Approved DRAFT

MINUTES VILLAGE OF HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

March 11, 2014

Memorial Hall – Memorial Building, 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale 5:00 P.M.

Chairman Peterson called the meeting of the Historic Preservation Commission to order at 5:00 p.m. on March 11, 2014 in Memorial Hall in the Memorial Building, 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale IL.

Present:

Chairman Peterson, Commissioner Bohnen, Commissioner Hutter,

Commissioner Harloe-Mowery and Commissioner Gonzalez

Absent:

None

Also Present:

Village Planner, Sean Gascoigne

Public Hearing

HPC-02-2013 – 319 N. Washington Street – Barrow Residence – Withdrawal of Local Landmarking (Transcript of the following Public Hearing on file). Chairman Peterson summarized the request and explained why the Board had remanded it back to them for their reconsideration.

Beth Barrow's introduce herself, provided a brief timeline of events and summarized the results of each meeting up until this point. She then provided additional information that she had acquired since last appearing before the Commission.

Chairman Peterson thanked the applicant and general discussion ensued regarding the request.

Commissioner Bohnen offered his thoughts regarding the request, indicating that he did not intend to change his vote, but suggested that he would be willing to work with the Commission and the Village Attorney to draft text amendment language that could hopefully establish a balance between the intended purpose of landmarking a home, while at the same time not discouraging residents from going through the process. He appreciated the sensitivity of the balance and noted that they should be able to find a solution.

Chairman Peterson entertained a motion. Commission Harloe motioned to approve the withdrawal of the local landmarking at 319 N. Washington Street. Commissioner Gonzalez seconded. The motion passed with the following vote: Ayes: Chairman Peterson, Commissioner Hutter and Commissioner Harloe. Nayes: Commissioner Bohnen and Commissioner Gonzalez.

Minutes

Chairman Peterson introduced the minutes from February 11, 2014. Commissioner Harloe made a motion to approve the February 11, 2014 minutes. Commissioner Gonzalez seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Discussion

Chairman Peterson continued with the agenda items and quickly summarized the various bullet points for discussion, including the mapping project and how he saw that moving forward.

General discussion ensued regarding the mapping project and the Commission suggested solutions on how to determine who published the original map and how best to illustrate the new one.

Chairman Peterson recognized the ideas and indicated that they would continue to look into this. He then opened conversation on Preservation Month and asked the Commission to touch on any progress they had made since last month.

Commissioner Gonzalez summarized his progress on the coloring contest and then general discussion ensued regarding the formatting and media types for the schools on the other contests.

Commissioner Harloe offered her thoughts on the preservation judging and indicated that she had received comments last year that nominated homes were not informed of their nomination, making things somewhat difficult.

Mr. Gascoigne indicated that letters are sent to every address that is nominated, informing them of the nomination. He then indicated that because many times the person nominating is not the homeowner, they do not have other sources of contact information to get in touch with them so unfortunately, mailing is still the only option in this situation.

General discussion ensued regarding the different contests and the Commission suggested expanding the distribution of the coloring contest to other kid's stores within the downtown.

Mr. Gascoigne indicated that he would be happy to look into it and see if it was possible.

Chairman Peterson summarized the Commission's efforts in trying to recruit two additional Commissioners and then asked if there were any additional comments.

Commissioner Harloe offered some final thoughts regarding the application for the withdrawal of the landmarking at 319 N. Washington.

General discussion ensued regarding the request, as well as the Commission's desire to pursue the discussion of the text amendment on their future agendas. The Commission agreed that they wanted to make the process friendly, but at the same time not so easy to withdraw from, indicating that it should serve its intent, without discouraging individuals

Historic Preservation Commission March 11, 2014

from pursuing it. They agreed that they would have to work through the language, but were confident they could find a balance.

Adjournment

Commissioner Harloe moved to adjourn. Commissioner Gonzalez seconded and the meeting adjourned at 5:55 p.m. on February 11, 2014.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sean Gascoigne Village Planner

HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

RE: 319 N. Washington Street (Ed and Beth Barrow)

Request for Withdrawal of Designation as Landmark Building -

Case: HPC-02-2013

DATE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION REVIEW: November 12, 2013, January 14, 2014 and March 11, 2014

