MINUTES VILLAGE OF HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION June 6, 2018 Memorial Hall – Memorial Building, 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale 6:30 P.M.

Chairman Bohnen called the meeting of the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) to order at 6:30 p.m. on June 6, 2018, in Memorial Hall in the Memorial Building, 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale IL.

Present:	Chairman Bohnen, Commissioner Prisby, Commissioner Weinberger,
	and Commissioner Williams
Absent:	Commissioner D'Arco, Commissioner Gonzalez, and Commissioner
	Haarlow
Also Present:	Chan Yu, Village Planner and Matt Stockmal, HPC Intern
	Applicant for cases: A-23-2018, A-25-2018, HPC-03-2018, HPC-04-2018

<u>Minutes</u>

Chairman Bohnen introduced the minutes from the May 2, 2018, regular and special meetings and asked for any comments. With no comments, the HPC **unanimously approved**, **4-0** (3 absent) the minutes from the May 2, 2018, meetings.

<u>Signage in the Historic Downtown District</u> Case A-23-2018 – 18 W. Hinsdale Ave. – Adore Nails – 1 Illuminated Wall Sign

The owner of Adore Nails introduced herself and presented the request to install a new illuminated wall sign at 18 W. Hinsdale Avenue. Chan mentioned to the HPC that the sign contractor stated they are unable to attend the meeting, and that he would assist with any questions regarding the sign.

Commissioner Weinberger asked if the band on the illustration is behind the channel letters. Chan replied yes, to hold up the letters.

Chairman Bohnen asked if only the letters will be the illuminated. Chan replied yes.

Commissioner Williams stated that the downtown is a national registered historic district and this particular sign is not appropriate.

Commissioner Prisby stated he doesn't mind the sign, however, has an issue with the illumination. Commissioner Prisby asked Chan who the sign contractor is. Chan replied Neon Art.

Commissioner Prisby asked if they have submitted to the HPC in the past. Chan replied no.

Chairman Bohnen asked if it is known that the HPC not prefer illumination when they come in for application. It appears to be an issue every time the HPC reviews signage.

Chan responded it is part of due process, the Village allows applicants to request for illumination per the Code.

Commissioner Prisby stated staff should let the applicant know the Board doesn't approve illumination, and the applicant just wasted 30 days; where had they have known, they should consider it as part of the application.

Chan responded he reflects the HPC's comments to the Plan Commission (PC).

Commissioner Prisby stated that he is wondering for the applicant because they may feel blindsided here.

Chairman Bohnen added that illumination might not even be important to the client.

Chan responded he understands, however, the PC approved the illumination, for example, last month. Chairman Bohnen asked which one was that. Chan stated for Salon Lofts.

Commissioner Williams stated perhaps the HPC should look more into the different methods of illumination or possible future restrictions.

Chairman Bohnen asked if the HPC recommendation to turn off the signage after business hours was upheld by the PC. Chan responded it was upheld. It was made clear, the HPC is not supportive for internally illuminated signage.

The motion to recommend **denial** of the sign application, as submitted, was **unanimously approved**, **4-0** (3 **absent**)

<u>Signage in the Historic Downtown District</u> Case A-25-2018 – 52 S. Washington St. – Vintage Charm – 1 non-Illuminated Wall Sign

The owner of Vintage Charm presented the request to reface an existing wall sign at the former location of Green Goddess at 52 S. Washington Street.

Commissioner Prisby asked if he purchased the building.

The applicant responded no, the Green Goddess folks own the building.

Commissioner Prisby stated he believes the sign should be centered on the building, per a previously approved Certificate of Appropriateness for Green Goddess. To that end, he believes the sign would look better in the center of the building, being all symmetrical.

The applicant stated he is open to the center of the building to comply with the previous approval or as submitted.

Chairman Bohnen agreed with Commissioner Prisby, and asked Chan if Green Goddess ever moved the sign per the previously approved plan.

Chan replied he believes no, because Green Goddess was not open long enough for them to change the sign.

The applicant added that they never re-opened after the auto incident which caused the necessary front façade repair.

A motion to recommend **approval** of the sign application, **with the condition to move the sign south per the previously approved plan**, was unanimously approved, **4-0** (3 **absent**).

<u>Public Hearing</u>

Case HPC-04-2018 – 311 S. Oak Street - Request for Certificate of Appropriateness to demolish the existing home to construct a new home in the Robbins Park Historic District.

Ms. Carrie Kenna, representing Kenna Builders, presented to the HPC, and reviewed the request to demolish the home at 311 S. Oak Street to construct a new home.

Please refer to Attachment 1, for the transcript for Public Hearing Case HPC-04-2018

The HPC in general, believes the builder is missing an opportunity to build something better on the special corner of Oak Street and Third Street. To that end, the HPC, recommended **denial** of the application, as submitted, **unanimously**, **4-0** (3 absent)

Public Hearing

Case HPC-03-2018 – 14 Orchard Place - Request for Certificate of Appropriateness to demolish the existing home in the Robbins Park Historic District.

Mr. Bob Schultz, representing as the applicant's realtor, answered questions by the HPC.

Please refer to Attachment 2, for the transcript for Public Hearing Case HPC-03-2018

Commissioner Prisby made a motion to **approve the certificate of appropriateness to demolish the home** simply because unfortunately, the house is not worth saving any longer. The HPC, approved the motion **unanimously**, **4-0** (3 absent)

Discussion - Memorial Hall 90th Anniversary Celebration on July 4, 2018

On June 6, 2018, Mr. Thomas Lynch, the representative of the American Legion Post 250, discussed at the HPC meeting of celebrating the 90th anniversary of Memorial Hall with the HPC. The initial plan was to host the celebration during the Village's Fourth of July parade. At the HPC meeting, Mr. Lynch also reviewed the importance of celebrating "The Victory of Hinsdale" statue located inside Memorial Hall, and its spiritual dedication for the veterans (Attachment 3).

Due to the relatively short time to prepare for the event on July 4th, and the event potentially being overshadowed by the parade, Mr. Lynch proposed to celebrate the Memorial Hall anniversary, the Victory Statue and the Legion's 100th anniversary on Veterans Day, Sunday, November 11, 2018. The HPC agreed to serve as the Village liaison and assist the American Legion for its celebration and educational efforts.

<u>Adjournment</u>

The HPC unanimously agreed to adjourn at 8:11 PM on June 6, 2018.

Respectfully Submitted,

Chan Yu, Village Planner

STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

> BEFORE THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

1

In the Matter of:)) Case No. HPC-04-2018) 311 South Oak Street.)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the Certificate of Appropriateness Public Hearing of the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Historic Preservation Commission, at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 6th day of June 2018, at the hour of 6:30 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. JOHN BOHNEN, Chairman;MS. SANDRA WILLIAMS, Member;MS. SHANNON WEINBERGER, Member; andMR. JIM PRISBY, Member.

	2		4
1	ALSO PRESENT:	1	other matters. You are starting to understand
2	MR. CHAN YU, Village Planner;	2	the bailiwick that we exist in and we can talk
-	Pitt. Chara To, village Humiler,	3	about the significance of the existing house and
3	MR. MATT STOCKMAL, HPC Intern;	4	our attitudes about demolishing it, but
		5	ultimately, you are going to go forward and
4	MS. CARRIE KENNA, Applicant.	6	demolish the house. And so in the interest of
5		7	streamlining things, which is in everybody's
		8	interest, ours as well as the applicants, I'm
6	(WHEREUPON, the oath was	9	not opposed to moving into the discussion about
7 8	administered en masse.) CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Public Hearing	07:04:00PM 10	the house also. Why drag it on.
9	HPC-04-2018 for 311 South Oak Street, request	11	Again, we are advisory on things
07:01:04PM 10	for Certificate of Appropriateness to demolish	12	like that. So if you as commissioners want to
11	the existing home and construct a new home in	13	weigh in on the prospect of the demolition
12 13	the Robbins Park Historic District. MS. KENNA: Hello. I'm Carrie Kenna	14	first, I'd be happy to listen to that and any
13	with Kenna Builders and we are the general	15	comments that you might have and we will see
15	contractor for the project at 311 South Oak	16	where that leads and then if you are of align,
16	Street, so we are here to ask for a certificate	17	we can go into the next phase which is the
17	of appropriateness. We are going to do a	18	design of the house that's been proposed.
18 19	demolition at 311 South Oak and construction of a new residence.	19	MS. WEINBERGER: It's kind of hard to
D7:02:00PM 20	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. We all have	07:04:34PM 20	have a discussion not going into the Murco.
21	materials about this and looked at the plans.	21	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: It's a chicken and an
22	Jim, would you like to start out	22	egg. I agree. Maybe by background we will talk
	3		5
1	and talk a little bit about your thoughts?	1	a little bit about this if we may.
1 2	and talk a little bit about your thoughts? MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start?	1	a little bit about this if we may. In a perfect world, these issues
2	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start?	2	In a perfect world, these issues
2 3	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review?	2 3	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the
2 3 4	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's	2 3 4 5 6	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 07:02:38PM 10	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 07.05.28PM 10	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9:02:38PM 10 11	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.05.26PM 10 11	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 7.02:38PM 10 11 12	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:05:28PM 10 11 12	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 7:02:38PM 10 11 12 13	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:05:20PM 10 11 12 13	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 7-92-38PM 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish. MR. PRISBY: So I guess that's what I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.05.26PM 10 11 12 13 14	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we realize that we are not able to save every house
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 7702-38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish. MR. PRISBY: So I guess that's what I'm saying. Are we talking about the demo first or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.05.20PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we realize that we are not able to save every house that might merit saving in our eyes, we do feel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish. MR. PRISBY: So I guess that's what I'm saying. Are we talking about the demo first or the actual house? Where would you like to start?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:05:26PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we realize that we are not able to save every house that might merit saving in our eyes, we do feel that it is a bit of the rape of the Sabine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish. MR. PRISBY: So I guess that's what I'm saying. Are we talking about the demo first or the actual house? Where would you like to start? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I guess we will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:05:28PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we realize that we are not able to save every house that might merit saving in our eyes, we do feel that it is a bit of the rape of the Sabine women, if you will, that the houses are preyed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 07:02:38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish. MR. PRISBY: So I guess that's what I'm saying. Are we talking about the demo first or the actual house? Where would you like to start? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I guess we will start with the demo but we know that problems	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-05-26PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we realize that we are not able to save every house that might merit saving in our eyes, we do feel that it is a bit of the rape of the Sabine women, if you will, that the houses are preyed upon and torn apart prior to our even having the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 00	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish. MR. PRISBY: So I guess that's what I'm saying. Are we talking about the demo first or the actual house? Where would you like to start? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I guess we will start with the demo but we know that problems always occur that whether or not we favor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:05:20PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 020	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we realize that we are not able to save every house that might merit saving in our eyes, we do feel that it is a bit of the rape of the Sabine women, if you will, that the houses are preyed upon and torn apart prior to our even having the ability to speak about the significance of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 7.02:38PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish. MR. PRISBY: So I guess that's what I'm saying. Are we talking about the demo first or the actual house? Where would you like to start? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I guess we will start with the demo but we know that problems always occur that whether or not we favor demolition of the house or not, we are advisory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-05-26PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07-05-02PM 20	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we realize that we are not able to save every house that night merit saving in our eyes, we do feel that it is a bit of the rape of the Sabine women, if you will, that the houses are preyed upon and torn apart prior to our even having the ability to speak about the significance of the houses and why we would feel so terribly sad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 00	MR. PRISBY: Where do we want to start? Are we going to hold off on talking about general kind of a Murco coming in and taking some of the house apart already prior to review? Are we going to kind of table that because it's a bigger picture that we want moving forward. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. We won't burden them with the MR. PRISBY: Okay. So are we doing both demo first or house first? MS. WEINBERGER: I think demo because the request is to demolish. MR. PRISBY: So I guess that's what I'm saying. Are we talking about the demo first or the actual house? Where would you like to start? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, I guess we will start with the demo but we know that problems always occur that whether or not we favor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:05:20PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 020	In a perfect world, these issues appear before us fresh so that we can weigh in on them significantly the demolition. We should be able to weigh in on our feelings about the demolition when the existing house is standing and in its total state. Unfortunately, what has been happening is that prior to appearing before this commission, people who are wanting to demolish homes in the historic district are stripping them of artifacts and elements prior to appearing before our commission, and while we realize that we are not able to save every house that might merit saving in our eyes, we do feel that it is a bit of the rape of the Sabine women, if you will, that the houses are preyed upon and torn apart prior to our even having the ability to speak about the significance of the

	<u></u>		0
4	6		8
1	be able to talk people out of demolition,	1	far as I'm concerned, you can put it all back
2	because I think that's probably wishful thinking	2	in. It's a contributing building to a national
3	on our parts, but it seems to us that	3	registered district and it will never get my
4	desiccating an existing house that has architectural elements and features that are	4	vote of approval.
5		5	MS. WEINBERGER: I a hundred percent
6	worthy of our consideration are being stripped out of the houses before we even have an	6	agree. I walked through the house and it's a
7		7	fine house. Missing a lot of parts now but it
8	opportunity to talk about the houses and their	8	is a fine house and on a fine lot. I wouldn't
9	eventual fate, okay? Would that accurately	9	approve a demolition.
07:06:48PM 10	state our position?	07:08:58PM 10	MR. PRISBY: I haven't been through in
11	So I don't know where we go on	11	15 years. Didn't get a chance to get through
12	this. I think it's a policy thing that the	12	this week due to some conflicts, but I remember
13	village has got to take up. We are prepared to	13	it being a nice home back then and the Mays, I'm
14	talk to the village about it and as a part of	14	sure, took care of it. I know they had some
15	our ongoing meetings that we are having in the	15	roof issues way back when and I don't know if
16	review and rewriting of the preservation	16	those were addressed but there were some nice
17	ordinance. But at this juncture, the ordinance	17	things in the house.
18	is what it is. People seem to be anxious to	18	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: It's rather an iconic
19	jump in and start stripping elements out of the	19	corner and has an interesting grade to the lot
07:07:14PM 20	houses and we are left in having to react in the	07:09:24PM 20	and the house that's there seems to fit very
21	wake of this and so I don't know what else we	21	well and has always been a pleasing view for me
22	can do at this juncture.	22	during my time in the village.
			9
1	So if that satisfies your attitude	1	MS. WILLIAMS: And it can be considered landmarked and it is a noteworthy site within
2	at the moment, that's all I think I can give	2	the village of Hinsdale. Cleveland, the
3 4	you. MR. PRISBY: I think it's nice to have	4	landscape architect who developed that whole
5	that on the record as we push forward with the	5	area of the village, went out of his way to
6	changes we would like to see in the future.	6	highlight a lot of properties in that area, so
7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So with that in mind,	7	it's a shame it's coming down.
8	I think we are charged with talking about the	8	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Do you have any
9	demolition of the house at 311 and also the	9	further comments?
07:07:50PM 10	house at the next hearing to come forth on	07:10:12PM 10	MR. PRISBY: On the demo, no.
11	Orchard Place, but I think in terms of	11	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I guess what we will
12	expediting things, knowing that we are not going	12	do is have a vote on the certificate of
13	to stop the demolition, it seems to me that if	13	appropriateness to demolish the existing home,
14	you have the patience and the interest, that we	14	and then if you have the appetite to go further
15	should move right through the demolition hearing	15	we can or we can go to a further meeting, but
16	and then move through to the design.	16	I'll speak to that after we have this vote.
17	May I have your comments?	17	Can I have a motion, please, about
18	MR. PRISBY: Motion to approve the	18	the demolition of the home at 311 South Oak
19	demo.	19	Street?
07:08:26PM 20	MS. WILLIAMS: I couldn't possibly vote	07:10:40PM 20	MS. WEINBERGER: I move to deny the
21	for demolition and I realize it's been stripped,	21	certificate of appropriateness to demolish the
22	I was in the house and I know what's there. As	22	home at 311 South Oak Street.

