Approved

MINUTES VILLAGE OF HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

January 13, 2020

Memorial Hall – Memorial Building, 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale 6:30 P.M.

Chairman Bohnen called the meeting of the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) to order at 6:45 p.m. on January 13, 2020, in Memorial Hall in the Memorial Building, 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale IL.

Present: Chairman Bohnen, Commissioner Williams (via phone), Commissioner

Prisby, Commissioner Gonzalez and Commissioner Haarlow

Absent: Commissioner Weinberger and Commissioner Braden,

Also Present: Chan Yu, Village Planner and Mike D'Onofrio, Planning Consultant

Minutes – December 4, 2019

Chairman Bohnen introduced the minutes from the December 4, 2019, meeting and asked for any comments.

With no comments, the HPC unanimously approved the minutes for the December 4, 2019, HPC special meeting, 5-0, (2 absent).

Public Hearing - Certificate of Appropriateness

Case HPC-11-2019 - 244 E. 1st Street - Request for Certificate of Appropriateness to demolish and construct a new home in the Robbins Park Historic District.

At the January 13, HPC public hearing, the homeowner and architect reviewed the application and introduced the project to answer questions.

Please refer to Attachment 1, for the transcript for Public Hearing Case HPC-11-2019

A motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness was **unanimously approved**, 4-0 (1 abstained and 2 absent).

Public Hearing - Certificate of Appropriateness

Case HPC-09-2019 – 417 S. Elm St. - Request for Certificate of Appropriateness to construct a new home in the Robbins Park Historic District.

At the January 13, HPC public hearing, the attorney representing the applicant reviewed the application and introduced the project to answer questions.

Please refer to Attachment 2, for the transcript for Public Hearing Case HPC-09-2019

A motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness was **approved**, 4-1 (2 absent).

Public Hearing - Certificate of Appropriateness

Case HPC-12-2019 - 304 S. Lincoln St. - Request for Certificate of Appropriateness for a retroactive permit for work to a garage attached to a landmarked house.

At the January 13, HPC public hearing, the attorney representing the applicant reviewed the application and introduced the project to answer questions.

Please refer to Attachment 3, for the transcript for Public Hearing Case HPC-12-2019

A motion to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness was unanimously approved, with the condition that the enforcement section of the ordinance is enforced, 5-0 (2 absent).

<u>Discussion - Historic Preservation Commission Title 14 Regulations Action</u> Summary Review

Mr. Mike D'Onofrio introduced and reviewed the Title 14 regulations agenda item, and summarized 3 HPC meetings of discussion.

Please refer to Attachment 4, for the transcript for Title 14 Regulations Action Summary Review.

Adjournment

The HPC unanimously agreed to adjourn at 8:29 PM on January 13, 2020.

Respectfully Submitted,

Chan Yu, Village Planner

```
STATE OF ILLINOIS )

(COUNTY OF DU PAGE )
```

BEFORE THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

()

HPC-11-2019 - 244 East First Street)

Request for Certificate of)

Appropriateness to demolish and)

construct a new home in the Robbins)

Park Historic District)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the hearing of the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Historic Preservation Commission at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 13th day of January, 2020, at 6:41 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. JOHN BOHNEN, Chairman;

MR. FRANK GONZALEZ, Member;

MR. BILL HAARLOW, Member;

MR. JIM PRISBY, Member;

MS. SANDRA WILLIAMS, via telephone, Member.

1	ALSO PRESENT:		4
		1	registered historic district and Hinsdale
2	MR. CHAN YU, Village Planner;	2	itself. So there is in my opinion nothing else
3	MR. MICHAEL D'ONOFRIO, Planning Consultant;	3	that I can do but vote no on the demo.
4	•		CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. Anybody else?
5	MR. MICHAEL J. ABRAHAM, Principal, Michael J. Abraham Architecture;	5	MR. PRISBY: When it comes to the demo,
		6	I agree, it's a historic home, we should try to
6	MR. KEVIN GEIST, Michael J. Abraham Architecture;	7	keep it; but I'm going to vote no.
7		8	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The saga of this
8	MR. BRUCE RITTER, General Contractor;	9	house is long and emotional for a lot of folks
9	MR. MIKE CALLAGHAN and	06:45:01PM 10	in town. Having said that, we are, obviously,
	MS. MONICA CALLAGHAN, Owners.	11	way beyond the possibility of saving it and
10		12	reworking it; so it would be beating a horse to
11	(Mr. Abraham, Mr. Geist,	13	be going on about that.
12	Mrs. Callaghan, Mr. Callaghan	14	And I guess the only remark that I
13	and Mr. Ritter were sworn.)	15	would make is that I would hope that in the
	,	16	future people that take on ownership of historic
14 15	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: All right. We will begin with Case HPC-11-2019, 244 East First	17	homes do so with the knowledge that these homes
16	Street. This is a request for a Certificate of	18	require a lot of upkeep and a lot of very
17 18	Appropriateness to demolish and construct a new home in the Robbins Park Historic District.	19	sensitive care and very expensive care. And if
19 06:42:48PM 20	MR. GEIST: My name is Kevin Geist.	06:45:49PM 20	it's something that people question whether or
06:42:48PM 20 21	I'm the applicant for 244 East First. I'm here with Mike and Monica Callaghan, who are the	21	not they have the ability to perform the upkeep
22	owners. Mike and Monica, and also joined by	22	on these properties, we would request that they
	3		5
4	Durren Dikken, the general continuetor in the		
1	Bruce Ritter, the general contractor, in the	1	not purchase them so that we don't have to go
2	audience.	2	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did
	audience. Since our last meeting on	3	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally
2 3 4	audience. Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place	2 3 4	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked,
2 3 4 5	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the	2 3 4 5	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission.
2 3 4 5	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in	2 3 4 5 6	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent
2 3 4 5 6 7	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls,	2 3 4 5 6 7	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale	2 3 4 5 6	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 084325PM 10 11	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:48:38PM 10	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0843.25PM 10 11	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Good, Kevin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08-48-36PM 10 11	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going forward, we understand it; but I want to be on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0643-25PM 10 11 12 13	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Good, Kevin. MR. GEIST: I would be happy to answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06:46:36PM 10 11 12 13	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going forward, we understand it; but I want to be on the record with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06.43.25PM 10 11 12 13 14	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Good, Kevin. MR. GEIST: I would be happy to answer any questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08-48-38PM 10 11 12 13	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going forward, we understand it; but I want to be on the record with that. So having said my piece, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0643.25PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Good, Kevin. MR. GEIST: I would be happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I'll take this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06-46-36PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going forward, we understand it; but I want to be on the record with that. So having said my piece, does anybody want to make a motion that we grant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06.43.25PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Good, Kevin. MR. GEIST: I would be happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I'll take this in the proper order with the demo. We'll start	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06-46-36PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going forward, we understand it; but I want to be on the record with that. So having said my piece, does anybody want to make a motion that we grant or not grant the demo? I guess you make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0643.25PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Good, Kevin. MR. GEIST: I would be happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I'll take this in the proper order with the demo. We'll start with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06-46-36PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going forward, we understand it; but I want to be on the record with that. So having said my piece, does anybody want to make a motion that we grant or not grant the demo? I guess you make a positive motion to grant it and then we will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06.43.25FM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Good, Kevin. MR. GEIST: I would be happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I'll take this in the proper order with the demo. We'll start with that. Do we have any comments? Sandra?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06-46-36PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going forward, we understand it; but I want to be on the record with that. So having said my piece, does anybody want to make a motion that we grant or not grant the demo? I guess you make a positive motion to grant it and then we will vote.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0643.25PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Since our last meeting on December 4, the changes that have taken place are outlined in this memorandum, which are the north elevation we have revised to be stone in lieu of stucco and removed some wing walls, which were comments from the Village of Hinsdale from our building review. We have since also applied for a demolition permit and also a building permit. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Good, Kevin. MR. GEIST: I would be happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I'll take this in the proper order with the demo. We'll start with that. Do we have any comments? Sandra? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. I can tell you in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 06-46-36PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	not purchase them so that we don't have to go through all the twisting and turning that we did on this particular house, having been a locally landmarked house, had to be locally unlandmarked, not by this Commission. But for those of us who have spent our lives here in town, or many years at least, each time we lose a historic house like this, it's got a certain bit of remorse for us. So it's not your job to be worried about this at this time because you had plans, you are going forward, we understand it; but I want to be on the record with that. So having said my piece, does anybody want to make a motion that we grant or not grant the demo? I guess you make a positive motion to grant it and then we will vote. MR. PRISBY: I will motion to approve

		1	
	6		8
1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: All in favor?	1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So there is a little
2	MR. GONZALEZ: Aye.	2	paid advertise or unpaid advertisement for you
3	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandra?	3	over the airwaves if anybody is watching
4	MS. WILLIAMS: No.	4	tonight.
5	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I'm recusing myself	5	In any event, it certainly makes
6	from this.	6	our job easier and gives us a better feel for
7	MR. HAARLOW: No.	7	things so we do appreciate that.
8	MR. PRISBY: No.	8	So we note the changes that were
9	MR. GONZALEZ: No.	9	made. Any comments? We knew about the material
06:47:31PM 10	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So that motion	06:49:42PM 10	change, the wing walls, that was the Village
11	doesn't pass. But as you know, we are advisory.	11	evidently that was suggesting that.
12	So now we will get on to the next	12	MR. GEIST: And that was a setback
13	item at hand, which is the Certificate of	13	requirement.
14	Appropriateness to build a new house.	14	MR. PRISBY: We did have quite a bit of
15	First off, I would like to thank	15	discussion on this last month.
16	Kevin and the crew over at Abraham for providing	16	MR. HAARLOW: We did.
17	the streetscapes. This is really nice to have	17	MR. PRISBY: Frank I don't think was
18	this type of thing. Streetscape is one of the	18	here.
19	criterias that we are most concerned about, and	19	MR. GONZALEZ: No, I wasn't.
06:48:08PM 20	this gives us a good opportunity or a really	06:50:00PM 20	MR. PRISBY: Do you want to add
21	good feel. Not that your graphics weren't good	21	anything to that?
22	before, they were; but seeing it laid out this	22	MR. GONZALEZ: My whole thing would be
	7		9
1	way, I appreciate that.	1	the relationship with neighboring homes is,
2	MR. GEIST: Sure. Yes.	2	that's a very, I don't want to use
3	MR. ABRAHAM: Yes.	3	Okay. I was just looking at the
4	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Can we have a	4	design and comparing it to the other homes near
5	conversation?	5	it. And so I don't see a strong relationship
6	MR. HAARLOW: I would just echo that.	6	with this streetscape, so with the other homes,
7	I think and Chan, maybe this can be a	7	so I don't know. What do you feel, Jim?
8	model I think that the materials that have	8	MR. PRISBY: I commented last month
9	been submitted by the firm are the best we have	9	that I personally like the house. I think it
06:48:37PM 10	ever had, quite honestly. So thank you very	06:50:47PM 10	has a lot in the district that complements other
11	much for that, very thorough. Many applicants	11	elements in the district. It has some details
12	submit the bare minimum. We had one of those	12	that we don't normally see come through here on
13	this evening, so thank you.	13	some other houses. I'm not bothered by the
14	MR. PRISBY: And I would echo that.	14	height.
15	MS. WILLIAMS: I would agree that that	15	MR. GONZALEZ: Oh, I'm not. Actually,
16	streetscape proposal is extraordinary and very	16	I actually like the stone color. Is it stone?
17	helpful, and I would like to see that happen for	17	Is it going to be stone? What type of stone, do
18	the future in the historic district.	18	you know?
19	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, now we know it	19	MR. GEIST: It's going to be We
06:49:09PM 20	can be done, maybe not by all but certainly by	06:51:16PM 20	have the sample. It's from Halquist. It's
21	Mike Abraham and his crowd.	21	called the Basswood blend.
22	MR. GEIST: It's the template.	22	MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. Is it manmade or
3 of 9 shee	ts KATHLEEN W. BONG), CSR 630-8	⁸³⁴⁻⁷ 01.13.20 HPC Minutes - Attachment 1
			J J J

10 12 you using any of the existing home's -- like 1 is it quarried? 1 2 MR. ABRAHAM: Quarried. There are 2 reclaimed wood or anything like that? three different stones in that blend. Okay. MR. ABRAHAM: We could probably look at 3 3 4 MR. GONZALEZ: It's natural. 4 some of that, but we haven't really. 5 MR. PRISBY: You know, Frank, so many 5 MS. GALLAGHER: We are keeping the times we have been here and we have looked at 6 6 posts. some of these houses and it's just massive. 7 MR. GONZALEZ: Even certain beams or 7 8 MR. GONZALEZ: No. It's much better timber, as they are demolishing, they can decide than before. 9 9 whether they can use something and whether it's 06:51:47PM 10 MR. PRISBY: They dwarf the neighbors' 06:53:40PM 10 decorative or structural. 11 houses, right? 11 MR. GALLAGHER: We definitely thought 12 MR. GONZALEZ: I look at the existing about the two doors, the front door and the side home that was there, it did have some door for sure. We also thought about the 13 13 14 considerable volume to it also. 14 possibility of incorporating some of the old 15 MR. PRISBY: I'm not necessarily sure I stone leftover from the driveway, maybe down in 15 think that the house must replicate the massing the basement or somewhere in the cellar. It's 16 16 that was there either. 17 17 been there a long, long time. And that's 18 MR. GONZALEZ: No. I'm not saying it probably retained from the original. 18 MR. GONZALEZ: A home like this will 19 is. I'm just noting looking at it. This helps 19 06:52:07PM **20** the streetscape so we are trying to fly blindly 06:54:01PM **20** have a lot of old timber that somebody -- I 21 half the time to try and imagine. But no, it's 21 mean there is some value to that, that's all. I good. It's reasonable. It's good. think that's all. 22 22 11 13 MR. PRISBY: There are some details in 1 1 MS. WILLIAMS: Personally I'm very there that kind of reflect some of the Zook pleased with the material, as are many other details and some of the other elements that are Commission members. My biggest hesitation is in the area, not necessarily at this corner or the rounded window on First Street. No problem 4 even on this block. with the other window. The arch is much 5 6 MR. GONZALEZ: You mean the round flatter, looks better with the house across the 6 windows, that's Zook? 7 street; across Elm Street on First Street, it 7 MR. PRISBY: No, but some of the stone kind of matches up with their porte-cochere. 8 8 details, some of the -- I will dig that out. That's the thing that concerns me. And I have 9 06:52:38PM 10 There are some, I believe, some wood details, if 06:54:43PM 10 heard that it is not necessarily modern, but it 11 I remember correctly, that kind of tipped their certainly looks contemporary and sort of throws hat to some of that old Zook farmhouse look that the whole thing off for me. I think we talked 12 13 I appreciate. I mean it's more than most of the 13 about it a little bit at last month's meeting. projects we've seen. That's the stuff that we 14 MR. PRISBY: We did, Sandy. We talked 14 15 see is missing most of the time. So to actually about our preference for the one in the back. 15 see something that comes in here that looks MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. 16 16 17 good -- Granted, you know my position on the 17 MR. ABRAHAM: We have some precedence 18 house; right? We wanted to keep the house. But 18 that we can show you that we were using from with that behind us and just looking at this homes, vintage homes, probably from the early 19

06:53:12PM **20**

21

22

moving forward, I like the design of the house;

MR. GONZALEZ: Right. I'm curious, are

and I think it's fine.

'20s and '30s, that maybe you want to --

MR. GEIST: Can we pass them around?

MS. WILLIAMS: Were any of those homes

06:55:18PM **20**

21

22

14 16 in Hinsdale? I don't remember seeing a window Any further questions or considerations that you 1 like this but that doesn't mean it doesn't 2 would like to talk to these folks about? 2 exist. MR. GONZALEZ: No, I don't have. Is 3 3 4 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Can you see through this just an example of the window? that telephone, too, Sandy? 5 MR. ABRAHAM: That's more of an example MS. WILLIAMS: Excuse me? of the window itself. The actual material --6 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Can you see through 7 MR. GONZALEZ: All right. 7 this telephone? 8 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. 8 9 MS. WILLIAMS: No, I cannot. Hopefully 9 MR. HAARLOW: I don't have a question. you can't see me either. I would just make, because we discussed this 06:55:45PM 10 10 11 MR. ABRAHAM: But the arch and the extensively last time, I think that from a materials used for the arch are definitely taken historical perspective, we definitely appreciate 12 from historical references. Go back as far as 13 the materials. 13 14 you want to go, really, with an arch. But 14 One of the things we talked about primarily we were looking at buildings from the last time was if you are going to take down a 15 15 '20s and '30s. house with the significance that the current one 16 16 has, are you replacing it with something that is 17 MR. GONZALEZ: That's a good 17 description. worthy of that; and maybe someone will try to 18 18 MR. ABRAHAM: So it's cut limestone keep 100 years from now rather than tearing this 19 19 20 surround with random, it's kind of a random 06:58:27PM **20** one down. 21 pattern, random cuts. It's not too regimented. 21 Whether it's Zook or last time you Each stone is a different size. 22 22 mentioned both Lutyens and Adler, I think having 15 17 1 MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, I see that. So is some of those influences in the historic district suggests to Jim's point there is this right, you have soldier bricks? 3 MR. ABRAHAM: That's just showing the definitely value there in having some of those brick. But the way we have detailed it's more historical references; and I think that that's 4 cut stone. That's more for the arch itself. worthy of note. 5 5 6 MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. 6 Adler liked thin homes. There are 7 MR. ABRAHAM: So you will see some with aspects of this that are thin. The sun room, brick and some with stone there. Our detail is the great room to a degree, the one-car attached 8 with the full cut stone, cut limestone, arch. garage. Some of the massing I think displays 9 9 06:57:05PM 10 MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. All right. 06:58:59PM 10 some of that. So I think there is a lot to be CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: You should mention 11 11 said for what's going to replace the existing for Frank's edification about when we commented 12 home. 12 about floor-to-ceiling fenestration, we have 13 13 MR. ABRAHAM: Thank you. been concerned about some of the new homes. 14 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So, perhaps, this 14 This is the foyer? 15 becomes an heirloom for tomorrow. 15 MR. ABRAHAM: It is. 16 MR. ABRAHAM: We understand the 16 17 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: And to bring light responsibility we are taking on by putting this 17 18 into the foyer. 18 house up where it is and what was there. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, we appreciate 19 MR. ABRAHAM: It is, yes. 19 06:57:26PM **20** MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. 20 your sensitivity to that. 21 MR. GEIST: 10-foot tall. 21 May I have a motion, please. 22 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. All right. 22 MR. GONZALEZ: Aye.

	18
1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I need a motion on
2	the Certificate of Appropriateness.
3	MR. HAARLOW: I will move to approve
4	the Certificate of Appropriateness for 244 East
5	First Street, which is case HPC-11-2019.
6	MS. WILLIAMS: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: All in favor?
8	MR. PRISBY: Aye.
9	MR. HAARLOW: Aye.
06:59:51PM 10	MR. GONZALEZ: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Motion carries.
12	Thank you very much.
13	* * *
14	(Which were all the proceedings had
15	in the above-entitled cause.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

19

STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss. COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

I, JANICE H. HEINEMANN, CSR, RDR, CRR, do hereby certify that I am a court reporter doing business in the State of Illinois, that I reported in shorthand the testimony given at the hearing of said cause, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.

Janice H. Heinemann CSR, RDR, CRR

License No. 084-001391

	2000 to: 4:6 7:45	18:7, 18:11	complements	details [5] - 9:11,
•	agree [2] - 4:6, 7:15	*	complements [1] -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	airwaves [1] - 8:3	bothered [1] - 9:13	9:10	11:1, 11:3, 11:9,
	ALSO [1] - 2:1	brick [2] - 15:4, 15:8	concerned [2] - 6:19,	11:10
'20s [2] - 13:20,	answer [1] - 3:13	bricks [1] - 15:2	15:14	detrimental [1] -
14:16	applicant [1] - 2:20	bring [1] - 15:17	concerns [1] - 13:9	3:21
'30s [2] - 13:20,	applicants [1] - 7:11	BRUCE [1] - 2:7	considerable [1] -	different [2] - 10:3,
14:16	applied [1] - 3:10	Bruce [1] - 3:1	10:14	14:22
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
0	appreciate [5] - 7:1,	build [1] - 6:14	considerations [1] -	dig [1] - 11:9
U	8:7, 11:13, 16:12,	building [2] - 3:9,	16:1	discussed [1] -
	17:19	3:11	construct [2] - 1:8,	16:10
084-001391 [1] -	Appropriateness [5]	buildings [1] - 14:15	2:17	discussion [1] - 8:15
	- 1:7, 2:17, 6:14, 18:2,	business [1] - 19:5	Consultant [1] - 2:3	displays [1] - 17:9
19:12	18:4		contemporary [1] -	district [5] - 4:1,
	approve [2] - 5:19,	•	13:11	7:18, 9:10, 9:11, 17:2
1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	С		
	18:3		Contractor [1] - 2:7	District [2] - 1:8,
	arch [6] - 13:5,	CALL ACHAN (c)	contractor [1] - 3:1	2:18
10-foot [1] - 15:21	14:11, 14:12, 14:14,	CALLAGHAN [2] -	conversation [1] -	done [1] - 7:20
100 [1] - 16:19	15:5, 15:9	2:8, 2:9	7:5	door [2] - 12:12,
13th [1] - 1:14	Architecture [2] -	Callaghan [3] - 2:12,	corner [1] - 11:4	12:13
19 [1] - 1:13	2:5, 2:6	2:21	correct [1] - 19:8	doors [1] - 12:12
1 3 [1] = 1.10		cannot [1] - 14:9		
	area [1] - 11:4	car [1] - 17:8	correctly [1] - 11:11	down [3] - 12:15,
2	aspects [1] - 17:7		COUNTY [2] - 1:2,	16:15, 16:20
	attached [1] - 17:8	care [2] - 4:19	19:2	driveway [1] - 12:15
	audience [1] - 3:2	carries [1] - 18:11	court [1] - 19:4	DU [2] - 1:2, 19:2
2020 [1] - 1:14	Avenue [1] - 1:13	Case [1] - 2:15	crew [1] - 6:16	dwarf [1] - 10:10
244 [4] - 1:6, 2:15,	Aye [3] - 6:2, 17:22,	case [1] - 18:5	criterias [1] - 6:19	
2:20, 18:4		ceiling [1] - 15:13		_
	18:8	cellar [1] - 12:16	crowd [1] - 7:21	E
4	aye [2] - 18:9, 18:10		CRR [2] - 19:3, 19:12	
4		certain [2] - 5:9, 12:7	CSR [2] - 19:3, 19:12	20 mly (4) 12:10
	В	certainly [3] - 7:20,	curious [1] - 11:22	early [1] - 13:19
4 [1] - 3:4		8:5, 13:11	current [1] - 16:16	easier [1] - 8:6
4[1] - 3.4		Certificate [5] - 1:7,	cut [4] - 14:19, 15:5,	East [5] - 1:6, 1:13,
	bare [1] - 7:12	2:16, 6:13, 18:2, 18:4		2:15, 2:20, 18:4
6	basement [1] - 12:16	certify [1] - 19:4	15:9	echo [2] - 7:6, 7:14
	Basswood [1] - 9:21	CHAIRMAN [24] -	cuts [1] - 14:21	edification [1] -
				15:12
6:41 [1] - 1:15	beams [1] - 12:7	2:14, 3:12, 3:15, 4:4,	D	
	hooting (4) 4:12	4:8, 5:21, 6:1, 6:3,	_	
	beating [1] - 4:12			either [2] - 10:17,
Α	becomes [1] - 17:15	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19,		14:10
Α	becomes [1] - 17:15	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19,	D'ONOFRIO [1] - 2:3	∃
Α	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11,	D'ONOFRIO [1] - 2:3 December [1] - 3:4	14:10
A ability [1] - 4:21	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8,	December [1] - 3:4	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3
ability [1] - 4:21	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1,	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] -	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] -	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4,	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] -	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4,	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4,	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17,	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3,	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4,	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16,	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16,	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5,	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16,	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4,
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 coch ere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 cochere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8,	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] -	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6 add [1] - 8:20 Adler [2] - 16:22,	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5 BOARD [1] - 1:16	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 cochere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8, 15:12	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] - 12:8	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3 existing [3] - 10:12,
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6 add [1] - 8:20 Adler [2] - 16:22, 17:6	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5 BOARD [1] - 1:16 BOHNEN [25] - 1:17,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 cochere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8, 15:12 comments [3] - 3:8,	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] - 12:8 demolition [1] - 3:10	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3 existing [3] - 10:12, 12:1, 17:11
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6 add [1] - 8:20 Adler [2] - 16:22, 17:6 advance [1] - 3:20	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5 BOARD [1] - 1:16	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 coch ere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8, 15:12 comments [3] - 3:8, 3:18, 8:9	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] - 12:8	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3 existing [3] - 10:12,
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6 add [1] - 8:20 Adler [2] - 16:22, 17:6 advance [1] - 3:20 advertise [1] - 8:2	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5 BOARD [1] - 1:16 BOHNEN [25] - 1:17,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 cochere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8, 15:12 comments [3] - 3:8,	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] - 12:8 demolition [1] - 3:10	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3 existing [3] - 10:12, 12:1, 17:11
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6 add [1] - 8:20 Adler [2] - 16:22, 17:6 advance [1] - 3:20 advertise [1] - 8:2 advertisement [1] -	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5 BOARD [1] - 1:16 BOHNEN [25] - 1:17, 2:14, 3:12, 3:15, 4:4, 4:8, 5:21, 6:1, 6:3,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 coch ere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8, 15:12 comments [3] - 3:8, 3:18, 8:9	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] - 12:8 demolition [1] - 3:10 description [1] -	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3 existing [3] - 10:12, 12:1, 17:11 expensive [1] - 4:19
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6 add [1] - 8:20 Adler [2] - 16:22, 17:6 advance [1] - 3:20 advertise [1] - 8:2	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5 BOARD [1] - 1:16 BOHNEN [25] - 1:17, 2:14, 3:12, 3:15, 4:4, 4:8, 5:21, 6:1, 6:3, 6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 coch ere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8, 15:12 comments [3] - 3:8, 3:18, 8:9 COMMISSION [1] - 1:4	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] - 12:8 demolition [1] - 3:10 description [1] - 14:18 design [2] - 9:4,	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3 existing [3] - 10:12, 12:1, 17:11 expensive [1] - 4:19 extensively [1] - 16:11
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6 add [1] - 8:20 Adler [2] - 16:22, 17:6 advance [1] - 3:20 advertise [1] - 8:2 advertisement [1] - 8:2	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5 BOARD [1] - 1:16 BOHNEN [25] - 1:17, 2:14, 3:12, 3:15, 4:4, 4:8, 5:21, 6:1, 6:3, 6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 cochere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8, 15:12 comments [3] - 3:8, 3:18, 8:9 COMMISSION [1] - 1:4 Commission [3] -	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] - 12:8 demolition [1] - 3:10 description [1] - 14:18 design [2] - 9:4, 11:20	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3 existing [3] - 10:12, 12:1, 17:11 expensive [1] - 4:19 extensively [1] - 16:11 extraordinary [1] -
ability [1] - 4:21 above-entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 ABRAHAM [14] - 2:4, 7:3, 10:2, 12:3, 13:17, 14:11, 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, 15:16, 15:19, 16:5, 17:13, 17:16 Abraham [5] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:11, 6:16, 7:21 actual [1] - 16:6 add [1] - 8:20 Adler [2] - 16:22, 17:6 advance [1] - 3:20 advertise [1] - 8:2 advertisement [1] -	becomes [1] - 17:15 BEFORE [1] - 1:3 begin [1] - 2:15 behind [1] - 11:19 best [1] - 7:9 better [3] - 8:6, 10:8, 13:6 beyond [1] - 4:11 biggest [1] - 13:3 BILL [1] - 1:19 bit [3] - 5:9, 8:14, 13:13 blend [2] - 9:21, 10:3 blindly [1] - 10:20 block [1] - 11:5 BOARD [1] - 1:16 BOHNEN [25] - 1:17, 2:14, 3:12, 3:15, 4:4, 4:8, 5:21, 6:1, 6:3, 6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19,	6:5, 6:10, 7:4, 7:19, 8:1, 14:4, 14:7, 15:11, 15:17, 15:22, 16:8, 17:14, 17:19, 18:1, 18:7, 18:11 Chairman [1] - 1:17 Chan [1] - 7:7 CHAN [1] - 2:2 change [1] - 8:10 changes [2] - 3:4, 8:8 Chicago [1] - 1:13 coch ere [1] - 13:8 color [1] - 9:16 commented [2] - 9:8, 15:12 comments [3] - 3:8, 3:18, 8:9 COMMISSION [1] - 1:4	December [1] - 3:4 decide [1] - 12:8 decorative [1] - 12:10 definitely [4] - 12:11, 14:12, 16:12, 17:3 degree [1] - 17:8 demo [6] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:3, 4:5, 5:16, 5:20 demolish [2] - 1:7, 2:17 demolishing [1] - 12:8 demolition [1] - 3:10 description [1] - 14:18 design [2] - 9:4,	14:10 elements [2] - 9:11, 11:3 elevation [1] - 3:6 Elm [1] - 13:7 emotional [1] - 4:9 entitled [2] - 1:11, 18:15 evening [1] - 7:13 event [1] - 8:5 evidently [1] - 8:11 example [2] - 16:4, 16:5 excuse [1] - 14:6 exist [1] - 14:3 existing [3] - 10:12, 12:1, 17:11 expensive [1] - 4:19 extensively [1] - 16:11