DATE OF ZONING AND PUBLIC SAFETY REVIEW: January 27, 2014

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

I. FINDINGS

- 1. Ed and Beth Barrow (the "Applicants") submitted an application under Section 14-4-1 of the Village Code of Hinsdale (the "Village Code") to the Village of Hinsdale ("Village") to withdraw the landmark designation for the structure located at 319 N. Washington Street (the "Subject Building"). The Applicants are the owner of record of the Subject Building.
- 2. The original portion of the Subject Building was constructed in 1870, with an addition made in the late 1890's or early 20th Century, and another made in 1993. The original building was moved to the current site in the late 1890's.
- 3. The Subject Building was the first landmarked single-family structure in the Village of Hinsdale in 2001. At the time, the Applicants believed that landmarking of the Subject Building would serve as a catalyst to landmarking and preservation of other structures in the immediate neighborhood.
- 4. A landmark designation may be withdrawn, so long as one of the conditions set forth in Section 14-4-1 of the Village Code are satisfied. The Applicants contend that the following condition has been satisfied: "A. The structure, building, site, or area has ceased to meet the criteria for designation because the qualities which caused it to be originally designated have been lost or destroyed, or such qualities were lost subsequent to nomination, but before designation."
- 5. The Applicants made a presentation to the Historic Preservation Commission on November 12, 2013 and on January 14, 2014, stating that their landmarking designation had not served as a catalyst as they had hoped and intended. Instead they felt the historical integrity of the neighborhood had been compromised by several tear-downs and substantial additions over the years and as such, the area as a whole has ceased to meet the criteria necessary to justify the landmark status for the Subject Building.
- 6. The Applicants further contend that the changes in the neighborhood over the years, including tear-downs and additions, have caused the landmark designation of the Subject Building to change from a positive to a negative, as the designation and restrictions it carries

- now adversely impact the marketability and value of their home relative to other homes in the neighborhood.
- 7. While the Applicants have no immediate plans to sell, tear down, or otherwise change the exterior appearance of the Subject Building, they contend that landmark status is no longer appropriate, and request that the designation be withdrawn.
- 8. While certain Commissioners at the January 14th Historic Preservation meeting felt that the Applicants had satisfied the standards necessary to withdraw the landmark status, other Commissioners felt that because the applicant had no intention of selling or tearing down the home, and they had not consulted a realtor to establish the financial impact of the landmark status on the home, they were not convinced that the standards had been met. The vote on recommending the withdrawal was two (2) in favor and two (2) opposed.
- 9. The Applicants made a presentation to the Board of Trustees on February 18, 2014 requesting the landmark status be withdrawn. After deliberations and discussions, the Board indicated that the 2-2 vote sent forward from the Historic Preservation Commission did not constitute a recommendation as required by the Preservation Ordinance and as such, remanded the case back to the Historic Preservation Commission to obtain an official recommendation.
- 10. On March 11, 2014, the Applicants provided a timeline of events, summarized the request and reiterated their position as to why they felt they should be permitted to remove the landmark status.
- 11. The Historic Preservation Commission generally finds that, based on the Application and the evidence presented at the November 12, 2013, January 14th, 2014 and March 11, 2014 meetings, the Applicants had satisfied the standards in Sections 14-4-1 of the Zoning Code applicable to withdrawal of the designation of the landmark status. Specifically, the Historic Preservation Commission finds that the Applicants have established that the "structure, building, site or area has ceased to meet the criteria for designation, because the qualities which caused it to be originally designated have been lost or destroyed." Among the evidence relied upon by the Historic Preservation Commission were the fact that the Applicants are the same individuals who originally requested the landmark designation, testimony given by the Applicants, as well as several documents submitted and considered for the January 14th, 2014, Historic Preservation Commission meeting, copies of which are attached hereto as **Group Exhibit A**.

II. RECOMMENDATION

The Village of Hinsdale Historic Preservation Commission, on a vote of three (3) "Ayes," and two (2) "Nays" following a motion to recommend approval of the Applicant's request to withdraw the landmark designation on the Subject Building located at 319 N. Washington, recommends the approval of the request to the President and Board of Trustees.

HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

By:	·	
• –	Chairperson	
Dated	this 8 th day of April 2014	

The HPC is proposing a text amendment outlined below.

The intent is to provide further clarity that a Home Owner of a Landmarked Historic Home can apply for the Certificate of Appropriateness based if the economically viable use of the land exceeds the value of the Home and the Home Owner does not have the financial means to continue to maintain the Home. This would allow the home to be demolished.

Chapter 5

Certificate of Appropriateness

14-5-2 (current ordinance)

A. General Standards:

2. The distinguishing original qualities or character of a structure, building, or site and its environment should not be destroyed. No alteration or demolition of any historic material or distinctive architectural feature should be permitted except when necessary to assure an economically viable use of a site.

14-5-2 (Proposed Text Amendment)

A. General Standards:

2. The distinguishing original qualities or character of a structure, building, or site and its environment should not be destroyed. **No alteration, demolition, or demolition** of any historic material or distinctive architectural feature should be permitted except when necessary to assure an economically viable use of a site.