KATHLEEN W BOWC - CSR 630-834-7779 HPC 06.06.18 Min. - Attachment 1

	10		12
1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: May I have a second,	1	difficult setbacks on the west side. Did they
2	please?	2	ever find did Robb do any research on the
3	MS. WILLIAMS: Second.	3	drainage system that might be under this lot
4	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: All in favor?	4	that public works brought up?
5	(All aye.)	5	MR. YU: I do not know.
6	The vote is to vote against the	6	MR. PRISBY: I believe there's a
7	demolition of the house and the certificate of	7	42-inch round brick sewer line that goes through
8	appropriateness for 311 South Oak Street.	8	this site that nobody really knows where it
9	Now, moving through this, prior	9	goes. So that's something that you might
07:11:08РМ 10	hearing with you, Ms. Kenna on Third Street, it	07:13:20PM 10	discover at some point that drops down to Fourth
11	was your first appearance before our commission	11	Street.
12	on matters of design and there seemed to be an	12	I have known Rich Olson for a long
13	attitude or a misunderstanding that you could	13	time; I like his work. I think he's a very
14	appear before us and that even though you had	14	talented architect so I wanted to start with
15	not finalized plans in terms of the exterior	15	that because I do think Rich is a good
16	components and things like that, that you could	16	architect; he's a good choice.
17	get a resulting vote from this commission and we	17	I do not like this plan at all and
18	are not in that business frankly.	18	I'll tell you why. I think the real beauty of
19	We are here to approve what you are	19	this site is that northwest corner. As you
07:11:42PM 20	going to build and so I guess the first question	07:13:48PM 20	travel north on Oak Street or as you travel east
21	I would have for you would be the materials that	21	on Third Street, just the shape of the lot and
22	you put forth in the meeting proposed to us for	22	the slope of the property that particular corner
	11		13
1	311, are those firm or are those design	1	is screaming for an architectural piece of
2	MS. KENNA: We have made that decision	2	significance. And what we got instead is a
3	and I have the other ones for you.	3	garage. And I understand the slope of the
4	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So we are able to	4	property is a huge issue and I personally went
5	look at that and comment on it. And is there	5	round and round with that myself back in the
6	any commissioner that has a problem with moving	6	day, right, but right now what I'm seeing on the
7	through this?	7	grading plan is if I travel north where this
8	MS. WILLIAMS: No.	8	property juts out and you just get past Fourth
9	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So if I could have	9	Street, I am now going to look at a series of
07:12:12PM 10	your comments, please, on the home that's being	07:14:28PM 10	what looks like retaining wall or a severe
11	proposed to be built at 311 South Oak Street for	11	drop-off of six or seven feet up to a driveway
12	the DeLaMcler family.	12	that plateaus with a garage straight in front of
13	MR. PRISBY: Okay. Can I start?	13	me.
14	Great. Reviewed the house, know the property.	14	As I'm traveling eastbound, I am
15	Full disclosure, I was working with the Mays 15	15	now going to see garage doors instead of the red
16	years ago to build a house for this site. John	16	brick house or something that from an
17	May decided to halt on the eleventh hour, which	17	architectural standpoint and I know we are
18	is fine. It was before the market crashed so	18	just advisory on this, I would much prefer
19	they didn't sink a lot of money into it, but I'm	19	something that I know Rich he's done plenty of
07:12:48PM 20	very familiar with this site itself.	07:14:56PM 20	these, right, whether it's a tower or something
04			
21 22	I know of the slope in the lot. I know the curvature of the corner and the	21 22	with windows, that's something that is attracted to that particular location as opposed to a

	14		16
1	garage.	1	layman's opinion.
2	So I have a real problem with that	2	MR. PRISBY: If I didn't have the site
3	aspect of the design. That may have been owner-	3	to consider, just looking at the elevations,
4	driven, I don't know. But I was very	4	standard nice work for Rich, all right, no
5	disappointed to see that aspect of this plan. I	5	issues with that. It's just the site to me is
6	would prefer to have seen something on the east	6	just that special.
7	side, something maybe basement level, something	7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So our world is
8	plateaued over there on that side to bring in a	8	streetscape. And that's how we have the
9	side-load garage and then slide the house over	9	opportunity to opine on things like this. And
07:15:30PM 10	so that as I'm driving north on Fourth Street,	07:17:32PM 10	our opinion appears to be that this particular
11	wow. That could have been spectacular,	11	design does nothing to enhance the streetscape,
12	especially with the drop-off and chance for a	12	perhaps even detracts from it. So when we have
13	walk-out basement. I mean, that could have been	13	a motion, it will be an advisory vote and people
14	absolutely stunning, and I feel like we are	14	will do what they do but I think you understand
15	missing an opportunity to really enhance that	15	where we are coming from.
16	area on what I think is a special corner in that	16	So to finish the public hearing on
17	part of town. That's just me.	17	this, if I could have a motion to vote against
18	MS. WILLIAMS: I agree. I think it is	18	the design that's being proposed for 311, that
19	a very special corner and I don't think this	19	would be fine?
07:15:56РМ 20	does it justice.	07:18:06PM 20	MR. PRISBY: I motion to deny approval.
21	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I think they are	21	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Second, please?
22	missing an opportunity, frankly, not only for	22	MS. WILLIAMS: Second.
	15		17
1	themselves but for the citizens of the village.	1	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Vote, please?
2	It's a custom home, they can build what they	2	MS. WILLIAMS: Aye.
3	wish.	3	MS. WEINBERGER: Aye.
4	MS. WILLIAMS: I a hundred percent	4	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Aye.
5	agree.	5	MR. PRISBY: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I think we have	6	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: So moved.
7	discussed this totally, kind of disappointed,	7	MS. WILLIAMS: Can I go back? I know
8	frankly, in the design.	8	we have had trouble with findings and the
9	I would ask for a motion to go on	9	recommendations in the past, and I just happen
07:16:38РМ 10 11	the record.	07:18:18РМ 10 11	to see and had marked this up earlier.
12	MR. PRISBY: I will, but what are the materials they are considering and colors?	12	As far as granting a certificate of appropriateness for the demolition in the
12	MS. KENNA: The stone and then slate	13	findings and recommendations, in 14.502(A) is
14	roof.	14	general standards. If you look at No. 10, you
15	MR. PRISBY: Is it real slate?	15	can include that in our findings and
16	MS. KENNA: Real slate.	16	recommendations, I think. It just says, Any
17	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Not imitation?	17	permitted demolition should promote the purposes
18	MS. KENNA: No.	18	of this title and the general welfare of the
19	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I just think we are	19	village and its residents. This does not. So I
07:16:58PM 20	missing an opportunity because of the site and	07:18:56PM 20	would like this in the findings and
21	the fact that the site is significant. I think	21	recommendations.
22	you could be a little bit more imaginative, just	22	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: You will make note of

KATHLEEN W BOWC -04-2018³⁴⁻⁷⁷⁷⁹ HPC 06.06.18 Min. - Attachment 1

	18
1	that, Chan, since we do write our own findings.
2	MR. YU: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Thank you.
4	(WHICH, were all of the
5	proceedings had, evidence
6	offered or received in the
7	above entitled cause.)
-	above entitled cause.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	19
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss:
1 2	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE)
2 3	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified
2	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE)
2 3 4	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the
2 3 4 5	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby
2 3 4 5 6	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken aforesaid.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken aforesaid. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken aforesaid. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken aforesaid. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 27th day of June, A.D. 2018.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken aforesaid. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 27th day of June, A.D. 2018. KATHLEEN W. BONO,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE) I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby certify that previous to the commencement of the examination and testimony of the various witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to testify the truth in relation to the matters pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken aforesaid. IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 27th day of June, A.D. 2018.

		1	T	T
1	ALSO [1] - 2:1	16:21, 17:1, 17:4,	1:3	7:16, 10:12, 11:1,
	anxious [1] - 6:18	17:6, 17:22, 18:3	commission [4] -	14:3, 15:8, 16:11,
	apart [2] - 3:5, 5:18	BONO [2] - 19:3,	5:10, 5:13, 10:11,	16:18
10 [1] - 17:14	appear [2] - 5:3,	19:20	10:17	detracts [1] - 16:12
14.502(A [1] - 17:13				
15 [2] - 8:11, 11:15	10:14	brick [2] - 12:7,	Commission [1] -	developed [1] - 9:4
	appearance [1] -	13:16	1:12	difficult [1] - 12:1
19 [1] - 1:12	10:11	bring [1] - 14:8	commissioner [1] -	disappointed [2] -
	- appeared [1] - 3:22	brought [1] - 12:4	11:6	14:5, 15:7
2	appearing [2] - 5:9,	build [3] - 10:20,	commissioners [1] -	disclosure [1] -
	5:13	11:16, 15:2	4:12	11:15
6646 4 46 46 46	appetite [1] - 9:14	Builders [1] - 2:14	complete [1] - 19:14	discover [1] - 12:10
2018 [2] - 1:13, 19:18	Applicant [1] - 2:4	building [1] - 8:2	components [1] -	discussed [1] - 15:7
27th [1] - 19:18	applicants [1] - 4:8	built [1] - 11:11	10:16	discussion [2] - 4:9,
	Appropriateness [2]	burden [1] - 3:8	concerned [1] - 8:1	4:20
3			conflicts [1] - 8:12	District [1] - 2:12
	- 1:9, 2:10	business [1] - 10:18		
	appropriateness [5]	-	consider [1] - 16:3	district [2] - 5:11, 8:3
311 [11] - 1:6, 2:9,	- 2:17, 9:13, 9:21,	С	consideration [1] -	done [1] - 13:19
2:15, 2:18, 7:9, 9:18,	10:8, 17:12		6:6	doors [1] - 13:15
9:22, 10:8, 11:1,	approval [2] - 8:4,	0.0.0	considered [1] - 9:1	down [3] - 5:21, 9:7,
11:11, 16:18	16:20	C.S.R [1] - 19:21	considering [1] -	12:10
	approve [3] - 7:18,	care [1] - 8:14	15:12	drag [1] - 4:10
4	8:9, 10:19	CARRIE [1] - 2:4	construct [1] - 2:11	drainage [1] - 12:3
4	architect [3] - 9:4,	Carrie [1] - 2:13	construction [1] -	driven [1] - 14:4
	12:14, 12:16	Case [1] - 1:5	2:18	
42-inch [1] - 12:7		certificate [5] - 2:16,		driveway [1] - 13:11
	architectural [3] -	9:12, 9:21, 10:7,	contractor [1] - 2:15	driving [1] - 14:10
6	6:5, 13:1, 13:17	17:11	contributing [1] - 8:2	drop [2] - 13:11,
0	area [3] - 9:5, 9:6,		corner [6] - 8:19,	14:12
	14:16	Certificate [2] - 1:9,	11:22, 12:19, 12:22,	drop-off [2] - 13:11,
6:30 [1] - 1:14	artifacts [1] - 5:12	2:10	14:16, 14:19	14:12
6th [1] - 1:13	aspect [2] - 14:3,	Certified [1] - 19:3	correct [1] - 19:14	drops [1] - 12:10
un [i]- 1.15	14:5	certify [1] - 19:6	County [1] - 19:5	DU [2] - 1:2, 19:2
•	attitude [2] - 7:1,	CHAIRMAN [24] -	COUNTY [2] - 1:2,	due [1] - 8:12
8	10:13	2:8, 2:20, 3:8, 3:17,	19:2	
		4:21, 7:7, 8:18, 9:8,		duly [1] - 19:8
94 4400 m 10.01	attitudes [1] - 4:4	9:11, 10:1, 10:4, 11:4,	crashed [1] - 11:18	DuPage [1] - 19:5
84-1423 [1] - 19:21	attracted [1] - 13:21	11:9, 14:21, 15:6,	curvature [1] - 11:22	during [1] - 8:22
	Avenue [1] - 1:12	15:17, 15:19, 16:7,	custom [1] - 15:2	
Α	aye [3] - 10:5, 17:2,			- E
	17:5	16:21, 17:1, 17:4,	D	
	Aye [2] - 17:3, 17:4	17:6, 17:22, 18:3		-
A.D [1] - 19:18		Chairman [1] - 1:17		east [2] - 12:20, 14:6
ability [1] - 5:19	В	Chan [1] - 18:1	decided [1] - 11:17	East [1] - 1:12
able [4] - 5:5, 5:14,		CHAN [1] - 2:2	decision [1] - 11:2	eastbound [1] -
6:1, 11:4		chance [2] - 8:11,	DeLaMcler [1] -	13:14
above - entitled [1] -	background [1] -	14:12	11:12	egg [1] - 4:22
1:10	4:22	changes [1] - 7:6	demo [6] - 3:11,	elements [3] - 5:12,
absolutely [1] -	bailiwick [1] - 4:2	charged [1] - 7:8	3:12, 3:15, 3:18, 7:19,	
14:14		Chicago [1] - 1:12	9:10	6:5, 6:19
accurately [1] - 6:9	basement [2] - 14:7,			elevations [1] - 16:3
• • • •	14:13	chicken [1] - 4:21	demolish [6] - 2:10,	eleventh [1] - 11:17
actual [1] - 3:16	beauty [1] - 12:18	choice [1] - 12:16	3:13, 4:6, 5:10, 9:13,	en [1] - 2:7
addressed [1] - 8:16	BEFORE [1] - 1:3	citizens [1] - 15:1	9:21	enhance [2] - 14:15,
administered [1] -	bigger [1] - 3:7	cleaveland [1] - 9:3	demolishing [1] - 4:4	16:11
2:7	bit [4] - 3:1, 5:1,	colors [1] - 15:12	demolition [15] -	entitled [2] - 1:10,
advisory [4] - 3:20,	5:16, 15:22	coming [3] - 3:4, 9:7,	2:18, 3:20, 4:13, 5:4,	18:7
4:11, 13:18, 16:13	BOARD [1] - 1:16	16:15	5:6, 6:1, 7:9, 7:13,	especially [1] - 14:12
affixed [1] - 19:17	BOHNEN [25] - 1:17,	commencement [1]	7:15, 7:21, 8:9, 9:18,	• • • • •
aforesaid [1] - 19:15			10:7, 17:12, 17:17	eventual [1] - 6:9
	2:8, 2:20, 3:8, 3:17,	- 19:6		evidence [1] - 18:5
300 MIL 11.16	4:21, 7:7, 8:18, 9:8,	comment [1] - 11:5	deny [2] - 9:20,	examination [1] -
ago [1] - 11:16			16:00	
agree [4] - 4:22, 8:6,	9:11, 10:1, 10:4, 11:4,	comments [4] - 4:15,	16:20	19:7
agree [4] - 4:22, 8:6, 14:18, 15:5	9:11, 10:1, 10:4, 11:4, 11:9, 14:21, 15:6,	comments [4] - 4:15, 7:17, 9:9, 11:10	desiccating [1] - 6:4	19:7 exist [1] - 4:2
agree [4] - 4:22, 8:6,	9:11, 10:1, 10:4, 11:4,			