look [3] - 10:12, MR [77] - 1:17, 1:18, F guess [2] - 4:14, ı 5:16 11:12, 12:3 1:19, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, looked [1] - 10:6 2:4, 2:6, 2:7, 2:8, **ILLINOIS** [2] - 1:1, far [1] - 14:13 2:19, 3:13, 4:5, 5:19, н **looking** [4] - 9:3, farmhouse [1] -10:19, 11:19, 14:15 5:22, 6:2, 6:7, 6:8, 11:12 Illinois [2] - 1:14, 6:9, 7:2, 7:3, 7:6, looks [3] - 11:16, HAARLOW [8] favor [2] - 6:1, 18:7 19:5 7:14, 7:22, 8:12, 8:14, 13:6, 13:11 1:19, 5:22, 6:7, 7:6, imagine [1] - 10:21 fenestration [1] -8:16, 8:17, 8:19, 8:20, lose [1] - 5:8 8:16, 16:9, 18:3, 18:9 15:13 impact [1] - 3:21 8:22, 9:8, 9:15, 9:19, loss [1] - 3:21 half [1] - 10:21 fine [1] - 11:21 **important** [1] - 3:22 9:22, 10:2, 10:4, 10:5, Lutyens [1] - 16:22 Halquist [1] - 9:20 firm [1] - 7:9 IN [1] - 1:5 10:8, 10:10, 10:12, hand [1] - 6:13 First [6] - 1:6, 2:15, incorporating [1] -10:15, 10:18, 11:1, M happy [1] - 3:13 2:20, 13:4, 13:7, 18:5 12:14 11:6, 11:8, 11:22, hat [1] - 11:12 first [1] - 6:15 influences [1] - 17:1 12:3, 12:7, 12:11, heard [1] - 13:10 manmade [1] - 9:22 item [1] - 6:13 12:19, 13:14, 13:17, flatter [1] - 13:6 hearing [2] - 1:11, massing [2] - 10:16, 13:21, 14:11, 14:17, floor [1] - 15:13 itself [3] - 4:2, 15:5, 19:7 17:9 14:19, 15:1, 15:3, floor-to-ceiling [1] -16:6 height [1] - 9:14 massive [1] - 10:7 15:6, 15:7, 15:10, 15:13 HEINEMANN [1] matches [1] - 13:8 15:16, 15:19, 15:20, **fly** [1] - 10:20 J 19:3 material [3] - 8:9, 15:21, 16:3, 16:5, **folks** [2] - 4:9, 16:2 Heinemann [1] -13:2, 16:6 16:7, 16:9, 17:13, foregoing [1] - 19:7 **JANICE** [1] - 19:3 19:12 materials [3] - 7:8, 17:16, 17:22, 18:3, forward [2] - 5:12, Janice [1] - 19:12 14:12, 16:13 **heirloom** [1] - 17:15 18:8, 18:9, 18:10 11:20 January [1] - 1:14 helpful [1] - 7:17 **MATTER** [1] - 1:5 MS [12] - 1:21, 2:9, foyer [2] - 15:15, Jim [1] - 9:7 helps [1] - 10:19 matter [1] - 1:12 3:19, 6:4, 7:15, 12:5, 15:18 JIM [1] - 1:20 hereby [1] - 19:4 mean [4] - 11:6, 13:1, 13:16, 13:22, FRANK [1] - 1:18 Jim's [1] - 17:2 hesitation [1] - 13:3 11:13, 12:21, 14:2 14:6, 14:9, 18:6 frank [1] - 8:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 **job** [2] - 5:10, 8:6 meeting [2] - 3:3, must [1] - 10:16 Frank [1] - 10:5 **JOHN** [1] - 1:17 13:13 Hinsdale [5] - 1:12, Frank's [1] - 15:12 joined [1] - 2:22 Member [4] - 1:18, Ν 1:13, 3:8, 4:1, 14:1 front [1] - 12:12 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 historic [6] - 4:1, 4:6, full [1] - 15:9 K 4:16, 5:8, 7:18, 17:1 members [1] - 13:3 future [2] - 4:16, 7:18 name [1] - 2:19 **MEMBERS** [1] - 1:16 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 **national** [1] - 3:22 memorandum [1] -Historic [3] - 1:8, G **keep** [3] - 4:7, 11:18, **natural** [1] - 10:4 1:12, 2:18 16:19 near [1] - 9:4 historical [3] - 14:13, mention [1] - 15:11 keeping [1] - 12:5 necessarily [3] -GALLAGHER [2] -16:12, 17:4 mentioned [1] -**KEVIN** [1] - 2:6 10:15, 11:4, 13:10 12:5, 12:11 home [7] - 1:8, 2:18, 16:22 Kevin [3] - 2:19, need [1] - 18:1 garage [1] - 17:9 3:21, 4:6, 10:13, MICHAEL [2] - 2:3, 3:12, 6:16 neighboring [1] - 9:1 **GEIST** [9] - 2:6, 2:19, 12:19, 17:12 2:4 kind [4] - 11:2, neighbors '[1] -3:13, 7:2, 7:22, 8:12, home's [1] - 12:1 Michael [2] - 2:5, 2:6 11:11, 13:8, 14:20 10:10 9:19, 13:21, 15:21 homes [10] - 4:17, MIKE [1] - 2:8 knowledge [1] - 4:17 **new** [4] - 1:8, 2:17, Geist [2] - 2:11, 2:19 9:1, 9:4, 9:6, 13:19, Mike [3] - 2:21, 2:22, 6:14, 15:14 General [1] - 2:7 13:22, 15:14, 17:6 7:21 next [1] - 6:12 general [1] - 3:1 honestly [1] - 7:10 minimum [1] - 7:12 nice [1] - 6:17 given [1] - 19:6 hope [1] - 4:15 missing [1] - 11:15 normally [1] - 9:12 GONZALEZ [24] hopefully [1] - 14:9 model [1] - 7:8 laid [1] - 6:22 north [1] - 3:6 1:18, 6:2, 6:9, 8:19, horse [1] - 4:12 modern [1] - 13:10 landmarked [1] - 5:4 note [2] - 8:8, 17:5 8:22, 9:15, 9:22, 10:4, house [13] - 4:9, 5:3, last [7] - 3:3, 8:15, **MONICA** [1] - 2:9 notes [1] - 19:9 10:8, 10:12, 10:18, 5:4, 5:8, 6:14, 9:9, 9:8, 13:13, 16:11, Monica [2] - 2:21, **nothing** [1] - 4:2 11:6, 11:22, 12:7, 10:16, 11:18, 11:20, 2:22 16:15, 16:21 noting [1] - 10:19 12:19, 14:17, 15:1, 13:6, 16:16, 17:18 least [1] - 5:7 month [2] - 8:15, 9:8 15:6, 15:10, 15:20, houses [3] - 9:13, month's [1] - 13:13 leftover [1] - 12:15 0 16:3, 16:7, 17:22, 10:7, 10:11 **License** [1] - 19:12 **most** [3] - 6:19, 18:10 HPC-11-2019 [3] lieu [1] - 3:7 11:13, 11:15 grant [3] - 5:15, 5:16, 1:6, 2:15, 18:5 light [1] - 15:17 motion [7] - 5:15, **obviously** [1] - 4:10 5:17, 5:19, 6:10, limestone [2] -**OF** [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, Granted [1] - 11:17 17:21, 18:1, 18:11 14:19, 15:9 1:5, 1:10, 19:1, 19:2 graphics [1] - 6:21 move [1] - 18:3 lives [1] - 5:7 old [3] - 11:12, great [1] - 17:8 moving [1] - 11:20 locally [2] - 5:3, 5:4 12:14, 12:20

Principal [1] - 2:4 **State** [1] - 19:5 one [7] - 6:18, 7:12, reported [1] - 19:6 U 13:15, 16:14, 16:16, PRISBY [16] - 1:20, **STATE** [2] - 1:1, 19:1 reporter [1] - 19:4 16:20, 17:8 4:5, 5:19, 6:8, 7:14, stone [11] - 3:6, 9:16, request [2] - 2:16, unlandmarked [1] -8:14, 8:17, 8:20, 9:8, one-car [1] - 17:8 4:22 9:17, 11:8, 12:15, opinion [1] - 4:2 10:5, 10:10, 10:15, Request [1] - 1:7 14:22, 15:5, 15:8, unpaid [1] - 8:2 opportunity [1] -11:1, 11:8, 13:14, require [1] - 4:18 15:9 **up** [2] - 13:8, 17:18 6.20 18.8 requirement [1] **stones** [1] - 10:3 upkeep [2] - 4:18, order [1] - 3:16 problem [1] - 13:4 8:13 Street [6] - 1:6, 2:16, 4:21 original [1] - 12:18 proceedings [1] responsibility [1] -13:4, 13:7, 18:5 18:14 street [1] - 13:7 **outlined** [1] - 3:5 17:17 V PROCEEDINGS [1] streetscape [5] -Owners [1] - 2:9 retained [1] - 12:18 1:10 3:22, 6:18, 7:16, 9:6, owners [1] - 2:22 **review** [1] - 3:9 projects [1] - 11:14 10:20 **ownership** [1] - 4:16 revised [1] - 3:6 value [2] - 12:21, **proper** [1] - 3:16 streetscapes [1] reworking [1] - 4:12 17:3 properties [1] - 4:22 6:17 Р **RITTER** [1] - 2:7 via [1] - 1:21 proposal [1] - 7:16 **strong** [1] - 9:5 Ritter [2] - 2:13, 3:1 **VILLAGE** [1] - 1:3 providing [1] - 6:16 **structural** [1] - 12:10 **Robbins** [2] - 1:8, Village [3] - 2:2, 3:8, **p.m** [1] - 1:15 purchase [1] - 5:1 **stucco** [1] - 3:7 2:18 8:10 **PAGE** [2] - 1:2, 19:2 putting [1] - 17:17 stuff [1] - 11:14 room [2] - 17:7, 17:8 vintage [1] - 13:19 paid [1] - 8:2 **submit** [1] - 7:12 round [1] - 11:6 volume [1] - 10:14 Park [2] - 1:8, 2:18 Q rounded [1] - 13:4 **submitted** [1] - 7:9 vote [4] - 3:20, 4:3, particular [1] - 5:3 suggesting [1] - 8:11 4:7, 5:18 pass [2] - 6:11, 13:21 suggests [1] - 17:2 S quarried [1] - 10:1 pattern [1] - 14:21 **sun** [1] - 17:7 W people [2] - 4:16, Quarried [1] - 10:2 **surround** [1] - 14:20 questions [2] - 3:14, saga [1] - 4:8 4:20 sworn [1] - 2:13 perform [1] - 4:21 16:1 sample [1] - 9:20 **walls** [2] - 3:7, 8:10 quite [2] - 7:10, 8:14 perhaps [1] - 17:14 **SANDRA** [1] - 1:21 Т watching [1] - 8:3 **Sandra** [2] - 3:18, 6:3 permit [2] - 3:10, whole [2] - 8:22, R 3:11 Sandy [2] - 13:14, 13:12 tall [1] - 15:21 personally [1] - 9:9 14:5 WILLIAMS [10] tearing [1] - 16:19 **Personally** [1] - 13:1 saving [1] - 4:11 1:21, 3:19, 6:4, 7:15, random [3] - 14:20, telephone [3] - 1:21, perspective [1] second [3] - 5:21, 13:1, 13:16, 13:22, 14:21 14:5, 14:8 16:12 5:22, 18:6 14:6, 14:9, 18:6 rather [1] - 16:19 piece [1] - 5:14 template [1] - 7:22 see [10] - 7:17, 9:5, window [5] - 13:4, **RDR** [2] - 19:3, 19:12 testimony [2] - 1:10, **place** [1] - 3:4 9:12, 11:15, 11:16, 13:5, 14:1, 16:4, 16:6 really [4] - 6:17, Planner [1] - 2:2 14:4, 14:7, 14:10, 19:6 windows [1] - 11:7 6:20, 12:4, 14:14 15:1, 15:7 **THE** [2] - 1:3, 1:5 Planning [1] - 2:3 wing [2] - 3:7, 8:10 reasonable [1] thin [2] - 17:6, 17:7 plans [1] - 5:11 seeing [2] - 6:22, wood [2] - 11:10, 10:22 14:1 thorough [1] - 7:11 pleased [1] - 13:2 12:2 reclaimed [1] - 12:2 sensitive [1] - 4:19 three [1] - 10:3 point [1] - 17:2 worried [1] - 5:10 record [1] - 5:13 sensitivity [1] throws [1] - 13:11 porte [1] - 13:8 worthy [2] - 16:18, recusing [1] - 6:5 17:20 timber [2] - 12:8, porte-cochere [1] -17:5 references [2] setback [1] - 8:12 12:20 14:13, 17:4 **shorthand** [2] - 19:6, tipped [1] - 11:11 position [1] - 11:17 Υ reflect [1] - 11:2 19:8 tomorrow [1] - 17:15 positive [1] - 5:17 regimented [1] **show** [1] - 13:18 possibility [2] - 4:11, tonight [1] - 8:4 14:21 years [2] - 5:7, 16:19 **showing** [1] - 15:3 town [2] - 4:10, 5:7 12:14 registered [1] - 4:1 YU [1] - 2:2 side [1] - 12:12 transcript [1] - 19:8 posts [1] - 12:6 relationship [2] significance [1] precedence [1] true [1] - 19:8 9:1, 9:5 Ζ 16:16 13:17 try [3] - 4:6, 10:21, remark [1] - 4:14 size [1] - 14:22 preference [1] -16:18 remember [2] soldier [1] - 15:2 trying [1] - 10:20 13:15 Zook [4] - 11:2, 11:7, 11:11, 14:1 **PRESENT** [2] - 1:16, **someone** [1] - 16:18 turning [1] - 5:2 11:12, 16:21 remorse [1] - 5:9 somewhere [1] twisting [1] - 5:2 removed [1] - 3:7 12:16 PRESERVATION [1] two [1] - 12:12 replace [1] - 17:11 - 1:4 **sort** [1] - 13:11 type [2] - 6:18, 9:17 replacing [1] - 16:17 Preservation [1] **spent** [1] - 5:6 replicate [1] - 10:16 **ss** [2] - 1:1, 19:1

start [1] - 3:16

primarily [1] - 14:15

REPORT [1] - 1:10

STATE	OF	ILL	INOIS)	
)	SS
COUNTY	OE	r DU	PAGE)	

BEFORE THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
Case HPC-09-2019 - 417 S. Elm Street)
Request for Certificate of Appropriate-)
ness to construct a new home)
in the Robbins Park Historic District)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the hearing of the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Historic Preservation Commission at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 13th day of January, 2020, at 7:00 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. JOHN BOHNEN, Chairman;

MR. FRANK GONZALEZ, Member;

MR. BILL HAARLOW, Member.

MR. JIM PRISBY, Member.

MS. SANDRA WILLIAMS, via telephone, Member.

_	2		4
1	ALSO PRESENT:	1	applicant's request for the Certificate of
2	MR. CHAN YU, Village Planner;	2	Appropriateness for approval of the plans for
		3	construction of a new home.
3	MR. MICHAEL D'ANTONI, Planning	4	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The home to the south
4	Consultant;	5	is not historically significant?
	MR. PATRICK MC GINNIS, Donatelli &	6	MR. MC GINNIS: I don't believe so.
5	Coules;	7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I question that. I
6	MR. JIM GARBER, Garber Construction.	8	have to look at my map.
	MK. JIM GARDER, Garber Construction.	9	MR. PRISBY: This house? I think that
7		07:02:09PM 10	would be a contributing structure.
	(W. M. C	11	MR. HAARLOW: I think so, too.
8 9	(Mr. McGinnis previously sworn.) CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Next case on the	12	MR. PRISBY: Chan, do you know for
07:00:08PM 10	docket is 417 South Elm Street. This is an	13	sure?
11	application to build a new home on a vacant lot	14	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, we can look on
12 13	in the Historic Robbins Park District.	15	the chart here. Contributing structures in the
14	MR. MC GINNIS: Good evening. My name is Patrick McGinnis. I'm an attorney with	16	black-and-white chart. I'm on Fourth Street.
15	Donatelli & Coules, which represents the owner	17	No. I'm on Elm Street, between Fourth and
16	of the property, Rebrag, Inc. The applicant is	18	Sixth. So we have on Fourth Street would be the
17 18	seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness in order to obtain approval of the plans for the	19	red brick home, the Clark's home. And then we
19	construction of a new home at 417 South Elm	07:02:57PM 20	have the ranch that is the vacant lot, and then
07:00:34PM 20	Street. The architect for the plans is Moment	21	we have this vacant lot. And then we have the
21 22	Design and the builder is Garber Construction, both of which are based in Hinsdale.	22	English cottage, which is significant; and then
			English coccage, which is significant, and then
	3		5
1	The property is located in the	1	we have the new house on the corner: so it is a
1 2	The property is located in the	1 2	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a
1 2 3	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a	1 2 3	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south.
2	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any	2	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I
3	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being	3	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the
3 4	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with	3 4	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I
2 3 4 5	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being	2 3 4 5	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way.
2 3 4 5 6 7	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in	2 3 4 5 6	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been
2 3 4 5 6	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property.	2 3 4 5 6 7	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-01-03PM 10	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.01.03PM 10	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:01:03PM 10 11	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.03.33PM 10	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.01:03PM 10 11 12 13	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.03.33PM 10 11	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.01.03PM 10 11 12 13	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. Neither of the homes on either side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.03.33PM 10 11 12 13	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again, please, what the common brick is going to be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.01.03PM 10 11 12 13 14	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. Neither of the homes on either side are historically significant, and the plans	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.03-33PM 10 11 12 13 14	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again, please, what the common brick is going to be? You said lime-washed white?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-01-03PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. Neither of the homes on either side are historically significant, and the plans won't interfere with the use or enjoyment of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.03:33PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again, please, what the common brick is going to be? You said lime-washed white? MR. MC GINNIS: The Chicago common
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-01-03PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. Neither of the homes on either side are historically significant, and the plans won't interfere with the use or enjoyment of those homes. The new home will also conform	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-03-33PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again, please, what the common brick is going to be? You said lime-washed white? MR. MC GINNIS: The Chicago common brick will be lime-washed. The front
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.01:03PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. Neither of the homes on either side are historically significant, and the plans won't interfere with the use or enjoyment of those homes. The new home will also conform with the size and style of the surrounding homes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.03-33PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again, please, what the common brick is going to be? You said lime-washed white? MR. MC GINNIS: The Chicago common brick will be lime-washed. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-01-03PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. Neither of the homes on either side are historically significant, and the plans won't interfere with the use or enjoyment of those homes. The new home will also conform with the size and style of the surrounding homes and we think will complement the neighborhood	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-03-33PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again, please, what the common brick is going to be? You said lime-washed white? MR. MC GINNIS: The Chicago common brick will be lime-washed. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.01.03PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07.01.28PM 20	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. Neither of the homes on either side are historically significant, and the plans won't interfere with the use or enjoyment of those homes. The new home will also conform with the size and style of the surrounding homes and we think will complement the neighborhood nicely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.03:33PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07.05:02PM 20	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again, please, what the common brick is going to be? You said lime-washed white? MR. MC GINNIS: The Chicago common brick will be lime-washed. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. MR. HAARLOW: So all of the siding,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-01-03PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The property is located in the Robbins Park Historic District, but it's a vacant property so there is no home with any historical significance. The home is being built in compliance with the Village Code with no variance requests. Plans comply with the front and side yard setbacks with the garage in the back yard and retaining ample green space on the property. The owner is building a spec home with Chicago common brick that is lime-washed white. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake. Neither of the homes on either side are historically significant, and the plans won't interfere with the use or enjoyment of those homes. The new home will also conform with the size and style of the surrounding homes and we think will complement the neighborhood	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-03-33PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we have the new house on the corner; so it is a contributing structure to the south. MR. MC GINNIS: All right. Well, I apologize for misspeaking. But either way, the plans for the new home wouldn't interfere with that home in any way. MR. GONZALEZ: Have the plans been started yet? MR. MC GINNIS: They have. They were submitted with the application. MR. HAARLOW: Mr. McGinnis? MR. MC GINNIS: Correct. MR. HAARLOW: Can you say again, please, what the common brick is going to be? You said lime-washed white? MR. MC GINNIS: The Chicago common brick will be lime-washed. The front board-and-batten area will be brown, and the roof is going to be cedar shake.

	6		8
1	MR. MC GINNIS: I believe so.	1	MR. GONZALEZ: Yes. That's my point.
2	MR. HAARLOW: Not gray, not black.	2	When I saw this stamp, I thought, well, listen,
3	MR. GARBER: Definitely not black.	3	there is not much we can say about this.
4	MR. HAARLOW: But not gray. I'm only	4	MR. PRISBY: We have been screaming at
5	asking because of the color rendering that we	5	the moon for the last two years to get out in
6	were given.	6	front of these things. And although the
	In terms of the color from the		client That's the other thing. It was
7		7	-
8	street, assuming that the board and batten and	8	always, well, you know, the client, they are the
9	the other siding is going to be brown, that	9	ones that drive the bus. They are the ones that
07:06:22PM 10	makes some reference to the house immediately to	07:08:47PM 10	tell us what they want to do, and we are here to
11	the south, which is a contributing structure.	11	serve them. Well, in this case, we have a spec
12	And the lime-washed brick, the house across the	12	house; right?
13	street, is also a whiter brick. So just in and	13	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I would just
14	of themselves, the colors are appropriate from a	14	simply weigh in to this extent; that I would
15	streetscape perspective.	15	hope this is a spec house. I'm also not pleased
16	MR. GONZALEZ: As far as the committee,	16	that we haven't gotten involved earlier on. But
17	I have a question. How much wiggle room do we	17	I would be very upset if all of a sudden day two
18	have if we wanted to make some modifications to	18	this was a sold house, and we have just been
19	the elevation, is there any?	19	dodged by the builder and his attorney so that
07:07:16PM 20	MR. GARBER: We are already submitted	07:09:14PM 20	we don't have an opportunity to talk to the end
21	for permits. Are you talking color schemes?	21	user of the house. So we will take you at your
22	MR. GONZALEZ: Or anything. I mean	22	word.
	7		9
1	generally we like them in the preliminary phase.	1	MR. MC GINNIS: I can tell you that's
1 2	generally we like them in the preliminary phase. But right now they look like they are completed	1 2	MR. MC GINNIS: I can tell you that's not the case.
2	But right now they look like they are completed	2	not the case.
3	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all	2	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the
2 3 4	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can	2 3 4	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with
2 3 4 5	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not	2 3 4 5	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem
2 3 4 5 6	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see	2 3 4 5 6	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them.
2 3 4 5 6 7	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have	2 3 4 5 6 7	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07.50PM 10	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.08-45PM 10	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07.50PM 10	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:09:45PM 10	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07.50PM 10 11	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.09.4SPM 10 11	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:07:50PM 10 11 12 13	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:09:45PM 10 11 12 13	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:07:50PM 10 11 12 13	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing that we want to have some general sense of input at the beginning. And then here we are again at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.09.45PM 10 11 12 13	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window, and then the roof comes down to a gray, like a charcoal gray wall; right? There are, it looks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07.50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing that we want to have some general sense of input at the beginning. And then here we are again at another plan that's completed. It's in for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.09.45PM 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window, and then the roof comes down to a gray, like a charcoal gray wall; right? There are, it looks like, two or three brackets that are coming out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-07-50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing that we want to have some general sense of input at the beginning. And then here we are again at another plan that's completed. It's in for permit. They have this already spent money on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.09.45PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not the case. MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window, and then the roof comes down to a gray, like a charcoal gray wall; right? There are, it looks like, two or three brackets that are coming out that if you actually open the construction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07.50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing that we want to have some general sense of input at the beginning. And then here we are again at another plan that's completed. It's in for permit. They have this already spent money on architecture and the permit process. And who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:09:45PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window, and then the roof comes down to a gray, like a charcoal gray wall; right? There are, it looks like, two or three brackets that are coming out that if you actually open the construction documents and look at the side elevation, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07.50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing that we want to have some general sense of input at the beginning. And then here we are again at another plan that's completed. It's in for permit. They have this already spent money on architecture and the permit process. And who knows what we would suggest changing at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.08-45PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window, and then the roof comes down to a gray, like a charcoal gray wall; right? There are, it looks like, two or three brackets that are coming out that if you actually open the construction documents and look at the side elevation, that roof projects probably to about a 3-foot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07:50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07.08:18PM 20	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing that we want to have some general sense of input at the beginning. And then here we are again at another plan that's completed. It's in for permit. They have this already spent money on architecture and the permit process. And who knows what we would suggest changing at this point might affect the actual permit, zoning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:09:45PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07:10:13PM 20	MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window, and then the roof comes down to a gray, like a charcoal gray wall; right? There are, it looks like, two or three brackets that are coming out that if you actually open the construction documents and look at the side elevation, that roof projects probably to about a 3-foot overhang with these brackets next to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07.50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07.08.18PM 20 21	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing that we want to have some general sense of input at the beginning. And then here we are again at another plan that's completed. It's in for permit. They have this already spent money on architecture and the permit process. And who knows what we would suggest changing at this point might affect the actual permit, zoning, building code issues. And that's where I get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:09:4SPM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07:10:1SPM 20 21	MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window, and then the roof comes down to a gray, like a charcoal gray wall; right? There are, it looks like, two or three brackets that are coming out that if you actually open the construction documents and look at the side elevation, that roof projects probably to about a 3-foot overhang with these brackets next to it. And then this tall window punching
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.07.50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07.08.18PM 20	But right now they look like they are completed because I see the seal of the architect. So all we can do is just, if we are lucky, we can attempt to change the paint; but that's not In other words, we like to see drawings in the preliminary stages so we have some input. Right now we are back to what we have been dealing with all along. MR. PRISBY: I think the disappointing aspect of that is that Patrick knows better, right? Patrick has been coming in front of us for a couple years now with new houses knowing that we want to have some general sense of input at the beginning. And then here we are again at another plan that's completed. It's in for permit. They have this already spent money on architecture and the permit process. And who knows what we would suggest changing at this point might affect the actual permit, zoning, building code issues. And that's where I get the most disappointed is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:09:45PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07:10:13PM 20 21 22	MR. PRISBY: I have no problem with the colors. The colors, I don't have a problem with the neighborhood. I don't really have a problem with them. My biggest problem with the architecture is the piece on the right. It's really hard to kind of tell from this two-dimensional drawing, right? But that piece comes forward from the rest of the house. I don't know if you guys can see this from the rendering. But you have the 2nd floor window, and then the roof comes down to a gray, like a charcoal gray wall; right? There are, it looks like, two or three brackets that are coming out that if you actually open the construction documents and look at the side elevation, that roof projects probably to about a 3-foot overhang with these brackets next to it.