HPC – Preservation Month 2014 Draft Prize List Revised May 2, 2014

Coloring Contest – 4-5 year olds

1st prize

5 lessons at Deep Creek Art

2nd prize

3 lessons at Deep Creek Art

Coloring Contest – 6-8 year olds

1st prize

5 lessons at Deep Creek Art

2nd prize

3 lessons at Deep Creek Art

Coloring Contest – 9-10 year olds

1st prize

5 lessons at Deep Creek Art

2nd prize

3 lessons at Deep Creek Art

Architectural Elements Mixed Media (Middle Schools)

1st prize

Workshop with local Photographer

2nd prize

Workshop with Photographer

3rd prize

Workshop with Photographer

Black and White Medium (Hinsdale Central High School)

1st prize

Starbucks and or Hinsdale gift card

2nd prize

Starbucks and or Hinsdale gift card

3rd prize

Starbucks and or Hinsdale gift card

STATE	OF	ILL	INOIS)	
)	ss:
COUNTY	OF	^r DU	PAGE)	

BEFORE THE HINSDALE HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)
)
319 N. Washington Stree	し)
CASE NO. HPC-02-2013.)

CONTINUED REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had and testimony taken at the hearing of the above-entitled proceedings before KATHLEEN W. BONO, of the Hinsdale Historic Preservation Commission, at 19 East Chicago Avenue, Hinsdale, Illinois, on the 11th day of March, A.D. 2014, at the hour of 5:00 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MR. SCOTT PETERSON, Chairman;

MR. JOHN BOHNEN, Member;

MR. CHRISTOPHER HUTTER, Member;

MR. FRANK GONZALEZ, Member;

MS. STACEY HARLOE-MOWERY, Member.

or demolished, we do have one home with that 1 We are caught in a sea-change in 1 Hinsdale where the majority of homes are now new designation which has also received a requested 2 2 millennials. The most recent report of the 3 3 easement which would prevent the teardown of Comisky house on 8th Street being torn down that home. I hope that this trend continues although with an average of almost 80 to 100 after a year on the market sold for over \$2 5 5 million included a quote from Mr. Bohnen that he homes lost per year over a 30-year span, we have 6 wasn't surprised since so many modifications lost many of our ranch houses and bungalows that 7 7 would have had to be done in order to live in are now over 50 years old. 8 the house. I acquired my interest in 9 Concern for the heterogenous preservation having grown up on the east coast 10 05:10:10PM 10 housing in our village was expressed as far back 11 where this year the church in the town of 11 as 1989 by the Historical Society when they Pennsylvania that was founded by my father's 12 12 family just celebrated their 250th anniversary. 13 proposed a historic district as part of a study 13 and adoption of our new zoning ordinance. It I hope that if we come back to Hinsdale in 100 14 14 was not included in that ordinance. By 1997, 15 years, our ancestors would find similar 15 12 percent of our housing stock of 4,600 homes 16 celebrations. 16 Do you have any questions? 17 was demolished. Two boards subsequently studied 17 CHAIRMAN PETERSON: Any questions? 18 our zoning ordinance and by the time I left the 18 (No response.) Thank you. board of trustees in 2003, 30 percent of the 19 19 05:10:46PM 20 Any comments from the homes had been demolished. During that time 20 while I was on the board in 1998, we had so much 21 commissioners? 21 activity that our village was in feature 22 MR. BOHNEN: I would like to comment. 51 53 articles on ABC and CBS national news and 1 First of all, by way of background, 1 magazines such as Smart Money and Newsweek as 2 I was part of a crowd that spent many, many 2 years and a lot of hard work resurrecting good well as the local Tribune articles. The 3 3 Landmarks Preservation Council of Illinois named government here in Hinsdale. We had suffered through a couple of administrations of heavy-Hinsdale on their yearly list of ten most handed administration that frankly paid little endangered sites. We engaged in many forums 6 with other communities and ultimately followed 7 attention to our rules and our codes and it was 7 the lead of villages on the north shore to our opinion, still is my opinion, that it is 8 establish a preservation commission and after a very bad policy to wink at a building code, 05:11:48PM 10 okay? complete survey of our existing stock of houses 10 was completed we established a landmark 11 I would draw the comparison what's 11 designation procedure. Since then three 12 going on out of Washington, D.C., right now. We 12 have a chief executive that is making his own trustees and one preservation commissioner, two 13 13 policy deferring to his attorney general and of the trustees were also on the commission, 14 14 15 writing their interpretations of documents like 15 have landmarked their homes. I have not the Constitution of the United States. I find recently seen a groundswell of interest in 16 16 preservation of homes and applaud you for 17 this troubling, it shouldn't be condoned and I 17 18 will only tell you that after what we finding three homes that are requesting such 18 experienced here in Hinsdale, there's so much 19 designation and availability of tax freezes. We 19 comfort to be had by simply following the rules have also received the designation of our 05:12:20PM 20 20 21 because when you make an exception for downtown National Historic District. While this 21 something, you then are going to have the line does not prevent a building from being altered 22

