15:18, 31:10 glowing [1] - 13:11 God [2] - 9:12, 16:11 Google [1] - 21:21 goose [1] - 4:1 goose-neck [1] - 4:1 gooseneck [3] - 23:3, 38:12, 38:15 goosenecks [2] - 24:7, 24:10	government [1] - 29:1 grandfathered [2] - 37:7, 37:10 great [2] - 31:3, 33:15 Grecian [2] - 19:4, 19:7 Greek [1] - 19:5 group [1] - 17:2 guess [2] - 13:22, 32:20 guide [1] - 11:3 guidelines [4] - 10:17, 11:2, 27:20, 27:21 H halo [5] - 8:12, 14:6, 22:10, 22:21, 38:9 Halo [1] - 22:11 handle [2] - 15:4, 39:6 hard [1] - 20:19 harkening [1] - 13:16 Harris [1] - 18:8 harsh [1] - 34:13 head [4] - 13:2, 13:3, 17:14, 26:5 hear [7] - 5:18, 7:6, 9:22, 17:19, 17:20, 26:4, 38:19 heard [1] - 6:17 hearing [6] - 42:22, 43:3, 43:5, 43:7, 43:17, 44:22 height [2] - 41:13, 41:16 held [1] - 29:5 help [1] - 41:11 helpful [1] - 41:10 highest [1] - 29:6 Hills [1] - 31:5 Hinsdale [13] - 13:4, 13:10, 19:3, 20:20, 23:9, 26:14, 27:13, 29:18, 30:9, 30:11, 37:16, 43:9, 44:8 Hinsdalean [1] - 39:17 Historic [14] - 4:7, 4:19, 5:8, 8:17, 10:8, 14:1, 23:19, 24:16, 24:21, 25:16, 27:7, 28:12, 32:2, 36:18 historic [11] - 10:19, 13:12, 13:13, 15:2, 15:6, 15:9, 15:11, 31:7, 31:11, 32:6, 37:19 hope [2] - 26:1, 41:16 hopefully [2] - 20:21, 20:22 hoping [2] - 5:17, 17:7
--	--	--	--	--

<p>house ^[1] - 18:21</p> <p>HPC ^[7] - 4:9, 4:11, 5:17, 6:17, 17:8, 17:10, 32:4</p> <p>HPC's ^[1] - 42:15</p> <p>humongous ^[1] - 28:2</p>	<p>12:22</p> <p>irresponsible ^[1] - 10:6</p> <p>islands ^[1] - 28:9</p> <p>issue ^[2] - 20:13, 26:11</p> <p>itself ^[1] - 5:12</p>	<p>43:10</p> <p>left ^[2] - 12:3, 22:18</p> <p>less ^[1] - 29:12</p> <p>letter ^[1] - 22:15</p> <p>lettering ^[1] - 14:11</p> <p>lighting ^[1] - 4:2</p> <p>lights ^[1] - 27:11</p> <p>limit ^[1] - 34:19</p> <p>limiting ^[1] - 42:4</p> <p>limits ^[1] - 41:13</p> <p>line ^[1] - 31:10</p> <p>list ^[3] - 5:9, 5:10, 41:9</p> <p>listen ^[1] - 5:18</p> <p>listening ^[1] - 5:5</p> <p>literally ^[1] - 26:18</p> <p>Lloyd ^[1] - 18:20</p> <p>logo ^[1] - 27:18</p> <p>Look ^[3] - 14:7, 23:11, 29:10</p> <p>look ^[6] - 10:22, 22:22, 23:4, 27:21, 29:19, 40:1</p> <p>looked ^[2] - 18:14, 22:1</p> <p>looking ^[3] - 27:17, 27:18, 32:9</p> <p>looks ^[4] - 21:17, 23:6, 29:9, 38:4</p> <p>love ^[1] - 25:3</p> <p>Luke ^[2] - 5:6, 7:21</p> <p>lumen ^[1] - 21:1</p> <p>lumens ^[1] - 