10 12 that with the change of material and the tall and those brackets that come down on either side 1 window below it. We have had some issues with 2 of the dormer with the flat roof on the dormer. 2 these tall windows below. And now you actually 3 MR. GARBER: On the west elevation? have something that actually goes to the 4 MR. PRISBY: This would be on, yes, the 5 basement. west elevation. 6 MR. GARBER: That's a staircase in 6 So this is probably I'm going to 7 guess about 14-foot high window when it's all 7 there. 8 said and done. So landscaping in front of it, 8 MR. PRISBY: Right. That's why I some of it will be below ground. But I would figured the windows were stacked that way. I'd 9 9 like to see some separation there. Again, one almost like to see some kind of gap between them 07:10:40PM 10 07:12:53PM 10 11 of our complaints in recent years -- or at least 11 so it doesn't look like a 14-foot high window. 12 MR. GARBER: I'm not married to that. 12 one of my complaints, I won't speak for everybody -- is the massive amounts of glazing We can look at it certainly. 13 MR. PRISBY: The last time that I 14 that go on some of these houses. Here we are 14 taking two giant windows, and now we are lumping personally did something with like the roof 15 15 them together. And so now I'm looking at that overhang on those brackets was on a fairly 16 16 modern-looking house. And this kind of plays 17 piece. And that's a very, just that piece, it's 17 a very modern looking piece with older off of what I have seen before at that level 18 18 materials. And that's the part that I don't that -- I agree with Sandy, that part just 19 19 07:11:11PM **20** think it's appropriate. looks too modern to me. I'm just wondering if 07:13:24PM **20** 21 The rest of it is simple. It's got 21 there is something else that can be done with some charm to it. I'm not thrilled with the 22 22 the roof facing forward. 11 13 piece on the left but it's back, right? It's 1 On the north end, even though it's kind of deceiving, right? The middle part I pulled back from the street, you have a gable. think is fine. It's just really the piece on You have a hip roof next to that. I'm wondering the right bothers me, but I'm good with color if there is some element of an angled roof that 4 could go there to really kind of change that, 5 and everything else. 6 Also, looked at the setback to the that perspective of top, without affecting the 7 house. It's in line with the neighbors', that's 7 other things you have underneath it, the something else that we typically look at. This staircase. 8 is not pushed too far back or pulled too far 9 MR. GARBER: I can look at it. I just 9 07:11:51PM 10 forward. 07:13:58PM 10 don't want to restart the permit process, and I 11 MS. WILLIAMS: My only slight issue is 11 know it goes back to the same thing. that it does have a more modern appearance. I 12 MR. GONZALEZ: I think someone also like the materials. I have no issue with 13 13 mentioned this, the color, the dark color, the the darker color. I think that blends with the charcoal color. Is it charcoal? 14 14 neighborhood. But it does appear to be for my 15 MR. HAARLOW: Brown. 15 taste a little too modern for the historic MR. GONZALEZ: Brown? Oh. 16 16 17 district. 17 MR. PRISBY: I think I said charcoal. MR. GONZALEZ: Charcoal. 18 MR. PRISBY: Jim, is there anything 18 MR. PRISBY: Stop. Shoot me. 19 that can be done with that piece on the right to 19 07:12:28PM **20** make it not look so modern? 07:14:42PM 20 MR. GONZALEZ: "Shoot me," see what MR. GARBER: The windows stacked? 21 21 happens? 22 MR. PRISBY: Yes. You have the windows 22 MR. PRISBY: Maybe I threw that in

	14		16
1	there just to rub you the wrong way.	1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Second?
2	MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, you did. That's	2	MR. GONZALEZ: Second.
3	fine.	3	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: All in favor?
4	MR. HAARLOW: Well, in terms of the	4	MR. PRISBY: Aye.
5	modern look of the staircase on the right, this	5	MR. HAARLOW: Aye.
6	window, the bottom quarter of which is below	6	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Aye.
7	grade, I'm assuming because that's where the	7	MR. GONZALEZ: Aye.
8	staircase goes down to the lower level, the	8	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Thank you.
9	basement level. Does that entire window, both	9	MR. YU: Did Sandy vote on that one?
07:15:17PM 10	the first and the grade level one, do they need	10	Sorry, I couldn't hear.
11	to be treated in that way where they are	11	MS. WILLIAMS: I voted no. I would
12	basically one? Or could they be separated by a	12	have voted no.
13	lintel or something like that?	13	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Thank you. I'm
14	MR. GARBER: They can be certainly	14	sorry.
15	separated depending on how this staircase lines	15	MS. WILLIAMS: No problem.
16	up, that maybe the stair can come here, maybe a	16	* * *
17	break before the other one comes in.	17	(Which were all the proceedings had
18	MR. PRISBY: The one I saw, there	18	in the above-entitled cause.)
19	shouldn't be an issue with that. But again	19	
07:15:49PM 20	MR. HAARLOW: I'm not drawing plans.	20	
21	But even if there were a soldier course of the	21	
22	Chicago common brick there just to try to take	22	
	15		17
1	down the modern look of that. Many of the		STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2	Moment Design homes have this feature. I know)ss. COUNTY OF DU PAGE)
3	the one on Fifth Street is exactly the same way,		COUNTY OF DOTAGE)
4	where they brought in a very large window.		I, JANICE H. HEINEMANN, CSR, RDR, CRR,
5	MR. GARBER: Stack them on top of each		do hereby certify that I am a court reporter
6	other.		doing business in the State of Illinois, that I
7	MR. HAARLOW: Yes.		reported in shorthand the testimony given at the hearing of said cause, and that the foregoing is
8	MR. PRISBY: It's kind of been a common		a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
9	objection.		notes so taken as aforesaid.
07:16:30PM 10	MR. GARBER: Sounds like it. I can		
11	certainly look at it. And I know Jim, so he can		Coming of Reinaugues
12	talk to him. And when we order windows, I can		Janice H. Heinemann CSR, RDR, CRR
13	look at that.		License No. 084-001391
14	MR. PRISBY: You know you can always		
15	call me.		
16	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So do you want to		
17 18	move this along? MR. GONZALEZ: Yes.		
19			
19	CHATDMAN ROHNEN: Matiana	1	
20	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Motion? MR. HAARLOW: I will move to approve		
07:17:17PM 20	MR. HAARLOW: I will move to approve		
07:17:17PM 20 21 22			

0	Appropriateness [3] - 2:17, 4:2, 15:22	5:14, 5:17, 6:12, 6:13, 14:22	5:14, 5:16, 14:22, 15:8	11:19, 12:21 dormer [3] - 9:22,
	approval [2] - 2:18,	brought [1] - 15:4	complaints [2] -	12:2
084-001391 [1] -	4:2	brown [6] - 3:13,	10:11, 10:12	down [4] - 9:14,
17:12	approve [2] - 3:22,	5:18, 5:22, 6:9, 13:15,	complement [1] -	12:1, 14:8, 15:1
	15:20	13:16	3:19	drawing [2] - 9:10,
1				
<u>!</u>	architect [2] - 2:20,	build [1] - 2:11	completed [2] - 7:2,	14:20
	7:3	builder [2] - 2:21,	7:16	drawings [1] - 7:7
13th [1] - 1:14	architecture [2] -	8:19	compliance [1] - 3:5	drive [1] - 8:9
14-foot [2] - 10:7,	7:18, 9:8	building [2] - 3:10,	comply [1] - 3:6	DU [2] - 1:2, 17:2
12:11	area [2] - 3:12, 5:18	7:21	conform [1] - 3:17	
19 [1] - 1:13	aspect [1] - 7:11	built [1] - 3:5	construct [1] - 1:7	E
19[1] - 1.13	assuming [2] - 6:8,	bus [1] - 8:9	Construction [2] -	
•	14:7	business [1] - 17:5	2:6, 2:21	
2	attempt [1] - 7:5		construction [3] -	East [1] - 1:13
	attorney [2] - 2:14,	С	2:19, 4:3, 9:17	either [3] - 3:14, 5:4,
0000 4-44	-	C		12:1
2020 [1] - 1:14	8:19		Consultant [1] - 2:3	element [1] - 13:4
2nd [1] - 9:13	Avenue [1] - 1:13	Case [2] - 1:6, 15:21	contributing [4] -	elevation [4] - 6:19,
	Aye [3] - 16:4, 16:6,		4:10, 4:15, 5:2, 6:11	9:18, 12:3, 12:5
3	16:7	case [3] - 2:9, 8:11,	corner [1] - 5:1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	aye [1] - 16:5	9:2	correct [2] - 5:12,	Elm [5] - 1:6, 2:10,
		cedar [2] - 3:13, 5:19	17:8	2:19, 4:17, 15:21
3-foot [1] - 9:19	В	certainly [3] - 12:13,	cottage [1] - 4:22	end [2] - 8:20, 13:1
	В	14:14, 15:11	Coules [2] - 2:5, 2:15	English [1] - 4:22
4		Certificate [4] - 1:7,		enjoyment [1] - 3:16
-	based [1] - 2:22	2:17, 4:1, 15:22	COUNTY [2] - 1:2,	entire [1] - 14:9
	basement [2] - 10:5,	certify [1] - 17:4	17:2	entitled [2] - 1:11,
417 [4] - 1:6, 2:10,	14:9	CHAIRMAN [12] -	couple [2] - 7:13,	16:18
2:19, 15:21	-		9:22	
•	batten [4] - 3:12,	2:9, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14,	course [1] - 14:21	evening [1] - 2:13
7	5:18, 5:21, 6:8	8:13, 15:16, 15:19,	court [1] - 17:4	exactly [1] - 15:3
1	BEFORE [1] - 1:3	16:1, 16:3, 16:6, 16:8,	create [1] - 9:22	extent [1] - 8:14
	beginning [1] - 7:15	16:13	CRR [2] - 17:3, 17:12	
7:00 [1] - 1:15	below [4] - 10:2,	Chairman [1] - 1:17		F
7.00 [i] - 1.10	10:3, 10:9, 14:6	CHAN [1] - 2:2	CSR [2] - 17:3, 17:12	<u> </u>
A	better [1] - 7:11	Chan [1] - 4:12	_	
Α	between [2] - 4:17,	change [3] - 7:5,	D	facing [1] - 12:22
				fairly [1] - 12:16
above entitled to	12:10	10:1, 13:5		far [3] - 6:16, 11:9
above-entitled [2] -	biggest [1] - 9:7	changing [1] - 7:19	D'ANTONI [1] - 2:3	favor [1] - 16:3
1:11, 16:18	BILL [1] - 1:19	charcoal [5] - 9:15,	dark [1] - 13:13	
actual [1] - 7:20	black [3] - 4:16, 6:2,	13:14, 13:17, 13:18	darker [1] - 11:14	feature [1] - 15:2
affect [1] - 7:20	6:3	charm [1] - 10:22	dealing [1] - 7:9	Fifth [1] - 15:3
affecting [1] - 13:6	black-and-white [1] -	chart [2] - 4:15, 4:16	deceiving [1] - 11:2	figured [1] - 12:9
aforesaid [1] - 17:9	4:16	Chicago [4] - 1:13,	Definitely [1] - 6:3	fine [2] - 11:3, 14:3
agree [1] - 12:19	blends [1] - 11:14	3:11, 5:16, 14:22		first [1] - 14:10
almost [1] - 12:10	1		Design [2] - 2:21,	flat [1] - 12:2
	board [4] - 3:12,	Clark's [1] - 4:19	15:2	floor [1] - 9:13
ALSO [1] - 2:1	5:18, 5:21, 6:8	client [2] - 8:7, 8:8	dimensional [1] -	
amounts [1] - 10:13	BOARD [1] - 1:16	Code [1] - 3:5	9:10	foregoing [1] - 17:7
ample [1] - 3:8	board-and-batten	code [1] - 7:21	disappointed [1] -	forward [3] - 9:11,
angled [1] - 13:4	[3] - 3:12, 5:18, 5:21	color [8] - 6:5, 6:7,	7:22	11:10, 12:22
apologize [1] - 5:4	BOHNEN [13] - 1:17,	6:21, 11:4, 11:14,	disappointing [1] -	Fourth [3] - 4:16,
appear [1] - 11:15	2:9, 4:4, 4:7, 4:14,	13:13, 13:14	7:10	4:17, 4:18
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8:13, 15:16, 15:19,	colors [3] - 6:14, 9:4	District [3] - 1:8,	FRANK [1] - 1:18
appearance [1] -			• • •	front [6] - 3:7, 3:12,
appearance [1] -	16:1, 16:3, 16:6, 16:8,	coming [2] - 7:12,	2:12, 3:2	5:17, 7:12, 8:6, 10:8
11:12	40.40	9:16	district [1] - 11:17	0.17, 7.12, 0.0, 10.0
11:12 applicant [1] - 2:16	16:13		docket [1] - 2:10	+
11:12	bothers [1] - 11:4	COMMISSION [1] -	400Ket [1] - 2.10	_
11:12 applicant [1] - 2:16 applicant 's [1] - 4:1 application [2] -		COMMISSION [1] - 1:4	documents [1] - 9:18	G
11:12 applicant [1] - 2:16 applicant 's [1] - 4:1	bothers [1] - 11:4		documents [1] - 9:18	G
11:12 applicant [1] - 2:16 applicant 's [1] - 4:1 application [2] - 2:11, 5:10	bothers [1] - 11:4 bottom [1] - 14:6 brackets [4] - 9:16,	1:4 Commission [2] -	documents [1] - 9:18 dodged [1] - 8:19	
11:12 applicant [1] - 2:16 applicant's [1] - 4:1 application [2] - 2:11, 5:10 Appropriate [1] - 1:7	bothers [1] - 11:4 bottom [1] - 14:6 brackets [4] - 9:16, 9:20, 12:1, 12:16	1:4 Commission [2] - 1:13, 3:22	documents [1] - 9:18 dodged [1] - 8:19 Donatelli [2] - 2:4,	gable [1] - 13:2
11:12 applicant [1] - 2:16 applicant 's [1] - 4:1 application [2] - 2:11, 5:10	bothers [1] - 11:4 bottom [1] - 14:6 brackets [4] - 9:16,	1:4 Commission [2] -	documents [1] - 9:18 dodged [1] - 8:19	

GARBER [11] - 2:6, 6:3, 6:20, 11:21, 12:3, 12:6, 12:12, 13:9, 14:14, 15:5, 15:10 Garber [2] - 2:6, 2:21 general [1] - 7:14 generally [1] - 7:1 giant [1] - 10:15 **GINNIS** [9] - 2:4, 2:13, 4:6, 5:3, 5:9, 5:12, 5:16, 6:1, 9:1 given [2] - 6:6, 17:6 glazing [1] - 10:13 GONZALEZ [13] -1:18, 5:7, 6:16, 6:22, 8:1, 13:12, 13:16, 13:18, 13:20, 14:2, 15:18, 16:2, 16:7 grade [2] - 14:7, 14:10 gray [4] - 6:2, 6:4, 9:14, 9:15 green [1] - 3:8 ground [1] - 10:9 guess [1] - 10:7 **guys** [1] - 9:12

Н

HAARLOW [13] -1:19, 4:11, 5:11, 5:13, 5:20, 6:2, 6:4, 13:15, 14:4, 14:20, 15:7, 15:20, 16:5 **hard** [1] - 9:9 hear [1] - 16:10 hearing [2] - 1:11, 17:7 HEINEMANN [1] -17:3 Heinemann [1] -17:12 hereby [1] - 17:4 high [2] - 10:7, 12:11 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:22 hip [1] - 13:3 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 historic [1] - 11:16 Historic [4] - 1:8, 1:12, 2:12, 3:2 historical [1] - 3:4 historically [2] -3:15, 4:5 home [13] - 1:7, 2:11, 2:19, 3:3, 3:4, 3:10, 3:17, 4:3, 4:4, 4:19,

homes [4] - 3:14, 3:17, 3:18, 15:2 hope [1] - 8:15 house [11] - 4:9, 5:1, 6:10, 6:12, 8:12, 8:15, 8:18, 8:21, 9:11, 11:7, 12:17 houses [2] - 7:13, 10:14 HPC-09-2019 [2] -

ı

ILLINOIS [2] - 1:1,

1:6, 15:21

Illinois [2] - 1:14, 17:5 immediately [1] -6:10 IN [1] - 1:5 Inc [1] - 2:16 input [2] - 7:8, 7:14 interfere [2] - 3:16, 5:5 involved [1] - 8:16 issue [3] - 11:11, 11:13, 14:19 issues [2] - 7:21,

J

JANICE [1] - 17:3 Janice [1] - 17:12 January [1] - 1:14 JIM [2] - 1:20, 2:6 Jim [2] - 11:18, 15:11 JOHN [1] - 1:17

Κ

kind [6] - 9:9, 11:2, 12:10, 12:17, 13:5, 15:8 knowing [1] - 7:13 knows [2] - 7:11, 7:19

L

landscaping [1] -10:8 large [1] - 15:4 last [2] - 8:5, 12:14 least [1] - 10:11 left [1] - 11:1

level [4] - 12:18, 14:8, 14:9, 14:10 License [1] - 17:12 lime [4] - 3:11, 5:15, 5:17, 6:12 lime-washed [4] -3:11, 5:15, 5:17, 6:12 line [1] - 11:7 lines [1] - 14:15 lintel [1] - 14:13 listen [1] - 8:2 located [1] - 3:1 look [13] - 4:8, 4:14, 7:2, 9:18, 11:8, 11:20, 12:11, 12:13, 13:9, 14:5, 15:1, 15:11, 15:13 looked [1] - 11:6 looking [3] - 10:16, 10:18, 12:17 looks [2] - 9:15, 12:20 lower [1] - 14:8 lucky [1] - 7:4

М

map [1] - 4:8

lumping [1] - 10:15

married [1] - 12:12 massive [1] - 10:13 material [1] - 10:1 materials [2] - 10:19, 11:13 **MATTER** [1] - 1:5 matter [1] - 1:12 MC [9] - 2:4, 2:13, 4:6, 5:3, 5:9, 5:12, 5:16, 6:1, 9:1 McGinnis [3] - 2:8, 2:14, 5:11 mean [1] - 6:22 Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 **MEMBERS** [1] - 1:16 mentioned [1] -13:13 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 11:2 might [1] - 7:20 misspeaking [1] modern [8] - 10:18, 11:12, 11:16, 11:20, 12:17, 12:20, 14:5,

modern -looking [1] -

modifications [1] -

12:17

Moment [2] - 2:20, money [1] - 7:17 moon [1] - 8:5 most [1] - 7:22 motion [1] - 15:19 move [2] - 15:17, 15:20 **MR** [68] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:6, 2:13, 4:6, 4:9, 4:11, 4:12, 5:3, 5:7, 5:9, 5:11, 5:12, 5:13, 5:16, 5:20, 6:1, 6:2, 6:3, 6:4, 6:16, 6:20, 6:22, 7:10, 8:1, 8:4, 9:1, 9:3, 11:18, 11:21, 11:22, 12:3, 12:4, 12:6, 12:8, 12:12, 12:14, 13:9, 13:12, 13:15, 13:16, 13:17, 13:18, 13:19, 13:20, 13:22, 14:2, 14:4, 14:14, 14:18, 14:20, 15:5, 15:7, 15:8, 15:10, 15:14, 15:18, 15:20, 16:2, 16:4, 16:5, 16:7, 16:9 MS [4] - 1:21, 11:11,

6:18

Ν

16:11, 16:15

name [1] - 2:13
need [1] - 14:10
neighborhood [3] 3:19, 9:5, 11:15
neighbors ' [1] - 11:7
ness [1] - 1:7
new [8] - 1:7, 2:11,
2:19, 3:17, 4:3, 5:1,
5:5, 7:13
next [3] - 2:9, 9:20,
13:3
nicely [1] - 3:20
north [1] - 13:1
notes [1] - 17:9

0

objection [1] - 15:9 obtain [1] - 2:18 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 17:1, 17:2 older [1] - 10:18 one [8] - 10:10, 10:12, 14:10, 14:12, 14:17, 14:18, 15:3, 16:9

ones [2] - 8:9

open [1] - 9:17

opportunity [1] 8:20

order [2] - 2:18,
15:12

overhang [2] - 9:20,
12:16

owner [2] - 2:15,
3:10

Ρ

p.m [1] - 1:15 **PAGE** [2] - 1:2, 17:2 paint [1] - 7:5 Park [3] - 1:8, 2:12, part [3] - 10:19, 11:2, **PATRICK** [1] - 2:4 patrick [1] - 7:12 Patrick [2] - 2:14, 7:11 permit [4] - 7:17, 7:18, 7:20, 13:10 permits [1] - 6:21 personally [1] -12:15 perspective [2] -6:15, 13:6 phase [1] - 7:1 piece [8] - 9:8, 9:10, 10:17, 10:18, 11:1, 11:3, 11:19 **plan** [1] - 7:16 **Planner** [1] - 2:2 **Planning** [1] - 2:3 plans [8] - 2:18, 2:20, 3:6, 3:15, 4:2, 5:5, 5:7, 14:20 plays [1] - 12:17 pleased [1] - 8:15 point [2] - 7:20, 8:1 preliminary [2] - 7:1, **PRESENT** [2] - 1:16, 2:1 PRESERVATION [1] - 1·4 Preservation [1] -

previously [1] - 2:8

PRISBY [18] - 1:20,

4:9, 4:12, 7:10, 8:4,

9:3, 11:18, 11:22,

12:4, 12:8, 12:14,

13:17, 13:19, 13:22,

5:5, 5:6

14:18, 15:8, 15:14,	0	staircase [5] - 12:6,	up [1] - 14:16
16:4	S	13:8, 14:5, 14:8,	upset [1] - 8:17
problem [5] - 9:3,		14:15	user [1] - 8:21
9:4, 9:5, 9:7, 16:15	SANDRA [1] - 1:21	stamp [1] - 8:2	user [i] - 0.21
	Sandy [2] - 12:19,	• • •	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
proceedings [1] - 16:17	16:9	started [1] - 5:8	V
PROCEEDINGS [1] -	saw [2] - 8:2, 14:18	State [1] - 17:5 STATE [2] - 1:1, 17:1	
1:10	schemes [1] - 6:21		vacant [4] - 2:11,
-	screaming [1] - 8:4	stop [1] - 13:19	3:3, 4:20, 4:21
process [2] - 7:18,	seal [1] - 7:3	street [3] - 6:8, 6:13,	variance [1] - 3:6
13:10	second [2] - 16:1,	13:2	via [1] - 1:21
projects [1] - 9:19	16:2	Street [8] - 1:6, 2:10,	VILLAGE [1] - 1:3
property [4] - 2:16,		2:20, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18,	Village [2] - 2:2, 3:5
3:1, 3:3, 3:9	see [6] - 7:3, 7:6,	15:3, 15:21	vote [1] - 16:9
pulled [2] - 11:9,	9:12, 10:10, 12:10,	streetscape [1] -	voted [2] - 16:11,
13:2	13:20	6:15	16:12
punching [1] - 9:21	seeking [1] - 2:17	structure [3] - 4:10,	10.12
pushed [1] - 11:9	sense [1] - 7:14	5:2, 6:11	10/
	separated [2] -	structures [1] - 4:15	W
Q	14:12, 14:15	style [1] - 3:18	
	separation [1] -	submitted [2] - 5:10,	wall [1] - 9:15
quarter (4) 14-6	10:10	6:20	washed [4] - 3:11,
quarter [1] - 14:6	serve [1] - 8:11	sudden [1] - 8:17	5:15, 5:17, 6:12
	setback [1] - 11:6	suggest [1] - 7:19	weigh [1] - 8:14
R	setbacks [1] - 3:7	surrounding [1] -	west [2] - 12:3, 12:5
	shake [2] - 3:13, 5:19	3:18	white [3] - 3:12,
ranch [1] - 4:20	shoot [2] - 13:19,	sworn [1] - 2:8	4:16, 5:15
RDR [2] - 17:3, 17:12	13:20		whiter [1] - 6:13
really [4] - 9:5, 9:9,	shorthand [2] - 17:6,	T	wiggle [1] - 6:17
11:3, 13:5	17:8	-	WILLIAMS [4] - 1:21,
Rebrag [1] - 2:16	side [4] - 3:7, 3:14,		11:11, 16:11, 16:15
recent [1] - 10:11	9:18, 12:1	tall [3] - 9:21, 10:1,	window [8] - 9:13,
red [1] - 4:19	siding [2] - 5:20, 6:9	10:3	9:21, 10:2, 10:7,
reference [1] - 6:10	significance [1] - 3:4	taste [1] - 11:16	12:11, 14:6, 14:9,
rendering [2] - 6:5,	significant [3] - 3:15,	telephone [1] - 1:21	15:4
9:13	4:5, 4:22	terms [2] - 6:7, 14:4	windows [6] - 10:3,
REPORT [1] - 1:10	simple [1] - 10:21	testimony [2] - 1:10,	10:15, 11:21, 11:22,
reported [1] - 17:6	simply [1] - 8:14	17:6	12:9, 15:12
	Sixth [1] - 4:18	THE [2] - 1:3, 1:5	*
reporter [1] - 17:4	size [1] - 3:18	themselves [1] -	wondering [2] -
represents [1] - 2:15	slight [1] - 11:11	6:14	12:20, 13:3
request [3] - 3:22,	sold [1] - 8:18	three [1] - 9:16	word [1] - 8:22
4:1, 15:21	soldier [1] - 14:21	threw [1] - 13:22	words [1] - 7:6
Request [1] - 1:7	someone [1] - 13:12	thrilled [1] - 10:22	V
requests [1] - 3:6	Sorry [1] - 16:10	together [1] - 10:16	Y
respectfully [1] -	sorry [1] - 16:14	top [2] - 13:6, 15:5	
3:21	sounds [1] - 15:10	transcript [1] - 17:8	yard [2] - 3:7, 3:8
rest [2] - 9:11, 10:21	south [3] - 4:4, 5:2,	treated [1] - 14:11	years [3] - 7:13, 8:5,
restart [1] - 13:10	6:11	true [1] - 17:8	10:11
retaining [1] - 3:8	South [3] - 2:10,	try [1] - 14:22	YU [2] - 2:2, 16:9
Robbins [3] - 1:8,	2:19, 15:21	two [5] - 8:5, 8:17,	10 [2] 2.2, 10.0
2:12, 3:2		9:10, 9:16, 10:15	Z
roof [9] - 3:13, 5:19,	space [1] - 3:8	two-dimensional [1]	
9:14, 9:19, 12:2,	spec [3] - 3:10, 8:11,	- 9:10	
12:15, 12:22, 13:3,	8:15	typically [1] - 11:8	zoning [1] - 7:20
13:4	spent [1] - 7:17		
room [1] - 6:17	ss [2] - 1:1, 17:1	U	_
rub [1] - 14:1	stack [1] - 15:5		_
	stacked [2] - 11:21,		
	12:9	underneath [1] -	- [1] - 1 :6
	stages [1] - 7:7	13:7	
•	stair [1] - 14:16	Î.	