54

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)

) ss:

2 COUNTY OF DU PAGE) 3 I, KATHLEEN W. BONO, Certified Shorthand Reporter, Notary Public in and for the 5 County DuPage, State of Illinois, do hereby 6 certify that previous to the commencement of the 7 examination and testimony of the various 8 witnesses herein, they were duly sworn by me to 9 testify the truth in relation to the matters 10 pertaining hereto; that the testimony given by said witnesses was reduced to writing by means 11 12 of shorthand and thereafter transcribed into typewritten form; and that the foregoing is a 13 14 true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken aforesaid. 15 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF I have 16 hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial 17 18 seal this 17th day of March, A.D. 2014. 19 20 KATHLEEN W. BONO, C.S.R. No. 84-1423, 21 Notary Public, DuPage County 22 237 South Wisconsin Avenue, Addison, IL 60101-3837

1	5:00 [1] - 45:14	applicant [1] - 46:18	56:18	58:14
•		approach [1] - 55:7	CBS [1] - 51:1	completed [2] -
	6	approval [1] - 49:19	celebrated [1] -	51:11, 55:2
10 [1] - 49:14		article [2] - 48:21,	52:13	concern [1] - 50:10
100 [2] - 52:5, 52:14		49:6	celebrations [1] -	concerned [1] - 54:7
11 [1] - 49:19	6 [1] - 47:20	1		
11th [1] - 45:13	60101-3837 [1] -	articles [2] - 51:1,	52:16	concerns [2] - 48:13,
12 [2] - 47:13, 50:16	58:22	51:3	Certified [1] - 58:3	49:8
127 [1] - 49:2		assuming [1] - 48:3	certify [1] - 58:6	conclusion [1] -
	7	attention [1] - 53:7	Chairman [1] - 45:17	48:10
14 [1] - 48:6		attorney [3] - 47:22,	CHAIRMAN [5] -	conditions [1] - 48:1
14-4-1 [4] - 48:1,		53:14, 55:20	46:7, 46:12, 52:18,	condoned [1] - 53:17
48:5, 54:4, 56:18	7 [1] - 49:13	availability [1] -	57:1, 57:8	confusion [1] - 47:17
17th [1] - 58:18		51:19	change [1] - 50:1	Constitution [1] -
19 [1] - 45:12	8	Avenue [2] - 45:12,	changes [1] - 47:6	53:16
1989 [1] - 50:12		58:22	Chicago [1] - 45:12	construction [1] -
1995 [1] - 47:8		average [1] - 52:5	chief [1] - 53:13	49:7
1997 [1] - 50:15	80 [1] - 52:5		CHRISTOPHER [1] -	continuation [1] -
1998 [1] - 50:21	84-1423 [1] - 58:21	В	45:19	48:6
	8th [1] - 50:4		- church [1] - 52:11	continue [2] - 47:18,
2			circumstances [1] -	54:22
	A	background [1] -	54:21	CONTINUED [1] -
	A	53:1		
2 [3] - 49:18, 49:19,		bad [1] - 53:9	clear [2] - 46:16,	45:8
50:5	A.D [2] - 45:13, 58:18	BARROW [3] - 46:3,	57:3	continues [1] - 52:4
2-2 [1] - 46:14	ABC [1] - 51:1	46:4, 46:20	close [1] - 57:11	contradict [1] -
20 [1] - 48:16	able [1] - 48:15	Barrow [4] - 46:10,	coast[1] - 52:10	49:10
2003 [1] - 50:19	acquired [1] - 52:9		code [5] - 47:15,	convince [1] - 55:13
		46:13, 47:3, 54:4	53:9, 54:4, 55:9,	copy [1] - 47:15
2005 [1] - 49:1	active [1] - 49:5	based [1] - 57:1	55:11	corner [1] - 54:1
2013 [4] - 47:4,	activity [1] - 50:22	become [1] - 48:17	codes [1] - 53:7	correct[1] - 58:14
47:13, 49:3, 49:13	Addison [1] - 58:22	BEFORE [1] - 45:3	comfort [1] - 53:20	Council [1] - 51:4
2014 [7] - 45:13,	address [1] - 56:15	BETH [1] - 46:3	Comisky [1] - 50:4	COUNTY [2] - 45:2,
47:20, 48:6, 48:21,	adjudication [1] -	beth [1] - 47:2	commencement [1]	58:2
49:17, 49:20, 58:18	56:7	block [3] - 47:6,	- 58:6	County [2] - 58:5,
23 [1] - 48:20	administration [1] -	47:12, 48:16	comment [1] - 52:22	58:21
237 [1] - 58:22	53:6	Board [1] - 56:5	comments [2] -	couple [1] - 53:5
250th [1] - 52:13	administrations [1] -	BOARD [1] - 45:16	• •	• • •
27 [3] - 49:4, 49:13,	53:5	board [3] - 50:19,	52:20, 57:9	criteria [2] - 56:17,
49:17	adoption [1] - 50:14	50:21, 56:12	COMMISSION [1] -	57:6
	advantage [1] - 54:2	boards [1] - 50:17	45:3	crowd [1] - 53:2
3	adverse [1] - 54:21	BOHNEN [3] - 45:18,	commission [9] -	
<u> </u>	advised [1] - 48:4	1	47:14, 49:11, 49:20,	D
	1	52:22, 57:5	51:9, 51:14, 55:13,	
30 [1] - 50:19	advisory [2] - 56:2,	bohnen [1] - 50:6	56:1, 56:2, 56:10	
30-year[1] - 52:6	56:8	Bohnen's [2] - 48:9,	Commission [3] -	D.C [1] - 53:12
314 [1] - 47:8	affect [1] - 48:12	49:12	45:11, 48:8, 56:8	date [1] - 47:19
319 [3] - 45:5, 46:14,	affixed [1] - 58:17	BONO [3] - 45:10,	commission's [1] -	dealing [1] - 48:18
• •	aforesaid [1] - 58:15	58:3, 58:20	47:17	death [1] - 48:15
47:3 • 2 :1	allow [1] - 55:11	building [4] - 48:22,	commissioner [2] -	deconstructed [1] -
3rd [1] - 47:6	almost [1] - 52:5	49:5, 51:22, 53:9	48:22, 51:13	47:9
	ALSO [1] - 46:1	bungalows [1] - 52:7		deemed [1] - 56:21
4	altered [1] - 51:22	busy [1] - 49:7	commissioners [3] -	deferring [1] - 53:14
	amendment [3] -	buyers [1] - 49:8	47:16, 48:8, 52:21	deliberations [1] -
4.000 50:40	55:10, 56:19, 57:2	Dayora (1) - 40.0	commissions [1] -	
4,600 [1] - 50:16	l ·		56:5	56:10
48 [1] - 49:3	ancestors [1] - 52:15	С	commitment [1] -	delve [1] - 56:3
	anniversary [1] -		56:13	demolished [5] -
5	52:13	C.S.R [1] - 58:21	communities [1] -	47:8, 50:17, 50:20,
	anticipates [1] - 49:5	1	51:7	52:1, 55:12
<u>.</u>	applaud [1] - 51:17	CASE [1] - 45:6	community [1] - 49:1	demolitions [1] -
5 [1] - 49:15	applicable [1] - 48:5	category [1] - 49:16	comparison [1] -	49:3
50 [1] - 52:8	Applicant [2] - 46:3,	caught [1] - 50:1	53:11	dependent [1] -
		caveat [2] - 55:18,	JU. 11	
52 [1] - 49:2	46:4	Caveat [2] - 55. 10,	complete [2] - 51:10,	56:12