20:22</p>	<p>membership ^[1] - 27:3</p> <p>meters ^[1] - 21:1</p> <p>might ^[9] - 7:2, 14:18, 18:3, 18:15, 27:3, 37:11, 40:17, 40:18, 41:11</p> <p>Might ^[1] - 17:1</p> <p>minor ^[1] - 7:11</p> <p>misgivings ^[1] - 20:14</p> <p>mistake ^[1] - 25:13</p> <p>mix ^[1] - 15:10</p> <p>mode ^[1] - 14:11</p> <p>money ^[2] - 26:15, 28:17</p> <p>month ^[4] - 33:5, 34:6, 35:18, 42:13</p> <p>months ^[1] - 25:10</p> <p>most ^[5] - 13:16, 13:17, 20:2, 23:14, 27:4</p> <p>motion ^[3] - 32:21, 43:16, 44:7</p> <p>Mountain ^[1] - 32:18</p> <p>move ^[1] - 43:13</p> <p>moved ^[2] - 43:18, 44:11</p> <p>moves ^[1] - 26:1</p> <p>moving ^[1] - 43:1</p> <p>MR ^[65] - 4:15, 4:18, 7:18, 7:19, 9:10, 12:10, 13:5, 13:19, 14:1, 17:16, 19:10, 19:22, 20:5, 20:10, 21:13, 21:17, 25:7, 26:17, 31:13, 32:10, 32:17, 33:10, 33:15, 33:16, 33:19, 34:1, 34:2, 34:7, 34:12, 34:14, 35:16, 35:20, 36:4, 36:10, 36:13, 36:14, 37:6, 37:14, 37:17, 38:4, 38:10, 38:15, 38:21, 39:9, 39:12, 39:19, 40:9, 40:18, 41:20, 42:13, 42:14, 43:8, 43:9, 43:13, 43:19, 43:21, 44:1, 44:4, 44:5, 44:11, 44:12, 44:14, 44:15, 44:18, 44:20</p> <p>MS ^[108] - 4:8, 4:17, 5:1, 5:13, 5:16, 6:2, 6:6, 6:11, 6:14, 6:22, 7:4, 7:6, 8:14, 8:16, 9:7, 9:17, 10:11, 10:15, 10:19, 11:19, 11:22, 12:2, 12:6, 12:13, 12:16, 13:13, 13:21, 14:3, 15:5, 15:15, 16:19, 17:1,</p>	<p>17:6, 17:7, 17:11, 18:17, 19:1, 19:6, 19:13, 19:18, 19:20, 20:8, 21:10, 21:14, 21:15, 21:19, 22:4, 22:5, 22:6, 22:9, 24:9, 24:14, 25:2, 25:19, 26:7, 26:10, 26:21, 27:6, 27:10, 28:14, 28:18, 28:22, 29:11, 29:14, 29:17, 30:4, 30:5, 30:8, 30:12, 30:16, 30:21, 31:8, 31:18, 32:3, 32:12, 32:15, 33:2, 33:6, 33:9, 34:8, 34:15, 34:18, 34:20, 35:1, 35:7, 35:11, 36:2, 36:8, 36:22, 37:11, 37:16, 37:21, 39:2, 39:16, 39:22, 40:6, 41:6, 41:9, 41:18, 42:1, 42:20, 43:10, 43:15, 43:18, 43:22, 44:3, 44:16, 44:19</p> <p>must ^[1] - 20:11</p> <p>MyEyeDr ^[5] - 12:8, 14:13, 20:15, 21:12, 22:1</p>
<p>I</p>	<p>J</p>	<p>K</p>	<p>M</p>	<p>N</p>
<p>idea ^[6] - 5:11, 12:20, 19:12, 32:22, 33:15, 38:1</p> <p>Illinois ^[3] - 15:2, 15:7, 37:20</p> <p>illuminate ^[2] - 13:7, 14:10</p> <p>illuminated ^[35] - 4:5, 8:5, 8:7, 8:9, 8:12, 8:21, 9:1, 9:2, 10:18, 11:10, 13:11, 14:8, 14:15, 15:18, 18:5, 18:10, 20:15, 20:21, 21:16, 21:22, 22:3, 22:16, 22:20, 23:13, 23:15, 24:12, 30:3, 36:19, 36:20, 37:1, 