STATE	OF	ILL	INOIS)	
)	SS
COUNTY	OE	r DU	PAGE)	

BEFORE THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
Case HPC-12-2019 - 304 S. Lincoln St.)
Request for Certificate of Appropriate-)
ness for a retroactive permit for work)
to a garage attached to a landmarked)
house.)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the hearing of the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Historic Preservation Commission at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 13th day of January, 2020, at 7:18 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. JOHN BOHNEN, Chairman;

MR. FRANK GONZALEZ, Member;

MR. BILL HAARLOW, Member;

MR. JIM PRISBY, Member;

MS. SANDRA WILLIAMS, via telephone, Member.

	2		4
1	ALSO PRESENT:	1	brick or materials were used on the house
2	MR. CHAN YU, Village Planner;	2	itself.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	The design change also made no
3	MR. MICHAEL D'ONOFRIO, Planning	4	changes to the height or pitch of the roof line
	Consultant;	5	and continues to match the garage doors on both
4	MR. PATRICK MC GINNIS, Attorney,	6	sides. It was designed seamlessly so that there
5	Donatelli & Coules.	7	is barely a noticeable change in the doors.
		8	So for these reasons, the applicant
6		9	requests approval from the Commission for the
7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: And the last is	07:20:00PM 10	design change as it doesn't affect the
8	Case 12-2019 for 304 South Lincoln. Will	11	historical nature of the home.
9	somebody be speaking to that.	12	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Just for my
07:18:22PM 10	MR. MC GINNIS: I will again. Again,	13	edification, are you stating that the applicant
11 12	for the record, my name is Patrick McGinnis.	14	had no knowledge of needing to come before this
13	I'm with Donatelli & Coules, which represents the owner of the property, Joe Peterchak. The	15	Commission prior to starting the work?
14	applicant is seeking a Certificate of	16	MR. MC GINNIS: That was his
15	Appropriateness in order to obtain approval for	17	indication, yes.
16	a design change to raise the height on the	18	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay.
17 18	header on the center of the garage door an additional 24 inches and to add an additional	19	,
19	glass panel to the garage door. The architect		MR. PRISBY: So, John, for the record,
07:18:44PM 20	for the plans was Vince Caprio of Caprio	07:20:35PM 20	this work was, the architectural sketches for
21	Architectural Design here in Hinsdale, who also	21	this, were performed by our office so I need to
22	did the work on the addition on the home.	22	recuse myself because of the conflict. I'm
	3		5
1	As you may be aware, the applicant	1	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse
2	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for	2	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting.
_	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the	_	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the
2	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work	2	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the
3	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the	3	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the
3 4	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work	3 4	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the
2 3 4 5	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There	2 3 4 5	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my
2 3 4 5 6	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being	2 3 4 5 6	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work
2 3 4 5 6 7	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village	2 3 4 5 6 7	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072128PM 10	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-19-10PM 10	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:28PM 10	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:19:10PM 10 11	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes. While the property is located in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072128PM 10 11	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the formality of a meeting, announcements, and what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:19:10PM 10 11 12 13	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes. While the property is located in the historical district and it is a local	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:28PM 10 11 12 13	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the formality of a meeting, announcements, and what all; only to be told by the Village that we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:18:10PM 10 11 12 13	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes. While the property is located in the historical district and it is a local designated landmark, the designs are due to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:28PM 10 11 12 13	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the formality of a meeting, announcements, and what all; only to be told by the Village that we were not able to do that, it would violate the Open
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:19:10PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes. While the property is located in the historical district and it is a local designated landmark, the designs are due to the that designation is due to the original	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072128PM 10 11 12 13 14	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the formality of a meeting, announcements, and what all; only to be told by the Village that we were not able to do that, it would violate the Open Meetings Act.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:19:10PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes. While the property is located in the historical district and it is a local designated landmark, the designs are due to the that designation is due to the original home itself where the garage is part of an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:28PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the formality of a meeting, announcements, and what all; only to be told by the Village that we were not able to do that, it would violate the Open Meetings Act. At that time I was under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:19:10PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes. While the property is located in the historical district and it is a local designated landmark, the designs are due to the that designation is due to the original home itself where the garage is part of an addition to the home that doesn't contribute to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:28PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the formality of a meeting, announcements, and what all; only to be told by the Village that we were not able to do that, it would violate the Open Meetings Act. At that time I was under the understanding that the applicant was told he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:19:10PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes. While the property is located in the historical district and it is a local designated landmark, the designs are due to the that designation is due to the original home itself where the garage is part of an addition to the home that doesn't contribute to its historical significance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:28PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the formality of a meeting, announcements, and what all; only to be told by the Village that we were not able to do that, it would violate the Open Meetings Act. At that time I was under the understanding that the applicant was told he would have to go through the procedures before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:19:10PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	As you may be aware, the applicant is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness for work that's already been completed on the property. He apologizes for completing the work prior to coming before the Commission. There was a misunderstanding about the work being approved. He was advised that the Village didn't have issues with the plans but didn't realize he needed to come before the Commission before obtaining final approval for the permit. So again, he apologizes. While the property is located in the historical district and it is a local designated landmark, the designs are due to the that designation is due to the original home itself where the garage is part of an addition to the home that doesn't contribute to its historical significance. The applicant raised the height on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:28PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	going to refrain from discussing it and recuse myself from voting. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Well, for the record, I have a different understanding of the situation. When this first came to my attention, I looked at the scope of the work and, frankly, didn't find a problem with it, even though it's a landmark, locally landmarked, structure; suggested that perhaps we could poll the Commission to get a feeling of approval or disapproval rather than going through the formality of a meeting, announcements, and what all; only to be told by the Village that we were not able to do that, it would violate the Open Meetings Act. At that time I was under the understanding that the applicant was told he would have to go through the procedures before he started the work. Now, the applicant wanted

	6		8
1	expedite a polling if we could. But when told	1	MR. YU: So you do have authority.
2	we could not do that, it was my understanding	2	It's not advisory.
3	that the applicant understood he had to go	3	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right, but all I'm
4	through the procedures. So unless I have this	4	saying to you is if we vote on this and say it's
5	wrong, it would appear that knowing he had to go	5	approved, because I don't think we have a
6	through the procedures, the applicant decided	6	problem with the work, we are acquiescing to the
7	not to worry about it, just go do the work, ask	7	fact that perhaps this was done in violation of
8	for forgiveness, pay the fine, whatever is going	8	the Village Code. So are we circumventing the
9	to happen to him for circumventing the	9	Village and their procedures?
07:22:35PM 10	procedures.	07:24:58PM 10	MR. YU: No. You would not be
11	And I'm not saying I don't	11	releasing them from any fines or violations that
12	understand his position on that, but we do have	12	would otherwise be without
13	laws and procedures for reasons. And when	13	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We can do what we do,
14	someone flaunts those knowingly, I think they	14	and the Village can do what they do.
15	put themselves in a position of jeopardy. So	15	MR. YU: Yes. Right.
16	for the record, if my version is correct of	16	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. So having
17	this, the work is done, for anybody that would	17	heard all that, Sandra, do you have any comment?
18	care to go look at it. I don't think you can	18	MS. WILLIAMS: I don't. I don't. I
19	find any problem with it. I'm not sure we can	19	think it looks great, very much in keeping. I
07:23:13PM 20	vote for a Certificate of Appropriateness	07:25:25PM 20	like the pattern of the brick and the window
21	because it may have to be referred over to the	21	and, obviously, it looks great.
22	building commission to be handled as a	22	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. Frank?
	7		9
1	violation.	1	MR. GONZALEZ: No. I think it seems to
2	MR. MC GINNIS: Just in terms of your	2	blend with the surrounding design. So I don't,
3	understanding of the situation, that's at least	3	I understand what you are saying, John. As far
4	not what I was told that the applicant's mindset	4	as the aesthetics, I have no To me it looks
5	or understanding of the situation was. It was	5	acceptable.
6	not done intentionally.	6	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Bill?
7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That's why it's nice	7	MR. HAARLOW: I think the aesthetics
8	to have the applicant here sworn rather than a	8	are just fine. I'm concerned about the idea of
9	representative. So, Chan?	9	a retroactive permit from us. In my time on
07:23:50PM 10	MR. YU: I'm not sure what the	07:26:04PM 10	this Commission, I don't believe that we have
11	repercussions are. But the building department,	11	had such a situation.
12	the code enforcement officer were all aware of	12	MR. YU: I don't think you were part of
13	it. I don't know what time frame he has to	13	the Commission. But we actually had the, I
14	correct this. But it was through the building	14	believe it was the same house for the stair
15	department vetting process where we found out	15	rails, the front porch rails. They installed
16	that this has to be. We tried the email poll	16	rails that did not match the approved plans. It
17	but it violates the Open Meetings Act.	17	was staggered. I think the stone looked like it
18	So here we are, this is the	18	was stacked on top of each other. So that was a
19	retroactive request. This is the procedure	19	retroactive request, and there is retroactive
07:24:24PM 20	process moving forward is. This is a locally	07:26:45PM 20	requests.
21	landmarked home.	21	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That wasn't a
22	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes.	22	retroactive request. What happened was the same
3 of 9 sheet	ts KATHLEEN W. BONC), CSR 630-8	³³⁴⁻⁷ 01.13.20 HPC Minutes - Attachment 3

	10		12
1	applicant violated his permit and installed	1	conditions.
2	something that was not approved by us on a	2	MR. YU: Yes. That's the way I see it.
3	locally landmarked house. So we enforced our	3	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Simply asking the
4	original approval that he had to take those down	4	building department to do what they feel they
5	and replace them. That's how that went down.	5	need to do. I have no problem with that.
6	MR. YU: I thought they actually	6	MS. WILLIAMS: But recommend a penalty
7	signed, filled out an application and requested	7	of whatever sort.
8	for that, tried to get those approved, and then	8	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are not in the
9	I thought he was denied; so then he had to	9	enforcement of these things.
07:27:22PM 10	remove it.	07:29:16PM 10	MS. WILLIAMS: Well, we are not
11	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That's not my	11	enforcing.
12	recollection.	12	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't think we want
13	MR. YU: Okay.	13	to get into that area.
14	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That's not my	14	MS. WILLIAMS: But the code indicates
15	recollection. I don't think we have ever had a	15	that there are penalties involved, and we don't
16	retroactive permit. I think the applicant was	16	seem to ask for that.
17	caught trying to do a less expensive version of	17	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I'm not sure there
18	the front stairway balustrades. And when we	18	are penalties involved. If I knew there was a
19	brought that to the attention of the applicant	19	penalty, I would probably feel more comfortable
07:27:38РМ 20	and the building department, the building	07:29:33PM 20	with that. I think I feel more comfortable
21	department told him that he had to correct it,	21	saying that the building department should look
22	which he did.	22	into the situation and do what they would do
	11		13
1	We didn't pass any more certificate	1	under normal circumstances.
2	of appropriatenesses. He simply had to correct	2	MR. YU: Typically if there is inaction
3	and acquiesce to the approval that he got	3	by the applicant, then the process for final and
4	originally. So in the sense, we have a second offender on our hands. So he's a good guy, I	5	ticketing starts. But the applicant has been They have basically replied to everything that
5 6	happen to know him. I have no problem with him	6	we have emailed, calls. So they have been very
7	personally. It's just there is an attitude in	7	responsive; so I'm not sure where the, where we
8	this town about I will do whatever I want and	8	are in terms of enforcement.
9	beg for forgiveness, and know that I will find	9	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Of course they are
07:28:10PM 10	somebody that will give it to me.	07:30:12PM 10	responsive because they have been caught with
11	MR. YU: Right. So outside of the HPC,	11	their hand in the cookie jar. They got out in
12	I'm not sure how we do correct that, I don't	12	front. The carts out in front of the horse.
13	remember a retroactive request in this	13	Now they are asking for forgiveness.
14	Commission. There are a couple instances where	14	I have another instance going on
15	the Plan Commission, the Village Board would	15	right now in this Village that I'm not going to
16	have to consider retroactive requests based on	16	enumerate at this point in time. Word gets out.
17	what was built versus what was approved.	17	Do what you want, ask for forgiveness, you will
18	MS. WILLIAMS: Could we approve the	18	find someone who will give it to you. At that
19	certificate with a recommendation that the	19	point if you are going to emasculate all your
07:28:39РМ 20	building department look into a fine for this	07:30:37PM 20	Commissions and emasculate your building
21	situation?	21	department and all your enforcement, you might
22	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: It's approval with	22	as well not have codes, you might as well not
	KATHLEEN W. BONO	, CSR 630-8	334-7079.13.20 HPC Minutes - Attachment 3 4 of 9 sheets

	14		16
1	have ordinances, you might as well not have	1	MR. GONZALEZ: Aye.
2	Commissions.	2	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A second, please.
3	So I can't take this lightly. I	3	MR. GONZALEZ: Second.
4	don't mean to be intransient on this. But I	4	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: All in favor say aye.
5	think that, I think that if you are going to	5	MR. GONZALEZ: Aye.
6	have a motion to approve this it should be with	6	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Aye.
7	the caveat that the building department address	7	MR. HAARLOW: How many do we need? I
8	this in an appropriate manner, and whatever that	8	know we need four votes.
9	may be that may be. All right.	9	I'll vote aye just to move it
07:31:07PM 10	MS. WILLIAMS: Chapter 5 of the Zoning	07:33:03PM 10	along, but I don't like the position of awarding
11	Code, 15-5-8 is about penalties. And it says,	11	retroactive permits. And that's not on this
12	Any person who undertakes or causes an	12	Commission.
13	alteration, construction, demolition, or removal	13	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: As far as the
14	of any nominated or designated landmark without	14	governance path, what other choices would there
15	a Certificate of Appropriateness shall be guilty	15	be? You are going to, if you approve the work
16	of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction,	16	but you are stating it was done not in
17	therefore, should be punished by a fine of yada,	17	accordance with the ordinance, and you approve
18	yada, yada. This is an alteration of a	18	it predicated on the ordinance being enforced,
19	landmarked structure. I don't know why there	19	you consider that to be a retroactive permit?
07:31:37PM 20	wouldn't be a penalty.	07:33:54PM 20	MR. YU: If you denied this application
21	MR. YU: I'm not saying there is not	21	because it's locally landmark
22	going to be a penalty.	22	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes.
	15		17
1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: But it would not be	1	MR. YU: you have authority, that
2	our purview to assess that penalty is my point.	2	homeowner would have to fix the garage back to
3	MS. WILLIAMS: No, but somebody should	3	what it looked like, as has happened in other
4	enforce the zoning code, that's all I'm saying.	4	communities where I worked in.
5	No. It's not up to us.	5	MR. HAARLOW: That's not the goal.
6	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree with you. I	6	MR. GONZALEZ: No. I don't think,
7	agree with you.	7	that's not the idea. That's not the idea.
8	MS. WILLIAMS: And the code states	8	Because then he's going to have to do
9	there is a penalty, so somebody should be	9	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The work was fine.
07:31:59РМ 10	enforcing it.	07:34:24PM 10	MR. HAARLOW: Right, the work is fine.
11	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Then we can have a	11	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: If we would have been
12	motion for approving the work with the caveat	12	able to poll ourselves, we would have approved.
13	that that section of the ordinance be enforced.	13	There was a timing issue. He felt, and maybe
14	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.	14	correctly, whether his contractor talked to him
15	MR. YU: I'm assuming that's being	15	or whatever about doing masonry work in the
16	enforced anyways; but yes, you can put that in	16	winter, that he needed to go forward. Whether
17	the motion.	17	or not he was cognizant of the need to come
18	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, it would.	18	before us or not, I, again, he would have to
19	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Would you like to	19	testify one way or the other on that. I don't
07:32:20PM 20	make that motion?	07:34:58PM 20	know, but I don't see any other path.
21	MS. WILLIAMS: So move.	21	MR. HAARLOW: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Do we have a second?	22	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't want them to

19

2 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. I guess I would say just knowing the individual, the applicant, 4 having been a month earlier he would have come 5 before us, we would have said, yes, he would 6 have done the work, and it would have been fine. 7 It was just trying to expedite the situation in a way. So I don't want to create a villainous persona for the applicant because it's not true. 07:36:37PM 10 MR. YU: Right. 11 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. So that would be the end of our public hearings. Thank you. * * * 13 (Which were all the proceedings had 14 in the above-entitled cause.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

the work, just not the procedure.

0	alteration [2] - 14:13,	В	8:16, 8:22, 9:6, 9:21,	17:14
	14:18		10:11, 10:14, 11:22,	contribute [1] - 3:17
	alternative [1] -		12:3, 12:8, 12:12,	conviction [1] -
084-001391 [1] -	18:15	balustrades [1] -	12:17, 13:9, 15:1,	14:16
20:12	announcements [1]	10:18	15:6, 15:11, 15:19,	cookie [1] - 13:11
	- 5:12	barely [1] - 4:7	15:22, 16:2, 16:4,	correct [6] - 6:16,
1	anticipate [1] - 18:7	based [1] - 11:16	16:6, 16:13, 16:22,	7:14, 10:21, 11:2,
	anyways [1] - 15:16	basis [1] - 18:8	17:9, 17:11, 17:22,	11:12, 20:8
	apologizes [2] - 3:4,	BEFORE [1] - 1:3	18:5, 18:16, 19:2,	correctly [1] - 17:14
12-2019 [1] - 2:8	3:11	beg [1] - 11:9	19:11	Coules [2] - 2:5, 2:12
13th [1] - 1:14	appear [1] - 6:5	Bill [1] - 9:6	Chairman [1] - 1:17	COUNTY [2] - 1:2,
15-5-8 [1] - 14:11		BILL [1] - 1:19	CHAN [1] - 2:2	
19 [1] - 1:13	applicant [17] - 2:14,	blend [1] - 9:2	Chan [2] - 7:9, 18:12	20:2
	3:1, 3:19, 4:8, 4:13,			couple [1] - 11:14
2	5:17, 5:19, 6:3, 6:6,	Board [1] - 11:15	change [4] - 2:16,	course [1] - 13:9
	7:8, 10:1, 10:16,	BOARD [1] - 1:16	4:3, 4:7, 4:10	court [1] - 20:4
	10:19, 13:3, 13:4,	BOHNEN [38] - 1:17,	changes [1] - 4:4	create [1] - 19:8
2020 [1] - 1:14	19:3, 19:9	2:7, 4:12, 4:18, 5:3,	chapter [1] - 14:10	CRR [2] - 20:3, 20:12
24 [2] - 2:18, 3:20	applicant 's [1] - 7:4	7:7, 7:22, 8:3, 8:13,	Chicago [1] - 1:13	CSR [2] - 20:3, 20:12
	application [2] -	8:16, 8:22, 9:6, 9:21,	choices [1] - 16:14	
3	10:7, 16:20	10:11, 10:14, 11:22,	circumstances [1] -	D
<u> </u>	Appropriate [1] - 1:7	12:3, 12:8, 12:12,	13:1	
	appropriate [1] -	12:17, 13:9, 15:1,	circumventing [2] -	
304 [2] - 1:6, 2:8	14:8	15:6, 15:11, 15:19,	6:9, 8:8	D'ONOFRIO [1] - 2:3
		15:22, 16:2, 16:4,	code [7] - 7:12,	decided [1] - 6:6
5	Appropriateness [4]	16:6, 16:13, 16:22,		degree [1] - 5:22
<u> </u>	- 2:15, 3:2, 6:20,		12:14, 15:4, 15:8,	demolition [1] -
	14:15	17:9, 17:11, 17:22,	18:9, 18:10	1
5 [1] - 14:10	appropriatenesses	18:5, 18:16, 19:2,	Code [2] - 8:8, 14:11	14:13
	[1] - 11:2	19:11	codes [1] - 13:22	denied [2] - 10:9,
7	approval [7] - 2:15,	Box [1] - 18:20	cognizant [1] - 17:17	16:20
<u> </u>	3:10, 4:9, 5:10, 10:4,	brick [2] - 4:1, 8:20	comfortable [2] -	department [9] -
	11:3, 11:22	brought [1] - 10:19	12:19, 12:20	7:11, 7:15, 10:20,
7:18 [1] - 1:15	approve [4] - 11:18,	building [10] - 6:22,	coming [1] - 3:5	10:21, 11:20, 12:4,
	14:6, 16:15, 16:17	7:11, 7:14, 10:20,	comment [1] - 8:17	12:21, 13:21, 14:7
٨	approved [7] - 3:7,	11:20, 12:4, 12:21,	COMMISSION [1] -	design [4] - 2:16,
Α		13:20, 14:7		4:3, 4:10, 9:2
	8:5, 9:16, 10:2, 10:8,	built [1] - 11:17	1:4	Design [1] - 2:21
able [2] - 5:14, 17:12	11:17, 17:12		commission [1] -	designated [2] -
above-entitled [2] -	approving [2] -	business [1] - 20:5	6:22	_
	15:12, 18:14		Commission [11] -	3:14, 14:14
1:11, 19:15	architect [1] - 2:19	С	1:13, 3:5, 3:9, 4:9,	designation [1] -
acceptable [1] - 9:5	architectural [1] -		4:15, 5:10, 9:10, 9:13,	3:15
accordanc e [1] -	4:20	0	11:14, 11:15, 16:12	designed [1] - 4:6
16:17	Architectural [1] -	Caprio [2] - 2:20	commissions [1] -	designs [1] - 3:14
according [1] - 18:10	2:21	care [1] - 6:18	18:13	different [1] - 5:4
acquiesce [1] - 11:3	area [1] - 12:13	carts [1] - 13:12	Commissions [2] -	disapproval [1] -
acquiescing [1] - 8:6	assess [1] - 15:2	case [1] - 18:19	13:20, 14:2	5:11
Act [2] - 5:15, 7:17		Case [2] - 1:6, 2:8		discussing [1] - 5:1
add [1] - 2:18	assuming [1] - 15:15	caught [2] - 10:17,	communities [1] -	district [1] - 3:13
	attached [1] - 1:8	13:10	17:4	
	attention [2] - 5:6,	causes [1] - 14:12	completed [1] - 3:3	Donatelli [2] - 2:5,
added [1] - 3:21			completing [1] - 3:4	2:12
addition [2] - 2:22,	10:19	caveat 121 - 14.7	concerned [1] - 9:8	done [5] - 6:17, 7:6,
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17	10:19 attitude [1] - 11:7	caveat [2] - 14:7,	Concorned [1] C.C	
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18		15:12	concerns [1] - 18:12	8:7, 16:16, 19:6
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18 address [1] - 14:7	attitude [1] - 11:7	15:12 center [1] - 2:17		
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18	attitude [1] - 11:7 Attorney [1] - 2:4	15:12 center [1] - 2:17 Certificate [5] - 1:7,	concerns [1] - 18:12 conditions [1] - 12:1	
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18 address [1] - 14:7	attitude [1] - 11:7 Attorney [1] - 2:4 authority [2] - 8:1, 17:1	15:12 center [1] - 2:17 Certificate [5] - 1:7, 2:14, 3:2, 6:20, 14:15	concerns [1] - 18:12 conditions [1] - 12:1 conflict [1] - 4:22	door [3] - 2:17, 2:19 3:20
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18 address [1] - 14:7 advised [1] - 3:7 advisory [1] - 8:2	attitude [1] - 11:7 Attorney [1] - 2:4 authority [2] - 8:1, 17:1 Avenue [1] - 1:13	15:12 center [1] - 2:17 Certificate [5] - 1:7,	concerns [1] - 18:12 conditions [1] - 12:1 conflict [1] - 4:22 consider [2] - 11:16,	door [3] - 2:17, 2:19 3:20
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18 address [1] - 14:7 advised [1] - 3:7 advisory [1] - 8:2 aesthetics [2] - 9:4,	attitude [1] - 11:7 Attorney [1] - 2:4 authority [2] - 8:1, 17:1 Avenue [1] - 1:13 awarding [1] - 16:10	15:12 center [1] - 2:17 Certificate [5] - 1:7, 2:14, 3:2, 6:20, 14:15	concerns [1] - 18:12 conditions [1] - 12:1 conflict [1] - 4:22 consider [2] - 11:16, 16:19	door [3] - 2:17, 2:19 3:20 doors [3] - 3:22, 4:5 4:7
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18 address [1] - 14:7 advised [1] - 3:7 advisory [1] - 8:2 aesthetics [2] - 9:4, 9:7	attitude [1] - 11:7 Attorney [1] - 2:4 authority [2] - 8:1, 17:1 Avenue [1] - 1:13 awarding [1] - 16:10 aware [2] - 3:1, 7:12	15:12 center [1] - 2:17 Certificate [5] - 1:7, 2:14, 3:2, 6:20, 14:15 certificate [2] - 11:1,	concerns [1] - 18:12 conditions [1] - 12:1 conflict [1] - 4:22 consider [2] - 11:16, 16:19 construction [1] -	door [3] - 2:17, 2:19 3:20 doors [3] - 3:22, 4:5 4:7 down [3] - 10:4,
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18 address [1] - 14:7 advised [1] - 3:7 advisory [1] - 8:2 aesthetics [2] - 9:4, 9:7 affect [1] - 4:10	attitude [1] - 11:7 Attorney [1] - 2:4 authority [2] - 8:1, 17:1 Avenue [1] - 1:13 awarding [1] - 16:10 aware [2] - 3:1, 7:12 Aye [3] - 16:1, 16:5,	15:12 center [1] - 2:17 Certificate [5] - 1:7, 2:14, 3:2, 6:20, 14:15 certificate [2] - 11:1, 11:19	concerns [1] - 18:12 conditions [1] - 12:1 conflict [1] - 4:22 consider [2] - 11:16, 16:19 construction [1] - 14:13	door [3] - 2:17, 2:19 3:20 doors [3] - 3:22, 4:5 4:7 down [3] - 10:4, 10:5, 18:1
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18 address [1] - 14:7 advised [1] - 3:7 advisory [1] - 8:2 aesthetics [2] - 9:4, 9:7 affect [1] - 4:10 aforesaid [1] - 20:9	attitude [1] - 11:7 Attorney [1] - 2:4 authority [2] - 8:1, 17:1 Avenue [1] - 1:13 awarding [1] - 16:10 aware [2] - 3:1, 7:12 Aye [3] - 16:1, 16:5, 16:6	15:12 center [1] - 2:17 Certificate [5] - 1:7, 2:14, 3:2, 6:20, 14:15 certificate [2] - 11:1, 11:19 certify [1] - 20:4 CHAIRMAN [37] -	concerns [1] - 18:12 conditions [1] - 12:1 conflict [1] - 4:22 consider [2] - 11:16, 16:19 construction [1] - 14:13 Consultant [1] - 2:3	door [3] - 2:17, 2:19 3:20 doors [3] - 3:22, 4:5 4:7 down [3] - 10:4, 10:5, 18:1 DU [2] - 1:2, 20:2
addition [2] - 2:22, 3:17 additional [2] - 2:18 address [1] - 14:7 advised [1] - 3:7 advisory [1] - 8:2 aesthetics [2] - 9:4, 9:7 affect [1] - 4:10	attitude [1] - 11:7 Attorney [1] - 2:4 authority [2] - 8:1, 17:1 Avenue [1] - 1:13 awarding [1] - 16:10 aware [2] - 3:1, 7:12 Aye [3] - 16:1, 16:5,	15:12 center [1] - 2:17 Certificate [5] - 1:7, 2:14, 3:2, 6:20, 14:15 certificate [2] - 11:1, 11:19 certify [1] - 20:4	concerns [1] - 18:12 conditions [1] - 12:1 conflict [1] - 4:22 consider [2] - 11:16, 16:19 construction [1] - 14:13	door [3] - 2:17, 2:19 3:20 doors [3] - 3:22, 4:5 4:7 down [3] - 10:4, 10:5, 18:1