KATHLEEN W. BONC -04-2018 34-7779 HPC 06.06.18 Min. - Attachment 1

	IN [1] - 19:16 include [1] - 17:15	looking [1] - 16:3 looks [1] - 13:10	national [1] - 8:2	percent [2] - 8:5, 15:4
3:17, 9:11, 10:20	15:22 imitation [1] - 15:17	17:14 looked [1] - 2:21	Ν	people [4] - 5:10, 6:1, 6:18, 16:13
great [1] - 11:14 guess [4] - 3:14,	19:5 imaginative [1] -	look [3] - 11:5, 13:9,	Murco [2] - 3:4, 4:20	past [2] - 13:8, 17:9 patience [1] - 7:14
granting [1] - 17:11	Illinois [2] - 1:13,	location [1] - 13:22	17:3, 17:7	parts [2] - 6:3, 8:7
grading [1] - 13:7	19:1	load [1] - 14:9	15:18, 16:22, 17:2,	12:22, 13:22, 16:10
grade [1] - 8:19	ILLINOIS [2] - 1:1,	listen [1] - 4:14	15:4, 15:13, 15:16,	particular [3] -
given [1] - 19:10	iconic [1] - 8:18	line [1] - 12:7	10:3, 11:8, 14:18,	part [2] - 6:14, 14:17
3:4, 17:14, 17:18		level [1] - 14:7	7:20, 8:5, 9:1, 9:20,	Park [1] - 2:12
general [4] - 2:14,	-	left [1] - 6:20	2:4, 2:13, 3:12, 4:19,	PAGE [2] - 1:2, 19:2
14:9		leads [1] - 4:16	MS [21] - 1:18, 1:19,	p.m [1] - 1:14
13:12, 13:15, 14:1,		layman 's [1] - 16:1	16:20, 17:5, 18:2	
garage [5] - 13:3,	15:4	landscape [1] - 9:4	15:11, 15:15, 16:2,	•
	hundred [2] - 8:5,	3:21, 9:2	11:13, 12:5, 12:6,	Р
~	huge [1] - 13:4	landmarked [2] -	3:14, 7:18, 8:10, 9:10,	
G	1:5, 2:9		2:2, 2:3, 3:2, 3:10,	owner [1] - 14:3
	HPC-04-2018 [2] -	L	MR [19] - 1:17, 1:20,	own [1] - 18:1
future [1] - 7:6	HPC [1] - 2:3	•	10:9, 11:6	ordinance [2] - 6:17
full [1] - 11:15	5:20, 6:7, 6:8, 6:20	knows [1] - 12:8	moving [4] - 3:7, 4:9,	Orchard [1] - 7:11
front [1] - 13:12	houses [5] - 5:17,	known [1] - 12:12	moved [1] - 17:6	13:22
fresh [1] - 5:3	14:9	knowing [1] - 7:12	7:16, 9:20	opposed [2] - 4:9,
14:22, 15:8	11:14, 11:16, 13:16,	4:19, 15:7	move [3] - 7:15,	16:9
frankly [3] - 10:18,	8:8, 8:17, 8:20, 10:7,	kind [4] - 3:4, 3:6,	16:17, 16:20	14:15, 14:22, 15:20,
13:8, 14:10	7:10, 7:22, 8:6, 8:7,		9:17, 15:9, 16:13,	opportunity [5] - 6:8,
Fourth [3] - 12:10,	5:6, 5:14, 6:4, 7:9,	2:14, 10:10	motion [6] - 7:18,	16:10
7:5	4:3, 4:6, 4:10, 4:18,	Kenna [3] - 2:13,	money [1] - 11:19	opinion [2] - 16:1,
forward [3] - 3:7, 4:5,	3:11, 3:16, 3:20, 3:21,	15:16, 15:18	moment [1] - 7:2	opine [1] - 16:9
forth [2] - 7:10, 10:22	house [25] - 3:5,	2:13, 11:2, 15:13,	[1] - 10:13	ongoing [1] - 6:15
form [1] - 19:13	hour [2] - 1:14, 11:17	KENNA [6] - 2:4,	misunderstanding	ones [1] - 11:3
foregoing [1] - 19:13	homes [1] - 5:11	19:3, 19:20	14:15, 14:22, 15:20	Olson [1] - 12:12
fit [1] - 8:20	11:10, 15:2	KATHLEEN [2] -	missing [4] - 8:7,	offered [1] - 18:6
4:14, 10:11, 10:20	8:13, 9:13, 9:18, 9:22,		mind [1] - 7:7	1:8, 19:1, 19:2
first [6] - 3:11, 3:15,	home [8] - 2:11,	n.	5:15, 5:22, 12:3, 12:9	
	hold [1] - 3:3	К	- might [5] - 4:15,	OF [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3,
firm [1] - 11:1				occur [1] - 3:19
finish [1] - 16:16	2:12	juts [1] - 13:8	merit [1] - 5:15	oath [1] - 2:6
11:18, 16:19	Historic [2] - 1:11,	justice [1] - 14:20	MEMBERS [1] - 1:16	10:8, 11:11, 12:20
fine [5] - 8:7, 8:8,	HISTORIC [1] - 1:3	19:18	1:19, 1:20	2:15, 2:18, 9:18, 9:22,
18:1	historic [1] - 5:11	June [2] - 1:13,	Member [3] - 1:18,	Oak [9] - 1:6, 2:9,
17:13, 17:15, 17:20,	1:12, 9:3	6:22	meetings [1] - 6:15	
findings [5] - 17:8,	Hinsdale [3] - 1:11,	juncture [2] - 6:17,	10:22	
finalized [1] - 10:15	HINSDALE [1] - 1:3	jump [1] - 6:19	meeting [2] - 9:15,	0
feet [1] - 13:11	highlight [1] - 9:6	JOHN [1] - 1:17	means [1] - 19:11	
feelings [1] - 5:5	hereunto [1] - 19:17		mean [1] - 14:13	nothing [1] - 16:11
features [1] - 6:5	hereto [1] - 19:10	Jim [1] - 1:20 Jim [1] - 2:22	11:15, 11:17	noteworthy [1] - 9:2
favor [2] - 3:19, 10:4	herein [1] - 19:8	JIM [1] - 1:20	Mays [3] - 8:13,	notes [1] - 19:15
	hereby [1] - 19:5		- 10:12, 19:9	note [1] - 17:22
fate [1] - 6:9	hello [1] - 2:13	J	matters [3] - 4:1,	Notary [1] - 19:4
far [2] - 8:1, 17:11	2:8		matter [1] - 1:10	notarial [1] - 19:17
family [1] - 11:12	-	itself [1] - 11:20		12:19
familiar [1] - 11:20	Hearing [2] - 1:10,	16:5	Matter [1] - 1:4	northwest [1] -
fact [1] - 15:21	7:15, 10:10, 16:16		MATT [1] - 2:3	
	hearing [4] - 7:10,	issues [3] - 5:2, 8:15,	10:21, 15:12	13:7, 14:10
•	hard [1] - 4:19	issue [1] - 13:4	materials [3] - 2:21,	north [3] - 12:20,
F	happy [1] - 4:14	Intern [1] - 2:3	masse [1] - 2:7	nobody [1] - 12:8
	hand [1] - 19:17	interesting [1] - 8:19	market [1] - 11:18	8:16, 16:4
eyes [1] - 5:15	halt [1] - 11:17	7:14	marked [1] - 17:10	nice [4] - 7:4, 8:13,
exterior [1] - 10:15		interest [3] - 4:6, 4:8,		next [2] - 4:17, 7:10
expediting [1] - 7:12	Н	13:15		new [2] - 2:11, 2:19
			M	

KATHLEEN W. BONC CSR 630 8³⁴⁻⁷⁷⁷⁹ HPC 06.06.18 Min. - Attachment 1^{8 of 10 sheets}

		1	1	1
perfect [1] - 5:2	Public [3] - 1:10, 2:8,	second [4] - 10:1,	stop [1] - 7:13	·
perhaps [1] - 16:12	19:4	10:3, 16:21, 16:22	straight [1] - 13:12	U
permitted [1] - 17:17	purposes [1] - 17:17	see [5] - 4:15, 7:6,	streamlining [1] -	
personally [1] - 13:4	purposes [1] - 17.17 push [1] - 7:5	13:15, 14:5, 17:10	4:7	ultimately [2] - 4:5,
		seeing [1] - 13:6	Street [13] - 1:6, 2:9,	5:21
pertaining [1] -	put [2] - 8:1, 10:22	• • •		under [1] - 12:3
19:10		seem [1] - 6:18	2:16, 9:19, 9:22, 10:8,	unfortunately [1] -
phase [1] - 4:17	R	series [1] - 13:9	10:10, 11:11, 12:11,	5:8
picture [1] - 3:7		set [1] - 19:17	12:20, 12:21, 13:9,	unless [1] - 3:21
piece [1] - 13:1	rape [1] - 5:16	setbacks [1] - 12:1	14:10	unicss [1] - 0:21 up [4] - 6:13, 12:4,
Place [1] - 7:11	rather [1] - 8:18	seven [1] - 13:11	streetscape [2] -	13:11, 17:10
plan [3] - 12:17,	react [1] - 6:20	severe [1] - 13:10	16:8, 16:11	10.11, 17.10
13:7, 14:5	real [4] - 12:18, 14:2,	sewer [1] - 12:7	stripped [2] - 6:6,	V
Planner [1] - 2:2	15:15, 15:16	shame [1] - 9:7	7:21	V
plans [2] - 2:21,	realize [2] - 5:14,	SHANNON [1] - 1:19	stripping [2] - 5:11,	
10:15	7:21	shape [1] - 12:21	6:19	various [1] - 19:7
plateaued [1] - 14:8	really [2] - 12:8,	Shorthand [1] - 19:4	stunning [1] - 14:14	view [1] - 8:21
plateaus [1] - 13:12	14:15	shorthand [2] -	sworn [1] - 19:8	Village [1] - 2:2
pleasing [1] - 8:21	received [1] - 18:6	19:12, 19:15	system [1] - 12:3	VILLAGE [1] - 1:3
plenty [1] - 13:19		side [4] - 12:1, 14:7,		village [7] - 6:13,
point [1] - 12:10	recommendations	14:8, 14:9	Т	6:14, 8:22, 9:3, 9:5,
policy [1] - 6:12	••	side-load [1] - 14:9		15:1, 17:19
position [1] - 6:10	17:16, 17:21	significance [3] -	table [1] - 3:6	vote [10] - 7:20, 8:4,
possibly [1] - 7:20	record [2] - 7:5, 15:10	4:3, 5:19, 13:2	talented [1] - 12:14	9:12, 9:16, 10:6,
prefer [2] - 13:18,		significant [1] -		10:17, 16:13, 16:17,
14:6	red [1] - 13:15	15:21	terms [2] - 7:11,	17:1
prepared [1] - 6:13	reduced [1] - 19:11	significantly [1] - 5:4	10:15	
PRESENT [2] - 1:16,	registered [1] - 8:3	sink [1] - 11:19	terribly [1] - 5:20	W
2:1	relation [1] - 19:9	site [9] - 9:2, 11:16,	testify [1] - 19:9	VV
preservation [1] -	remember [1] - 8:12	11:20, 12:8, 12:19,	testimony [3] - 1:8,	
6:16	REPORT [1] - 1:8	15:20, 15:21, 16:2,	19:7, 19:10	wake [1] - 6:21
PRESERVATION [1]	Reporter [1] - 19:4	16:5	TESTIMONY [1] -	walk [1] - 14:13
- 1:3	request [2] - 2:9,	six [1] - 13:11	19:16	walk-out [1] - 14:13
Preservation [1] -	3:13	slate [3] - 15:13,	THE [1] - 1:3	walked [1] - 8:6
1:11	research [1] - 12:2	15:15, 15:16	themselves [1] -	wall [1] - 13:10
previous [1] - 19:6	residence [1] - 2:19	slide [1] - 14:9	15:1	week [1] - 8:12
preyed [1] - 5:17	residents [1] - 17:19	slope [3] - 11:21,	thereafter [1] - 19:12	weigh [3] - 4:13, 5:3,
PRISBY [15] - 1:20,	resulting [1] - 10:17	12:22, 13:3	thinking [1] - 6:2	5:5
3:2, 3:10, 3:14, 7:4,	retaining [1] - 13:10	South [8] - 1:6, 2:9,	Third [2] - 10:10,	WEINBERGER [6] -
7:18, 8:10, 9:10,	review [2] - 3:5, 6:16	2:15, 2:18, 9:18, 9:22,	12:21	1:19, 3:12, 4:19, 8:5,
11:13, 12:6, 15:11,	reviewed [1] - 11:14	10:8, 11:11	thoughts [1] - 3:1	9:20, 17:3
15:15, 16:2, 16:20,	rewriting [1] - 6:16	special [3] - 14:16,	title [1] - 17:18	welfare [1] - 17:18
17:5	Rich [4] - 12:12,	14:19, 16:6	took [1] - 8:14	west [1] - 12:1
problem [2] - 11:6,	12:15, 13:19, 16:4	spectacular [1] -	torn [2] - 5:18, 5:21	WHEREOF [1] -
14:2	Robb [1] - 12:2	14:11	total [1] - 5:7	19:16
problems [1] - 3:18	Robbins [1] - 2:12	ss [2] - 1:1, 19:1	totally [1] - 15:7	WHEREUPON [1] -
PROCEEDINGS [1] -	roof [2] - 8:15, 15:14	standard [1] - 16:4	tower [1] - 13:20	2:6
1:8	round [3] - 12:7, 13:5	standards [1] - 17:14	town [1] - 14:17	WHICH [1] - 18:4
proceedings [1] -		standing [1] - 5:6	transcribed [1] -	whole [1] - 9:4
18:5	S	standpoint [1] -	19:12	WILLIAMS [10] -
project [1] - 2:15		13:17	transcript [1] - 19:14	1:18, 7:20, 9:1, 10:3,
promote [1] - 17:17		start [7] - 2:22, 3:2,	travel [3] - 12:20,	11:8, 14:18, 15:4,
properties [1] - 9:6	Sabine [1] - 5:16	3:16, 3:18, 6:19,	13:7	16:22, 17:2, 17:7
property [4] - 11:14,	sad [1] - 5:20	11:13, 12:14	traveling [1] - 13:14	windows [1] - 13:21
	SANDRA [1] - 1:18	starting [1] - 4:1	trouble [1] - 17:8	wish [1] - 15:3
12:22, 13:4, 13:8	satisfies [1] - 7:1	State [1] - 19:5	true [1] - 19:14	wishful [1] - 6:2
proposed [4] - 4:18,	save [1] - 5:14		truth [1] - 19:9	
10:22, 11:11, 16:18	saving [1] - 5:15	state [2] - 5:7, 6:10	typewritten [1] -	witnesses [2] - 19:8,
prospect [1] - 4:13	Coott	STATE [2] - 1:1, 19:1		19:11
	Scott [1] - 11:16	STOCKMAL	19:13	Womon 141 5:17
public [2] - 12:4,	screaming [1] - 13:1	STOCKMAL [1] - 2:3	19:13	women [1] - 5:17
		STOCKMAL [1] - 2:3 stone [1] - 15:13	19:13	women [1] - 5:17 works [1] - 12:4 world [2] - 5:2, 16:7

KATHLEEN W BONC CSR 6301834-7779 HPC 06.06.18 Min. - Attachment 1

worthy [1] - 6:6	
wow [1] - 14:11	
write [1] - 18:1	
writing [1] - 19:11	
winning [i] - 19.11	
v	
Y	
years [2] - 8:11,	
11:16	
YU [3] - 2:2, 12:5,	
18:2	
10.2	

STATE OF ILLINOIS)) ss: COUNTY OF DU PAGE)

> BEFORE THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

1

In the Matter of:) Case No. HPC-03-2018) 14 Orchard Place.)

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the Certificate of Appropriateness Public Hearing of the above-entitled matter before the Hinsdale Historic Preservation Commission, at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 6th day of June 2018, at the hour of 6:30 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. JOHN BOHNEN, Chairman;MS. SANDRA WILLIAMS, Member;MS. SHANNON WEINBERGER, Member; andMR. JIM PRISBY, Member.