38:3, 41:2, 41:5, 41:10, 42:11</p> <p>illumination ^[9] - 8:13, 9:16, 11:5, 16:18, 22:10, 22:18, 24:6, 36:21, 37:12</p> <p>impact ^[1] - 13:9</p> <p>impacted ^[1] - 27:4</p> <p>impacting ^[1] - 40:11</p> <p>impacts ^[1] - 7:11</p> <p>impending ^[1] - 42:10</p> <p>important ^[1] - 13:9</p> <p>including ^[1] - 20:15</p> <p>information ^[4] - 14:20, 15:21, 21:11, 24:22</p> <p>input ^[1] - 5:20</p> <p>inside ^[1] - 24:13</p> <p>Instead ^[1] - 29:7</p> <p>intelligent ^[1] - 42:9</p> <p>interested ^[1] - 27:3</p> <p>interesting ^[6] - 5:4, 8:20, 14:6, 18:11, 25:5, 28:5</p> <p>internally ^[14] - 8:4, 8:7, 8:9, 8:22, 10:17, 11:10, 14:8, 14:14, 21:22, 22:16, 23:12, 23:14, 30:3, 38:3</p> <p>Internet ^[1] - 20:11</p> <p>intrigued ^[1] - 22:9</p> <p>involvement ^[1] - 6:1</p> <p>ironically ^[1] - 12:10</p> <p>irrational ^[2] - 7:16,</p>	<p>JABLONSKI ^[22] - 7:19, 9:10, 13:19, 14:1, 31:13, 32:10, 32:17, 33:15, 33:19, 37:14, 37:17, 38:4, 38:15, 38:21, 39:9, 39:12, 40:9, 40:18, 41:20, 43:13, 44:1, 44:18</p> <p>jail ^[1] - 41:21</p> <p>January ^[1] - 33:3</p> <p>jerk ^[1] - 11:16</p> <p>Jim ^[1] - 43:20</p> <p>joked ^[1] - 38:11</p> <p>Julie ^[1] - 20:14</p> <p>kind ^[2] - 34:11, 37:12</p> <p>kinds ^[1] - 19:16</p> <p>knee ^[1] - 11:16</p> <p>knee-jerk ^[1] - 11:16</p> <p>knocked ^[2] - 19:12, 23:6</p> <p>KRILLENBERGER ^[12] - 12:10, 13:5, 19:22, 20:5, 20:10, 21:17, 34:1, 34:7, 37:6, 43:21, 44:12, 44:20</p> <p>L</p> <p>LaGrange ^[6] - 29:19, 29:21, 30:1, 30:2, 30:10, 31:5</p> <p>Lake ^[3] - 15:13, 21:12, 31:12</p> <p>landlords ^[2] - 39:9, 40:13</p> <p>landscape ^[1] - 28:9</p> <p>landscaping ^[3] - 28:19, 29:12, 29:13</p> <p>language ^[1] - 38:7</p> <p>last ^[5] - 7:1, 7:4, 40:1, 40:21, 42:1</p> <p>lasts ^[1] - 23:7</p> <p>lawsuits ^[1] - 41:20</p> <p>lax ^[2] - 29:19, 31:20</p> <p>least ^[6] - 5:18, 7:21, 24:3, 35:17, 42:8,</p>	<p>majority ^[4] - 9:1, 18:4, 18:5, 41:1</p> <p>makeup ^[1] - 8:10</p> <p>Meadows ^[1] - 43:9</p> <p>mean ^[32] - 5:5, 5:11, 7:9, 7:15, 8:5, 8:11, 9:5, 9:12, 9:13, 11:3, 11:17, 12:22, 15:1, 15:9, 15:19, 17:9, 19:2, 23:13, 23:14, 23:15, 23:21, 27:10, 27:17, 29:10, 33:12, 34:10, 34:21, 36:19, 40:2, 40:3, 41:22, 42:4</p> <p>meaning ^[1] - 23:22</p> <p>meant ^[1] - 36:14</p> <p>meantime ^[1] - 42:17</p> <p>meet ^[4] - 6:11, 6:14, 