E	G	HPC-12-2019 [1] - 1:6	last [1] - 2:7 laws [1] - 6:13	10:6, 10:13, 11:11, 12:2, 13:2, 14:21,
			least [2] - 7:3, 18:21	15:15, 16:1, 16:3,
early [1] - 5:21	garage [7] - 1:8,	I	less [1] - 10:17	16:5, 16:7, 16:20,
East [1] - 1:13	2:17, 2:19, 3:16, 3:20,		License [1] - 20:12	17:1, 17:5, 17:6,
edification [1] - 4:13	4:5, 17:2		lightly [1] - 14:3	17:10, 17:21, 18:2,
email [1] - 7:16	GINNIS [4] - 2:4,	idea [4] - 9:8, 17:7,	Lincoln [2] - 1:6, 2:8	18:11, 19:10
emailed [1] - 13:6	2:10, 4:16, 7:2	18:9	line [1] - 4:4	MS [13] - 1:21, 8:18,
emasculate [2] -	given [1] - 20:6	ILLINOIS [2] - 1:1,	local [1] - 3:13	11:18, 12:6, 12:10,
13:19, 13:20	glass [3] - 2:19, 3:21,	20:1	locally [4] - 5:8, 7:20,	12:14, 14:10, 15:3,
end [1] - 19:12	3:22	Illinois [2] - 1:14,	10:3, 16:21	15:8, 15:14, 15:18,
enforce [2] - 15:4,	goal [1] - 17:5	20:5	located [1] - 3:12	15:21, 18:22
18:9	GONZALEZ [6] -	IN [1] - 1:5	look [3] - 6:18,	
enforced [4] - 10:3,	1:18, 9:1, 16:1, 16:3,	inaction [1] - 13:2	11:20, 12:21	N
15:13, 15:16, 16:18	16:5, 17:6	inches [2] - 2:18,	•	
enforcement [4] -	governance [1] -	3:20	looked [3] - 5:6,	
7:12, 12:9, 13:8,	16:14	indicates [1] - 12:14	9:17, 17:3	name [1] - 2:11
13:21	great [2] - 8:19, 8:21	indication [1] - 4:17	looks [3] - 8:19,	nature [1] - 4:11
enforcing [2] -	guess [1] - 19:2	individual [1] - 19:3	8:21, 9:4	necessarily [1] -
12:11, 15:10	guilty [1] - 14:15	installed [2] - 9:15,		18:22
entitled [2] - 1:11,	guy [1] - 11:5	10:1	M	need [5] - 4:21, 12:5,
19:15	guy [i] - 11.5	instance [2] - 13:14,		16:7, 16:8, 17:17
	11	18:16	manner [1] - 14:8	needed [2] - 3:9,
enumerate [1] -	Н	instances [1] - 11:14	1	17:16
13:16		intentionally [1] - 7:6	masonry [2] - 5:20,	needing [1] - 4:14
expedite [2] - 6:1,	HAARLOW [8] -	• • •	17:15	ness [1] - 1:7
19:7	1:19, 9:7, 16:7, 17:5,	intransient [1] - 14:4	match [2] - 4:5, 9:16	new [1] - 3:22
expensive [1] -	17:10, 17:21, 18:2,	involved [2] - 12:15,	matches [1] - 3:21	nice [1] - 7:7
10:17	18:11	12:18	materials [1] - 4:1	
	hand [1] - 13:11	issue [1] - 17:13	MATTER [1] - 1:5	nominated [1] -
F		issues [1] - 3:8	matter [1] - 1:12	14:14
	handled [1] - 6:22	itself [2] - 3:16, 4:2	MC [4] - 2:4, 2:10,	normal [1] - 13:1
f1 0.7	hands [1] - 11:5		4:16, 7:2	notes [1] - 20:9
fact [1] - 8:7	header [1] - 2:17	J	McGinnis [1] - 2:11	noticeable [1] - 4:7
fair [1] - 18:5	heard [1] - 8:17		mean [1] - 14:4	
far [2] - 9:3, 16:13	hearing [2] - 1:11,	1	meeting [1] - 5:12	0
favor [1] - 16:4	1 20.7	Janice [1] - 20:12	Meetings [2] - 5:15,	
	20:7			
felt [1] - 17:13	hearings [1] - 19:12	JANICE [1] - 20:3	7:17	ahtain w 2:15
felt [1] - 17:13 filled [1] - 10:7	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16,	January [1] - 1:14	7:17 Member [4] - 1:18.	obtain [1] - 2:15
	hearings [1] - 19:12		Member [4] - 1:18,	obtaining [1] - 3:10
filled [1] - 10:7	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16,	January [1] - 1:14	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8,	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3,
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9,	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] -	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3,
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] -	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21,	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12,	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] -	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8,	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:19
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:19 option [1] - 18:15
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13,	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:19 option [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20,	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5,	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:19 option [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] -
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20, 17:16	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11 home [5] - 2:22,	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5, 19:3 knowingly [1] - 6:14	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6 month [1] - 19:4	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:19 option [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] - 15:13, 16:17, 16:18
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20, 17:16 four [1] - 16:8	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11 home [5] - 2:22, 3:16, 3:17, 4:11, 7:21	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5, 19:3	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6 month [1] - 19:4 motion [4] - 14:6,	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:19 option [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] - 15:13, 16:17, 16:18 ordinances [1] - 14:7
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20, 17:16 four [1] - 16:8 frame [1] - 7:13	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11 home [5] - 2:22, 3:16, 3:17, 4:11, 7:21 homeowner [1] -	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5, 19:3 knowingly [1] - 6:14 knowledge [1] - 4:14	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6 month [1] - 19:4 motion [4] - 14:6, 15:12, 15:17, 15:20	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] - 15:13, 16:17, 16:18 ordinances [1] - 14:7 original [2] - 3:15,
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20, 17:16 four [1] - 16:8	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11 home [5] - 2:22, 3:16, 3:17, 4:11, 7:21 homeowner [1] - 17:2	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5, 19:3 knowingly [1] - 6:14	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6 month [1] - 19:4 motion [4] - 14:6, 15:12, 15:17, 15:20 move [2] - 15:21, 16:9	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] - 15:13, 16:17, 16:18 ordinances [1] - 14:10 original [2] - 3:15, 10:4
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20, 17:16 four [1] - 16:8 frame [1] - 7:13	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11 home [5] - 2:22, 3:16, 3:17, 4:11, 7:21 homeowner [1] -	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5, 19:3 knowingly [1] - 6:14 knowledge [1] - 4:14	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6 month [1] - 19:4 motion [4] - 14:6, 15:12, 15:17, 15:20 move [2] - 15:21, 16:9 moving [1] - 7:20	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] - 15:13, 16:17, 16:18 ordinances [1] - 14:1 original [2] - 3:15, 10:4 originally [1] - 11:4
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20, 17:16 four [1] - 16:8 frame [1] - 7:13 FRANK [1] - 1:18	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11 home [5] - 2:22, 3:16, 3:17, 4:11, 7:21 homeowner [1] - 17:2	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5, 19:3 knowingly [1] - 6:14 knowledge [1] - 4:14	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6 month [1] - 19:4 motion [4] - 14:6, 15:12, 15:17, 15:20 move [2] - 15:21, 16:9 moving [1] - 7:20 MR [38] - 1:17, 1:18,	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:19 option [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] - 15:13, 16:17, 16:18 ordinances [1] - 14:10 original [2] - 3:15, 10:4 originally [1] - 11:4 otherwise [1] - 8:12
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20, 17:16 four [1] - 16:8 frame [1] - 7:13 FRANK [1] - 1:18 frank [1] - 8:22 frankly [2] - 5:7,	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11 home [5] - 2:22, 3:16, 3:17, 4:11, 7:21 homeowner [1] - 17:2 horizon [1] - 18:21	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5, 19:3 knowingly [1] - 6:14 knowledge [1] - 4:14 L	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6 month [1] - 19:4 motion [4] - 14:6, 15:12, 15:17, 15:20 move [2] - 15:21, 16:9 moving [1] - 7:20 MR [38] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3,	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:19 option [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] - 15:13, 16:17, 16:18 ordinances [1] - 14:10 original [2] - 3:15, 10:4 originally [1] - 11:4 otherwise [1] - 8:12 ourselves [1] - 17:12
filled [1] - 10:7 final [2] - 3:10, 13:3 fine [7] - 6:8, 9:8, 11:20, 14:17, 17:9, 17:10, 19:6 fines [1] - 8:11 first [1] - 5:5 fix [1] - 17:2 flaunts [1] - 6:14 foregoing [1] - 20:7 forgiveness [4] - 6:8, 11:9, 13:13, 13:17 formality [1] - 5:12 forward [2] - 7:20, 17:16 four [1] - 16:8 frame [1] - 7:13 FRANK [1] - 1:18 frank [1] - 8:22	hearings [1] - 19:12 height [3] - 2:16, 3:19, 4:4 HEINEMANN [1] - 20:3 Heinemann [1] - 20:12 hereby [1] - 20:4 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:21 HISTORIC [1] - 1:4 Historic [1] - 1:12 historical [3] - 3:13, 3:18, 4:11 home [5] - 2:22, 3:16, 3:17, 4:11, 7:21 homeowner [1] - 17:2 horizon [1] - 18:21 horse [1] - 13:12	January [1] - 1:14 jar [1] - 13:11 jeopardy [1] - 6:15 JIM [1] - 1:20 Joe [1] - 2:13 JOHN [1] - 1:17 John [2] - 4:19, 9:3 K keeping [1] - 8:19 knowing [2] - 6:5, 19:3 knowingly [1] - 6:14 knowledge [1] - 4:14 L landmark [4] - 3:14,	Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 middle [1] - 3:20 might [3] - 13:21, 13:22, 14:1 mindset [1] - 7:4 misdemeanor [1] - 14:16 misunderstanding [1] - 3:6 month [1] - 19:4 motion [4] - 14:6, 15:12, 15:17, 15:20 move [2] - 15:21, 16:9 moving [1] - 7:20 MR [38] - 1:17, 1:18,	obtaining [1] - 3:10 obviously [1] - 8:21 OF [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, 1:10, 20:1, 20:2 offender [1] - 11:5 office [1] - 4:21 officer [1] - 7:12 one [1] - 17:19 Open [2] - 5:14, 7:17 opens [1] - 18:15 order [1] - 2:15 ordinance [3] - 15:13, 16:17, 16:18 ordinances [1] - 14:1 original [2] - 3:15, 10:4 originally [1] - 11:4

	T	T	T	
Р	6:19, 8:6, 11:6, 12:5,	9:19, 9:22, 11:13	statement [1] - 18:6	violated [1] - 10:1
•	18:17	requested [1] - 10:7	states [1] - 15:8	violates [1] - 7:17
	procedure [2] - 7:19,	requests [3] - 4:9,	stating [2] - 4:13,	violation [2] - 7:1,
p.m [1] - 1:15	19:1	9:20, 11:16	16:16	8:7
PAGE [2] - 1:2, 20:2	procedures [6] -	responsive [2] -	stone [1] - 9:17	violations [1] - 8:11
Pandora 's [1] - 18:20	5:18, 6:4, 6:6, 6:10,	13:7, 13:10	structure [2] - 5:9,	vote [4] - 6:20, 8:4,
panel [2] - 2:19, 3:21	6:13, 8:9	restore [1] - 18:18	14:19	16:9, 18:4
panels [1] - 3:22	PROCEEDINGS [1] -	retroactive [12] - 1:7,		votes [1] - 16:8
part [2] - 3:16, 9:12	1:10	7:19, 9:9, 9:19, 9:22,	suggested [1] - 5:9	
pass [1] - 11:1	proceedings [1] -		surrounding [1] - 9:2	voting [1] - 5:2
path [2] - 16:14,	19:14	10:16, 11:13, 11:16,	sworn [1] - 7:8	100
17:20		16:11, 16:19, 18:14	_	W
	process [3] - 7:15,	roof [1] - 4:4	T	
PATRICK [1] - 2:4	7:20, 13:3		-	WILLIAMS [13] -
Patrick [1] - 2:11	property [3] - 2:13,	S	telephone [1] - 1:21	1:21, 8:18, 11:18,
pattern [1] - 8:20	3:4, 3:12		terms [2] - 7:2, 13:8	12:6, 12:10, 12:14,
pay [1] - 6:8	public [1] - 19:12	SANDRA [1] - 1:21	testify [1] - 17:19	14:10, 15:3, 15:8,
penalties [3] - 12:15,	punished [1] - 14:17	Sandra [1] - 8:17	1	15:14, 15:18, 15:21,
12:18, 14:11	purview [1] - 15:2		testimony [2] - 1:10,	18:22
penalty [6] - 12:6,	put [2] - 6:15, 15:16	scope [1] - 5:6	20:6	window [1] - 8:20
12:19, 14:20, 14:22,		seamlessly [1] - 4:6	THE [2] - 1:3, 1:5	
15:2, 15:9	R	second [5] - 3:21,	themselves [1] -	winter [2] - 5:21,
pending [1] - 18:20		11:4, 15:22, 16:2,	6:15	17:16
perceived [1] - 5:20		16:3	therefore [1] - 14:17	Word [1] - 13:16
performed [1] - 4:21	rails [3] - 9:15, 9:16	section [1] - 15:13	ticketing [1] - 13:4	worry [1] - 6:7
perhaps [2] - 5:9, 8:7	raise [1] - 2:16	see [3] - 12:2, 17:20,	timing [1] - 17:13	
permit [6] - 1:7, 3:10,	raised [1] - 3:19	18:21	top [1] - 9:18	Υ
9:9, 10:1, 10:16,	rather [2] - 5:11, 7:8	seeking [2] - 2:14,	town [1] - 11:8	
16:19	RDR [2] - 20:3, 20:12	3:2	transcript [1] - 20:8	
permits [2] - 16:11,	realize [1] - 3:9	seem [2] - 12:16,	tried [2] - 7:16, 10:8	yada [3] - 14:17,
18:14	reasons [2] - 4:8,	18:14	true [2] - 19:9, 20:8	14:18
person [1] - 14:12	6:13	sense [1] - 11:4	trying [4] - 5:22,	YU [16] - 2:2, 7:10,
persona [1] - 19:9	recollection [2] -	shall [1] - 14:15	10:17, 18:3, 19:7	8:1, 8:10, 8:15, 9:12,
	10:12, 10:15	shorthand [2] - 20:6,	type [2] - 18:7, 18:8	10:6, 10:13, 11:11,
personally [1] - 11:7	recommend [1] -	20:8	typically [1] - 13:2	12:2, 13:2, 14:21,
Peterchak [1] - 2:13	12:6	sides [1] - 4:6	typically [1] - 13.2	15:15, 16:20, 17:1,
pitch [1] - 4:4	recommendation [1]	signed [1] - 10:7	11	19:10
Plan [1] - 11:15	- 11:19	significance [1] -	U	
Planner [1] - 2:2	record [4] - 2:11,	3:18		Z
Planning [1] - 2:3	4:19, 5:4, 6:16	simply [2] - 11:2,	under [2] - 5:16, 13:1	
plans [3] - 2:20, 3:8,	recuse [2] - 4:22, 5:1	12:3	understood [1] - 6:3	7
9:16		situation [7] - 5:5,	undertakes [1] -	Zoning [1] - 14:10
point [3] - 13:16,	referred [1] - 6:21		14:12	zoning [1] - 15:4
13:19, 15:2	refrain [1] - 5:1	7:3, 7:5, 9:11, 11:21,	unless [1] - 6:4	
poll [3] - 5:9, 7:16,	regular [1] - 18:8	12:22, 19:7	up [2] - 15:5, 18:19	_
17:12	releasing [1] - 8:11	sketches [1] - 4:20	up [ε] * 10.0, 10.18	
polling [1] - 6:1	remember [1] -	someone [2] - 6:14,	W	_ 111 _ 1:6
porch [1] - 9:15	11:13	13:18	V	– [1] - 1:6
position [3] - 6:12,	removal [1] - 14:13	sort [1] - 12:7		
6:15, 16:10	remove [1] - 10:10	South [1] - 2:8	version [2] - 6:16,	
precedent [1] - 18:13	repercussions [1] -	speaking [1] - 2:9	10:17	
predicated [1] -	7:11	ss [2] - 1:1, 20:1	versus [1] - 11:17	
16:18	replace [1] - 10:5	St [1] - 1:6	vetting [1] - 7:15	
PRESENT [2] - 1:16,	replied [1] - 13:5	stacked [1] - 9:18	via [1] - 1:21	
2:1	REPORT [1] - 1:10	staggered [1] - 9:17	VILLAGE [1] - 1:3	
	reported [1] - 20:6	stair [1] - 9:14	1	
PRESERVATION 141	1	stairway [1] - 10:18	Village [8] - 2:2, 3:7,	
PRESERVATION [1]	reporter [1] - 20:4	Stall Way 11 10.10		•
- 1:4	reporter [1] - 20:4	• • • •	5:13, 8:8, 8:9, 8:14,	
- 1:4 Preservation [1] -	representative [1] -	started [1] - 5:19	11:15, 13:15	
- 1:4 Preservation [1] - 1:12	representative [1] - 7:9	started [1] - 5:19 starting [1] - 4:15	11:15, 13:15 villainous [1] - 19:8	
- 1:4 Preservation [1] - 1:12 PRISBY [2] - 1:20,	representative [1] - 7:9 represents [1] - 2:12	started [1] - 5:19 starting [1] - 4:15 starts [1] - 13:4	11:15, 13:15 villainous [1] - 19:8 Vince [1] - 2:20	
- 1:4 Preservation [1] - 1:12	representative [1] - 7:9	started [1] - 5:19 starting [1] - 4:15	11:15, 13:15 villainous [1] - 19:8	

SIAIE OF	ТППТ	LIVOI	΄,	ss:	
COUNTY OF	DU T	PAGE	,		
		_		VILLAGE ESERVATIO	

IN THE MATTER OF:

Title 14 Regulations
Action Summary Review

)

CHAMB OF TITINOTO \

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the continued hearing of the discussion of the Regulations Action Summary Review in the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Historic Preservation Commission at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 13th day of January, 2020, at 7:38 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. JOHN BOHNEN, Chairman;

MR. FRANK GONZALEZ, Member;

MR. BILL HAARLOW, Member;

MR. JIM PRISBY, Member;

MS. SANDRA WILLIAMS, via telephone, Member.

1	2		4
	ALSO PRESENT:	1	down the road of kindness and light and you find
2	MD CHAN VII Villago Plannor	2	that everybody is taking advantage of you, and
	MR. CHAN YU, Village Planner;	3	you want to go back and make this mandatory,
3	MR. MICHAEL D'ONOFRIO, Planning	4	it's going to be a whole lot different process.
	Consultant.	5	So as we are going through this,
4		6	let's keep that in mind when you decide finally
		7	how you want this to read and how you want this
5	CHATRMAN POUNTN N N N N	8	to be voted upon.
6 7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Now we will move to	9	Okay. Mike?
8	the next item on our agenda, a discussion of our Title 14 Regulation Summary Review.	07:40:27PM 10	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay. Thank you.
9	Have each of you taken the	11	MR. HAARLOW: Actually, Mike, before
07:37:29PM 10	opportunity to familiarize yourself with the	12	you get started So, Chan, can you explain to
11	work of Mr. D'Onofrio?	13	me what the Village Board approved on Tuesday?
12	At the outset, Mike, if I may	14	MR. YU: On Tuesday, a small section of
13	just so I don't forget it in my haste to go home	15	the code in Title 14. If there is an
14 15	and watch the football game this document is the culmination of the discussions we have been	16	application for Certificate of Appropriateness
16	having for months and months.	17	to demolish a structure in the Robbins or
17	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Correct. Right.	18	
18	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Months and months,		downtown district, it must be with a plan for
19	many times. It is in a form to be discussed,	19	the new building or structure.
07:38:23PM 20	amended, approved, whatever, and then put forth	07:40:58PM 20	So before, we had demo-only's, and
21	to the Board for vote and potential	21	this would Well, now that has to include a
22	codification.	22	plan for new construction.
	3		5
1	The one item that we had a little	1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: And to that point,
2	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or	2	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet
			Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being
2	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that	2	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would
3	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this	3	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being
2 3 4	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that	3 4	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would
2 3 4 5	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many	2 3 4 5	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment.
2 3 4 5 6	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions,	2 3 4 5 6	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone
2 3 4 5 6 7	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it	2 3 4 5 6 7	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 074139PM 10	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:41:39PM 10	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10 11 12	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate with us in a meaningful manner and not have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07/41/39PM 10 11	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm going to build this, I may and try to subdivide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10 11 12 13	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate with us in a meaningful manner and not have to be baring our teeth. Would that be fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-41:39PM 10 11 12 13	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm going to build this, I may and try to subdivide this lot and build two other things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10 11 12 13 14	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate with us in a meaningful manner and not have to be baring our teeth. Would that be fair? MR. PRISBY: That would be accurate,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:41:39PM 10 11 12 13 14	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm going to build this, I may and try to subdivide this lot and build two other things. Okay. There is no, there is no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate with us in a meaningful manner and not have to be baring our teeth. Would that be fair? MR. PRISBY: That would be accurate, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-41-39PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm going to build this, I may and try to subdivide this lot and build two other things. Okay. There is no, there is no measure for fines for that type of behavior. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate with us in a meaningful manner and not have to be baring our teeth. Would that be fair? MR. PRISBY: That would be accurate, yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandra?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:41:39PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm going to build this, I may and try to subdivide this lot and build two other things. Okay. There is no, there is no measure for fines for that type of behavior. It would be a revocation of approvals, but at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate with us in a meaningful manner and not have to be baring our teeth. Would that be fair? MR. PRISBY: That would be accurate, yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandra? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. I think that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-41-39PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm going to build this, I may and try to subdivide this lot and build two other things. Okay. There is no, there is no measure for fines for that type of behavior. It would be a revocation of approvals, but at that point the demo would be done. And in a sense,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate with us in a meaningful manner and not have to be baring our teeth. Would that be fair? MR. PRISBY: That would be accurate, yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandra? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. I think that would be fair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-41:39PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm going to build this, I may and try to subdivide this lot and build two other things. Okay. There is no, there is no measure for fines for that type of behavior. It would be a revocation of approvals, but at that point the demo would be done. And in a sense, it gives an unworthy applicant the ability to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:39:14PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	bit of tussle with along the way was whether or not to make certain recommendations of this board mandatory rather than advisory; and that discussion, though we approached it many different times and many different directions, the consensus, if I'm understanding it correctly, is that we wanted to try and do this with sugar rather than salt in the hopes that having a revised, meaningful Title 14 would encourage people to adhere to it and participate with us in a meaningful manner and not have to be baring our teeth. Would that be fair? MR. PRISBY: That would be accurate, yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandra? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. I think that would be fair. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So the way this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-41-39PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Bill, there are two elements that were not yet developed in that ordinance that are still being considered by us and by the Board that would have to end up being an amendment. But the one is is that if someone were to come in to us and show us something that they were going to build, and we approve the demo based on what is being presented to us, and then they bait and switch it, once they get the demo, then they decide, oh, I don't think I'm going to build this, I may and try to subdivide this lot and build two other things. Okay. There is no, there is no measure for fines for that type of behavior. It would be a revocation of approvals, but at that point the demo would be done. And in a sense, it gives an unworthy applicant the ability to game the situation.