	2		
1	ALSO PRESENT:		4
		1	Corwin and Frank Lloyd Wright and he always
2	MR. CHAN YU, Village Planner;	2	felt, not proved, doesn't mean anything, Cecil
		3	Corwin was the architect of the building and
3	MR. MATT STOCKMAL, HPC Intern;	4	Frank Lloyd Wright, who were friends, designed
		5	the porch and he was trying to pursue that. He
4	MR. BOB SCHULTZ, Applicant's representative.	6	has since passed away so that's where the
5		7	research ended up.
6		8	It was a very interesting building
7	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Next is case	9	and back in the day when he was still with us he
8	HPC-03-2018, 14 Orchard Place request for a	07:22:48PM 10	gave me a list of things to look for in Cecil
9	Certificate of Appropriateness to demolish the	11	Corwin's house. There wasn't one thing left
07:20:40PM 10	existing home in Robbins Park Historic District.	12	that we could have saved.
11 12	MR. SCHULTZ: Bob Schultz representing the owner of the property.	13	And I would love to see, not this
12	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: You represent the	14	means anything after the fact, but just in the
14	owners of the property?	15	record at the historical society in the home, I
15	MR. SCHULTZ: Yes.	16	would love to have had photos of those stained
16	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: In what capacity,	17	glass windows, of the leaded windows, some of
17	Bob?	18	the doors and they were all gone.
18	MR. SCHULTZ: As their realtor.	19	MR SCHULTZ: I'd be happy to
19 20	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Realtor? MR. SCHULTZ: Yes.	07:23:18PM 20	communicate that to the previous owner and see
20	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Okay. Speak to us.	21	if he has those photos. I'll be happy to ask
22	MR. SCHULTZ: I'll be happy to answer	22	him.
	3		5
1	3 any questions you have.	1	5 MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society
1		1	
	any questions you have.		MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society
2	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN:Well, there's a	2	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to
23	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation?	2 3	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to
2 3 4 5	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for	2 3 4 5	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great.
2 3 4	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation?	2 3 4	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really
2 3 4 5 6 7	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:30PM 10	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072350PM 10	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0721:30PM 10 11	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072350PM 10 11	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0721:30PM 10 11 12	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0723:50PM 10 11 12	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:30PM 10 11 12 13	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0723:50PM 10 11 12 13	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0721-30PM 10 11 12 13 14	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the home. The new owner of the home really saw it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072350PM 10 11 12 13 14	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a greater issue. It's probably more about in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072130PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the home. The new owner of the home really saw it for the very first time when you guys saw it the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0723:50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a greater issue. It's probably more about in my mind the committee being maybe more proactive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0721-30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the home. The new owner of the home really saw it for the very first time when you guys saw it the day we closed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0723:50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a greater issue. It's probably more about in my mind the committee being maybe more proactive before it's actually sold or as it's coming on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the home. The new owner of the home really saw it for the very first time when you guys saw it the day we closed. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandy, you want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072350PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a greater issue. It's probably more about in my mind the committee being maybe more proactive before it's actually sold or as it's coming on the market to try to talk to the previous owner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0721:30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the home. The new owner of the home really saw it for the very first time when you guys saw it the day we closed. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandy, you want to speak about the elements of the home?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0723:50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a greater issue. It's probably more about in my mind the committee being maybe more proactive before it's actually sold or as it's coming on the market to try to talk to the previous owner because once a guy wants to buy it for land,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0721:30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the home. The new owner of the home really saw it for the very first time when you guys saw it the day we closed. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandy, you want to speak about the elements of the home? MS. WILLIAMS: I would have loved to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072350PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a greater issue. It's probably more about in my mind the committee being maybe more proactive before it's actually sold or as it's coming on the market to try to talk to the previous owner because once a guy wants to buy it for land, what's going to stop him? I mean, if that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:21:30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07:22:10PM 20	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the home. The new owner of the home really saw it for the very first time when you guys saw it the day we closed. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandy, you want to speak about the elements of the home? MS. WILLIAMS: I would have loved to have seen some of the elements of the home but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0723:50PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 0724:18PM 20	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a greater issue. It's probably more about in my mind the committee being maybe more proactive before it's actually sold or as it's coming on the market to try to talk to the previous owner because once a guy wants to buy it for land, what's going to stop him? I mean, if that's what he wants, that's what's going to happen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0721:30PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	any questions you have. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, there's a bunch. Where should we start? Should we go back to the Murco situation? MR. PRISBY: Bob, this was Habitat for Humanity this job that came through; is that correct? MR SCHULTZ: Yes. Prior to the this was a dual agency deal so I represent both the buyer and the seller in this case, but Mr. Eller had that done some time ago and then he listed the home for sale, you know, as basically listed it as a lot. He wouldn't let anyone tour the home. The new owner of the home really saw it for the very first time when you guys saw it the day we closed. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sandy, you want to speak about the elements of the home? MS. WILLIAMS: I would have loved to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072350PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. WILLIAMS: The historical society would like photos. It would be delightful to put an architect to that residence even though it might be history, it still would be good to have. That would be great. MR. PRISBY: Even though we really can't stop these demolitions on non-landmarked homes, I would like to see a precedent set where the historical society or somebody else could come out there and document these houses before they get demolished would be terrific. MR SCHULTZ: I understand where you are coming from and the issue isn't it's a greater issue. It's probably more about in my mind the committee being maybe more proactive before it's actually sold or as it's coming on the market to try to talk to the previous owner because once a guy wants to buy it for land, what's going to stop him? I mean, if that's

	0		0
1	6 MR SCHULTZ: I understand. When I went	1	8 the post office and sign for it. So it's
2	in the house I saw the ground floor or the main	2	actually a little bit of a burden, you know, once
3	floor and it was not any kind of livable	3	you do that. If there's a way to streamline
4	condition so there was never really any	4	that, I think it would make everything work in a
5	question. He didn't want anyone going in the	5	better light.
6	house and we respect his will.	6	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. I do.
7	MS. WILLIAMS: And I definitely agree	7	Again, we had no knowledge that this was
8	that the home was allowed to deteriorate to the	8	happening on this particular house or maybe if
9	point that it was not livable. Nonetheless the	9	we had some prior knowledge ourselves, we could
07:24:52PM 10	photos would be wonderful.	07:27:04PM 10	have gotten through there or the historical
11	MR SCHULTZ: I can't imagine him not	11	society, take some pictures. There's definitely
12	having some kind of photos. The windows were	12	a need for better coordination and to save the
13	I did see those and was kind of bummed when they	13	time of the citizens and the monies involved.
14	were gone.	14	We agree with that completely. We are not here
15	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Any further comment?	15	to be an obstacle, we have a job to do.
16	MS. WILLIAMS: It's education. There's	16	MR SCHULTZ: I think there's got to be
17	a lot we need to do, talk about with the	17	just a better method to do it.
18	website, make the website better, offer	18	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right now we are
19	resources way before we get to this point. It's	19	working with a consultant the village has hired
07:25:26PM 20	unfortunate.	07:27:28PM 20	to try and coordinate these different processes
21	MR SCHULTZ: I think if there was a	21	better to make it expeditious for everybody
22	proactive way from the buyer and seller they	22	involved, ourselves included.
	7		9
1	7 look at the process they have to go through as	1	9 MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are
1		1	
	look at the process they have to go through as		MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are
2	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's	2	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already
2 3	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of	2	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say.
2 3 4	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are	2 3 4	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with
2 3 4 5	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long	2 3 4 5	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee	2 3 4 5 6	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a
2 3 4 5 6 7	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:27:54PM 10 11	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0726.02PM 10 11 12	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 07:27:54PM 10 11 12	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:26:02PM 10 11 12 13	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:27:54PM 10 11 12 13	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0725 02PM 10 11 12 13 14	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail and then have a 30-day waiting period for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072754PM 10 11 12 13 14	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:28:02PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail and then have a 30-day waiting period for the demo permit to be issued. You would think once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:27:54PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. MR. PRISBY: I don't know if you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.26.02PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail and then have a 30-day waiting period for the demo permit to be issued. You would think once we had one public hearing we wouldn't have to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:27:54PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. MR. PRISBY: I don't know if you want to hang around until the end. We are going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0728.02PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail and then have a 30-day waiting period for the demo permit to be issued. You would think once we had one public hearing we wouldn't have to do that again because everyone has been notified,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0727:54PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. MR. PRISBY: I don't know if you want to hang around until the end. We are going to have some discussion at the end.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.26.02PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail and then have a 30-day waiting period for the demo permit to be issued. You would think once we had one public hearing we wouldn't have to do that again because everyone has been notified, knows what's going to happen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:27:54PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. MR. PRISBY: I don't know if you want to hang around until the end. We are going to have some discussion at the end. MR SCHULTZ: Say it now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0726:02PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail and then have a 30-day waiting period for the demo permit to be issued. You would think once we had one public hearing we wouldn't have to do that again because everyone has been notified, knows what's going to happen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072754PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. MR. PRISBY: I don't know if you want to hang around until the end. We are going to have some discussion at the end. MR SCHULTZ: Say it now. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I'll leave that up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:26:02PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 07:26:02PM 20	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail and then have a 30-day waiting period for the demo permit to be issued. You would think once we had one public hearing we wouldn't have to do that again because everyone has been notified, knows what's going to happen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:27:54PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 2028:16PM 20	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. MR. PRISBY: I don't know if you want to hang around until the end. We are going to have some discussion at the end. MR SCHULTZ: Say it now. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I'll leave that up to you. It gets a side from the demolition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0726:02PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	look at the process they have to go through as the person purchasing the home, they there's a carrying cost whether they take 20 feet off of it and add to their house or whatever they are going to do and they are calculating how long it's going to take to go through your committee that adds 8 or 10,000 a month that affects the ultimate property value. Like in this case, we have to send out notification through certified mail. I think that costs \$260 or \$280 to every resident. In two more weeks we are going to have to do that again and send out another certified mail and then have a 30-day waiting period for the demo permit to be issued. You would think once we had one public hearing we wouldn't have to do that again because everyone has been notified, knows what's going to happen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072754PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SCHULTZ: Because otherwise you are talking about something that's going to already happen and you have no say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I don't disagree with you. Okay. So having heard that, do we have a motion for the appropriateness for the demolition? MR. PRISBY: Can I add one other thing? CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Yes. MR. PRISBY: Do you want to save that for because it really doesn't apply I'd kind of like Bob to hear what I have to say. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Sure. MR. PRISBY: I don't know if you want to hang around until the end. We are going to have some discussion at the end. MR SCHULTZ: Say it now. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I'll leave that up to

KATHLEEN W. BONC 03-2018³⁴⁻⁷⁷⁷⁹ HPC 06.06.18 Min. - Attachment 2

			10
_	10		12
1	thinking long-term, all right, so we talked	1	teardown than it would today. Again, they have
2	about this a little bit at the house, that they	2	every right to do that.
3	have every right within the zoning to subdivide	3	MR. SCHULTZ: On that case I remember
4	part of that property and sell it to the house	4	the house I was involved actually in the
5	to the south and increase the size of that	5	financing portion of the house, I think it's the
6	property, which if I'm in their shoes, I'd do	6	I forgot the previous owners' name and that
7	the same thing. They could take that lot that's	7	house was on the market for years if I do
8	now 130 by 130.	8	recall, and they found that special owner, which
9	MR. SCHULTZ: 130 by 130. And now it	9	I'm looking for on First Street right now, and
07:28:48PM 10	will end up being somewhere between 178 feet,	07:31:00PM 10	they love the house and it fits their needs,
11	somewhere in there, and the neighbor just didn't	11	they love old houses, and it just depends on
12	want a huge house right next to his and he	12	what cycle we will be in at that time.
13	wanted to lessen the impact and that helps the	13	MR. PRISBY: To me I think it's more of
14	whole neighborhood really.	14	an issue that can come up in 20 years. It's not
15	MR. PRISBY: If I'm developing, if I'm	15	something for today.
16	an owner of that area, R-4 district, absolutely,	16	MR. SCHULTZ: The new owners aren't
17	subdivide it, make that the smallest lot you can	17	looking to add anymore. Their current house is
18	that conforms to zoning, which that would, and	18	not centered on the property. I believe there
19	add that to my property.	19	was a sewer line running through on the south
07:29:18PM 20	My concern I guess is more	07:31:26PM 20	side. I remember hearing some stories about how
21	philosophical long term. That house to the	21	it runs diagonally across their property but
22	south right now is in terrific shape. It looks	22	when they did that add on years ago, they
	11		13
1	11 great from the street but for them right now	1	13 couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I
1 2		1 2	
	great from the street but for them right now		couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I
2	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area	2	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right
2 3	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and	2 3	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off
2 3 4	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right?	2 3 4	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out
2 3 4 5	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot.	2 3 4 5	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate
2 3 4 5 6	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that	2 3 4 5 6	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a
2 3 4 5 6 7	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well-	2 3 4 5 6 7	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072954PM 10 11	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-32-08PM 10 11	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072954PM 10 11 12	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:32:08PM 10 11 12	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072054PM 10 11 12 13	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and adds 60 feet let's say, just picking a number,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-32-06PM 10 11 12 13	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house. MR. SCHULTZ: Keeping that house with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072954PM 10 11 12 13 14	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and adds 60 feet let's say, just picking a number, 30 to 60 feet to the property, and I look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.32.08PM 10 11 12 13 14	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house. MR. SCHULTZ: Keeping that house with a bigger piece of land actually, a smaller house
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:29:54PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and adds 60 feet let's say, just picking a number, 30 to 60 feet to the property, and I look at that house and I say, okay, well, it's on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:32:06PM 10 11 12 13 14 15	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house. MR. SCHULTZ: Keeping that house with a bigger piece of land actually, a smaller house next to it will set it out so that it actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072954PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and adds 60 feet let's say, just picking a number, 30 to 60 feet to the property, and I look at that house and I say, okay, well, it's on the side where the garage is now so if someone wants	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07-32-00PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house. MR. SCHULTZ: Keeping that house with a bigger piece of land actually, a smaller house next to it will set it out so that it actually stands out even more.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and adds 60 feet let's say, just picking a number, 30 to 60 feet to the property, and I look at that house and I say, okay, well, it's on the side where the garage is now so if someone wants to go to add onto that house, they have to redo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.32:06PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house. MR. SCHULTZ: Keeping that house with a bigger piece of land actually, a smaller house next to it will set it out so that it actually stands out even more. MR. PRISBY: I'm more concerned about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 072054PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and adds 60 feet let's say, just picking a number, 30 to 60 feet to the property, and I look at that house and I say, okay, well, it's on the side where the garage is now so if someone wants to go to add onto that house, they have to redo the garage, they have to change their approach	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.32:00PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house. MR. SCHULTZ: Keeping that house with a bigger piece of land actually, a smaller house next to it will set it out so that it actually stands out even more. MR. PRISBY: I'm more concerned about when they are done, whether it's 5, 10, 20 years
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and adds 60 feet let's say, just picking a number, 30 to 60 feet to the property, and I look at that house and I say, okay, well, it's on the side where the garage is now so if someone wants to go to add onto that house, they have to redo the garage, they have to change their approach location, maybe there's a tree in the way,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.32:06PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house. MR. SCHULTZ: Keeping that house with a bigger piece of land actually, a smaller house next to it will set it out so that it actually stands out even more. MR. PRISBY: I'm more concerned about when they are done, whether it's 5, 10, 20 years from now and they go to sell, the person that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07:29:54PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 20	great from the street but for them right now and I think it's larger than what the floor area ratio would allow someone else to come in and tear that house down and build new, right? MR. SCHULTZ: It's a pretty big lot. MR. PRISBY: Some of those houses that are in such great shape and it has been well- maintained and it's as big as you can get on that lot, that you look at it and go yes, that's not really a viable house for a teardown. It looks fine the way it is. Now, when somebody comes back and adds 60 feet let's say, just picking a number, 30 to 60 feet to the property, and I look at that house and I say, okay, well, it's on the side where the garage is now so if someone wants to go to add onto that house, they have to redo the garage, they have to change their approach location, maybe there's a tree in the way, suddenly now I have a nice, old charming house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 07.32.00PM 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	couldn't go to the south, they went behind and I don't know why. But now the driveway runs right along the north edge. It's kind of falling off and it's a very difficult driveway to back out of and there's no turnaround. So their ultimate goal they would like to move the driveway a little bit away from their house and have some grass there. They have no plans to remove MR. PRISBY: Even if they did, we don't have a problem with that, meets the zoning code. I'd love to see them add 60 feet to that property and add onto that house. MR. SCHULTZ: Keeping that house with a bigger piece of land actually, a smaller house next to it will set it out so that it actually stands out even more. MR. PRISBY: I'm more concerned about when they are done, whether it's 5, 10, 20 years from now and they go to sell, the person that's going to come to buy that is going to go nice