11:11, 29:2</p> <p>meeting ^[10] - 7:1, 17:17, 33:3, 33:6, 35:5, 35:8, 38:10, 43:6, 43:7, 44:10</p> <p>meetings ^[1] - 26:22</p>	<p>majority ^[4] - 9:1, 18:4, 18:5, 41:1</p> <p>makeup ^[1] - 8:10</p> <p>Meadows ^[1] - 43:9</p> <p>mean ^[32] - 5:5, 5:11, 7:9, 7:15, 8:5, 8:11, 9:5, 9:12, 9:13, 11:3, 11:17, 12:22, 15:1, 15:9, 15:19, 17:9, 19:2, 23:13, 23:14, 23:15, 23:21, 27:10, 27:17, 29:10, 33:12, 34:10, 34:21, 36:19, 40:2, 40:3, 41:22, 42:4</p> <p>meaning ^[1] - 23:22</p> <p>meant ^[1] - 36:14</p> <p>meantime ^[1] - 42:17</p> <p>meet ^[4] - 6:11, 6:14, 11:11, 29:2</p> <p>meeting ^[10] - 7:1, 17:17, 33:3, 33:6, 35:5, 35:8, 38:10, 43:6, 43:7, 44:10</p> <p>meetings ^[1] - 26:22</p>	<p>nail ^[1] - 42:18</p> <p>names ^[1] - 5:10</p> <p>Naperville ^[2] - 27:11, 29:9</p> <p>national ^[2] - 9:12, 20:20</p> <p>nearby ^[1] - 15:17</p> <p>necessarily ^[1] - 12:20</p> <p>neck ^[1] - 4:1</p> <p>need ^[9] - 13:8, 21:10, 27:14, 29:11, 32:17, 33:1, 36:17, 38:19, 42:21</p> <p>needs ^[4] - 14:17, 14:18, 24:1, 24:15</p> <p>negligent ^[1] - 11:15</p> <p>neighbors ^[1] - 31:6</p> <p>never ^[3] - 8:10, 20:3, 27:12</p> <p>new ^[1] - 7:5</p> <p>newspapers ^[1] - 41:19</p> <p>next ^[8] - 11:16, 29:21, 35:5, 35:8, 35:18, 42:11, 42:13, 43:7</p> <p>nice ^[3] - 27:18, 39:6, 40:16</p>

night [7] - 9:6, 16:10, 16:17, 16:21, 30:10, 30:15, 40:21 nightlife [1] - 30:21 nighttime [1] - 14:11 nobody [2] - 12:4, 42:5 noncontributing [1] - 23:22 northeastern [2] - 37:20, 37:22 northern [2] - 15:2, 15:6 notice [2] - 39:17, 40:2 notified [1] - 41:16 notify [1] - 41:13 numbers [2] - 23:17, 36:17	P p.m [1] - 45:2 paragraph [1] - 14:21 Park [2] - 15:14, 15:15 parking [7] - 16:13, 16:14, 28:7, 28:11, 28:13, 29:2, 29:7 part [2] - 20:6, 24:11 pass [1] - 21:13 passed [1] - 19:3 past [2] - 29:9, 43:5 people [17] - 6:9, 6:10, 7:21, 9:13, 10:4, 11:4, 12:13, 13:7, 16:19, 16:20, 23:11, 25:18, 30:14, 33:19, 41:13, 41:17, 41:20 people's [1] - 40:11 percentage [1] - 23:16 perfect [1] - 42:4 permit [1] - 8:21 person [1] - 29:8 personal [2] - 12:15, 12:18 perspective [2] - 21:7, 42:21 pile [1] - 20:12 place [1] - 8:10 Plan [8] - 4:10, 4:21, 19:21, 20:6, 21:3, 36:5, 36:7, 44:9 plan [2] - 20:6, 21:4 point [3] - 8:2, 14:2, 19:1 points [1] - 12:3 Poor [1] - 25:7 poorly [1] - 23:8 positive [1] - 