6 8 1 fines involved if people try and use that District, Certificates of Appropriateness and 1 2 tactic. 2 authority over those Certificates of 3 The other thing that we felt needed Appropriateness for Properties in the Robbins to be addressed is that we felt that if a demo Park Historic District. Those were the second 5 is granted based on something that's being and third items. The fourth item was withdrawal built, then that something has got to be started of the landmark designation. And the last item, 6 7 to be built within a period of time; so that we 7 the fifth item, was establishment of a new don't have a village full of vacant lots because 8 landmark designation. 8 that doesn't serve anybody's ends. We are not 9 So I will briefly highlight those 9 07:42:51PM 10 in the land-speculating business. 07:45:17PM 10 things, but more specifically focusing on the 11 And every time there is a vacant second and third, and that would be the 12 lot, and we have many of them that sit vacant Certificate of Appropriateness process for for years and years around here, the Village is Robbins as well as the authority over the 13 deprived of tax dollars. And the schools are 14 14 Certificate of Appropriateness. deprived, more importantly, the schools are 15 15 So before diving into that, there really deprived of tax dollars because the land were a couple items where I said there were 16 16 is taxed as vacant land. 17 17 minor modifications proposed. One would be the 18 So those two elements, we have establishment of a new landmark district, which 18 19 discussed it. We didn't want to hold up this 19 is on -- bear with me while I get the shakes --07:43:19PM **20** text amendment. We wanted to discuss these 07:45:57PM **20** the last item in the memo, No. 6, should be 5 I 21 things with you guys, too. But it is open for 21 just noticed. I think it was agreed at the last 22 discussion at another time, and we can make a 22 meeting or the prior meeting that the historic 9 proposition that that text amendment be amended. district has kind of reinvigorated their 2 Okay? Thank you. Now, Mike. honorific designation of certain historic 3 MR. D'ONOFRIO: Thank you. Just a bit properties and that HPC did not see a need to of quick background. Since we last met on create a new district or a new establishment of 4 November 18, we finished our review at the three 5 that new landmark designation. 5 previous meetings of a number of, three or four, 6 Also with respect to the withdrawal items that the Village Board asked us to look 7 of the landmark designation, No. 4, the 7 recommendation was mainly to keep it the same 8 at. 8 9 with one modification; that being at Section 4, So following the meeting on 07:43:59PM 10 November 18, I drafted a memorandum to the chair 07.46.39PM 10 14-4-1, of the code be amended to include a 6th 11 kind of outlining and identifying what was done condition, I. And that the condition would with respect to these Historic Preservation prohibit the withdrawal of a landmark if it has 13 regulations that you folks were looking to 13 taken advantage of the Property Tax Assessment 14 review. Freeze Program. So that was the one minor 14 15 modification there. I will point to the memo that you 15 received, particularly pages 2 and 3. I just With respect to the downtown 16 16 17 want to make sure that I have correctly captured district, a couple of recommendations were made. 17 18 the desire of the HPC with respect to a couple 18 One, the HPC should review all applications for 19 of the items that were looked at. 19 exterior improvements requiring a permit. 07:44:39PM **20** So what the Village Board asked the 07:47:21PM **20** Second, that the HPC review remain advisory; and HPC to look at were Certificates of that the HPC review should be based on the 21 21 Appropriateness for the Downtown Historic 22 exterior appearance review application, which is 22

	10		12
1	used by the Plan Commission. That's included in	1	MR. D'ONOFRIO: They still, they would
2	your packet tonight as attachment 3.	2	have to make an application; right?
3	And finally, any HPC	3	MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
4	recommendations should be forwarded to the	4	MR. HAARLOW: A Certificate of
5	Village Board as part of any agenda packet sent	5	Appropriateness application.
6	to the Board following Plan Commission's	6	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay. Nothing else on
7	consideration.	7	that. I will start to highlight the proposed
8	MR. PRISBY: John? 1A talks about	8	changes that the HPC has recommended for Robbins
9	again HPC should review all applications for	9	Park.
07:48:06РМ 10	exterior improvements requiring a permit. Now,	07:49:57PM 10	MR. GONZALEZ: I have got a question.
11	this came up in the discussion regarding	11	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Sure.
12	painting of buildings and that we talked	12	MR. GONZALEZ: Just for clarity,
13	about And I'm not sure if this is the	13	because we have gone back and forth. Maybe,
14	perfect place to put this. But any exterior	14	John, you can help because HPC where you should
15	change, whether it requires a permit or not,	15	be advisory only, is that still going to be or
16	should be reviewed by the HPC.	16	is that the idea?
17	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. We need to	17	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That's what I spoke
18	drop the permit part of that.	18	to before we started that discussion.
19	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay. I know there has	19	MR. GONZALEZ: I heard that. That's
07:48:37PM 20	been something in the interim on that, so	07:50:16PM 20	right. I heard that.
21	And that's fine.	21	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. All right.
22	MR. PRISBY: My conversations with Robb	22	MR. D'ONOFRIO: The first thing with
	11		13
1	McGinnis was that the problem with the code the	1	respect to Robbins Park Historic District that
2	way it's written now talks about exterior	2	was recommended is the creation of a Historic
3	appearance change and requiring a permit.	3	Preservation design advisory meeting. I think
4	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Right.	4	you kind of spoke to that indirectly this
5	MR. PRISBY: So somebody could actually	5	
6			evening on another one or two cases you were
_	do whatever work they want on the outside for	6	having, you were discussing.
7	do whatever work they want on the outside for exterior appearance approvals, and then at any	6 7	•
8		_	having, you were discussing.
_	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any	7	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an
8	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like	7 8	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of
8 9	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for	7 8 9	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with
8 9 07:49:07PM 10	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our	7 8 9 07:50:56PM 10	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional.
8 9 07-49-07PM 10 11	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group.	7 8 9 07:50:56PM 10	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be
8 9 07/49/07PM 10 11 12	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group. And so in our discussion with him,	7 8 9 07:50:56PM 10 11 12	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be at the meeting and that the intent of the
8 9 07-49-07PM 10 11 12 13	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group. And so in our discussion with him, he did recommend, and I agreed with him, that we	7 8 9 07:50:56PM 10 11 12 13	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be at the meeting and that the intent of the meeting is to gain an understanding of the type
8 9 07-49-07PM 10 11 12 13 14	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group. And so in our discussion with him, he did recommend, and I agreed with him, that we should strike anything that says permit at that	7 8 9 07:50:56PM 10 11 12 13	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be at the meeting and that the intent of the meeting is to gain an understanding of the type of design elements the Commission would like to
8 9 0749-07FM 10 11 12 13 14 15	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group. And so in our discussion with him, he did recommend, and I agreed with him, that we should strike anything that says permit at that point.	7 8 9 07.50.56PM 10 11 12 13 14	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be at the meeting and that the intent of the meeting is to gain an understanding of the type of design elements the Commission would like to see on a new structure.
8 9 07-49-07PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group. And so in our discussion with him, he did recommend, and I agreed with him, that we should strike anything that says permit at that point. MR. D'ONOFRIO: So basically to review	7 8 9 07-50-S6PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be at the meeting and that the intent of the meeting is to gain an understanding of the type of design elements the Commission would like to see on a new structure. As part of that, there would be a
8 9 07-49-07PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group. And so in our discussion with him, he did recommend, and I agreed with him, that we should strike anything that says permit at that point. MR. D'ONOFRIO: So basically to review all applications for exterior improvements	7 8 9 07:50:56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be at the meeting and that the intent of the meeting is to gain an understanding of the type of design elements the Commission would like to see on a new structure. As part of that, there would be a process that would have to be followed. One,
8 9 07-49-07PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group. And so in our discussion with him, he did recommend, and I agreed with him, that we should strike anything that says permit at that point. MR. D'ONOFRIO: So basically to review all applications for exterior improvements period.	7 8 9 07.50.56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be at the meeting and that the intent of the meeting is to gain an understanding of the type of design elements the Commission would like to see on a new structure. As part of that, there would be a process that would have to be followed. One, the application would need to be submitted along
8 9 07-49-07PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	exterior appearance approvals, and then at any point in time do any kind of minor change like painting a building, without having to go for permit, they don't have to come in front of our group. And so in our discussion with him, he did recommend, and I agreed with him, that we should strike anything that says permit at that point. MR. D'ONOFRIO: So basically to review all applications for exterior improvements period. MR. PRISBY: Period, yes.	7 8 9 07:50:56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	having, you were discussing. But here again, this would be an initial meeting occurring at the front end of the process and include an initial meeting with the property owner and the design professional. I think you were very clear that both parties be at the meeting and that the intent of the meeting is to gain an understanding of the type of design elements the Commission would like to see on a new structure. As part of that, there would be a process that would have to be followed. One, the application would need to be submitted along with additional information including proof of

14 16 made that specific. Submittal of color photos existence of a Certificate of Appropriateness 1 1 2 of the building to be demolished. And third, a 2 application be revised and add a new section, which would be standards for Certificate of topographic survey including not only grade 3 elevations but also a tree survey on that showing 4 Appropriateness. This would basically then 4 5 the location, type, and size of trees on the incorporate the design standards that are 6 established in Section 14-5-2 of the Village 6 property in question. 7 You would hold your meeting. And 7 Code. That's what the Plan Commission looks at. 8 then following that meeting there would be a 8 Next has to do with -- And all 9 minimum 180-day period before -these things seem kind of timely. Chairman Bohnen 9 07:54:41PM 10 07:52:13PM 10 MR. GONZALEZ: 120. you mentioned revisions earlier. But you 11 MR. D'ONOFRIO: -- before the plans 11 recommended that in a case where the HPC has 12 could be considered again by the HPC for a approved a Certificate of Appropriateness and Certificate of Appropriateness. 13 construction gets underway, your plans are 13 14 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: 120 days. 14 revised showing revisions to the plans, they MR. D'ONOFRIO: 120 days. I'm sorry, should come back to the Commission to be 15 15 did I say 180? I'm wishing my life away. So it reviewed and approved. And finally, the 16 16 would be 120 days before they could come back to decision of the HPC on these matters should 17 17 you after that initial meeting. remain advisory. 18 18 19 19 And as I think I said before the MR. PRISBY: Mike, on 2 and 3A, at the 07:52:35PM **20** meeting, it would be mandatory that the property 07:55:16PM **20** beginning there, I guess the second to the last sentence, it says, The intent of this meeting is 21 owners be available at the design advisory 21 meeting. That's the first section you dealt for the applicant to gain an understanding of 22 22 15 1 with. the type of design elements the Commission would 2 2 The second issue is any type like on a new building. of what would be subject to the review by you 3 Are we only doing this for new folks, any type of improvements seen from the construction, or are we doing this for 4 4 public right-of-way would require review, in 5 everything that's exterior appearance? 5 addition to the type of improvements you would 6 MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay. So --6 normally look at, things like additions, 7 MR. PRISBY: And the only reason I'm 7 alterations to the exterior, and so on. asking that is because if that relates to the 8 8 9 You also suggested the following 120-day delay, I mean if it's something simple, 9 07:53:15PM 10 improvements be included, fountains, 07:55:49PM 10 that wouldn't work. So are we saying this is 11 streetscape, landscaping. And one I knew 11 just for new construction in the district? nothing about, horse blocks -- I had to put it 12 Because I think when I weighed in 12 13 in because somebody brought it up -- as well as 13 on this, the materials I submitted to Mike, I 14 flag poles. was really doing so with the new construction 14 15 Then there was also a discussion problems we were having. Like we just had 15 concerning findings and whether or not they tonight with finished plans coming in front of 16 16 17 should be written. The consensus that findings us, looking to correct that. I'm not sure how 17 18 should be prepared hopefully within a 30-day 18 this works with someone that comes to us and period from the hearing. And it was a desire of 19 19 wants to replace the shutters on their house. 07:53:51PM **20** the Commission that the night of the hearing the 07:56:29PM **20** At the same time I wonder if we findings could be completed by the HPC. want to be in front of people and make 21 21 22 Another recommendation was the 22 recommendations and review the design even on

	18		20
1	additions or anything that we can see from the	1	Commission on a regular basis?
2	right-of-way. How do we reconcile that?	2	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I think it's probably
3	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, remember now,	3	a one-off, but we didn't want to encourage it.
4	when you read this, we are talking about	4	MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm just saying, you
5	contributing structures.	5	know, do you want to now craft something for
6	MR. PRISBY: Correct. I will get to	6	that? If so, fine. But otherwise, the way this
7	that, too, later.	7	was written, it was somebody is demoing a house
8	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So we have eliminated	8	or coming in to build a new house.
9	a lot of potential meetings in here. So	9	MR. PRISBY: As an example, I'm
07:57:07РМ 10	contributing structures, you probably do want to	07:58:58PM 10	currently working at the office on some exterior
11	look at additions and changes in the facade.	11	work over on Blaine Street for one of the law
12	Yes.	12	offices that's on the west side of the street.
13	MR. PRISBY: Right. But I'm wondering	13	And what they are looking to do is they are
14	that 120 days that I came up with	14	adding a ramp up the south side of the house to
15	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes.	15	get ADA access into the building and replacing
16	MR. PRISBY: was related to a	16	aspects of the front porch construction, new
17	general timeline, a process to create new homes.	17	rails, new posts, things that are deteriorating.
18	I'm wondering if for exterior alterations	18	They are trying to replace and update. They
19	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: You can just say a	19	don't currently need to come in front of us.
07:57:30PM 20	maximum of 120 days.	07:59:28PM 20	But in the future based on this,
21	MR. PRISBY: I want to say a minimum of	21	they will be coming in front of us. And I would
22	120 days. I want these people to be forced to	22	hate to see someone like that or a similar
	19		21
1	get in front of us early as fast as they can	1	project then have to wait 120 days for something
			, ,
2	because they are going to have to wait for 120	2	that's much smaller and not necessarily a new
3	because they are going to have to wait for 120 days. I'm wondering if it's something that is	2 3	home.
			home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was
3	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along		home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be
3 4	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines.	3	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was
3 4 5	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you	3 4 5 6 7	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on.
3 4 5 6	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new	3 4 5 6	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph,	3 4 5 6 7	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.57.58PM 10	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:59:56PM 10	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.57.58PM 10	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:59:56PM 10	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:57:58PM 10 11	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:59:56PM 10 11	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.57.58PM 10 11 12 13	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-59-56PM 10 11 12 13	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:57:58PM 10 11 12 13 14	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish. MR. PRISBY: Let's discuss it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.59-SEPM 10 11 12 13	home. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you understand we see you in the very beginning of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:57:58PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish. MR. PRISBY: Let's discuss it. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Is there a problem?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.59.56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you understand we see you in the very beginning of the process. So then after you take your 120
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.57.58PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish. MR. PRISBY: Let's discuss it. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Is there a problem? Let's not solve for a problem we don't have. Is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.59.56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you understand we see you in the very beginning of the process. So then after you take your 120 days, you are ready to come back before us.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:57:58PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish. MR. PRISBY: Let's discuss it. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Is there a problem? Let's not solve for a problem we don't have. Is there a problem with Well, I guess you had	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.59.56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you understand we see you in the very beginning of the process. So then after you take your 120 days, you are ready to come back before us. MR. PRISBY: Right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:57:58PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish. MR. PRISBY: Let's discuss it. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Is there a problem? Let's not solve for a problem we don't have. Is there a problem with Well, I guess you had one this evening. There was a contributing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.59.56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you understand we see you in the very beginning of the process. So then after you take your 120 days, you are ready to come back before us. MR. PRISBY: Right. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Where like the ramp
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:57:58PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish. MR. PRISBY: Let's discuss it. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Is there a problem? Let's not solve for a problem we don't have. Is there a problem with Well, I guess you had one this evening. There was a contributing structure that came in. They proposed, in this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.59-56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you understand we see you in the very beginning of the process. So then after you take your 120 days, you are ready to come back before us. MR. PRISBY: Right. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Where like the ramp or something like that, obviously doesn't take
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.57.58PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07.58.23PM 20	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish. MR. PRISBY: Let's discuss it. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Is there a problem? Let's not solve for a problem we don't have. Is there a problem with Well, I guess you had one this evening. There was a contributing structure that came in. They proposed, in this case they did the improvement prior to getting	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-59-56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 08:00:18PM 20	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you understand we see you in the very beginning of the process. So then after you take your 120 days, you are ready to come back before us. MR. PRISBY: Right. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Where like the ramp or something like that, obviously doesn't take that much. You are saying other than new
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:57:58PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	days. I'm wondering if it's something that is just an exterior alteration if it becomes a 30-day process. We have to add something along those lines. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. Can't you have this, this is drawn looking at new construction. Can't you add one more paragraph, existing structure, additions MR. D'ONOFRIO: I'm not saying no. I'm saying let's think about this in terms of what you are trying to accomplish. MR. PRISBY: Let's discuss it. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Is there a problem? Let's not solve for a problem we don't have. Is there a problem with Well, I guess you had one this evening. There was a contributing structure that came in. They proposed, in this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.59-56PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, your point was originally that the 120 days were going to be days they needed anyway if they came to us early on. MR. PRISBY: Yes. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: They would be at less than 120 days to get to the next step. So you weren't really penalizing anybody with the time element. You are saying it's going to take you 120 days. And we want to make sure you understand we see you in the very beginning of the process. So then after you take your 120 days, you are ready to come back before us. MR. PRISBY: Right. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Where like the ramp or something like that, obviously doesn't take

22 24 MR. PRISBY: Yes. 1 1 advocate here, let's say we have a major 2 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. I think the 2 addition going in. Okay? MR. PRISBY: That should take longer 3 answer is yes. 3 4 MR. PRISBY: What would that be? than 30 days, right? 5 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: It's that simple. 5 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Well, one thing -- And 6 MR. PRISBY: But I'm thinking more on 6 7 maybe you would want to do this, maybe you 7 the lines of worst-case scenario. wouldn't. You could put something in, minimum 8 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, usually a major 8 would be 180 days or -- I have 180 days on my addition on the house usually would be out the 9 9 mind. 120 days except if modified by the HPC. 08:02:34PM 10 back, would it not? 08:00:40PM 10 11 I'm not saying you won't want to do that, but 11 MR. PRISBY: Or the side depending on 12 that gives you the --12 the lot. MR. PRISBY: But I don't like that 13 MR. GONZALEZ: If it's a corner lot. 13 14 precedent. I almost want it to say 120 days for 14 MR. PRISBY: They are going to see that from the right-of-way. I will tell you, new construction, and 30 days for all other 15 15 exterior appearance review items. sometimes additions actually take longer than 16 16 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, if 30 is 17 17 new homes because you are trying to work with existing conditions and not a clean slate. 18 enough, that's fine. 18 19 MR. PRISBY: Sure. A lot of times I 19 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: And like you said, we 08:01:10PM **20** think these things, when it comes to the 08:02:54PM **20** would have liked to have seen on 6th and 21 exterior appearance reviews on additions or 21 Garfield, we would have liked to have seen that 22 remodelling projects, I think you are looking at 22 addition come before us. 23 25 a shorter timeline in general. I mean you can 1 MR. PRISBY: Correct. And that, again, that was probably done in under 30 days for crank out a porch plan in a week if that's what somebody wants to do. What if somebody is just architecture to draw that back porch. Yes. It coming in for a fountain or a landscape plan or could have been a week. 4 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Could have been on a a turn-around driveway or, like I said earlier, 5 5 like shutter replacement. 6 6 napkin. 7 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Why even put a time 7 MR. PRISBY: So when I saw that, I limit when a shorter time limit is available for just, my concern is if we are going to apply 8 renovation? this to everything, 120 days is too much time 9 9 08:01:38PM 10 MR. PRISBY: Then we are making, we are 08:03:25PM 10 for the small stuff. I thought I would bring it going to have to be the judge of that. I want 11 up as a discussion point. 11 to eliminate that discussion element. For not 12 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So noted. So 12 13 just us but for future board members, right? It 13 additions and alterations would not require just seems like if it's 15 days, just something 120-day minimum. 14 14 15 that --15 MR. D'ONOFRIO: So we just leave that CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: You would have silent? 16 16 between our meetings, 30 days. 17 MR. PRISBY: We could do zero, right? 17 18 MR. PRISBY: 30 days. I just don't 18 MR. D'ONOFRIO: Well, if you are going 19 want them to have to wait 120 days because they to do zero, just leave it silent. Just more 08:02:03PM **20** want to replace shutters or tuck point brick or 08:03:57PM **20** clarify what you want to fall under that, which is new home construction, all new buildings. 21 something. 21 22 22 MR. GONZALEZ: It should be clear that CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So playing devil's

	26		28
1	we are going this far with all this.	1	really contentious.
2	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Somebody could say,	2	MR. GONZALEZ: Almost like you want to
3	well, new construction would be an addition.	3	say any addition greater than I'm making this
4	I'm just, I'm not saying you would. I'm saying	4	up 20 percent than the entire square footage,
5	somebody who lives next door, who doesn't want	5	you need to wait 120 days. I'm just putting
6	it to happen I'm going down my parade of	6	some ideas out, I'm not saying that should be;
7	horribles would say, well, that addition is	7	but something as a percentage of the existing
8	new construction, they have to wait 180 days.	8	footprint.
9	So you might want to say instead of when we	9	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: If you say major
08:04:40PM 10	get back up here we were saying new building.	08:06:31PM 10	additions, you are open to interpretation.
11	MR. PRISBY: What term can we use to	11	MR. HAARLOW: That's why I'm just
12	MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say a new	12	saying additions. Jim, what you are describing
13	building is not It's just that, a new	13	where you are going to replace existing porch
14	building. It's not an addition. It's not an	14	elements with new ones that are more or less the
15	alteration. So I think the language might, the	15	same
16	current language as proposed, unless we want to	16	MR. PRISBY: It's not really an
17	put not including additions, alterations.	17	addition.
18	MR. PRISBY: Or just say apply to new	18	MR. HAARLOW: That's not an addition.
19	homes, new building.	19	To me, it's alteration, that seems common sense.
08:05:20PM 20	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Well, let me ask you,	08:06:52PM 20	MR. PRISBY: Or repair.
21	is there any other building in the Robbins	21	MR. GONZALEZ: But that could be open
22	Historic District that wouldn't be a new home?	22	to interpretation, too, because it's how someone
	27		29
1	I think you just mentioned a commercial	1	looks at the situation.
2	property.	2	MR. D'ONOFRIO: But you are still
3	MR. PRISBY: A church maybe.	3	looking at that as a Commission. You are just
4	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Is that in Robbins?	4	
5	MR. PRISBY: A couple of churches in	5	before you get coming back, right?
6	there somewhere.	6	MR. PRISBY: Correct.
7	MR. HAARLOW: There are several.	7	MR. D'ONOFRIO: So let's try to keep it
8	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure.	8	kind of in those boxes.
9	MR. PRISBY: So there are at least	9	MR. HAARLOW: Right. So with the
08:05:45PM 10	three off the top of my head. So I would do new	08:07:18PM 10	120 days, what I'm proposing is new buildings
11	building.	11	and additions on existing buildings.
12	MR. HAARLOW: Yes, four of them.	12	MR. PRISBY: When you say additions,
13	MR. D'ONOFRIO: So we will just say new	13	you mean like square footage enlargements?
14		14	MR. HAARLOW: Yes. Yes.
15	building.		
40	MR. HAARLOW: Well, I might argue for	15	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Yes. You are expanding
16	MR. HAARLOW: Well, I might argue for new building and additions.	16	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Yes. You are expanding the footprint the house. Okay.
17	MR. HAARLOW: Well, I might argue for new building and additions. MR. GONZALEZ: Depending on the size of	16 17	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Yes. You are expanding the footprint the house. Okay. MR. PRISBY: I like that.
17 18	MR. HAARLOW: Well, I might argue for new building and additions. MR. GONZALEZ: Depending on the size of the addition because churches, the addition can	16 17 18	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Yes. You are expanding the footprint the house. Okay. MR. PRISBY: I like that. MR. HAARLOW: Or the church.
17 18 19	MR. HAARLOW: Well, I might argue for new building and additions. MR. GONZALEZ: Depending on the size of the addition because churches, the addition can be quite large.	16 17 18 19	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Yes. You are expanding the footprint the house. Okay. MR. PRISBY: I like that. MR. HAARLOW: Or the church. MR. PRISBY: Good idea.
17 18 19 08:06:05PM 20	MR. HAARLOW: Well, I might argue for new building and additions. MR. GONZALEZ: Depending on the size of the addition because churches, the addition can be quite large. MR. HAARLOW: And the Village has run	16 17 18 19 08:07:46PM 20	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Yes. You are expanding the footprint the house. Okay. MR. PRISBY: I like that. MR. HAARLOW: Or the church. MR. PRISBY: Good idea. MR. HAARLOW: Because that way we get
17 18 19	MR. HAARLOW: Well, I might argue for new building and additions. MR. GONZALEZ: Depending on the size of the addition because churches, the addition can be quite large.	16 17 18 19	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Yes. You are expanding the footprint the house. Okay. MR. PRISBY: I like that. MR. HAARLOW: Or the church. MR. PRISBY: Good idea.

	30		32
1	MR. HAARLOW: The other thing I would	1	MR. HAARLOW: So it would be Robbins
2	like to ask about this is this is only for the	2	Park Historic District and any locally or should
3	Robbins Park Historic District.	3	we add national landmarks?
4	MR. D'ONOFRIO: That's correct.	4	MR. GONZALEZ: National or local.
	MR. HAARLOW: So should this also be		MR. HAARLOW: National or local.
5		5	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay.
6	including and any buildings that have landmark status?	7	MR. GONZALEZ: Well, in that case, wait
7			
8	MR. GONZALEZ: Yes.	8	a minute. Usually landmarks are basically
9	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes.	9	local, state, and national. So there are three.
08:08:17PM 10	MR. GONZALEZ: What about contributing?	08:10:19PM 10	MR. D'ONOFRIO: I don't know of any
11	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That gets in We	11	state landmarks.
12	have contributing. You are right, landmark	12	MS. WILLIAMS: No. I don't know of
13	building outside the Robbins area.	13	any.
14	MR. HAARLOW: Right. The house we were	14	MR. GONZALEZ: Okay.
15	just talking about on south Lincoln.	15	MR. D'ONOFRIO: No. Because you are
16	MR. D'ONOFRIO: When you say	16	either nationally registered
17	landmarked, do you mean	17	MR. GONZALEZ: What do you call
18	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Locally landmarked.	18	Illinois landmark, Illinois landmark? What do
19	MR. GONZALEZ: That's right. I was	19	you call that? I mean, they are a landmark
08:08:42PM 20	going to ask the same. Locally.	08:10:43PM 20	committee.
21	MS. WILLIAMS: I liked the wording of	21	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Landmarks Illinois?
22	expansion of the property footprint, that seems	22	MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, sorry. Landmarks
	24		20
	31		33
1	to fit the bill.	1	Illinois.
2	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy,	2	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with
2	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition?	2	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any
2 3 4	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.	2 3 4	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own.
2 3 4 5	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal	2 3 4 5	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they
2 3 4 5 6	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint?	2 3 4 5 6	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include	2 3 4 5 6 7	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:09PM 10	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08.09:18PM 10 11	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:09PM 10 11	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:09:18PM 10 11 12 13	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:00PM 10 11 12 13	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08.09-18PM 10 11 12 13	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right. MR. D'ONOFRIO: It's not expanding the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:09PM 10 11 12 13	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay, right. Anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:09:18PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right. MR. D'ONOFRIO: It's not expanding the footprint, but you are expanding the square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:09PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay, right. Anything else on these?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:09-18PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right. MR. D'ONOFRIO: It's not expanding the footprint, but you are expanding the square footage. And it kind of gets to the, what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:09PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay, right. Anything else on these? MR. PRISBY: The only thing I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08.00:18PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right. MR. D'ONOFRIO: It's not expanding the footprint, but you are expanding the square footage. And it kind of gets to the, what you are trying to get at. I'm just thinking in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08-11-09PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay, right. Anything else on these? MR. PRISBY: The only thing I was wondering was the last time we had this hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08.09-18PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right. MR. D'ONOFRIO: It's not expanding the footprint, but you are expanding the square footage. And it kind of gets to the, what you are trying to get at. I'm just thinking in terms of a 2nd floor improvement where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:09PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay, right. Anything else on these? MR. PRISBY: The only thing I was wondering was the last time we had this hearing or this meeting, Sandy, you really were in on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08.09:18PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right. MR. D'ONOFRIO: It's not expanding the footprint, but you are expanding the square footage. And it kind of gets to the, what you are trying to get at. I'm just thinking in terms of a 2nd floor improvement where the footprint of the building wouldn't necessarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11.09PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay, right. Anything else on these? MR. PRISBY: The only thing I was wondering was the last time we had this hearing or this meeting, Sandy, you really were in on this discussion for the findings. I believe you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08.09-18PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right. MR. D'ONOFRIO: It's not expanding the footprint, but you are expanding the square footage. And it kind of gets to the, what you are trying to get at. I'm just thinking in terms of a 2nd floor improvement where the footprint of the building wouldn't necessarily be expanded. Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:09PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay, right. Anything else on these? MR. PRISBY: The only thing I was wondering was the last time we had this hearing or this meeting, Sandy, you really were in on this discussion for the findings. I believe you wanted to have them like that night, correct, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08.09-18PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 08.09-43PM 20	to fit the bill. MR. HAARLOW: As a definition, Sandy, for an addition? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Expansion of the internal footprint? MS. WILLIAMS: That would include porches, solid additions. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I would say exclude or including expansion of square footage because you might have something going off the 2nd floor in the front of the building. MR. HAARLOW: Right. MR. D'ONOFRIO: It's not expanding the footprint, but you are expanding the square footage. And it kind of gets to the, what you are trying to get at. I'm just thinking in terms of a 2nd floor improvement where the footprint of the building wouldn't necessarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:11:09PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 08:11:37PM 20	Illinois. MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think they work with national landmarks. I don't think they have any landmarks on their own. MS. WILLIAMS: I don't think they designate, no. MR. GONZALEZ: No? Okay. I always thought they were local because they used the word Illinois. That's why. I mean not local, state. Sorry. MR. HAARLOW: So that works, Mike, in terms of capturing buildings that are outside of the district. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay, right. Anything else on these? MR. PRISBY: The only thing I was wondering was the last time we had this hearing or this meeting, Sandy, you really were in on this discussion for the findings. I believe you

34 36 you decide your findings are going to be that 1 possible, yes. 2 MR. PRISBY: How doable is that? 2 you use as a template. Then all of a sudden you MR. D'ONOFRIO: Well, I think what I are just plugging it in as you are saying, I 3 have seen done in the past with findings is you recommend approval. Why do you recommend 5 have standard findings; and then you read them approval, based on this finding, this finding, into the record and how that project complies 6 it complies, you know. And you are kind of 7 with the findings. So you read it into the using it almost as a checklist. It should record, and those are your findings. They get become kind of second nature and give the 8 prepared written-wise later. I'm looking at 9 Commission --9 08:12:15PM 10 Chan to see if he's -- You probably will need 08:14:19PM 10 And this is a theoretical to run it by the corporation counsel to see if 11 discussion now, not necessarily practical. The 12 that's okav. framework in which to hang, as I say, here is a 13 But I have been in front of Christmas tree, that's the framework. Those are 13 14 numerous zoning boards of appeals where when 14 the findings. Okay. Can we hang the ornaments they approve the zoning relief that's being on it now? If it doesn't meet the framework, 15 15 requested they read into the record, we approve then you shouldn't be hanging the ornaments on 16 16 it based on these findings; and then they read 17 17 it, which maybe you shouldn't be approving it. them into the record. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I think my concern is 18 18 MR. PRISBY: See, I would prefer that. 19 19 we had an instance where we as a Commission 08:12:39PM **20** Again, we are supposed to be doing this with 08:14:49PM **20** wanted to publish our findings, and we were not 21 sugar; right? Not having to wait another 30 21 able to do so. And so we felt very strongly 22 days for something we could handle that night 22 that at the end of our meeting we should enter 35 seems to make a lot of sense to me. our findings into the record. So that it's our 2 MS. WILLIAMS: I can't remember the opinions based on our findings are in the discussion; but it was something about if there record. And from there, if other people want to was any other material or fact that somebody get their fingers in it, at least we are on the 4 record. wanted to bring in, it was extended to the 5 5 maximum of 30 days. 6 MR. D'ONOFRIO: It helps that you made 6 7 MR. PRISBY: I'm fine doing it either clear as an advisory board what your decision was based on. Any advisory board should do way, but I have a preference to do it that 8 8 night. 9 that. 9 08:13:22PM 10 MR. D'ONOFRIO: When you are 08:15:38PM 10 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. So doing it 11 considering something to approve it -- Here I'm right away, Sandy, is fine with me. We just 11 just giving you my advice of somebody who sat read it into the record. 12 12 13 here for 30 plus years. And whether you are 13 MS. WILLIAMS: As soon as possible, going to consider to approve things, and I'm 14 sure. 14 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. kind of going back to a zoning board of appeals 15 15 model, you are thinking, well, how does this MS. WILLIAMS: If we can do it, yes. 16 16 comply with the findings. That gives you the 17 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I'm sure we can. 17 18 framework in which to analyze the request. 18 It's not going to take an extra hour. I mean we 19 Okay? 19 just simply --08:13:48PM **20** So you are kind of going through in 08:16:02PM 20 MR. PRISBY: Just reading the standards your mind already, okay, does this meet the at that point. 21 21 22 standards or the findings. You know, whatever 22 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. Okay.