 house on that property. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: It would be signing a future deah warant for that house. Guiture deah warant for that house. Guiture deah warant for that house. Guiture deah warant for that house. Cuitar deah warant for that house. Concerned about. This something that this board and this community should be concerned about. This something that this board and this community should be concerned about. Tim not even saying it's a bad Tim not even saying it's a bad thing. I hink the owners are doing a grant thing. I just get concerned with the house long-term when we increase the size of moment. moment. moment. moment. moment. MR. SCHULTZ: I just hoge the market moment. m		14		16
2 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: It would be signing a future death warrant for that house. 2 could make a difference of selling that home. 4 MR, PRISP. That is a good way of self. 3 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. These are 4 4 MR, PRISP. That is a good way of red to be concerned about. You are already about. 6 appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying that and this and 7 out is something it is abad 6 appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find an appraisers in the last two weeks trying the last the use of the last trying the last the use of the last trying the last the use of the last the u	1		1	
3 future death warrant for that house. 3 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: I agree. These are 4 MR, PRISPY: That is a good way of 6 5 putting it. And it's not something that this 6 6 board and this community should be concerned 6 9 board and this community should be concerned 6 9 board and this community should be concerned 6 9 board and this community should be concerned 6 9 board and this community should be concerned 6 9 board and this community should be concerned 6 9 future death warrant for that house oncerned 6 9 mare thing. I think the owners are doing a 6 13 great thing. I just gaid I would do the 11 14 house long-term when we increase the size of 12 MR. SCHULTZ: Yes. A real pleasant 14 mement. 11 liking about. 11 wars. And It's something at least cur thought 14 charges. I don't know that it will. Everyone 12 real estawed would cose on 244 we could certainly the 15 mement. 17 refree.on Chand, they are beauthul	2		2	
5 putting it. And it's not something that you 6 MR. SCHULTZ: But I called ten 6 need to be concerned about. You are already 7 outer anyone would step up and do this and 8 board and this community should be concerned about. 1 anyone would step up and do this and 9 about. 1 It is something it's a bad 1 Italked to at Illinois told me. 11 thing to subdivide. I just said I would do the 1 1 Italked to at Illinois told me. 12 same thing. I just get concerned with the 14 was we could colds on 244 we could certainly try 15 that particular property. That's all. There's 16 no solution to that that T'm aware of at the 16 nonsolution to that that T'm aware of at the 15 to use something like that and let them because 16 nonse, and they are selling at unbelievable 16 charges is to explore this stuff farther so we 12 corner there on Orchard, they are baoutful 20 theim was and they are selling at unbelievable 17 proces, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee 16 MR. SCHULT2: And the big thing is like 2 trate will encourage people to renovate their 3			3	_
 event to be concerned about. You are already concerned about. concerned about. It is something that this board and this community should be concerned about. about. Tim not even saying it's a bad thing to subdivide. I just said I would to the particular property. That's all. There's great thing. I just get concerned with the houses are doing a great thing. I just get concerned with the 'nouse long-term when we increase the size of that particular property. That's all. There's moment. MR. SCHULT2: I just hope the market to come there on Orchard, they are beautiful to the same and take advantage of the tax freezes and that could be the single driving feature that is nonserver atom with the limion standmark - go that and be single driving feature that is promotes preservation going forward. MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting similar to what Mr. Krebbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting similar to what Mr. Krebbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting similar to what Mr. Krebbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting similar to what Mr. Krebbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting similar to what Mr. Krebbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting similar to what Mr. Krebbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting similar to what Mr. Krebbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the real and avantages to doing that the tay are very unclear from the RS about one you 1 know Mr. Krebbiel doesn't real weak advantages to doing that or the	4	MR. PRISBY: That is a good way of	4	the kinds of things that we have
7 concerned about. It is something that this board and this community should be concerned a that's the big issue and that's what the people 9 about. 1 that's the big issue and that's what the people 9 about. 1 that's the big issue and that's what the people 9 about. 1 that's the big issue and that's what the people 10 I'm not even saying it's a bad 1 that's the big issue and that's what the people 11 thing to subdivide. I just said I would do the 1 1 Illinois you are talking about? 12 same thing. I just get concerned with the 11 1 Wans. And it's something at least our thought 14 house long-term when we increase the size of no solution to that that I'm aware of at the 15 17 moment. 15 to us something like that and let them because 16 nomes, and who uses. I'm amazed the house onthe 16 charges is to explore this stuff farther so we 12 corner there on Orhard, they are bealufful homes, and they are selling at unbelievable 21 relif that we might be able to further 14 thaney would come back, landmark it. 17 18 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Weil,	5	putting it. And it's not something that you	5	MR. SCHULTZ: But I called ten
8 board and this community should be concerned 8 that's the big issue and that's what the people 9 about. 9 talked to at Illinois told me. ************************************	6	need to be concerned about. You are already	6	appraisers in the last two weeks trying to find
 about. about. Trm not even saying it's a bad thing to subdivide. I just said I would do the thing to subdivide. I just said I would do the same thing. I think the owners are doing a great thing. I just get concerned with the bouse long-term when we increase the size of that particular property. That's all. There's solution to that that I'm aware of at the obust one that I'm aware of at the charges. I don't know that it will. Everyone charges. I don't know that it will. Everyone charges. I don't know that it will. Everyone corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful that met back sets. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful thanging. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that the meut tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate that could be the single driving feature that promes preservation going forward. orversation with the Illinois Landmark -: growt spreservation going forward. orversation with the Illinois Landmark -: growt spreservation going forward. Growt spreservation going forward.	7	concerned about. It is something that this	7	out if anyone would step up and do this and
Image: 10Image: 10Image: 11Image: 12CHARMAN BOHNEN: 1s this Landmarks11thing to subdivide. I just said I would do the same thing. I think the owners are doing a great thing. I just get concerned with the house long-term when we increase the size of to any get collar property. That's all. There's is no solution to that that I'm aware of at the changes. I don't know that it will. Everyone wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the one shark new houses. I'm amazed the house on the one of Achard, they are beautiful to charges, and they are selling at unbelievable17CHARMAN BOHNEN: Weil, one of our this might it's through the side door on tax care get some good definition to people because this might it's through the side to further term it's through the side to further12reservation and we realize that.1713prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee to knows and take advantage of the tax freezes and that could be the single driving feature that that could be the Single friving feature that that to what Mr. Krehbiel doosn't that could be the single friving feature that that could be the Single friving feature that that could be the Single friving feature that that could be	8	board and this community should be concerned	8	that's the big issue and that's what the people
11 thing to subdivide. I just said would do the 11 Illinois you are talking about? 12 same thing. I just get concerned with the 13 moment. 13 14 house long-term when we increase the size of 14 woman. And it's something at least our thought 15 that particular property. That's all. There's 16 to solution to that that I'm aware of at the 17 moment. 17 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, one of our 18 MR. SCHULTZ: I just hope the market 16 charges is to explore this stuff farther so we 19 charges. I don't know that it will. Everyone 18 charges is to explore this stuff farther so we 14 prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee 17 17 1 prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee 1 1 MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like 2 is ad that if you ever talk to an appraiser, ask them about that because they actually will 4 4 the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate 6 worth, how much tesis it's worth. 5 tax rate will encourage people to renovate their 6 worth, how much tesis it's worth. 6 homes and take advantage of t	9	about.	9	I talked to at Illinois told me.
12same thing. I think the owners are doing a great thing. I just get concerned with the house long-term when we increase the size of that particular property. That's all. There's to assolution to that that I'm aware of at the moment.12MR. SCHULT2: Yes. A real pleasant woman. And it's something at least our thought was we could close on 244 we could cleat aliny try us we we could close on 244 we could cleat aliny try to use something like that and let them because to use something like that and let them because there so of our they would close back, landmark it.17moment.1718MR. SCHULT2: I just hope the market to corre there on Orchard, they are beautiful homes, and they are selling at unbelievable1814prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee t it changing.11MR. SCHULT2: And the big thing is like t is aid that if you ever talk to an appraiser, a ask them about that because they actually will that could be the single driving feature that t that could be the single driving feature that t that could be the single driving feature that t tak could be the single driving feature that t excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was t chairman wash we act advantages to doing that or they are t pending but they are very unclear from the IRS a about once you1 know Mr. Krehbiel didoen t pending but they are very unclear from the IRS a about once you1 know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't r eally need th	07:32:52PM 10	I'm not even saying it's a bad	07:34:50PM 10	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Is this Landmarks
13 great thing. I just get concerned with the 13 woman. And it's something at least our thought 14 house long-term when we increase the size of 14 was we could close on 244 we could certainly try 15 that particular property. That's all. There's 15 to use something like that and let them because 16 no solution to that that I'm aware of at the 16 they would come back, landmark it. 17 moment. 17 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, one of our 18 MR. SCHULTZ: I just hope the market 16 they would come back, landmark it. 19 coner there on Orchard, they are beautful 20 this might it's through the side door on tax 14 prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee 17 relief that we might be able to further 2 homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and 18 worth, how much less it's worth. 7 that could be the single driving feature that 18 MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting 9 9 MR. SCHULT2: The house at 244 there's 11 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation. 14 14 deed. 14 taked tor -: I forget her name. Sue might be 15 16 <td< th=""><th>11</th><th>thing to subdivide. I just said I would do the</th><th>11</th><th>Illinois you are talking about?</th></td<>	11	thing to subdivide. I just said I would do the	11	Illinois you are talking about?
14 house long-term when we increase the size of 14 was we could close on 244 we could certainly try 15 that particular property. That's all. There's 16 to use something like that and let them because 16 no solution to that that I'm aware of at the 17 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, one of our 18 MR. SCHULTZ: I just hope the market 16 they would come back, landmark it. 19 changes. I don't know that it will. Everyone 16 charges is to explore this stuff farther so we 20 wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the can get some good definition to people because 21 corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful can get some good definition to people because 21 prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee 17 21 prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee 1 MR. SCHULT2: And the big thing is like 2 it changing. 3 ask them about that because ethey actually will 4 there will an out any any and take advantage of the tax freezes and f worth, how much less it's worth. 7 that could be the single driving feature that 8 MR. SCHULT2: Mell house here 9 9 MR. SCHULT2: I had an interesting	12	same thing. I think the owners are doing a	12	MR. SCHULTZ: Yes. A real pleasant
15that particular property. That's all. There's no solution to that that I'm aware of at the moment.15to use something like that and let them because they would come back, landmark it.17moment.17CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, one of our charges is to explore this stuff farther so we is anget some good definition to people because they mouses. I'm amazed the house on the correr there on Orchard, they are beautiful it correr there on Orchard, they are beautiful prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee it changing.16they would come back, landmark it.151charges is to explore this stuff farther so we is might it's through the side door on tax relief that we might be able to further preservation and we realize that.1615prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee it changing.161MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like it and now what it's worth.3CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate bromes and take advantage of the tax freezes and it was a comparable if that house ever sells, we will know what he paid for it and now what it's worth.7that could be the single driving feature that that could be the single driving feature that similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on it excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was is similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on it pending but they are very unclear form the IRS deed.16MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's is about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't pending but they are very unclear form the IRS a bout once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't pending but they are very unclear form the IRS a bout once you I know	13	great thing. I just get concerned with the	13	woman. And it's something at least our thought
16no solution to that that I'm aware of at the moment.16they would come back, landmark it.17moment.17CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, one of our18MR. SCHULTZ: I just hope the market oranges. I don't know that it will. Everyone wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful to charges, and they are selling at unbelievable18charges is to explore this stuff farther so we can get some good definition to people because this might it's through the side door on tax12corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful to charges, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee it changing.171prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee it changing.173CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate tax rate will encourage people to renovate their 616homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and that could be the single driving feature that promotes preservation going forward.3ask them about that be cause they actually will a have a comparable if that house ever sells, we will know what he paid for it and now what it's worth, how much less it's worth.10conversation with the Illinois Landmark excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on team10MR. SCHULT2: Mell, could be.13CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed.11CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the some tax advantages to doing that or they are pending but they are very unclear from the IRS a bout once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need	14	house long-term when we increase the size of	14	was we could close on 244 we could certainly try
17moment.17CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, one of our18MR. SCHULTZ: I just hope the marketis charges is to explore this stuff farther so we19changes. I don't know that it will. Everyonecan get some good definition to people because20wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautifulcan get some good definition to people because21wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautifulcan get some good definition to people because22wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautifulcan get some good definition to people because22wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautifulcan get some good definition to people because21irelief that we might be able to further corner there on Orchard, they are beautifulcan get some good definition to people because22homes, and they are selling at unbelievablecan get some good definition to people because23CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that that could be the single driving feature that for that could be the single driving feature that for that could be the single driving feature that similar to what Mr. Krehbiel dio nmR. SCHULT2: And that will be get CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation.24onversation with the Tillinois Landmark get similar to what Mr. Krehbiel dio nmR. SCHULT2: Well, could be.25MR. SCHULT2: The house at 244 there's get some tax advantages to doing that or they are pending but they are very unclear from the IRSMR. SCHULT2: Well, Culd	15	that particular property. That's all. There's	15	to use something like that and let them because
18MR. SCHULTZ: I just hope the market changes. I don't know that it will. Everyone wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful 22 homes, and they are selling at unbelievable18charges is to explore this stuff farther so we can get some good definition to people because this might it's through the side door on tax 2122 torner there on Orchard, they are beautiful 22 homes, and they are selling at unbelievable20this might it's through the side door on tax 2121 torner, and they are selling at unbelievable15173CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate tax rate will encourage people to renovate their that could be the single driving feature that promotes preservation going forward.18MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like tax rate will encourage people to renovate their that could be the single driving feature that that could be the single driving the seake. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on texcuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was the deed.10MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting texcuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was that advantages to doing that or they are tending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. K	16	no solution to that that I'm aware of at the	16	they would come back, landmark it.
 19 changes. I don't know that it will. Everyone wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful homes, and they are selling at unbelievable 21 corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful homes, and they are selling at unbelievable 22 homes, and they are selling at unbelievable 23 charRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate tax rate will encourage people to renovate their homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and that could be the single driving feature that 8 promotes preservation going forward. 9 MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting intervesting conversation with the Illinois Landmark 10 conversation with the Illinois Landmark 11 excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on 13 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. 14 deed. 15 MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's some tax advantages to doing that or they are tay unclear from the IRS 16 MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's realing needing but they are very unclear from the IRS 16 MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's realing needing but they are very unclear from the IRS 17 MS. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I talked to I forget her name. Sue might be her name. 18 about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you house before you have that easement, then the 19 house before you have that easement, then the 10 chard find an appraiser to put a value on the appraiser to put a value on the really cau that Kreebiel house, the person who helped, at the Kreebiel house, the person who helped, at the Kreebiel house, the person who helped, at the Kreebiel house	17	moment.	17	CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Well, one of our
20wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful homes, and they are selling at unbelievable20this might it's through the side door on tax relief that we might be able to further preservation and we realize that.12homes, and they are selling at unbelievable15171prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee it changing.1MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like 23CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate tax rate will encourage people to renovate their 61MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like 24the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate tax rate will encourage people to renovate their 6ask them about that because they actually will 44that could be the single driving feature that 67CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. 88promotes preservation going forward. 98MR. SCHULTZ: And that will be 99GONVersation with the Illinois Landmark 118MR. SCHULTZ: And that will be 99MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting 90MR. SCHULTZ: Well, could be.11excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was 1211CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa 1313CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the 1413MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I 1414deed.14talked to I forget her name. Sue might be 1515MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's 1616CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with 1716CO	18	MR. SCHULTZ: I just hope the market	18	charges is to explore this stuff farther so we
21corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful 2221relief that we might be able to further 2222homes, and they are selling at unbelievable22preservation and we realize that.21prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee it changing.1MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like 22it changing.1MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like 23CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that 43ask them about that because they actually will 44the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate 5ask them about that because they actually will 45tax rate will encourage people to renovate their 6homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and 766homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and 7fthat could be the single driving feature that 87CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right.8promotes preservation going forward. 9MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting 99CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation.9MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting 11excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was 1211CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa 1213CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the 14deed.13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I 1414deed.14talked to I forget her name. Sue might be 1515her name.15some tax advantages to doing that or they are 1616CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS 1718CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She	19	changes. I don't know that it will. Everyone	19	can get some good definition to people because
22homes, and they are selling at unbelievable22preservation and we realize that.1515171prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee1MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like2it changing.2I said that if you ever talk to an appraiser,3CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that3ask them about that because they actually will4the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate3ask them about that because they actually will5tax rate will encourage people to renovate their6worth, how much less it's worth.6homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and6worth, how much less it's worth.7that could be the single driving feature that7CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right.8promotes preservation going forward.8MR. SCHULTZ: And that will be9MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting9CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right.10conversation with the Illinois Landmark9CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation.11excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was11CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa12bichiera from the LAM13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I14deed.14talked to I forget her name.15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's15her name.16Some tax advantages to doing that or they are16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the LRS17MS. WILLIAMS: No.1	07:33:14PM 20	wants new houses. I'm amazed the house on the	07:35:22PM 20	this might it's through the side door on tax
15171prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee1MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like2it changing.3CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that34the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate3ask them about that because they actually will4the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate3ask them about that because they actually will4the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate3ask them about that because they actually will6homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and6worth, how much less it's worth.7that could be the single driving feature that7CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right.8promotes preservation going forward.9MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting9MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting9CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation.10conversation with the Illinois Landmark9CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation.11excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was11CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa13CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I14deed.14talked to I forget her name.1615MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incentive, but if you18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incenti	21	corner there on Orchard, they are beautiful	21	relief that we might be able to further
1prices, you know, so who knows. I don't foresee1MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like2it changing.I said that if you ever talk to an appraiser,3CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping thatask them about that because they actually will4the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estatehave a comparable if that house ever sells, we5tax rate will encourage people to renovate theirwill know what he paid for it and now what it's6homes and take advantage of the tax freezes andworth, how much less it's worth.7that could be the single driving feature that7CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right.8promotes preservation going forward.8MR. SCHULTZ: And that will be9MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting9CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Adonation.10conversation with the Illinois Landmark1MR. SCHULTZ: Well, could be.11excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was11CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa12similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I14deed.13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I14deed.14talked to I forget her name. Sue might be15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS17MS. WILLIAMS: No.18about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incentive, but if yo	22	homes, and they are selling at unbelievable	22	preservation and we realize that.
 it changing. it changing. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate tax rate will encourage people to renovate their homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and that could be the single driving feature that promotes preservation going forward. m. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting conversation with the Illinois Landmark similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on conversation with the Illinois Landmark similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. Some tax advantages to doing that or they are some tax advantages to doing that or they are pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you could find an appraiser to put a value on the pending but they are to put a value on the pending but they are to put a value on the pending but they are to put a value on the pending but they are to put a value on the pending but they are to put a value on the pending but they and the for the formation in the format				
 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: We are hoping that the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate tax rate will encourage people to renovate their homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and that could be the single driving feature that promotes preservation going forward. m. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting M.R. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting conversation with the Illinois Landmark conversation with the Illinois Landmark excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was chAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the M.R. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's some tax advantages to doing that or they are pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you could find an appraiser to put a value on the house before you have that easement, then the 	1		1	MR. SCHULTZ: And the big thing is like
 4 the new tax law, Bob, that caps the real estate 5 tax rate will encourage people to renovate their 6 homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and 7 that could be the single driving feature that 8 promotes preservation going forward. 9 MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting 9 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Right. 8 conversation with the Illinois Landmark 9 MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting 9 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation. 10 conversation with the Illinois Landmark 11 excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was 11 chairman BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa 12 similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on 13 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the 14 deed. 14 deed. 15 MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's 16 some tax advantages to doing that or they are 17 pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you really n			2	
 5 tax rate will encourage people to renovate their homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction that could be the single driving feature that reaction the tax freezes and reaction with the Illinois Landmark M.R. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting reaction with the Illinois Landmark excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was is milar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on that could be the single driving that or they are tax advantages to doing that or they are reaction the tax advantages to doing that or they are really need that kind of incentive, but if you real	3		3	
 6 homes and take advantage of the tax freezes and that could be the single driving feature that promotes preservation going forward. 9 MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting MR. SCHULTZ: Well, could be. 10 conversation with the Illinois Landmark Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on Hat will be reacuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel doesn't Hat will be reacuse me. I don't talk to Lisa. I Hat will be reacuse me. I don't talk to the present the II to the present the II to the present the II to the present to put a value on the Hat will be reacuse me. I don't talk to the present the II to the present who helped, to the present whot helped to the tare when I was present with the tre	4		4	
 that could be the single driving feature that promotes preservation going forward. MR. SCHULTZ: And that will be MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation. Conversation with the Illinois Landmark conversation with the Illinois Landmark conversation with the Illinois Landmark similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa. CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed. MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I talked to I forget her name. Sue might be some tax advantages to doing that or they are gending but they are very unclear from the IRS pending but they are very unclear from the IRS pending but they are very unclear from the IRS really need that kind of incentive, but if you really need that kind of incentive, but if you could find an appraiser to put a value on the house before you have that easement, then the at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped, 			_	
 8 promotes preservation going forward. 9 MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting 9 MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting 9 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation. 9 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation. 9 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation. 9 MR. SCHULTZ: Well, could be. 11 excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was 11 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa 12 similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on 13 CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the 14 deed. 15 MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's 16 some tax advantages to doing that or they are 17 pending but they are very unclear from the IRS 17 pending but they are very unclear from the IRS 18 about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't 19 really need that kind of incentive, but if you 11 20 MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was 21 house before you have that easement, then the 	_	_		
9MR. SCHULTZ: I had an interesting conversation with the Illinois Landmark excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was9CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: A donation.11excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was11CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa12similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on12DiChiera from the Landmarks?13CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed.13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I14deed.14talked to I forget her name. Sue might be15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't pending but they are to put a value on the pending hat a appraiser to put a value on the could find an appraiser to put a value on the thouse before you have that easement, then the19MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,			_	_
10conversation with the Illinois Landmark73357410MR. SCHULTZ: Well, could be.11excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was11CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa12similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on12DiChiera from the Landmarks?13CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I14deed.14talked to I forget her name. Sue might be15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's15her name.16some tax advantages to doing that or they are16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS17MS. WILLIAMS: No.18about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incentive, but if you19Independent.10could find an appraiser to put a value on the20MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was21house before you have that easement, then the21at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,			_	
11excuse me. I don't want to misspeak. It was similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Krehbiel did on11CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Did you talk to Lisa DiChiera from the Landmarks?13CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed.13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I talked to I forget her name. Sue might be15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's some tax advantages to doing that or they are pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you could find an appraiser to put a value on the house before you have that easement, then the11CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,		2		
12similar to what Mr. Krehbiel did on12DiChiera from the Landmarks?13CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I14deed.14talked to I forget her name. Sue might be15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's15her name.16some tax advantages to doing that or they are16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS17MS. WILLIAMS: No.18about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incentive, but if you19Independent.10could find an appraiser to put a value on the house before you have that easement, then the20MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,				
13CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: The covenant on the deed.13MR. SCHULTZ: I didn't talk to Lisa. I 1414deed.14talked to I forget her name. Sue might be15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's some tax advantages to doing that or they are pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with 1919really need that kind of incentive, but if you house before you have that easement, then the20MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was 2120MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was 2121at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,				
14deed.14talked to I forget her name. Sue might be15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's15her name.16some tax advantages to doing that or they are16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS17MS. WILLIAMS: No.18about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incentive, but if you19Independent.10could find an appraiser to put a value on the12MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was21house before you have that easement, then the21at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,				
15MR. SCHULTZ: The house at 244 there's some tax advantages to doing that or they are pending but they are very unclear from the IRS about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you could find an appraiser to put a value on the house before you have that easement, then the15her name.16Some tax advantages to doing that or they are MS. WILLIAMS: No.16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin? MS. WILLIAMS: No.18About once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't really need that kind of incentive, but if you house before you have that easement, then the18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with 1919Independent.19Independent.11House before you have that easement, then the11at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,				
16some tax advantages to doing that or they are16CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: Susan Benjamin?17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS17MS. WILLIAMS: No.18about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incentive, but if you19Independent.0could find an appraiser to put a value on the house before you have that easement, then the13MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,				
17pending but they are very unclear from the IRS17MS. WILLIAMS: No.18about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incentive, but if you19Independent.0734-16PM20could find an appraiser to put a value on the0736-10PM21house before you have that easement, then the21at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,			_	
18about once you I know Mr. Krehbiel doesn't18CHAIRMAN BOHNEN: No. She's with19really need that kind of incentive, but if you19Independent.0734:16PMcould find an appraiser to put a value on the1034:16PM0MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was21house before you have that easement, then the21at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,				-
19really need that kind of incentive, but if you19Independent.07.34.10PM20Could find an appraiser to put a value on the house before you have that easement, then the19Independent.21house before you have that easement, then the21MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,				
0734-18PM20could find an appraiser to put a value on the house before you have that easement, then the20MR. SCHULTZ: I got the card when I was 2121at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,		-		
21house before you have that easement, then the21at the Krehbiel house, the person who helped,				
	22	house with the easement because that's a	22	who handled that one.