			·	
described [1] - 55:21	53:19	handcuffed [3] -	45:6	54:15, 54:19
designation [6] -	expressed [1] -	54:11, 54:16, 54:18	HUTTER [1] - 45:19	Landmarks [1] - 51:4
48:2, 48:12, 51:12,	50:11	handed [1] - 53:6		language [2] - 47:18
51:19, 51:20, 52:2	extremely [1] - 56:3	hard [1] - 53:3	1	55:19
desire [1] - 49:9		hardship [4] - 55:5,		larger [1] - 49:9
development [1] -	F	55:14, 57:4, 57:5		lead [1] - 51:8
49:1		HARLOE [1] - 45:21	ideas [1] - 54:20	leaves [1] - 47:10
diminish [1] - 56:9		HARLOE-MOWERY	IL [1] - 58:22	left [1] - 50:18
direction [2] - 46:16	faced [1] - 55:14	[1] - 45:21	. ILLINOIS [2] - 45:1,	legally [1] - 55:8
director [1] - 48:22	family [1] - 52:13	hearing [5] - 45:9,	58:1	line [1] - 53:22
discussion [1] - 48:9	far [2] - 46:16, 50:11	46:8, 47:19, 48:7,	Illinois [3] - 45:12,	1 77
dispose [1] - 54:11	fashion [2] - 55:21,	57:12	51:4, 58:5	list [1] - 51:5
	56:21		immediately [1] -	live [2] - 48:15, 50:8
disposing [2] -	father's [1] - 52:12	heavy [1] - 53:5	56:19	lives [1] - 54:10
48:14, 48:17	feature [1] - 50:22	heirs [1] - 54:10	impact [2] - 54:12,	local [1] - 51:3
district [1] - 50:13	financial [2] - 57:4,	hereby [1] - 58:5	54:13	looks [1] - 56:5
District [1] - 51:21	57:5	herein [1] - 58:8	important [2] - 56:3,	lost [2] - 52:6, 52:7
documents [1] -	first [2] - 49:11, 53:1	hereto [1] - 58:10	56:11	
53:15	five [2] - 46:22, 47:7	hereunto [1] - 58:17	IN [1] - 58:16	M
Doings [1] - 49:13	fixing [1] - 54:20	heterogenous [1] -	included [2] - 50:6,	
done [2] - 47:2, 50:8	flourishes [1] - 54:15	50:10	50:15	magazines [1] - 51:2
down [4] - 48:18,	follow [1] - 56:12	highly [1] - 49:5	including [1] - 47:10	majority [1] - 50:2
50:4, 55:19, 57:6	followed [1] - 51:7	HINSDALE [1] - 45:3	increase [1] - 49:4	manager [1] - 49:22
downtown [1] -	following [2] - 53:20,	Hinsdale [7] - 45:11,	initial [1] - 47:13	
51:21	56:19	45:12, 50:2, 51:5,	intend [1] - 54:9	March [3] - 45:13,
draw [2] - 46:16,		52:14, 53:4, 53:19	interest [2] - 51:16,	49:19, 58:18
53:11	foregoing [1] - 58:13	Hinsdalean [1] -	52:9	market [1] - 50:5
DU [2] - 45:2, 58:2	form [1] - 58:13	48:21		marketing [1] - 48:11
due [2] - 47:5, 47:16	forming [1] - 54:1	historic [3] - 47:5,	interpretations [1] - 53:15	Marrs [2] - 47:21,
duly [2] - 46:10, 58:8	forums [1] - 51:6	47:12, 50:13		48:4
DuPage [2] - 58:5,	founded [1] - 52:12	HISTORIC [1] - 45:3	interpretive [1] - 55:16	Matter [1] - 45:4
58:21	four[2] - 47:10, 48:8	Historic [3] - 45:11,		matters [2] - 56:4,
during [1] - 50:20	FRANK[1] - 45:20	48:7, 51:21	issue [1] - 57:4	58:9
	frankly [2] - 53:6,	Historical [1] - 50:12	itself [1] - 48:19	McGinnis [2] - 48:22,
E	55:1	history [1] - 56:14		49:22
	freezes [1] - 51:19	home [6] - 52:1,	J	means [1] - 58:11
		52:4, 54:20, 55:1,	•	meet [1] - 56:17
easement [1] - 52:3	G	55:12, 57:7	January [4] - 47:20,	meeting [6] - 47:14,
east [1] - 52:10		homeowner [1] -	48:6, 48:20, 49:17	47:20, 48:1, 49:11,
East [1] - 45:12	GASCOIGNE [1] -	48:19	John [2] - 49:12.	49:17, 49:20
economic [3] -	46:2	homes [11] - 49:2,	57:1	meetings [1] - 47:2
54:12, 55:5, 55:14	general [1] - 53:14	49:3, 49:9, 49:15,	JOHN [1] - 45:18	Member [4] - 45:18,
ED [1] - 46:4	1 -	50:2, 50:16, 50:20,	July [1] - 47:4	45:19, 45:20, 45:21
endangered [1] -	given [1] - 58:10 glad [1] - 46:21	51:15, 51:17, 51:18,	June [1] - 49:13	members [1] - 55:22
51:6	1 -	52:6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	MEMBERS [1] -
engaged [1] - 51:6	GONZALEZ [1] - 45:20	Homes [1] - 49:6	K	45:16
entirely [1] - 54:18		homes [1] - 49:14	IV.	merit [1] - 54:5
entitled [2] - 45:10,	government [2] -	hope [2] - 52:4,		method [1] - 48:16
57:16	53:4, 56:20	52:14	KATHLEEN [3] -	Michael [1] - 47:21
esoteric [1] - 56:4	grand [1] - 54:20	hoping [1] - 48:14	45:10, 58:3, 58:20	might [2] - 49:14,
establish [1] - 51:9	Groundhog [1] -	hour[1] - 45:13		54:10
established [1] -	46:20	house [10] - 47:8,	L	millennials [2] -
51:11	groundswell [1] -	48:11, 48:15, 48:18,		47:11, 50:3
evidence [1] - 57:14	51:16	48:19, 50:4, 50:9,		million [1] - 50:6
examination [1] -	grown [1] - 52:10	54:6, 54:9, 55:7	land [1] - 49:8	mind [1] - 46:21
58:7	guidance [1] - 56:6	houses [5] - 47:7,	landmark [6] - 47:5,	modifications [1] -
exception [1] - 53:21		47:10, 48:17, 51:10,	48:2, 48:11, 51:11,	50:7
		17.10, 40.17, 01.10,	54:17, 57:7	
exceptions (1) - 54:2	H	52.7		Money (1) - 51·2
exceptions [1] - 54:2 executive [1] - 53:13	Н	52:7	landmarked [2] -	Money [1] - 51:2 most [2] - 50:3, 51:5
executive [1] - 53:13		housing [2] - 50:11,	landmarked [2] - 51:15, 55:12	most [2] - 50:3, 51:5
•	H half [1] - 55:2 hand [1] - 58:17	1	landmarked [2] -	-