11:7 possible [1] - 8:6 possibly [1] - 42:9 potential [1] - 17:18 practices [3] - 37:15, 37:18, 37:19 prefer [1] - 4:1 preference [1] - 12:15 preferences [1] - 12:19 Preservation [4] - 4:20, 5:9, 25:16, 32:2 pressure [1] - 33:17 pretty [1] - 6:9 print [1] - 22:7 problem [6] - 18:15, 25:20, 27:13, 40:4, 41:3, 41:4 process [2] - 42:14, 42:17 program [1] - 20:9	prohibit [3] - 4:4, 38:2, 38:8 promoting [1] - 27:7 properly [1] - 14:18 property [4] - 38:21, 38:22, 39:13, 40:11 propose [2] - 19:19, 33:20 public [5] - 39:17, 43:2, 43:5, 43:6, 43:17 pushing [1] - 16:13 put [5] - 11:10, 12:3, 15:10, 23:12, 35:10 puts [1] - 38:12	required [1] - 28:18 requirements [1] - 29:3 research [1] - 12:6 resetting [1] - 33:14 respects [1] - 21:6 restaurants [3] - 9:7, 9:9, 16:11 restrict [1] - 10:17 restricted [1] - 11:1 restrictions [2] - 10:9, 15:19 restrictive [5] - 9:15, 9:18, 27:16, 27:19, 31:16 resubmit [1] - 36:1 review [4] - 31:17, 31:21, 37:2, 37:13 reviewing [1] - 4:9 revise [1] - 25:14 Revival [2] - 19:4, 19:5 ringer [1] - 11:11 Riverside [1] - 31:18 Robb [3] - 17:15, 17:17, 20:18 Robert's [1] - 42:20 Rocky [1] - 32:18 role [1] - 4:9 roll [1] - 9:4 Rome [1] - 23:5 Rules [1] - 42:21 rush [1] - 34:7 Russia [1] - 40:9	shadow [1] - 22:14 share [1] - 20:13 shoot [1] - 9:5 shooting [1] - 25:11 shopping [2] - 30:15, 32:7 shops [1] - 32:11 show [2] - 11:6, 14:20 side [1] - 6:18 sides [1] - 7:7 sidewalk [1] - 9:4 sign [17] - 8:5, 8:9, 8:14, 11:10, 13:8, 15:20, 16:6, 18:10, 18:16, 22:16, 22:20, 23:13, 27:18, 27:19, 30:3, 41:2, 42:11 signage [19] - 4:5, 4:9, 4:12, 4:19, 6:3, 10:10, 11:2, 14:3, 15:4, 24:15, 27:13, 29:12, 29:15, 29:18, 29:20, 31:20, 32:4, 32:9 signs [14] - 8:16, 8:22, 9:14, 15:19, 18:6, 20:15, 20:21, 22:22, 23:14, 24:6, 24:19, 38:3, 41:5, 41:10 similar [1] - 10:22 simple [1] - 18:2 single [2] - 18:21, 41:2 sit [1] - 16:7 sleepy [1] - 16:10 small [1] - 23:16 someone [1] - 5:8 sorry [1] - 13:7 sounds [1] - 25:7 spaces [2] - 7:13, 16:14 specifically [2] - 5:15, 15:3 spectrums [1] - 21:7 spell [1] - 28:8 spend [1] - 28:17 Springs [1] - 31:4 standard [1] - 29:6 standards [1] - 21:2 Starbucks [1] - 23:12 state [1] - 10:9 States [1] - 18:22 stay [1] - 16:12 staying [1] - 16:16 steps [1] - 26:16 Steve [2] - 5:16, 7:1 still [3] - 14:14, 22:13, 34:9 stores [6] - 16:7, 16:11, 16:16, 16:20, 17:19, 24:13