KATHLEEN W BOND CSR 630 834-7779 HPC 06.06.18 Min. - Attachment 2

vant h cond,
h
h
h
cond,
cond,
ne
the
to
c
5
-
t

\$	12:22, 13:11, 13:12	bigger [1] - 13:14	CHAIRMAN [36] -	21:14
φ	adds [2] - 7:7, 11:13	bit [3] - 8:2, 10:2,	2:7, 2:13, 2:16, 2:19,	Corwin [2] - 4:1, 4:3
	adjourned [1] -	13:7	2:21, 3:2, 3:17, 6:15,	Corwin [2] - 4:11
\$260 [1] - 7:11	•			
\$280 [1] - 7:11	20:17	block [1] - 7:19	8:6, 8:18, 9:4, 9:10,	cost [1] - 7:3
\$200 [i] - 7.11	advantage [1] - 15:6	BOARD [1] - 1:16	9:14, 9:19, 14:2, 15:3,	costs [1] - 7:11
	advantages [1] -	board [2] - 14:8, 19:6	15:13, 16:3, 16:10,	County [1] - 21:5
1	15:16	BOB [1] - 2:4	16:17, 17:7, 17:9,	COUNTY [2] - 1:2,
	affects [1] - 7:7	Bob [6] - 2:11, 2:17,	17:11, 17:16, 17:18,	21:2
10 [1] - 13:18	affixed [1] - 21:17	5:22, 9:13, 15:4,	18:1, 18:5, 18:12,	covenant [1] - 15:13
	aforesaid [1] - 21:15	18:22	18:22, 19:10, 19:13,	current [1] - 12:17
10,000 [1] - 7:7	agency [1] - 3:9	bob [1] - 3:5	19:19, 20:2, 20:8,	cycle [1] - 12:12
130 [4] - 10:8, 10:9	ago [2] - 3:11, 12:22	BOHNEN [37] - 1:17,	20:11, 20:15	
14 [2] - 1:6, 2:8	agree [5] - 6:7, 8:6,	2:7, 2:13, 2:16, 2:19,	Chairman [1] - 1:17	D
15 [2] - 18:20, 18:21	8:14, 16:3, 19:13	2:21, 3:2, 3:17, 6:15,	CHAN [1] - 2:2	D
178 [1] - 10:10			change [1] - 11:18	
19 [1] - 1:12	allow [1] - 11:3	8:6, 8:18, 9:4, 9:10,	-	deal [1] - 3:9
	allowed [1] - 6:8	9:14, 9:19, 14:2, 15:3,	changes [1] - 14:19	death [1] - 14:3
2	ALSO [1] - 2:1	15:13, 16:3, 16:10,	changing [1] - 15:2	
L	amazed [1] - 14:20	16:17, 17:7, 17:9,	charges [1] - 16:18	decide [1] - 19:12
	answer [1] - 2:22	17:11, 17:16, 17:18,	charming [1] - 11:20	decides [1] - 19:6
20 [3] - 7:3, 12:14,	appearing [1] - 19:1	18:1, 18:5, 18:12,	Chicago [2] - 1:12,	deed [1] - 15:14
13:18	Applicant 's [1] - 2:4	18:22, 19:10, 19:13,	18:4	definitely [2] - 6:7,
2018 [2] - 1:13, 21:18	apply [1] - 9:12	19:19, 20:2, 20:8,	citizens [1] - 8:13	8:11
244 [2] - 15:15, 16:14	appraiser [2] - 15:20,	20:11, 20:15	close [1] - 16:14	definition [1] - 16:19
27th [1] - 21:18	17:2	BONO [2] - 21:3,	closed [1] - 3:16	delightful [1] - 5:2
27 ui [1] - 21.10		21:20	code [1] - 13:10	demo [1] - 7:15
•	appraisers [1] - 16:6	build [2] - 11:4,	coming [2] - 5:13,	demolish [2] - 2:9,
3	appreciate [2] -	13:22	5:16	19:21
	18:12, 19:14	building [2] - 4:3, 4:8		demolished [1] -
30 [1] - 11:14	approach [1] - 11:18	••••	commencement [1]	5:11
	appropriateness [3]	bummed [1] - 6:13	- 21:6	
30-day [1] - 7:14	- 9:7, 19:21, 20:5	bunch [1] - 3:3	comment [1] - 6:15	demolition [2] - 9:8,
	Appropriateness [2]	burden [1] - 8:2	COMMISSION [1] -	9:20
5	- 1:9, 2:9	buy [2] - 5:18, 13:20	1:3	demolitions [1] - 5:7
	approve [2] - 19:20,	buyer [2] - 3:10, 6:22	Commission [1] -	deny [1] - 20:1
E 12.10	20:5		1:12	designed [1] - 4:4
5 [1] - 13:18	architect [2] - 4:3,	С	committee [2] - 5:15,	deteriorate [1] - 6:8
•	5:3		7:6	developing [1] -
6			communicate [1] -	10:15
	area [3] - 10:16,	C.S.R [1] - 21:21	4:20	diagonally [1] -
60 m 11.12 11.14	11:2, 11:21	calculating [1] - 7:5	community [1] - 14:8	12:21
60 [3] - 11:13, 11:14,	attachment [1] - 19:6	capacity [1] - 2:16	• • • •	DiChiera [1] - 17:12
13:11	available [1] - 11:21	caps [1] - 15:4	comparable [1] -	
6:30 [1] - 1:14	Avenue [1] - 1:12	card [1] - 17:20	17:4	difference [1] - 16:2
6th [1] - 1:13	aware [1] - 14:16	carries [1] - 20:16	complete [1] - 21:14	different [1] - 8:20
	aye [3] - 20:12,		completely [1] - 8:14	difficult [1] - 13:4
8	20:14, 20:15	carrying [1] - 7:3	concern [2] - 10:20,	direct [1] - 18:5
	Aye [1] - 20:13	case [4] - 2:7, 3:10,	18:19	disagree [1] - 9:4
		7:9, 12:3	concerned [5] -	discussion [2] -
8 [2] - 7:7, 19:6	В	Case [1] - 1:5	13:17, 14:6, 14:7,	9:17, 18:14
84-1423 [1] - 21:21		Cecil [3] - 3:22, 4:2,	14:8, 14:13	District [1] - 2:10
		4:10	condition [1] - 6:4	district [1] - 10:16
Α	bad [1] - 14:10	centered [1] - 12:18	conforms [1] - 10:18	document [2] - 5:10,
	beautiful [1] - 14:21	certainly [2] - 16:14,		19:14
	become [1] - 11:22	19:16	constructions [1] -	
A.D [1] - 21:18		certificate [2] -	7:20	donation [2] - 16:1,
able [1] - 16:21	BEFORE [1] - 1:3		consultant [1] - 8:19	17:9
above -entitled [1] -	behind [1] - 13:1	19:21, 20:5	conversation [1] -	done [3] - 3:11,
1:10	Benjamin [1] - 17:16	Certificate [2] - 1:9,	15:10	13:18, 19:17
absolutely [1] -	better [5] - 6:18, 8:5,	2:9	coordinate [1] - 8:20	door [1] - 16:20
• • • •	8:12, 8:17, 8:21	certified [3] - 7:10,	coordination [1] -	doors [1] - 4:18
10:16	between [1] - 10:10	7:13, 7:22	8:12	down [2] - 11:4, 18:6
add [8] - 7:4, 9:9,	big [5] - 11:5, 11:8,	Certified [1] - 21:3	corner [1] - 14:21	downstate [1] - 18:2
10:19, 11:17, 12:17,	13:21, 16:8, 17:1	certify [1] - 21:6		driveway [3] - 13:2,
	10.21, 10.0, 17.1	1	correct [2] - 3:7,	unveway [3] - 13.2,