45:18, 45:19, 45:20, part [2] - 50:13, 53:2 past [1] - 48:16 46:2, 46:4, 52:22, 57:5 Pennsylvania [1] -MS [3] - 45:21, 46:3, 46:20 people [6] - 49:14, 54:1, 54:15, 54:17, N 54:19. 55:11 per[2] - 46:15, 52:6 percent [4] - 49:4, named [1] - 51:4 49:15, 50:16, 50:19 national [1] - 51:1 perfectly [1] - 55:18 National [1] - 51:21 permits [1] - 49:2 nature [1] - 56:4 pertaining [1] need [1] - 46:15 58:10 needing [1] - 55:4 Peterson [2] - 47:21. needs [2] - 46:8, 47:22 46:9 PETERSON [6] negative [1] - 54:12 45:17, 46:7, 46:12, new [5] - 47:11, 49:2, 52:18, 57:1, 57:8 49:14, 50:2, 50:14 phone [1] - 47:21 news [1] - 51:1 Pian [1] - 56:8 Newsweek [1] - 51:2 Planner [1] - 46:2 NO [1] - 45:6 point [2] - 46:18, North [4] - 46:14, 57:11 47:3, 47:6, 47:8 policy [2] - 53:9, north [1] - 51:8 53:14 notarial [1] - 58:17 possible [2] - 54:18, Notary [2] - 58:4, 55:8 58:21 presence [1] - 47:12 notes [1] - 58:15 PRESENT [2] -November [1] -45:16, 46:1 47:13 present [2] - 47:16, 48:8 0 presentation [1] -49:18 PRESERVATION [1] OF [5] - 45:1, 45:2, - 45:3 45:8, 58:1, 58:2 preservation (5) offered [1] - 57:15 49:20, 51:9, 51:13, old [1] - 52:8 51:17, 52:10 one [3] - 47:8, 51:13, Preservation [3] -52:1 45:11, 48:7, 51:4 open [1] - 46:7 preserve [1] - 56:13 opinion [4] - 53:8, president [1] - 49:21 54:3, 55:6 prevent [2] - 51:22, order [1] - 50:8 52:3 ordinance [5] previous [2] - 49:4, 46:15, 47:18, 50:14, 58:6 50:15, 50:18 primary [1] - 49:8 original [2] - 47:7, procedure [1] -47:10 51:12 own [2] - 53:13, 55:1 PROCEEDINGS [1] owner[1] - 49:6 proceedings [2] -P 45:10, 57:14 project [1] - 54:22 p.m [1] - 45:14 projecting [1] - 54:8