O o'clock [3] - 9:4, 16:16, 16:17 Obviously [1] - 19:11 old [2] - 20:1, 30:20 onboard [1] - 40:17 once [2] - 21:3, 25:14 one [17] - 5:3, 5:7, 6:9, 7:8, 8:1, 9:3, 14:6, 14:8, 18:16, 20:2, 22:6, 22:7, 22:12, 26:22, 27:22, 33:5, 38:10 One [1] - 22:13 ones [5] - 21:20, 23:21, 23:22, 24:1, 37:9 open [3] - 16:12, 16:16, 16:20 opinion [6] - 6:18, 6:21, 7:2, 7:22, 9:20, 17:8 opportunity [1] - 11:20 opposed [3] - 12:20, 18:13, 40:20 option [2] - 34:21, 43:1 ordinance [4] - 15:20, 27:16, 27:19, 28:8 ordinances [1] - 18:20 Orland [2] - 15:14, 15:15 outside [1] - 8:11 own [2] - 12:6, 26:5 owned [4] - 28:14, 29:2, 40:14, 40:15 owners [2] - 38:21, 38:22	Q questions [1] - 4:10 quick [1] - 21:21 quickly [1] - 21:22	R railroad [1] - 31:10 raise [1] - 12:2 reaction [1] - 11:16 read [1] - 20:10 reading [1] - 39:21 real [1] - 9:21 really [20] - 4:2, 5:19, 6:16, 8:1, 12:2, 14:9, 14:22, 18:4, 18:14, 19:3, 20:19, 22:5, 22:12, 25:22, 28:5, 34:5, 35:11, 35:13, 36:17, 38:19 reason [1] - 9:3 reasoning [1] - 5:21 rebuilt [1] - 23:7 recently [1] - 22:22 recession [1] - 42:5 recommendation [4] - 4:21, 33:13, 35:15, 36:6 recommendations [1] - 5:19 redone [1] - 24:16 reference [1] - 5:8 register [2] - 31:7, 31:11 rejected [1] - 8:3 rely [1] - 42:17 remark [1] - 4:12 remember [1] - 41:14 rent [1] - 39:13 reopened [1] - 43:6 represent [1] - 17:21 request [4] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:6	S safest [1] - 36:3 salon [1] - 42:19 saltwater [2] - 32:11, 32:18 saw [3] - 6:15, 40:22, 41:5 scenario [1] - 16:18 Schweidler's [1] - 20:2 Scott [1] - 17:9 search [1] - 21:21 Second [1] - 44:12 second [1] - 43:19 section [1] - 29:1 See [1] - 12:11 see [12] - 10:2, 14:5, 15:3, 15:12, 22:6, 22:13, 25:4, 29:21, 31:4, 36:16, 39:14, 41:4 sense [3] - 14:19, 18:12, 33:12 sent [1] - 42:18 set [2] - 24:5, 37:13	

strategically ^[1] - 35:6 street ^[1] - 18:9 streetlights ^[1] - 11:16 strict ^[1] - 14:9 studies ^[1] - 33:11 study ^[1] - 17:2 stuff ^[4] - 19:17, 23:7, 23:10, 35:5 subcommittee ^[1] - 17:3 subtle ^[1] - 22:15 suburban ^[1] - 15:13 successful ^[1] - 16:15 Sunday ^[1] - 20:9 super ^[1] - 28:8 support ^[1] - 7:15 surprised ^[1] - 31:15 survey ^[2] - 10:1, 18:1	tricky ^[1] - 24:11 Troy ^[1] - 44:13 True ^[1] - 