KATHLEEN W. BONC CSB 630, 834-7779 HPC 06.06.18 Min. - Attachment 2

13:4, 13:6	forget [1] - 17:14	5:1, 5:9, 8:10	8:22, 12:4	long-term [2] - 10:1,
driving [1] - 15:7	forgot [1] - 12:6	history [2] - 5:4, 19:7	IRS [1] - 15:17	14:14
DU [2] - 1:2, 21:2	form [1] - 21:13	home [11] - 2:10,	issue [5] - 5:13, 5:14,	look [4] - 4:10, 7:1,
dual [1] - 3:9	forward [1] - 15:8	3:12, 3:14, 3:18, 3:20,	12:14, 16:8, 18:20	11:9, 11:14
duly [1] - 21:8	Frank [2] - 4:1, 4:4	4:15, 6:8, 7:2, 16:2,	issued [1] - 7:15	looking [2] - 12:9,
DuPage [1] - 21:5	frankly [1] - 7:19	19:22		12:17
• • •	freezes [1] - 15:6	homes [5] - 5:8,	J	looks [2] - 10:22,
Е	friends [1] - 4:4	14:22, 15:6, 18:10,		11:11
	front [1] - 18:21	18:18		love [5] - 4:13, 4:16,
	future [1] - 14:3	hope [1] - 14:18	JIM [1] - 1:20	12:10, 12:11, 13:11
easement [2] -		hoping [1] - 15:3	job [2] - 3:6, 8:15	loved [1] - 3:19
15:21, 15:22	G	hour [1] - 1:14	JOHN [1] - 1:17	
East [1] - 1:12	•	house [33] - 4:11,	June [2] - 1:13,	М
edge [1] - 13:3		6:2, 6:6, 7:4, 8:8,	21:18	
education [1] - 6:16	garage [2] - 11:16,	10:2, 10:4, 10:12,		_
either [1] - 20:2	11:18	10:21, 11:4, 11:10,	K	mail [3] - 7:10, 7:13,
elements [2] - 3:18,	given [1] - 21:10	11:15, 11:17, 11:20,		7:22
3:20	glass [1] - 4:17	12:4, 12:5, 12:7,	KATHLEEN [2] -	main [1] - 6:2
Eller [1] - 3:10	goal [1] - 13:6	12:10, 12:17, 13:7,	21:3, 21:20	maintained [1] - 11:8
encourage [1] - 15:5	grass [1] - 13:8	13:12, 13:13, 13:14,	keeping [1] - 13:13	market [3] - 5:17,
end [3] - 9:16, 9:17,	great [5] - 5:5, 11:1,	14:1, 14:3, 14:14,	kind [6] - 6:3, 6:12,	12:7, 14:18
10:10	11:7, 14:13, 19:18	14:20, 15:15, 15:21,	6:13, 9:13, 13:3,	Matt [2] - 19:7, 19:14
ended [1] - 4:7	greater [1] - 5:14	15:22, 17:4, 17:21,	15:19	MATT [1] - 2:3
entitled [2] - 1:10,	ground [1] - 6:2	20:6	kinds [1] - 16:4	Matter [1] - 1:4
20:21	group's [1] - 9:22	houses [4] - 5:10,	knowledge [2] - 8:7,	matter [3] - 1:10,
estate [1] - 15:4	guess [1] - 10:20	11:6, 12:11, 14:20	8:9	19:5, 19:11
evidence [1] - 20:19	guy [1] - 5:18	HPC [1] - 2:3	knows [2] - 7:18,	matters [1] - 21:9
exactly [1] - 18:1	guys [1] - 3:15	HPC-03-2018 [2] -	15:1	mean [2] - 4:2, 5:19
examination [1] -		1:5, 2:8	Krehbiel [3] - 15:12,	means [2] - 4:14,
21:7	H	huge [2] - 10:12,	15:18, 17:21	21:11
excited [1] - 7:21		13:22	13.10, 17.21	meets [1] - 13:10
excuse [1] - 15:11		Humanity [1] - 3:6		Member [3] - 1:18,
existing [2] - 2:10,	Habitat [1] - 3:5		L	1:19, 1:20
19:22	hail [2] - 19:16	I		MEMBERS [1] - 1:16
expeditious [1] -	hand [1] - 21:17		land [2] - 5:18, 13:14	method [1] - 8:17
8:21	handled [1] - 17:22		Landmark [1] - 15:10	might [6] - 5:4,
explore [1] - 16:18	hang [1] - 9:16	ILLINOIS [2] - 1:1,	landmark [1] - 16:16	11:22, 13:21, 16:20,
	happy [3] - 2:22,	21:1	landmarked [2] - 5:7,	16:21, 17:14
F	4:19, 4:21	Illinois [6] - 1:13,	18:10	mind [2] - 5:15,
	hear [1] - 9:13	15:10, 16:1, 16:9,		19:19
			Landmarks [2] -	
foot (4) 4:14	heard [1] - 9:6	16:11, 21:5	Landmarks [2] - 16:10, 17:12	misspeak [1] - 15:11
fact [1] - 4:14	Hearing [1] - 1:10	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11		misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17
falling [1] - 13:3	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16,	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13	16:10, 17:12	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10,	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 included [1] - 8:22	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13 hereby [1] - 21:5	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 included [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5,	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13 hereby [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:8	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 included [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13 hereby [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:8 hereto [1] - 21:10	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 included [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] -	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 less [1] - 17:6	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 19:4	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13 hereby [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 included [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 less [1] - 17:6 lessen [1] - 10:13	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 19:4 fine [1] - 11:11	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:18 hereto [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 include [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19 indicative [1] - 19:15	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 less [1] - 17:6 lessen [1] - 10:13 light [1] - 8:5	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16, 9:1, 9:9,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 19:4 fine [1] - 11:11 First [1] - 12:9	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:8 hereto [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:11,	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 include [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19 indicative [1] - 19:15 information [1] -	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 less [1] - 17:6 lessen [1] - 10:13 light [1] - 8:5 line [3] - 12:19, 18:5,	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16, 9:1, 9:9, 9:11, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 19:4 fine [1] - 11:11 First [1] - 12:9 first [1] - 3:15	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13 hereby [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:11, 1:12, 13:21	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 included [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19 indicative [1] - 19:15 information [1] - 3:22	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 less [1] - 17:6 lessen [1] - 10:13 light [1] - 8:5 line [3] - 12:19, 18:5, 18:6	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16, 9:1, 9:9, 9:11, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21, 10:9, 10:15, 11:5,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 19:4 fine [1] - 11:11 First [1] - 12:9 first [1] - 3:15 fits [1] - 12:10	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:8 hereto [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:11,	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 included [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19 indicative [1] - 19:15 information [1] - 3:22 interesting [2] - 4:8,	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 less [1] - 17:6 lessen [1] - 10:13 light [1] - 8:5 line [3] - 12:19, 18:5, 18:6 Lisa [2] - 17:11, 17:13	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16, 9:1, 9:9, 9:11, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21, 10:9, 10:15, 11:5, 11:6, 12:3, 12:13,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 19:4 fine [1] - 11:11 First [1] - 12:9 first [1] - 3:15 fits [1] - 12:10 floor [4] - 6:2, 6:3,	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13 hereby [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:11, 1:12, 13:21	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 include [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19 indicative [1] - 19:15 information [1] - 3:22 interesting [2] - 4:8, 15:9	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 less [1] - 17:6 lessen [1] - 10:13 light [1] - 8:5 line [3] - 12:19, 18:5, 18:6 Lisa [2] - 17:11, 17:13 list [1] - 4:10	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16, 9:1, 9:9, 9:11, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21, 10:9, 10:15, 11:5, 11:6, 12:3, 12:13, 12:16, 13:9, 13:13,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 19:4 fine [1] - 11:11 First [1] - 12:9 first [1] - 12:10 filoor [4] - 6:2, 6:3, 11:2, 11:21	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13 hereby [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:11, 1:12, 13:21 hired [1] - 8:19	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 include [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19 indicative [1] - 19:15 information [1] - 3:22 interesting [2] - 4:8, 15:9 Intern [1] - 2:3	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 lessen [1] - 10:13 light [1] - 8:5 line [3] - 12:19, 18:5, 18:6 Lisa [2] - 17:11, 17:13 list [1] - 4:10 listed [2] - 3:11, 3:12	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16, 9:1, 9:9, 9:11, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21, 10:9, 10:15, 11:5, 11:6, 12:3, 12:13, 12:16, 13:9, 13:13, 13:17, 14:4, 14:18,
falling $[1] - 13:3$ favor $[1] - 20:11$ feature $[1] - 15:7$ feet $[5] - 7:3, 10:10,$ 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt $[1] - 4:2$ few $[1] - 7:20$ finally $[1] - 18:20$ financing $[1] - 12:5$ findings $[1] - 12:5$ findings $[1] - 19:4$ fine $[1] - 11:11$ First $[1] - 12:9$ first $[1] - 12:9$ first $[1] - 3:15$ fits $[1] - 12:10$ floor $[4] - 6:2, 6:3,$ 11:2, 11:21 foregoing $[1] - 21:13$	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 17:21 hereby [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:11, 1:12, 13:21 hired [1] - 8:19 HISTORIC [1] - 1:3	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 included [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19 indicative [1] - 19:15 information [1] - 3:22 interesting [2] - 4:8, 15:9 Intern [1] - 2:3 intern [1] - 19:6	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 less [1] - 17:6 lessen [1] - 10:13 light [1] - 8:5 line [3] - 12:19, 18:5, 18:6 Lisa [2] - 17:11, 17:13 list [1] - 4:10 listed [2] - 3:11, 3:12 livable [2] - 6:3, 6:9	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16, 9:1, 9:9, 9:11, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21, 10:9, 10:15, 11:5, 11:6, 12:3, 12:13, 12:16, 13:9, 13:13, 13:17, 14:4, 14:18, 15:9, 15:15, 16:5,
falling [1] - 13:3 favor [1] - 20:11 feature [1] - 15:7 feet [5] - 7:3, 10:10, 11:13, 11:14, 13:11 felt [1] - 4:2 few [1] - 7:20 finally [1] - 18:20 financing [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 12:5 findings [1] - 19:4 fine [1] - 11:11 First [1] - 12:9 first [1] - 3:15 fits [1] - 12:10 floor [4] - 6:2, 6:3, 11:2, 11:21	Hearing [1] - 1:10 hearing [2] - 7:16, 12:20 hearings [1] - 20:17 help [1] - 18:17 helped [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 17:21 helps [1] - 10:13 hereby [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:5 herein [1] - 21:8 hereto [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:10 hereunto [1] - 21:17 HINSDALE [1] - 1:3 Hinsdale [3] - 1:11, 1:12, 13:21 hired [1] - 8:19 HISTORIC [1] - 1:3 Historic [2] - 1:11,	16:11, 21:5 imagine [1] - 6:11 impact [1] - 10:13 important [1] - 19:8 IN [1] - 21:16 incentive [1] - 15:19 include [1] - 19:5 include [1] - 8:22 increase [2] - 10:5, 14:14 Independent [1] - 17:19 indicative [1] - 19:15 information [1] - 3:22 interesting [2] - 4:8, 15:9 Intern [1] - 2:3	16:10, 17:12 larger [1] - 11:2 last [1] - 16:6 law [1] - 15:4 leaded [1] - 4:17 least [1] - 16:13 leave [1] - 9:19 left [1] - 4:11 lessen [1] - 10:13 light [1] - 8:5 line [3] - 12:19, 18:5, 18:6 Lisa [2] - 17:11, 17:13 list [1] - 4:10 listed [2] - 3:11, 3:12	misspeak [1] - 15:11 moment [1] - 14:17 monies [1] - 8:13 month [1] - 7:7 motion [4] - 9:7, 19:20, 20:4, 20:16 move [1] - 13:6 MR [54] - 1:17, 1:20, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4, 2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:12, 5:21, 6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16, 9:1, 9:9, 9:11, 9:15, 9:18, 9:21, 10:9, 10:15, 11:5, 11:6, 12:3, 12:13, 12:16, 13:9, 13:13, 13:17, 14:4, 14:18,