PAGE [2] - 45:2, 58:2

paperwork [1] - 48:3

paid [1] - 53:6

proper [3] - 55:16,

property [5] - 48:12,

56:6, 56:15

48:14, 48:17, 54:12, 55:4 proposed [1] - 50:13 proposition [2] -54:17, 56:17 provide [1] - 46:15 Public [3] - 49:18, 58:4, 58:21 public [2] - 46:8, 57:12 purchase [1] - 49:15 Q questions [2] -52:17, 52:18 quickly [1] - 47:1 quite [1] - 55:1 quote [2] - 49:12, 50:6 R ranch [1] - 52:7 52:2, 57:15 recent [1] - 50:3 reconstruct [1] -55:10 reinforced [1] -48:20 relation [1] - 58:9 relief [2] - 55:20,

reason [1] - 55:17 received [3] - 51:20, recently [1] - 51:16 reduced [1] - 58:11 regarding [1] - 47:14 reinforce [1] - 49:12 57:3 remind [2] - 55:22, 56:7 renovated [2] - 55:2, **REPORT**[1] - 45:8 report [1] - 50:3 reported [1] - 49:10 **Reporter** [1] - 58:4 reports [1] - 49:7 representing [1] -49:3 request [4] - 47:14, 47:18, 49:19, 49:21 requested [4] - 47:4, 47:19, 47:22, 52:2 requesting [1] -51:18 residence [1] - 46:13 response [2] - 52:19,