	38		40
1	MR. PRISBY: That's all I had.	1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Attachment 1.
2	MS. WILLIAMS: We need to talk about	2 MR. HAARLOW: Attachment 1, page	
3	revising the COA later at another meeting.	3	MR. PRISBY: So it's point 2.
4	MR. D'ONOFRIO: That's all I had in my	4	Properties to be It says, Based on this
5	memo. I would be glad to discuss anything else.	5	change it will eliminate the 149.
6	Otherwise, I will get out of your hair. And you	6	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Okay.
7	can move on to the next item on your agenda,	7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, to your point,
8	which is adjournment.	8	downtown, too.
9	MR. PRISBY: Just a quick comment to	9	MR. PRISBY: Sure.
08:17:00PM 10	discuss. On the attachment No. 1 for	08:18:53PM 10	MR. D'ONOFRIO: Well, that 149 includes
11	Certificate of Appropriateness, point 2, we	11	13 properties in the downtown district.
12	talked about this last time. We will eliminate	12	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I mean even
13	149 noncontributing structures from having	13	downtown. I would have to walk by these
14	reviewed this.	14	addresses.
15	And I started to think about that a	15	MR. PRISBY: If these new structures in
16	little bit more since the last meeting. What if	16	the downtown area are supposed to be
17	you have a house that's only five years old and	17	contributing to the historic nature of our
18	somebody wants to put a fountain out in front or	18	downtown, why would we give them free reign to
19	radically change their landscaping. I mean	19	do whatever they want?
08:17:36PM 20	those are noncontributing structures, however,	08:19:17PM 20	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, because we have
21	they are affecting the district.	21	some purview over them. They can walk out
22	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Streetscape.	22	MR. HAARLOW: It seems if they fall in
	39		41
	00		41
1	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one	1	one of the two districts, they should.
1 2	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set	1 2	
_	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next.		one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are
2 3 4	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good.	2 3 4	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not.
2 3 4 5	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem	2 3 4 5	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can
2 3 4 5 6	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be	2 3 4 5 6	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district.	2 3 4 5 6 7	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10 11	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08-19-38PM 10 11	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10 11 12 13	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10 11 12 13	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point. Why would I want a historical property, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10 11 12 13 14	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter. MR. PRISBY: The newer stuff, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point. Why would I want a historical property, it's just easier to tear it down and do whatever I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter. MR. PRISBY: The newer stuff, I think it would be rare that things will come up;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08-18-04PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point. Why would I want a historical property, it's just easier to tear it down and do whatever I want after that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter. MR. PRISBY: The newer stuff, I think it would be rare that things will come up; right? Because they are new. You might have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point. Why would I want a historical property, it's just easier to tear it down and do whatever I want after that. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Where were you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter. MR. PRISBY: The newer stuff, I think it would be rare that things will come up; right? Because they are new. You might have some improvements you want to do 15, 20, 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point. Why would I want a historical property, it's just easier to tear it down and do whatever I want after that. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Where were you? MR. PRISBY: I thought I was missing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter. MR. PRISBY: The newer stuff, I think it would be rare that things will come up; right? Because they are new. You might have some improvements you want to do 15, 20, 30 years down the road. They are not contributing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point. Why would I want a historical property, it's just easier to tear it down and do whatever I want after that. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Where were you? MR. PRISBY: I thought I was missing something when I read that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08-19-38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter. MR. PRISBY: The newer stuff, I think it would be rare that things will come up; right? Because they are new. You might have some improvements you want to do 15, 20, 30 years down the road. They are not contributing, but they still need work. If someone wants to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0s:18:04PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point. Why would I want a historical property, it's just easier to tear it down and do whatever I want after that. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Where were you? MR. PRISBY: I thought I was missing something when I read that. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Where were you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter. MR. PRISBY: The newer stuff, I think it would be rare that things will come up; right? Because they are new. You might have some improvements you want to do 15, 20, 30 years down the road. They are not contributing, but they still need work. If someone wants to put in a gravel driveway or crushed granite or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:18:04PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 08:18:23PM 20	MR. PRISBY: Now you are applying one set of rules to one neighbor and a different set of rules to the next. MR. HAARLOW: That's not good. MR. PRISBY: I think that's a problem waiting to happen. I think it should be everybody in the district. MR. HAARLOW: I agree with that. Otherwise, we are encouraging technically what we don't want, which is it makes it that much more of a burden to have that historical property. MR. PRISBY: Good point. Great point. Why would I want a historical property, it's just easier to tear it down and do whatever I want after that. MR. D'ONOFRIO: Where were you? MR. PRISBY: I thought I was missing something when I read that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 08:19:38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 08:20:08PM 20	one of the two districts, they should. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. MR. HAARLOW: Whether they are contributing or not. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. We can decide how involved we want to get depending on what they are talking about. MR. PRISBY: Sure. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: That goes back to Robb and everyone saying, well, you are going to have five meetings a week. We are not necessarily. We can be the filter and establish our own filter. MR. PRISBY: The newer stuff, I think it would be rare that things will come up; right? Because they are new. You might have some improvements you want to do 15, 20, 30 years down the road. They are not contributing, but they still need work. If someone wants to

	42		44
1	fountain out in the front of the massive court	1	provided you with a couple of those forms.
2	yards we are looking at. I mean there is lot of	2	Those doc files, right? Did you make any
3	change going on in architecture in our historic	3	changes to those?
4	district particularly. There are a lot of new	4	MR. D'ONOFRIO: No. No.
5	houses.	5	MR. PRISBY: Or just kind of inserted
6	MR. PRISBY: Well, there's another part	6	them as is?
7	we are going to get to about fencing. Why	7	MR. D'ONOFRIO: I think I just insert
8	aren't we doing fencing?	8	them. I think that gets more into the a
9	So your house is a contributing	9	little deeper into the weeds than I'm engaged to
08:20:40PM 10	structure. You put up a house and a gate,	08:22:18PM 10	do at this point.
11	right? What if your house was newer and you	11	MR. PRISBY: I just wanted to know if I
12	wanted to do those things. Your house was 10	12	should be looking for something specific.
13	years old and now you want a fence in your front	13	MR. D'ONOFRIO: No. No.
14	yard, according to this we wouldn't be reviewing	14	MR. PRISBY: Okay. I move to adjourn.
15	it.	15	MR. D'ONOFRIO: I will take my leave.
16	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: There is a fence	16	Thank you.
17	ordinance.	17	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Is there a second?
18	MR. PRISBY: Right, but it does affect	18	MR. GONZALEZ: I'm not going to say
19	streetscape in the front of the house.	19	anything.
08:20:58PM 20	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: But the fence	08:22:38PM 20	MR. HAARLOW: Yes. Second.
21	ordinance that was passed in the municipal code	21	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: All in favor?
22	addresses the height of fences predicated on lot	22	(A chorus of ayes.)
	43		45
1	size, so it brings it to scale. Okay?	1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Meeting is adjourned.
2	MR. PRISBY: And we are good with that?	2	* * *
3	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: And in the historic	3	(Which were all the proceedings had
4	district, you can't use the aluminum tubing and	4	in the above-entitled cause.)
5	that stuff anymore. It's got to be	5	
6	MR. PRISBY: So that's already spelled	6	
7	out enough that we can skip that.	7	
8	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We wrote that	8	
9	ordinance over two and a half years. You should	9	
08:21:32PM 10	read it. It's in the municipal code.	10	
11	MR. PRISBY: Okay. One of these days.	11	
12	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: It's in the municipal	12	
13	code. That's what it is, see if it satisfies	13	
14	you.	14	
15	MR. PRISBY: Okay. I will take a	15	
16	closer look at it.	16	
17	Those were the only remaining items	17	
18	I had, Mike.	18	
19	MR. D'ONOFRIO: What I will do is I	19	
08:21:49PM 20	will incorporate these shapage and get it hack	20	
	will incorporate these changes and get it back		
21 22	to Chan, and he can distribute it. MR. PRISBY: I guess one last thing. I	21 22	

46

STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss. COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

I, JANICE H. HEINEMANN, CSR, RDR, CRR, do hereby certify that I am a court reporter doing business in the State of Illinois, that I reported in shorthand the testimony given at the hearing of said cause, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid.

Janice H. Heinemann CSR, RDR, CRR

		advisory [9] - 3:4,	35:14	12:17, 12:21, 14:14,
0	4	3:20, 9:20, 12:15,	approved [4] - 2:20,	18:3, 18:8, 18:15,
		13:3, 14:21, 16:18,	4:13, 16:12, 16:16	18:19, 19:7, 20:2,
084-001391 [1] -	4 [2] - 9:7, 9:9	37:7, 37:8	approving [1] -	21:4, 21:9, 21:18,
46:12		advocate [1] - 24:1	36:17	22:2, 22:5, 22:17,
	5	affect [1] - 42:18	architecture [2] -	23:7, 23:16, 23:22,
1		affecting [1] - 38:21	25:3, 42:3	24:5, 24:8, 24:19,
I	=	_	area [2] - 30:13,	25:5, 25:12, 27:8,
	5 [1] - 8:20	aforesaid [1] - 46:9	40:16	28:9, 30:9, 30:11,
1 [5] - 38:10, 39:22,		agenda [3] - 2:7,		30:18, 36:18, 37:10,
40:1, 40:2	6	10:5, 38:7	argue [1] - 27:15	37:15, 37:17, 37:22,
10 [1] - 42:12		aggravation [1] -	aspects [1] - 20:16	38:22, 40:1, 40:7,
120 [19] - 14:10,		19:22	Assessment [1] -	40:12, 40:20, 41:2,
14:14, 14:15, 14:17,	6 [1] - 8:20	agree [3] - 39:8,	9:13	41:5, 41:9, 41:22,
18:14, 18:20, 18:22,	6th [2] - 9:10, 24:20	41:2, 41:5	attachment [5] -	42:16, 42:20, 43:3,
19:2, 21:1, 21:5,	_	agreed [2] - 8:21,	10:2, 38:10, 39:22,	
21:10, 21:13, 21:15,	7	11:13	40:1, 40:2	43:8, 43:12, 44:17, 44:21, 45:1
22:10, 22:14, 23:19,		almost [3] - 22:14,	authority [2] - 8:2,	· ·
25:9, 28:5, 29:10	7:38 [1] - 1:14	28:2, 36:7	8:13	Bohnen [1] - 16:9
120-day [3] - 17:9,	7.30 [i] - 1.14	ALSO [1] - 2:1	available [2] - 14:21,	boxes [1] - 29:8
25:14, 29:4	A	alteration [3] - 19:4,	23:8	brick [1] - 23:20
13 [1] - 40:11	Α	26:15, 28:19	Avenue [1] - 1:13	briefly [1] - 8:9
13th [1] - 1:14		alterations [4] - 15:8,	ayes [1] - 44:22	bring [2] - 25:10,
14 [4] - 1:6, 2:8, 3:10,	ability [1] - 5:18	18:18, 25:13, 26:17		35:5
4:15	able [1] - 36:21	aluminum [1] - 43:4	В	brings [1] - 43:1
14-4-1 [1] - 9:10	above-entitled [2] -	amend [1] - 5:22		brought [1] - 15:13
14-5-2 [1] - 16:6	1:11, 45:4	amended [3] - 2:20,	hadronavad vi 7.4	build [4] - 5:8, 5:12,
149 [3] - 38:13, 40:5,	access [1] - 20:15	7:1, 9:10	background [1] - 7:4	5:13, 20:8
40:10	accomplish [1] -	amendment [4] - 5:5,	bait [1] - 5:10	building [16] - 4:19,
15 [2] - 23:14, 41:17	19:13	5:22, 6:20, 7:1	baring [1] - 3:13	11:9, 14:2, 17:2,
18 [2] - 7:5, 7:10	according [1] - 42:14	analyze [1] - 35:18	Based [1] - 40:4	20:15, 26:10, 26:13,
180 [4] - 14:16, 22:9,	accurate [1] - 3:14	answer [1] - 22:3	based [8] - 5:9, 6:5,	26:14, 26:19, 26:21,
26:8	Action [2] - 1:6, 1:10	anyway [1] - 21:6	9:21, 20:20, 34:17,	27:11, 27:14, 27:16,
		appeals [2] - 34:14,	36:5, 37:2, 37:8	30:13, 31:12, 31:19
180-day [1] - 14:9	ADA [1] - 20:15	35:15	basis [1] - 20:1	buildings [6] - 10:12,
19 [1] - 1:12	add [4] - 16:2, 19:5,	appearance [6] -	bear [1] - 8:19	25:21, 29:10, 29:11,
1A [1] - 10:8	19:9, 32:3	9:22, 11:3, 11:7, 17:5,	become [1] - 36:8	30:6, 33:12
	adding [1] - 20:14	22:16, 22:21	becomes [1] - 19:4	built [2] - 6:6, 6:7
2	addition [13] - 15:6,	applicant [3] - 5:18,	BEFORE [1] - 1:3	burden [1] - 39:11
	24:2, 24:9, 24:22,	13:21, 16:22	beginning [2] -	business [2] - 6:10,
2 [4] - 7:16, 16:19,	26:3, 26:7, 26:14,	application [8] -	16:20, 21:14	46:5
38:11, 40:3	27:18, 28:3, 28:17,	4:16, 9:22, 11:21,	behavior [1] - 5:15	
20 [2] - 28:4, 41:17	28:18, 31:3	12:2, 12:5, 13:18,	between [1] - 23:17	С
2020 [1] - 1:14	additional [1] - 13:19	13:20, 16:2	BILL [1] - 1:19	
2nd [2] - 31:11,	additions [14] - 15:7,	applications [3] -	bill [1] - 31:1	
• •	18:1, 18:11, 19:10,	9:18, 10:9, 11:17	Bill [1] - 5:2	captured [1] - 7:17
31:18	22:21, 24:16, 25:13,		bit [3] - 3:2, 7:3,	capturing [1] - 33:12
<u> </u>	26:17, 27:16, 28:10,	apply [2] - 25:8,	38:16	case [4] - 16:11,
3	28:12, 29:11, 29:12,	26:18	Blaine [1] - 20:11	19:20, 24:7, 32:7
	31:8	applying [1] - 39:1	blocks [1] - 15:12	cases [1] - 13:5
3 [2] - 7:16, 10:2	addressed [1] - 6:4	approached [1] - 3:5	BOARD [1] - 1:16	causes [1] - 19:22
30 [11] - 22:15,	addresses [2] -	Appropriateness	Board [7] - 2:21,	certain [2] - 3:3, 9:2
22:17, 23:17, 23:18,	40:14, 42:22	[12] - 4:16, 7:22, 8:1,	4:13, 5:4, 7:7, 7:20,	Certificate [9] - 4:16,
24:4, 25:2, 33:21,	adhere [1] - 3:11	8:3, 8:12, 8:14, 12:5,	10:5, 10:6	8:12, 8:14, 12:4,
∠ · · ¬, ∠∪ · ∠ , ∪∪ · ∠ I ,	adjourn [1] - 44:14	14:13, 16:1, 16:4,		14:13, 16:1, 16:3,
34.21 35.6 35.13	adjourned [1] - 45:1	16:12, 38:11	board [5] - 3:4,	16:12, 38:11
34:21, 35:6, 35:13,		approval [3] - 19:21,	23:13, 35:15, 37:7,	Certificates [3] -
41:17	adjournment [1] -			
41:17 30-day [2] - 15:18,	=	36:4, 36:5	37:8	1
41:17 30-day [2] - 15:18, 19:5	adjournment [1] - 38:8		boards [1] - 34:14	7:21, 8:1, 8:2
41:17 30-day [2] - 15:18,	adjournment [1] - 38:8 advantage [2] - 4:2,	36:4, 36:5	boards [1] - 34:14 BOHNEN [58] - 1:17,	7:21, 8:1, 8:2 certify [1] - 46:4
41:17 30-day [2] - 15:18, 19:5	adjournment [1] - 38:8	36:4, 36:5 approvals [2] - 5:16,	boards [1] - 34:14	7:21, 8:1, 8:2

1:3 4:20 2:6, 2:18, 3:16, 3:19, 9:16, 40:8, 40:11, couple [5] - 7:18, 5:1, 10:17, 11:22, Commission [13] -8:16, 9:17, 27:5, 44:1 demoing [1] - 20:7 40:13, 40:16, 40:18 12:17, 12:21, 14:14, 1:5, 1:6, 1:12, 10:1, court [2] - 42:1, 46:4 demolish [1] - 4:17 drafted [1] - 7:10 18:3, 18:8, 18:15, 13:14, 15:20, 16:7, craft [1] - 20:5 demolished [1] draw [1] - 25:3 18:19, 19:7, 20:2, 16:15, 17:1, 20:1, crank [1] - 23:2 14:2 drawn [1] - 19:8 driveway [2] - 23:5, 21:4, 21:9, 21:18, 29:3, 36:9, 36:19 create [2] - 9:4, deprived [3] - 6:14, 22:2, 22:5, 22:17, Commission 's [1] -18:17 6:15, 6:16 41.20 23:7, 23:16, 23:22, 10.6 creation [1] - 13:2 describing [1] drop [1] - 10:18 24:5, 24:8, 24:19, committee [1] -CRR [2] - 46:3, 46:12 28:12 **DU** [2] - 1:2, 46:2 25:5, 25:12, 27:8, 32:20 crushed [1] - 41:20 design [7] - 13:3, 28:9, 30:9, 30:11, common [1] - 28:19 13:10, 13:14, 14:21, Ε **CSR** [2] - 46:3, 46:12 30:18, 36:18, 37:10, completed [1] -16:5, 17:1, 17:22 culmination [1] -37:15, 37:17, 37:22, 15:21 designate [1] - 33:6 early [2] - 19:1, 21:6 38:22, 40:1, 40:7, complies [2] - 34:6, designation [5] - 8:6, current [1] - 26:16 easier [1] - 39:15 40:12, 40:20, 41:2, 8:8, 9:2, 9:5, 9:7 East [1] - 1:12 41:5, 41:9, 41:22, comply [1] - 35:17 desire [2] - 7:18, D either [2] - 32:16, 42:16, 42:20, 43:3, concern [2] - 25:8, 15:19 43:8, 43:12, 44:17, 36:18 deteriorating [1] -44:21, 45:1 D'ONOFRIO [53] element [3] - 21:12, concerning [1] -20:17 21:22, 23:12 Chairman [2] - 1:17, 2:3, 2:17, 4:10, 7:3, 15:16 **developed** [1] - 5:3 10:19, 11:4, 11:16, elements [5] - 5:2, condition [2] - 9:11 devil's [1] - 23:22 Chan [3] - 4:12, 12:1, 12:6, 12:11, 6:18, 13:14, 17:1, conditions [1] different [5] - 3:6, 34:10, 43:21 12:22, 14:11, 14:15, 28:14 24:18 4:4, 21:21, 39:2 17:6, 19:11, 19:15, CHAN [1] - 2:2 elevations [1] - 14:4 consensus [2] - 3:7, directions [1] - 3:6 20:4, 22:6, 25:15, change [6] - 10:15, eliminate [3] - 23:12, 15:17 discuss [4] - 6:20, 25:18, 26:2, 26:12, 11:3, 11:8, 38:19, 38:12, 40:5 consider [1] - 35:14 19:14, 38:5, 38:10 26:20, 27:4, 27:13, 40:5, 42:3 eliminated [1] - 18:8 consideration [1] discussed [2] - 2:19, changes [4] - 12:8, 29:2, 29:7, 29:15, encourage [2] - 3:11, 10:7 6:19 29:22, 30:4, 30:16, 18:11, 43:20, 44:3 considered [2] - 5:4, discussing [1] - 13:6 31:9, 31:14, 31:22, checklist [1] - 36:7 encouraging [1] -14:12 discussion [14] -32:6, 32:10, 32:15, Chicago [1] - 1:13 considering [1] -1:9, 2:7, 3:5, 5:20, 32:21, 33:2, 33:14, **chorus** [1] - 44:22 **end** [3] - 5:5, 13:8, 35:11 6:22, 10:11, 11:12, 34:3, 35:10, 37:6, 36:22 Christmas [1] construction [12] -12:18, 15:15, 23:12, 38:4, 39:17, 39:20, ends [1] - 6:9 36:13 4:22, 16:13, 17:4, 25:11, 33:19, 35:3, 40:6, 40:10, 43:19, **engaged** [1] - 44:9 church [2] - 27:3, 17:11, 17:14, 19:9, 36:11 44:4, 44:7, 44:13, enlargements [1] -29:18 20:16, 21:21, 22:15, discussions [1] -44:15 Church [1] - 27:22 29:13 25:21, 26:3, 26:8 2:15 **D'Onofrio** [1] - 2:11 churches [2] - 27:5, enter [1] - 36:22 Consultant [1] - 2:3 distribute [1] - 43:21 days [32] - 14:14, 27:18 entire [1] - 28:4 contentious [1] -**District** [6] - 8:1, 8:4, 14:15, 14:17, 18:14, entitled [2] - 1:11, clarify [1] - 25:20 13:1, 26:22, 30:3, 18:20, 18:22, 19:3, clarity [1] - 12:12 **continue** [1] - 3:20 32:2 21:1, 21:5, 21:6, establish [1] - 41:12 clean [1] - 24:18 continued [1] - 1:9 district [13] - 4:18, 21:10, 21:13, 21:16, established [1] clear [3] - 13:11, contributing [9] -8:18, 9:1, 9:4, 9:17, 22:9, 22:10, 22:14, 25:22, 37:7 18:5, 18:10, 19:18, 17:11, 27:22, 33:13, 22:15, 23:14, 23:17, closer [1] - 43:16 establishment [3] -30:10, 30:12, 40:17, 38:21, 39:7, 40:11, 23:18, 23:19, 24:4, 8:7, 8:18, 9:4 COA [1] - 38:3 41:4, 41:18, 42:9 42:4, 43:4 25:2, 25:9, 26:8, 28:5, evening [2] - 13:5, Code [1] - 16:7 conversations [1] districts [1] - 41:1 29:10, 33:21, 34:22, code [6] - 4:15, 9:10, 10:22 diving [1] - 8:15 35:6, 43:11 11:1, 42:21, 43:10, **example** [1] - 20:9 corner [1] - 24:13 doable [1] - 34:2 dealt [1] - 14:22 43:13 **except** [1] - 22:10 corporation [1] doc [1] - 44:2 decide [5] - 3:22, exclude [1] - 31:9 codification [1] -34:11 document [1] - 2:14 4:6, 5:11, 36:1, 41:6 2.22 **existence** [1] - 16:1 correct [8] - 2:17, dollars [2] - 6:14, decision [2] - 16:17, existing [5] - 19:10, color [1] - 14:1 17:17, 18:6, 25:1, 6:16 37:7 coming [5] - 17:16, 24:18, 28:7, 28:13, 29:6, 30:4, 33:20, done [4] - 5:17, 7:11, **deeper** [1] - 44:9 29.11 20:8, 20:21, 23:4, 46:8 25:2, 34:4 **definition** [1] - 31:2 29:5 expanded [1] - 31:20 **correctly** [2] - 3:8, door [1] - 26:5 delay [1] - 17:9 expanding [3] comment [1] - 38:9 7:17 down [4] - 4:1, 26:6, demo [5] - 4:20, 5:9, 29:15, 31:14, 31:15 commercial [1] counsel [1] - 34:11 39:15, 41:18 5:11, 5:17, 6:4 expansion [4] -**COUNTY** [2] - 1:2, **Downtown** [1] - 7:22 demo-only's [1] -COMMISSION [1] -27:21, 30:22, 31:5, 46:2 downtown [7] - 4:18,

31:10 explain [1] - 4:12 extended [1] - 35:5 **exterior** [14] - 9:19, 9:22, 10:10, 10:14, 11:2, 11:7, 11:17, 15:8, 17:5, 18:18, 19:4, 20:10, 22:16, extra [1] - 37:18 F fact [1] - 35:4

facade [1] - 18:11 fair [2] - 3:13, 3:18 fall [2] - 25:20, 40:22 familiarize [1] - 2:10 far [1] - 26:1 fast [1] - 19:1 favor [1] - 44:21 felt [3] - 6:3, 6:4, 36:21 fence [3] - 42:13, 42:16, 42:20 fences [1] - 42:22 fencing [2] - 42:7, 42:8

fifth [1] - 8:7 files [1] - 44:2 filter [2] - 41:12, 41:13 finally [3] - 4:6, 10:3,

16:16 findings [16] - 15:16, 15:17. 15:21. 33:19. 34:4, 34:5, 34:7, 34:8, 34:17, 35:17, 35:22, 36:1, 36:14, 36:20, 37:1, 37:2

fine [5] - 10:21, 20:6, 22:18, 35:7, 37:11

fines [2] - 5:15, 6:1 fingers [1] - 37:4

finished [2] - 7:5,

17:16

first [2] - 12:22, 14:22

fit [1] - 31:1

five [2] - 38:17, 41:11 flag [1] - 15:14

floor [2] - 31:11,

31:18

focusing [1] - 8:10 folks [2] - 7:13, 15:4 followed [1] - 13:17 following [4] - 7:9, 10:6, 14:8, 15:9 footage [4] - 28:4,

29:13, 31:10, 31:16 football [1] - 2:14 footprint [6] - 28:8, 29:16, 30:22, 31:6, 31:15, 31:19 forced [1] - 18:22 foregoing [1] - 46:7 foresee [1] - 41:22 forget [1] - 2:13

form [1] - 2:19 forms [1] - 44:1 forth [2] - 2:20, 12:13 forwarded [1] - 10:4 fountain [4] - 23:4,

38:18, 41:21, 42:1 fountains [1] - 15:10 four [2] - 7:6, 27:12 fourth [1] - 8:5 framework [4] -