23

	1		1	1
17:10, 17:13, 17:20,	otherwise [1] - 9:1	prices [1] - 15:1	19:9	seller [2] - 3:10, 6:22
18:3, 18:8, 18:16,				
	ourselves [2] - 8:9,	PRISBY [18] - 1:20,	redo [1] - 11:17	selling [2] - 14:22,
18:19, 20:1, 20:4,	8:22	3:5, 5:6, 5:21, 9:9,	reduced [1] - 21:11	16:2
20:14	owner [6] - 2:12,	9:11, 9:15, 9:21,	relation [1] - 21:9	sells [1] - 17:4
MS [13] - 1:18, 1:19,	3:14, 4:20, 5:17,	10:15, 11:6, 12:13,	relief [2] - 16:21,	send [2] - 7:9, 7:13
3:19, 5:1, 6:7, 6:16,	10:16, 12:8	13:9, 13:17, 14:4,	18:7	set [3] - 5:8, 13:15,
17:17, 19:4, 19:11,	owners [3] - 2:14,	18:19, 20:1, 20:4,	remember [2] - 12:3,	21:17
19:18, 20:10, 20:12,	12:16, 14:12	20:14	12:20	sewer [1] - 12:19
20:13	owners ' [1] - 12:6	proactive [2] - 5:15,	remove [1] - 13:8	shame [1] - 3:21
Murco [1] - 3:4		6:22	renovate [1] - 15:5	SHANNON [1] - 1:19
	- P	problem [1] - 13:10	REPORT [1] - 1:8	shape [2] - 10:22,
Ν		PROCEEDINGS [1] -	Reporter [1] - 21:4	11:7
	-	1:8	represent [2] - 2:13,	sharing [1] - 18:13
10.0	p.m [1] - 1:14	proceedings [1] -	3:9	shoes [1] - 10:6
name [4] - 12:6,	PAGE [2] - 1:2, 21:2	20:19	representative [1] -	shorthand [2] -
17:14, 17:15, 18:3	paid [1] - 17:5	process [2] - 5:22,	2:4	21:12, 21:15
need [5] - 6:17, 8:12,	Park [1] - 2:10	7:1	representing [1] -	Shorthand [1] - 21:4
14:6, 15:19, 18:13	part [1] - 10:4	processes [1] - 8:20	2:11	side [4] - 9:20, 11:16,
needs [1] - 12:10	particular [2] - 8:8,	promotes [1] - 15:8	request [1] - 2:8	12:20, 16:20
neighbor [1] - 10:11	14:15	property [12] - 2:12,		,
neighborhood [1] -	passed [1] - 4:6	2:14, 7:8, 10:4, 10:6,	research [1] - 4:7	sides [1] - 18:9
10:14	pending [1] - 15:17	10:19, 11:14, 12:18,	researcher [1] - 3:22	sign [2] - 8:1, 13:22
never [1] - 6:4	people [3] - 15:5,		residence [1] - 5:3	signing [1] - 14:2
new [5] - 3:14, 11:4,	16:8, 16:19	12:21, 13:12, 14:1,	resident [1] - 7:11	similar [1] - 15:12
12:16, 14:20, 15:4	period [1] - 7:14	14:15	resources [1] - 6:19	simply [1] - 20:6
next [3] - 2:7, 10:12,	permit [1] - 7:15	proved [1] - 4:2	respect [1] - 6:6	single [1] - 15:7
13:15	perpetuity [1] - 18:9	Public [2] - 1:10,	responsibility [1] -	situation [1] - 3:4
nice [3] - 11:20,		21:4	9:22	size [2] - 10:5, 14:14
13:20, 19:14	person [3] - 7:2,	public [2] - 7:16,	Robbins [1] - 2:10	slow [1] - 5:22
non [1] - 5:7	13:19, 17:21	20:16	running [1] - 12:19	smaller [1] - 13:14
non-landmarked [1]	pertaining [1] -	purchasing [1] - 7:2	runs [2] - 12:21, 13:2	smallest [1] - 10:17
- 5:7	21:10	pursue [1] - 4:5		society [4] - 4:15,
	philosophical [1] -	put [3] - 5:3, 15:20,	S	5:1, 5:9, 8:11
nonetheless [1] - 6:9	10:21	19:7		sold [1] - 5:16
north [1] - 13:3	photos [5] - 4:16,	putting [1] - 14:5		solution [1] - 14:16
notarial [1] - 21:17	4:21, 5:2, 6:10, 6:12		sale [1] - 3:12	someone [2] - 11:3,
Notary [1] - 21:4	phrase [1] - 20:3	Q	SANDRA [1] - 1:18	11:16
notes [1] - 21:15	picking [1] - 11:13	-	sandy [1] - 3:17	somewhere [2] -
nothing [1] - 18:15	pictures [1] - 8:11		save [2] - 8:12, 9:11	10:10, 10:11
notification [1] -	piece [1] - 13:14	questions [1] - 3:1	saved [1] - 4:12	south [4] - 10:5,
7:10	Place [2] - 1:6, 2:8	quite [2] - 7:19, 7:20	saving [1] - 20:7	10:22, 12:19, 13:1
notified [1] - 7:17	Planner [1] - 2:2		saw [3] - 3:14, 3:15,	
number [1] - 11:13	plans [1] - 13:8	R	6:2	southeast [1] - 13:21
	pleasant [1] - 16:12		SCHULTZ [33] - 2:4,	special [1] - 12:8
0	point [3] - 6:9, 6:19,	D Am. 10:10 10:00	2:11, 2:15, 2:18, 2:20,	ss [2] - 1:1, 21:1
	9:22	R-4 [2] - 10:16, 13:22	2:22, 3:8, 4:19, 5:12,	stained [1] - 4:16
	porch [1] - 4:5	rate [1] - 15:5	6:1, 6:11, 6:21, 8:16,	stands [1] - 13:16
obstacle [1] - 8:15	portion [1] - 12:5	ratio [2] - 11:3, 11:22	9:1, 9:18, 10:9, 11:5,	start [1] - 3:3
OF [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:3,	post [1] - 8:1	real [3] - 7:21, 15:4,	12:3, 12:16, 13:13,	STATE [2] - 1:1, 21:1
1:8, 21:1, 21:2	precedent [1] - 5:8	16:12	14:18, 15:9, 15:15,	State [1] - 21:5
offer [1] - 6:18	•	realize [1] - 16:22	16:5, 16:12, 17:1,	step [1] - 16:7
offered [1] - 20:20	PRESENT [2] - 1:16,	really [10] - 3:14, 5:6,	17:8, 17:10, 17:13,	still [2] - 4:9, 5:4
office [2] - 8:1, 18:4	2:1	6:4, 9:12, 9:21, 10:14,		Stockmal [1] - 19:7
old [2] - 11:20, 12:11	preservation [3] -	11:10, 15:19, 18:11,	17:20, 18:3, 18:8,	STOCKMAL [1] - 2:3
once [4] - 5:18, 7:15,	15:8, 16:22, 18:17	18:15	18:16	stop [2] - 5:7, 5:19
8:2, 15:18	PRESERVATION [1]	realtor [2] - 2:18,	Schultz [1] - 2:11	stories [1] - 12:20
one [7] - 4:11, 7:16,	- 1:3	2:19	seal [1] - 21:18	streamline [1] - 8:3
7:22, 9:9, 16:17,	Preservation [1] -	received [1] - 20:20	second [2] - 20:8,	street [1] - 11:1
17:22, 18:10	1:11	recommendations	20:10	Street [1] - 12:9
,			ana (5) 4:12 4:20	JUEELILI- 12 S
Orchard 131 - 1.6	pretty [1] - 11:5	[1] - 19:5	see [5] - 4:13, 4:20,	
Orchard [3] - 1:6, 2:8, 14:21	pretty [1] - 11:5 previous [4] - 4:20,	[1] - 19:5 record [2] - 4:15.	5:8, 6:13, 13:11	stuff [1] - 16:18
Orchard [3] - 1:6, 2:8, 14:21		[1] - 19:5 record [2] - 4:15,		

10:17, 14:11 suddenly [1] - 11:20	up [6] - 4:7, 9:19, 10:10, 12:14, 13:22,
Suddeniy [1] - 11.20 Sue [1] - 17:14	16:7
summary [1] - 19:8	
susan [1] - 17:16	V
sworn [1] - 21:8	
	value [2] - 7:8, 15:20
T	various [1] - 21:7
	viable [2] - 11:10,
tax [6] - 15:4, 15:5,	11:22
15:6, 15:16, 16:20,	village [1] - 8:19
18:6	VILLAGE [1] - 1:3
tear [1] - 11:4	Village [1] - 2:2
teardown [2] - 11:10, 12:1	w
ten [1] - 16:5	VV
term [3] - 10:1,	
10:21, 14:14	waiting [1] - 7:14
terrific [2] - 5:11,	wants [4] - 5:18,
10:22	5:20, 11:16, 14:20
testify [1] - 21:9	warrant [1] - 14:3 website [2] - 6:18
testimony [3] - 1:8,	weeks [2] - 7:12,
21:7, 21:10	16:6
TESTIMONY [1] - 21:16	WEINBERGER [3] -
THE [1] - 1:3	1:19, 20:10, 20:13
thereafter [1] - 21:12	WHEREOF [1] -
thinking [1] - 10:1	21:16
today [2] - 12:1,	WHICH [1] - 20:18
12:15	whole [1] - 10:14
together [1] - 19:7	wife [1] - 7:20 WILLIAMS [10] -
tour [1] - 3:13	1:18, 3:19, 5:1, 6:7,
transcribed [1] -	6:16, 17:17, 19:4,
04.40	
21:12	19:11, 19:18, 20:12
transcript [1] - 21:14	19:11, 19:18, 20:12 windows [3] - 4:17,
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19	
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8,
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6,	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6,	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] -	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] -	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18,
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18, 18:20, 18:21
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18,
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13 U ultimate [2] - 7:8,	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18, 18:20, 18:21 YU [1] - 2:2
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13 U ultimate [2] - 7:8, 13:5 unbelievable [1] - 14:22	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18, 18:20, 18:21
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13 U ultimate [2] - 7:8, 13:5 unbelievable [1] - 14:22 unclear [1] - 15:17	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18, 18:20, 18:21 YU [1] - 2:2 Z
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13 U ultimate [2] - 7:8, 13:5 unbelievable [1] - 14:22 unclear [1] - 15:17 unfortunate [1] -	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18, 18:20, 18:21 YU [1] - 2:2 Z zoning [3] - 10:3,
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13 U ultimate [2] - 7:8, 13:5 unbelievable [1] - 14:22 unclear [1] - 15:17 unfortunate [1] - 6:20	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18, 18:20, 18:21 YU [1] - 2:2 Z
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13 U ultimate [2] - 7:8, 13:5 unbelievable [1] - 14:22 unclear [1] - 15:17 unfortunate [1] -	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18, 18:20, 18:21 YU [1] - 2:2 Z zoning [3] - 10:3,
transcript [1] - 21:14 tree [1] - 11:19 true [1] - 21:14 truth [1] - 21:9 try [3] - 5:17, 8:20, 16:14 trying [2] - 4:5, 16:6 turnaround [1] - 13:5 two [3] - 7:12, 16:6, 18:9 typewritten [1] - 21:13 U ultimate [2] - 7:8, 13:5 unbelievable [1] - 14:22 unclear [1] - 15:17 unfortunate [1] - 6:20 unfortunately [1] -	windows [3] - 4:17, 6:12 witnesses [2] - 21:8, 21:11 woman [1] - 16:13 wonderful [1] - 6:10 worth [3] - 17:6, 20:6 Wright [2] - 4:1, 4:4 writing [1] - 21:11 Y years [6] - 12:7, 12:14, 12:22, 13:18, 18:20, 18:21 YU [1] - 2:2 Z zoning [3] - 10:3,

25

The following is a transcription of Oskar Hansen's speech at the Memorial Building dedication, November 11, 1928.

THE VICTORY OF HINSDALE By the Sculptor Oskar J. W. Hansen

Man has always sought to express and preserve the magnitude of his exploits in symbols. The written words are symbols arranged so as to preserve in objectified form the thought of man and to record his variant states, both mental and physical. All other arts are similar as to their symbolic significance. They take their place among the category of human endeavor simply as the interpreter of life to itself. They serve as an outer object typifying the inner process. They form the connecting link between the spiritual and the material world. They are the shadows cast by the realities of the soul.

The war was but an outward expression of the inner conflict of a struggling humanity. It was a sudden conflict by which the constructive and spiritual forces of the world advanced upon the path of their destiny. Truth is not always a builder; it must sometimes be the destroyer. It must rectify, it must purify, it must always preserve for itself the bedrock of its own eternal premises. Only in this way may the structure of humanity reach upward; a noble structure representing the truly abiding nature of man. Out of these conflicts heroes are born. We call them heroes because they represent to us a symbol of what we all wish to be. Upon the eternal battlefield of Truth they form the vanguard. With them new epochs are formed upon the path of progress. They solidify and give body to those thoughts which decide the destinies of nations.

It is then inevitable that any thinking community should wish to perpetuate the memory of such men as an inspiration to posterity. From time immemorial the symbol best suited to such a purpose has been sculpture. From all the distant places on the earth, from the Babylonian collossae carved on the sheer walls of the naked desert rocks, from the Palladian Athena and the flying Victory of Samothrace, from the conquering Augustii elevated from the emperors seat to the allegorical position of gods, from Saint Louis in his tomb with the superscription of battlements of Acre, from the great mailed knights of the gothic cathedrals, and Liberty holding aloft her torch at the gateways of a new world; from all these symbols in sculpture we read the story of man's aspirations. This then the reason why the form of Hinsdale's sacred symbol to its war dead should be in sculpture, and the temper and nature of the subject to be comemorated appropriately dictated that it should be named a Victory.

With the thought of the great heroic traditions of our race there came the visualization of what this Victory should look like. There came the thought of not only the momentary and present conquest which the giving of these lives in war might typify. There came the vision of the great caravan of heroes marching down the unending pathway of the years and into which august company also our generation has been so gloriously represented through those who gave their lives for our sake. There came the picture of the pillars of the temple torn down, and out of the ruin the spiritual Victory arising, always present in calm majesty celebrating the eternal conquest of the spirit, maintaining itself above the sordid fallacy of man. Hence the solid outline, the figure mounting upward, the wish to represent the ultimate reserve and chastity. There are three elements or ideas represented in this Victory. They are coexistent in the whole composition or idea and carried out consistently in every gesture and detail of the symbolism. To me they are of the essence of the idea of Victory and they may be expressed in words as Justice, Mercy, and Beauty.

I had in mind Justice when I cut into this stone. In the sight of the Divine Consciousness, humanity exists as a whole. To Him there is no adversary nor friends. To Him both our animosities and our so-called justice must seem but weak and faulty things. Only one thing remains to us intact; our humanity. These boys fought in war and took life. They were animated by the emotion of love for country and kindred but they did not slay their souls for this sake. There was in America's effort in the war a consciousness of it as a sort of surgical operation upon the livid tissues of the social body. There was no hate for any part of humanity as such. There was only a burning desire to make possible a healthy issue into a new Liberty. So the Victory guards the sword of Justice. It rather guards than clasps this sword; for Justice watches with dispassionate calm. It is always ready but never strikes except when necessary. Its sword is like a living oame [SIC], three-edged; representing the full power of The Trinity.

Then I had in mind Mercy. There came to me the picture of a lad who crawled out into no-mansland and brought in a German lad, wounded, from the wire. The German was mortally wounded and when he opened his eyes and saw the American uniforms he cried and moaned: "Will you kill me now? Will you kill me now?" And then the lad who had brought him in put his arms under his head and reassured him: "You are in an American trench now. For you the war is over." Wherever there is true strength there is also mercy. So I pictured Victory with the wings folded, embracing both friends and foe.

Besides this, I had a wish to create beauty. There is Justice needed for the governance of the world, but beauty is needed for its very existence. There is a golden thread of beauty running through every gesture of that great conflict. The beauty of mounting above the sordid consideration of self into the conscious effort for a better humanity.

The guard of the sword is in the shape of a cross. That is a symbol carried down to us from the Crusades. To me the Great War was the greatest crusade of all times. The hilt is fashioned reeded, symbolic of the rods by which the heads of ancient states made known their power to chastise. It is surmounted by the helmet of a Greek Hoplite, (infantry man) reminiscent of the great Phalanx which defeated the Persians at Marathon.

Upon the guard and the central shield there is the coat of arms of the United States of America. Associated with this is the very birth of our freedom and all the heroic incidents belonging thereto. In this all of us have a definite part. The dead for us; and we to realize for them humanity's great dream. So I have graven upon the guard the motto of our country, symbolic of the very essence of democracy: "E Pluribus Unum." Out of Many One.