57:10
responsible [1] 48:13
resulted [1] - 49:18
resurrecting [1] 53:3
review [2] - 47:1,
48:1
revote [1] - 46:13
rid [1] - 55:4
Robb [1] - 49:22
rules [2] - 53:7,
53:20

S

Safety [1] - 49:18 saw[1] - 49:2 Scott [1] - 47:20 SCOTT[1] - 45:17 sea [1] - 50:1 sea-change [1] -50:1 seal [1] - 58:18 **SEAN**[1] - 46:2 Sean [1] - 47:21 season [2] - 49:5, 49:7 Section [2] - 48:1, see [1] - 46:21 sell [1] - 48:19 sensitive [1] - 54:16 set [1] - 58:17 shore [1] - 51:8 Shorthand [1] - 58:4 shorthand [2] -58:12, 58:15 similar [1] - 52:15 simply [1] - 53:20 sit [1] - 55:19 sites [1] - 51:6 situation [1] - 54:5 smaller[1] - 49:9 Smart [1] - 51:2 Society [1] - 50:12 sold[1] - 50:5 South [1] - 58:22 span [1] - 52:6 specifics [1] - 47:17 **spent**[1] - 53:2 **ss** [2] - 45:1, 58:1 STACEY [1] - 45:21 State [1] - 58:5 STATE [2] - 45:1, 58:1 States [1] - 53:16 states [1] - 49:1

status [1] - 47:5

stay [1] - 54:9 still [1] - 53:8 stock [2] - 50:16, 51:10 Street [3] - 45:5, 47:3, 50:4 street [1] - 47:6 strong [1] - 54:6 studied [1] - 50:17 study [1] - 50:13 subsequently [1] -50:17 suffered [1] - 53:4 suggest [3] - 54:14, 55:10, 56:15 **surprised** [1] - 50:7 survey [1] - 51:10 sworn [3] - 46:9, 46:11, 58:8 sympathetic [1] -54:7

T

tax [1] - 51:19 tear [1] - 57:6 teardown [1] - 52:3 tearing [1] - 48:18 ten [1] - 51:5 term [1] - 46:20 testify [2] - 46:11, 58:9 testimony [3] - 45:9, 58:7, 58:10 TESTIMONY [1] -58:16 text [3] - 55:10, 56:19, 57:2 THE [1] - 45:3 themselves [4] -54:11, 54:22, 55:3, 55:5 thereafter [1] - 58:12 three [4] - 47:2. 47:15, 51:12, 51:18 **Tiburon** [1] - 49:6 today [2] - 46:21, 46:22 tonight [1] - 56:16 torn [1] - 50:4 town [1] - 52:11 transcribed [1] -58:12 transcript [1] - 58:14 trend [1] - 52:4 Tribune [1] - 51:3 troubling [1] - 53:17 true [1] - 58:14 trustees [3] - 50:19,

51:13, 51:14

Trustees [1] - 56:5

truth [1] - 58:9

trying [2] - 48:19,

54:11

two [2] - 50:17, 51:13

typewritten [1]
58:13

U

ultimately [2] 48:13, 51:7
unable [1] - 54:22
under [1] - 55:21
United [1] - 53:16
unlandmark [1] 55:7
unlandmarking [2] 46:13, 54:5
up [3] - 52:10, 54:20,
56:18

V

various [1] - 58:7
view [1] - 48:20
village [8] - 47:22,
49:21, 50:11, 50:22,
55:20, 56:14, 56:20
Village [1] - 46:2
villages [1] - 51:8
vintage [1] - 49:15
vote [4] - 46:15,
49:19, 55:18, 56:16
voted [1] - 46:14

W

Washington [6] -45:5, 46:14, 47:3, 47:7, 47:9, 53:12 WHEREOF [1] -58:16 WHICH [1] - 57:13 willing [1] - 55:19 wink [1] - 53:9 Wisconsin [1] -58:22 withdrawing [1] -48:2 withdrawn [1] - 47:5 witnesses [2] - 58:8, 58:11 words [2] - 46:19, 54:8 writing [2] - 53:15, 58:11

written [2] - 54:4, 55:9

Y

year [4] - 49:4, 50:5, 52:6, 52:11
yearly [1] - 51:5
years [4] - 48:16, 52:8, 52:15, 53:3

Z

Zoning [1] - 49:17 **zoning** [2] - 50:14, 50:18

KATHLEEN W. BONO, CSR 630-834-7779