39:11 true ^[1] - 20:11 truly ^[1] - 16:15 trust ^[1] - 21:3 trustees ^[5] - 5:10, 8:1, 11:8, 25:14, 25:16 trying ^[2] - 17:18, 20:19 two ^[5] - 7:21, 25:10, 25:18, 29:14, 34:16 type ^[3] - 4:1, 12:21, 22:17 types ^[1] - 11:5	ways ^[1] - 29:16 weak ^[1] - 28:8 week ^[1] - 41:19 Western ^[1] - 31:4 western ^[1] - 28:13 white ^[1] - 27:15 whole ^[3] - 23:2, 30:12, 42:2 WILLOBEE ^[11] - 7:18, 19:10, 33:10, 33:16, 34:14, 36:14, 38:10, 42:14, 43:9, 44:4, 44:15 Winnetka ^[1] - 31:13 wish ^[1] - 34:8 wishing ^[1] - 32:10 withdraw ^[1] - 34:21 word ^[1] - 7:17 Wright ^[1] - 18:21 written ^[3] - 28:5, 33:13, 34:14 wrote ^[1] - 38:16
T	U	Y
taffy ^[2] - 32:11, 32:18 talks ^[3] - 11:4, 28:6, 28:10 tasteful ^[1] - 20:22 teasing ^[1] - 31:2 tenants ^[2] - 17:18, 20:20 terms ^[1] - 4:19 terrible ^[1] - 29:5 text ^[5] - 6:1, 36:5, 40:1, 41:12, 42:1 Text ^[1] - 44:8 Theatre ^[1] - 13:10 themselves ^[1] - 28:21 thinking ^[2] - 18:13, 42:6 TinkRworks ^[1] - 27:18 Title ^[1] - 4:15 to-dos ^[1] - 33:21 together ^[1] - 35:10 toned ^[1] - 14:15 tonight ^[1] - 17:9 took ^[2] - 14:13, 42:6 total ^[4] - 8:21, 18:6, 25:13, 40:22 totally ^[3] - 18:13, 24:16, 40:20 town ^[13] - 7:13, 9:5, 10:2, 15:11, 16:9, 16:15, 23:2, 26:6, 28:2, 30:13, 37:11, 40:22 towns ^[5] - 10:9, 31:15, 31:19, 32:8, 38:2 train ^[1] - 29:22 trees ^[1] - 28:10	ugliest ^[1] - 28:13 ugly ^[2] - 23:7, 23:22 unanimous ^[1] - 25:15 under ^[1] - 33:17 UNELL ^[5] - 34:2, 43:19, 44:5, 44:11, 44:14 United ^[1] - 18:22 unless ^[1] - 24:5 unnamed ^[2] - 6:9, 7:21 up ^[7] - 9:4, 15:22, 17:18, 26:10, 26:12, 28:1, 38:11 upset ^[1] - 9:13	year ^[1] - 43:11 years ^[5] - 4:14, 13:20, 34:16, 38:16, 41:11 yesterday ^[1] - 8:19 young ^[1] - 30:17 YU ^[12] - 4:15, 4:18, 17:16, 26:17, 35:16, 35:20, 36:4, 36:10, 36:13, 39:19, 42:13, 43:8
	V	Z
	value ^[3] - 39:12, 39:13, 40:11 valued ^[1] - 5:20 variety ^[1] - 27:14 vast ^[3] - 9:1, 18:5, 41:1 video ^[1] - 5:6 Village ^[13] - 13:4, 17:14, 17:20, 18:3, 21:5, 26:14, 28:11, 28:15, 29:5, 34:8, 39:5, 44:7 visual ^[3] - 14:4, 24:19, 27:14 visually ^[1] - 21:6 vote ^[8] - 14:19, 21:9, 24:5, 25:15, 32:5, 35:19, 36:11, 36:13	ZBA ^[1] - 34:16 Zoning ^[1] - 44:8 zoning ^[2] - 25:3, 27:15 Zook ^[2] - 19:13, 19:15
	W	
	wants ^[1] - 34:20 wasteland ^[1] - 29:8 watched ^[1] - 5:6	