35:18, 36:12, 36:13, 36:15

FRANK [1] - 1:18 free [1] - 40:18 Freeze [1] - 9:14 front [15] - 11:10, 13:8, 17:16, 17:21,

19:1, 20:16, 20:19, 20:21, 31:12, 34:13, 38:18, 41:21, 42:1,

42:13, 42:19 full [1] - 6:8

future [2] - 20:20, 23:13

G

gain [2] - 13:13, 16:22 game [2] - 2:14, 5:19 Garfield [1] - 24:21 gate [1] - 42:10 general [2] - 18:17, 23:1

given [1] - 46:6 glad [1] - 38:5 GONZALEZ [20] -1:18, 12:10, 12:12, 12:19, 14:10, 24:13, 25:22, 27:17, 28:2, 28:21, 30:8, 30:10, 30:19, 32:4, 32:7, 32:14, 32:17, 32:22, 33:7, 44:18

grade [1] - 14:3 granite [1] - 41:20 granted [1] - 6:5 gravel [1] - 41:20 great [1] - 39:13

greater [1] - 28:3

group [1] - 11:11 guess [3] - 16:20, 19:17, 43:22 guys [2] - 6:21, 13:22

Н

HAARLOW [27] -1:19, 4:11, 12:4, 27:7, 27:12, 27:15, 27:20, 28:11, 28:18, 29:9, 29:14, 29:18, 29:20, 30:1, 30:5, 30:14, 31:2, 31:13, 32:1, 32:5, 33:11, 39:4, 39:8, 40:2, 40:22, 41:3. 44:20 hair [1] - 38:6 half [1] - 43:9

handle [1] - 34:22 hang [2] - 36:12, 36:14

hanging [1] - 36:16 haste [1] - 2:13 hate [1] - 20:22 head [1] - 27:10 heard [2] - 12:19, 12:20

hearing [5] - 1:9, 15:19, 15:20, 33:17, 46:7

height [1] - 42:22 HEINEMANN [1] -46:3

Heinemann [1] -46:12

help [1] - 12:14 helps [1] - 37:6 hereby [1] - 46:4 **highlight** [2] - 8:9,

HINSDALE [1] - 1:3

Hinsdale [2] - 1:11, 1:13 historic [5] - 8:22,

9:2, 40:17, 42:3, 43:3 **HISTORIC** [1] - 1:3 Historic [10] - 1:5,

1:12, 7:12, 7:22, 8:4, 13:1, 13:2, 26:22, 30:3, 32:2

historical [2] - 39:11, 39:14

hold [2] - 6:19, 14:7 home [4] - 2:13, 21:3, 25:21, 26:22

homes [3] - 18:17, 24:17, 26:19

honorific [1] - 9:2 hopefully [1] - 15:18 hopes [1] - 3:9 horribles [1] - 26:7

horse [1] - 15:12 hour [1] - 37:18 house [13] - 17:19, 20:7, 20:8, 20:14,

24:9, 29:16, 30:14, 38:17, 42:9, 42:10, 42:11, 42:12, 42:19

houses [1] - 42:5 **HPC** [16] - 7:18, 7:21, 9:3, 9:18, 9:20, 9:21, 10:3, 10:9, 10:16, 12:8, 12:14, 14:12,

15:21, 16:11, 16:17, 22:10

I

idea [2] - 12:16, 29.19 ideas [1] - 28:6 identifying [1] - 7:11 **ILLINOIS** [2] - 1:1, 46:1

32:18, 32:21, 33:1, 33:9, 46:5 importantly [1] -

Illinois [7] - 1:13,

6:15 improvement [2] -

19:20, 31:18 improvements [7] -9:19, 10:10, 11:17, 15:4, 15:6, 15:10, 41:17

IN [1] - 1:4 include [4] - 4:21, 9:10, 13:9, 31:7

included [2] - 10:1, 15:10

includes [1] - 40:10 including [5] - 13:19,

14:3, 26:17, 30:6, 31:10

incorporate [2] -16:5, 43:20

indirectly [1] - 13:4 information [1] -13:19

initial [3] - 13:8, 13:9, 14:18

insert [1] - 44:7 inserted [1] - 44:5 **instance** [1] - 36:19

instead [1] - 26:9 intent [2] - 13:12, 16:21

interim [1] - 10:20 internal [1] - 31:5 interpretation [2] -28:10, 28:22

involved [2] - 6:1, 41:6

issue [2] - 3:22, 15:2 item [7] - 2:7, 3:1, 8:5, 8:6, 8:7, 8:20, 38:7

items [6] - 7:7, 7:19, 8:5, 8:16, 22:16, 43:17

J

JANICE [1] - 46:3

Janice [1] - 46:12 January [1] - 1:14 Jim [1] - 28:12 JIM [1] - 1:20 **John** [2] - 10:8, 12:14 **JOHN** [1] - 1:17 judge [1] - 23:11 juncture [1] - 3:21

K

keep [3] - 4:6, 9:8, kind [13] - 7:11, 9:1, 11:8, 13:4, 13:22, 16:9, 29:8, 31:16, 35:15, 35:20, 36:6, 36:8, 44:5

L

kindness [1] - 4:1

land [3] - 6:10, 6:16, 6:17

land-speculating [1] - 6:10

landmark [11] - 8:6, 8:8, 8:18, 9:5, 9:7, 9:12, 30:6, 30:12, 32:18, 32:19

landmarked [2] -30:17, 30:18

landmarks [6] - 32:3, 32:8, 32:11, 32:21, 33:3, 33:4

Landmarks [1] -32:22

landscape [1] - 23:4 landscaping [2] -

15:11, 38:19 language [2] - 26:15, large [1] - 27:19 last [9] - 7:4, 8:6, 8:20, 8:21, 16:20, 33:17, 38:12, 38:16, 43:22 law [1] - 20:11 least [2] - 27:9, 37:4 leave [3] - 25:15, 25:19, 44:15 less [2] - 21:9, 28:14 License [1] - 46:12 life [1] - 14:16 light [1] - 4:1 limit [2] - 23:8 Lincoln [1] - 30:15 lines [2] - 19:6, 24:7 lit [1] - 41:22 lives [1] - 26:5 local [5] - 32:4, 32:5, 32:9, 33:8, 33:9 locally [2] - 30:18, Locally [1] - 30:20 **location** [1] - 14:5 look [6] - 5:21, 7:7, 7:21, 15:7, 18:11, 43:16 looked [1] - 7:19 looking [9] - 7:13, 17:17, 19:8, 20:13, 22:22, 29:3, 34:9, 42:2, 44:12 looks [2] - 16:7, 29:1 9:14, 11:8 minute [1] - 32:8

М

major [3] - 24:1, 24:8, 28:9 mandatory [3] - 3:4, 4:3, 14:20 manner [1] - 3:12 massive [1] - 42:1 material [1] - 35:4 materials [1] - 17:13 **MATTER** [1] - 1:4 matter [1] - 1:11 matters [1] - 16:17 maximum [2] -18:20, 35:6 McGinnis [1] - 11:1 mean [10] - 17:9, 23:1, 29:13, 30:17, 32:19, 33:9, 37:18, 38:19, 40:12, 42:2 meaningful [2] -3:10, 3:12

measure [1] - 5:15 meet [2] - 35:21, 36:15 Meeting [1] - 45:1 meeting [18] - 7:9, 8:22. 13:3. 13:8. 13:9. 13:12, 13:13, 14:7, 14:8, 14:18, 14:20, 14:22, 16:21, 33:18, 36:22, 38:3, 38:16 meetings [4] - 7:6, 18:9, 23:17, 41:11 Member [4] - 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21 members [1] - 23:13 MEMBERS [1] - 1:16 memo [3] - 7:15, 8:20, 38:5 memorandum [1] -7:10 mentioned [2] -16:10, 27:1 met [1] - 7:4 MICHAEL [1] - 2:3 might [5] - 26:9, 26:15, 27:15, 31:11, 41:16 Mike [8] - 2:12, 4:9, 4:11, 7:2, 16:19, 17:13, 33:11, 43:18 mind [3] - 4:6, 22:10, 35:21 minimum [5] - 14:9, 18:21, 22:8, 25:14, 29:4 minor [3] - 8:17,

model [1] - 35:16 modification [2] -9:9, 9:15 modifications [1] -8:17 modified [1] - 22:10 months [4] - 2:16, 2:18 move [3] - 2:6, 38:7, 44:14 **MR** [172] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:19, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:17, 3:14, 4:10, 4:11, 4:14, 7:3, 10:8, 10:19, 10:22, 11:4, 11:5, 11:16, 11:19, 12:1, 12:4, 12:6, 12:10, 12:11, 12:12, 12:19, 12:22, 14:10, 14:11, 14:15, 16:19, 17:6, 17:7, 18:6, 18:13,

missing [1] - 39:18

18:16, 18:21, 19:11, 19:14, 19:15, 20:4, 20:9, 21:8, 21:17, 22:1, 22:4, 22:6, 22:13, 22:19, 23:10, 23:18, 24:3, 24:6, 24:11, 24:13, 24:14, 25:1, 25:7, 25:15, 25:17, 25:18, 25:22, 26:2, 26:11, 26:12, 26:18, 26:20, 27:3, 27:4, 27:5, 27:7, 27:9, 27:12, 27:13, 27:15, 27:17, 27:20, 28:2, 28:11, 28:16, 28:18, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:6, 29:7, 29:9, 29:12, 29:14, 29:15, 29:17, 29:18, 29:19, 29:20, 29:22, 30:1, 30:4, 30:5, 30:8, 30:10, 30:14, 30:16, 30:19, 31:2, 31:5, 31:9, 31:13, 31:14, 31:21, 31:22, 32:1, 32:4, 32:5, 32:6, 32:7, 32:10, 32:14, 32:15, 32:17, 32:21, 32:22, 33:2, 33:7, 33:11, 33:14, 33:16, 34:2, 34:3, 34:19, 35:7, 35:10, 37:6, 37:20, 38:1, 38:4, 38:9, 39:1, 39:4, 39:5, 39:8, 39:13, 39:17, 39:18, 39:20, 39:22, 40:2, 40:3, 40:6, 40:9, 40:10, 40:15, 40:22, 41:3, 41:8, 41:14, 42:6, 42:18, 43:2, 43:6, 43:11, 43:15, 43:19, 43:22, 44:4, 44:5, 44:7, 44:11, 44:13, 44:14, 44:15, 44:18, 44:20 **MS** [14] - 1:21, 3:17, 11:20, 12:3, 30:21, 31:4, 31:7, 32:12, 33:5, 33:22, 35:2, 37:13, 37:16, 38:2 municipal [3] -42:21, 43:10, 43:12 must [1] - 4:18

Ν

napkin [1] - 25:6 national [5] - 32:3, 32:4, 32:5, 32:9, 33:3 **nationally** [1] - 32:16

nature [2] - 36:8, 40:17 necessarily [4] -21:2, 31:19, 36:11, 41:12 need [8] - 9:3, 10:17, 13:18, 20:19, 28:5, 34:10, 38:2, 41:19 needed [2] - 6:3, 21.6 neighbor [1] - 39:2 new [40] - 4:19, 4:22, 8:7, 8:18, 9:4, 9:5, 13:15, 16:2, 17:2, 17:3, 17:11, 17:14, 18:17, 19:8, 20:8, 20:16, 20:17, 21:2, 21:20, 22:15, 24:17, 25:21, 26:3, 26:8, 26:10. 26:12. 26:13. 26:18, 26:19, 26:22, 27:10, 27:13, 27:16, 28:14, 29:10, 40:15, 41:16, 42:4 newer [2] - 41:14, 42:11 next [6] - 2:7, 16:8, 21:10, 26:5, 38:7, 39:3 night [4] - 15:20, 33:20, 34:22, 35:9 noncontributing [2] - 38:13, 38:20 normally [1] - 15:7 notarized [1] - 13:22 noted [1] - 25:12 notes [1] - 46:9 nothing [2] - 12:6, 15:12 noticed [1] - 8:21 November [2] - 7:5, number [1] - 7:6 numerous [1] -34:14

O

obviously [1] - 21:19 occurring [1] - 13:8 **OF** [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:4, 1:8, 46:1, 46:2 office [1] - 20:10 offices [1] - 20:12 **old** [2] - 38:17, 42:13 once [1] - 5:10 one [19] - 3:1, 5:6, 8:17, 9:9, 9:14, 9:18, 13:5, 13:17, 15:11,

19:9, 19:18, 19:21, 20:3, 20:11, 22:6, 39:1, 39:2, 41:1, 43:22 One [1] - 43:11 one-off [2] - 19:21, ones [1] - 28:14 only's [1] - 4:20 open [3] - 6:21, 28:10, 28:21 opinions [1] - 37:2 opportunity [1] -2:10 opposed [1] - 33:21 **ordinance** [4] - 5:3, 42:17, 42:21, 43:9 originally [1] - 21:5 ornaments [2] -36:14, 36:16 otherwise [3] - 20:6, 38:6, 39:9 outlining [1] - 7:11 outset [1] - 2:12 outside [3] - 11:6, 30:13, 33:12 **own** [2] - 33:4, 41:13 owner [2] - 13:10, owners [1] - 14:21 ownership [1] -13:20

Ρ

p.m [1] - 1:14 packet [3] - 10:2, 10:5, 39:21 page [1] - 40:2 **PAGE** [2] - 1:2, 46:2 pages [1] - 7:16 painting [3] - 10:12, 11:9, 11:21 parade [1] - 26:6 paragraph [1] - 19:9 Park [5] - 8:4, 12:9, 13:1, 30:3, 32:2 part [4] - 10:5, 10:18, 13:16, 42:6 participate [1] - 3:11 particularly [2] -7:16, 42:4 parties [1] - 13:11 passed [1] - 42:21 past [2] - 27:21, 34:4 penalizing [1] -21:11 people [5] - 3:11, 6:1, 17:21, 18:22,

17 of 19 sheets

37:3 22:1, 22:4, 22:13, 33:18, 37:11 remember [2] - 18:3, Q 22:19, 23:10, 23:18, percent [1] - 28:4 sat [1] - 35:12 24:3, 24:6, 24:11, **satisfies** [1] - 43:13 percentage [1] - 28:7 remodelling [1] quick [2] - 7:4, 38:9 24:14, 25:1, 25:7, perfect [1] - 10:14 22:22 **saw** [1] - 25:7 quite [1] - 27:19 25:17, 26:11, 26:18, period [5] - 6:7, renovation [1] - 23:9 scale [1] - 43:1 27:3, 27:5, 27:9, 11:18, 11:19, 14:9, repair [1] - 28:20 scenario [1] - 24:7 R 28:16, 28:20, 29:6, 15:19 replace [4] - 17:19, schools [2] - 6:14, 29:12, 29:17, 29:19, permit [7] - 9:19, 20:18, 23:20, 28:13 31:5, 31:21, 33:16, 10:10, 10:15, 10:18, replacement [2] second [8] - 8:4, radically [1] - 38:19 34:2, 34:19, 35:7, 11:3, 11:10, 11:14 23:6, 29:21 8:11, 9:20, 15:2, rails [1] - 20:17 37:20, 38:1, 38:9, photos [1] - 14:1 replacing [1] - 20:15 16:20, 36:8, 44:17, ramp [2] - 20:14, 39:1, 39:5, 39:13, place [1] - 10:14 **REPORT** [1] - 1:8 44:20 21:18 39:18, 39:22, 40:3, plan [4] - 4:18, 4:22, section [3] - 4:14, **reported** [1] - 46:6 rare [1] - 41:15 40:9, 40:15, 41:8, 23:2, 23:4 14:22, 16:2 reporter [1] - 46:4 rather [2] - 3:4, 3:9 41:14, 42:6, 42:18, Plan [3] - 10:1, 10:6, **Section** [2] - 9:9, request [1] - 35:18 **RDR** [2] - 46:3, 46:12 43:2, 43:6, 43:11, 16:7 requested [1] - 34:16 read [9] - 4:7, 18:4, 43:15, 43:22, 44:5, Planner [1] - 2:2 **see** [10] - 9:3, 13:15, require [2] - 15:5, 34:5, 34:7, 34:16, 44:11, 44:14 18:1, 20:22, 21:14, **Planning** [1] - 2:3 25:13 34:17, 37:12, 39:19, problem [5] - 11:1, 24:14, 34:10, 34:11, plans [4] - 14:11, requires [2] - 10:15, 43:10 19:15, 19:16, 19:17, 34:19, 43:13 16:13, 16:14, 17:16 13:20 reading [1] - 37:20 seem [1] - 16:9 playing [1] - 23:22 requiring [3] - 9:19, ready [1] - 21:16 problems [1] - 17:15 sense [3] - 5:17, **plugging** [1] - 36:3 10:10, 11:3 really [7] - 3:22, proceedings [1] -28:19, 35:1 **plus** [1] - 35:13 respect [5] - 7:12, 6:16, 17:14, 21:11, sent [1] - 10:5 point [15] - 5:1, 5:17, 7:18, 9:6, 9:16, 13:1 28:1, 28:16, 33:18 PROCEEDINGS [1] -7:15, 11:8, 11:15, review [12] - 7:5, sentence [1] - 16:21 reason [1] - 17:7 1:8 21:4, 23:20, 25:11, 7:14, 9:18, 9:20, 9:21, **serve** [1] - 6:9 received [1] - 7:16 process [7] - 4:4, 37:21, 38:11, 39:13, set [2] - 39:2 9:22, 10:9, 11:16, recommend [3] -8:12, 13:9, 13:17, 40:3, 40:7, 44:10 15:3, 15:5, 17:22, several [1] - 27:7 11:13, 36:4 18:17, 19:5, 21:15 poles [1] - 15:14 22:16 **shakes** [1] - 8:19 recommendation [2] professional [1] porch [4] - 20:16, **Review** [3] - 1:6, shorter [2] - 23:1, - 9:8, 15:22 13:10 23:2, 25:3, 28:13 1:10, 2:8 recommendations **Program** [1] - 9:14 reviewed [3] - 10:16, porches [1] - 31:8 **shorthand** [2] - 46:6, [4] - 3:3, 9:17, 10:4, **prohibit** [1] - 9:12 possible [3] - 33:22, 16:16, 38:14 46:8 17:22 project [2] - 21:1, 34:1, 37:13 reviewing [1] - 42:14 **show** [1] - 5:7 recommended [3] -34:6 post [1] - 29:21 reviews [1] - 22:21 showing [2] - 14:4, 12:8, 13:2, 16:11 projects [1] - 22:22 posts [1] - 20:17 revised [3] - 3:10, 16:14 reconcile [1] - 18:2 proof [1] - 13:19 potential [2] - 2:21, 16:2, 16:14 shutter [1] - 23:6 record [8] - 34:6, **Properties** [1] - 8:3 revising [1] - 38:3 **shutters** [2] - 17:19, 34:8, 34:16, 34:18, properties [3] - 9:3, 23:20 practical [1] - 36:11 revisions [2] - 16:10, 37:1, 37:3, 37:5, 40:4, 40:11 precedent [1] - 22:14 16:14 side [3] - 20:12, 37:12 **Property** [1] - 9:13 predicated [1] revocation [1] - 5:16 20:14, 24:11 referring [1] - 39:21 property [7] - 13:10, 42:22 right-of-way [3] signed [1] - 13:21 regarding [1] - 10:11 14:6, 14:20, 27:2, prefer [1] - 34:19 15:5, 18:2, 24:15 silent [2] - 25:16, registered [1] -30:22, 39:12, 39:14 preference [1] - 35:8 road [2] - 4:1, 41:18 25:19 32:16 proposed [4] - 8:17, Robb [2] - 10:22, similar [1] - 20:22 prepared [2] - 15:18, regular [1] - 20:1 12:7, 19:19, 26:16 41:10 simple [2] - 17:9, 34:9 Regulation [1] - 2:8 proposing [1] -**Robbins** [10] - 4:17, 22:5 **PRESENT** [2] - 1:16, regulations [1] -29:10 8:3, 8:13, 12:8, 13:1, 2:1 **simply** [1] - 37:19 7:13 proposition [1] - 7:1 26:21, 27:4, 30:3, presented [1] - 5:9 **sit** [1] - 6:12 Regulations [2] provided [1] - 44:1 PRESERVATION [1] 30:13, 32:1 **situation** [2] - 5:19, 1:6, 1:10 **public** [1] - 15:5 rules [2] - 39:2, 39:3 - 1:3 29:1 reign [1] - 40:18 publish [1] - 36:20 run [2] - 27:20, 34:11 Preservation [4] **size** [3] - 14:5, 27:17, reinvigorated [1] purview [1] - 40:21 1:5, 1:12, 7:12, 13:3 43.1 put [10] - 2:20, 10:14, previous [1] - 7:6 S **skip** [1] - 43:7 related [1] - 18:16 15:12, 22:8, 23:7, PRISBY [69] - 1:20, slate [1] - 24:18 relates [1] - 17:8 26:17, 38:18, 41:20, 3:14, 10:8, 10:22, **small** [2] - 4:14, relief [1] - 34:15 salt [1] - 3:9 41:21, 42:10 11:5, 11:19, 16:19, 25:10 remain [2] - 9:20, Sandra [1] - 3:16 **putting** [1] - 28:5 17:7, 18:6, 18:13, smaller [1] - 21:2 16:18 **SANDRA** [1] - 1:21 18:16, 18:21, 19:14, **solid** [1] - 31:8 remaining [1] - 43:17 Sandy [3] - 31:2,

20:9, 21:8, 21:17,

solve [1] - 19:16

someone [5] - 5:6,	1:10, 2:8	23:5	wise [1] - 34:9
17:18, 20:22, 28:22,	supposed [2] -	tussle [1] - 3:2	wishing [1] - 14:16
41:19	34:20, 40:16	two [6] - 5:2, 5:13,	withdrawal [3] - 8:5,
	•	6:18, 13:5, 41:1, 43:9	
sometimes [1] -	survey [2] - 14:3, 14:4		9:6, 9:12
24:16		type [7] - 5:15,	wonder [1] - 17:20
somewhere [1] -	switch [1] - 5:10	13:13, 14:5, 15:2,	wondering [4] -
27:6	<u>_</u>	15:4, 15:6, 17:1	18:13, 18:18, 19:3,
soon [1] - 37:13	T		33:17
sorry [3] - 14:15,		U	word [1] - 33:9
32:22, 33:10	44		wording [1] - 30:21
south [2] - 20:14,	tactic [1] - 6:2		works [2] - 17:18,
30:15	talks [2] - 10:8, 11:2	under [2] - 25:2,	33:11
specific [2] - 14:1,	tax [2] - 6:14, 6:16	25:20	worst [1] - 24:7
44:12	Tax [1] - 9:13	underway [1] - 16:13	worst -case [1] - 24:7
specifically [1] -	taxed [1] - 6:17	Union [1] - 27:21	written [5] - 3:20,
8:10	tear [1] - 39:15	unless [1] - 26:16	11:2, 15:17, 20:7,
speculating [1] -	technically [1] - 39:9	unworthy [1] - 5:18	34:9
. •	teeth [1] - 3:13	up [11] - 5:5, 6:19,	
6:10	telephone [1] - 1:21	10:11, 15:13, 18:14,	written -wise [1] -
spelled [1] - 43:6	template [1] - 36:2	20:14, 25:11, 26:10,	34:9
square [4] - 28:4,	• • • •	28:4, 41:15, 42:10	wrote [1] - 43:8
29:13, 31:10, 31:15	term [1] - 26:11	update [1] - 20:18	
ss [2] - 1:1, 46:1	terms [3] - 19:12,	update [1] - 20.10	Υ
standard [1] - 34:5	31:18, 33:12		
standards [4] - 16:3,	testimony [2] - 1:8,	V	
16:5, 35:22, 37:20	46:6		yard [1] - 42:14
start [1] - 12:7	text [3] - 5:22, 6:20,	vacant [4] - 6:8,	yards [1] - 42:2
started [4] - 4:12,	7:1	6:11, 6:12, 6:17	years [7] - 6:13,
6:6, 12:18, 38:15	THE [2] - 1:3, 1:4	· ·	35:13, 38:17, 41:18,
State [1] - 46:5	theoretical [1] -	via [1] - 1:21	42:13, 43:9
state [3] - 32:9,	36:10	Village [8] - 2:2,	yourself [1] - 2:10
• • • •	thinking [3] - 24:6,	4:13, 6:13, 7:7, 7:20,	YU [2] - 2:2, 4:14
32:11, 33:10	31:17, 35:16	10:5, 16:6, 27:20	,
STATE [2] - 1:1, 46:1	third [3] - 8:5, 8:11,	VILLAGE [1] - 1:3	Z
status [1] - 30:7	14:2	village [1] - 6:8	
step [1] - 21:10	three [4] - 7:5, 7:6,	vote [1] - 2:21	
still [5] - 5:3, 12:1,		voted [1] - 4:8	zero [2] - 25:17,
12:15, 29:2, 41:19	27:10, 32:9		25:19
Street [1] - 20:11	timeline [2] - 18:17,	W	zoning [3] - 34:14,
street [1] - 20:12	23:1		34:15, 35:15
streetscape [3] -	timely [1] - 16:9		01.10, 00.10
15:11, 38:22, 42:19	Title [4] - 1:6, 2:8,	wait [7] - 19:2, 21:1,	
strike [1] - 11:14	3:10, 4:15	23:19, 26:8, 28:5,	
strongly [1] - 36:21	tonight [2] - 10:2,	32:7, 34:21	
structure [6] - 4:17,	17:16	waiting [1] - 39:6	
• •	top [1] - 27:10	walk [2] - 40:13,	
4:19, 13:15, 19:10,	topographic [1] -	40:21	
19:19, 42:10	14:3	wants [4] - 17:19,	
structures [5] - 18:5,	transcript [1] - 46:8	23:3, 38:18, 41:19	
18:10, 38:13, 38:20,	tree [2] - 14:4, 36:13		
40:15		watch [1] - 2:14	
stuff [3] - 25:10,	trees [1] - 14:5	weeds [1] - 44:9	
41:14, 43:5	true [1] - 46:8	week [3] - 23:2, 25:4,	
subdivide [1] - 5:12	try [4] - 3:8, 5:12,	41:11	
subject [2] - 15:3,	6:1, 29:7	weighed [1] - 17:12	
29:4	trying [4] - 19:13,	west [1] - 20:12	
	20:18, 24:17, 31:17	whole [1] - 4:4	
	20.10, 24.17, 31.17		
submittal [1] - 14:1	tubing [1] - 43:4	WILLIAMS [14] -	
submittal [1] - 14:1 submitted [2] -		WILLIAMS [14] -	
submittal [1] - 14:1 submitted [2] - 13:18, 17:13	tubing [1] - 43:4 tuck [1] - 23:20	WILLIAMS [14] - 1:21, 3:17, 11:20,	
submittal [1] - 14:1 submitted [2] - 13:18, 17:13 sudden [1] - 36:2	tubing [1] - 43:4 tuck [1] - 23:20 Tuesday [2] - 4:13,	WILLIAMS [14] - 1:21, 3:17, 11:20, 12:3, 30:21, 31:4,	
submittal [1] - 14:1 submitted [2] - 13:18, 17:13 sudden [1] - 36:2 sugar [2] - 3:9, 34:21	tubing [1] - 43:4 tuck [1] - 23:20 Tuesday [2] - 4:13, 4:14	WILLIAMS [14] - 1:21, 3:17, 11:20, 12:3, 30:21, 31:4, 31:7, 32:12, 33:5,	
submittal [1] - 14:1 submitted [2] - 13:18, 17:13 sudden [1] - 36:2	tubing [1] - 43:4 tuck [1] - 23:20 Tuesday [2] - 4:13,	WILLIAMS [14] - 1:21, 3:17, 11:20, 12:3, 30:21, 31:4,	